The measurement of the effect on citation inequality of differences in citation practices across scientific fields

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0058727
PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e58727.

#	Paper	IF	Citations
30	Quantitative evaluation of alternative field normalization procedures. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2013**, 7, 746-755	3.1	47
29	A systematic empirical comparison of different approaches for normalizing citation impact indicators. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2013**, 7, 833-849	3.1	83
28	The comparison of normalization procedures based on different classification systems. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2013**, 7, 945-958	3.1	11
27	The h-index in medical education: an analysis of medical education journal editorial boards. *BMC Medical Education*, **2014**, 14, 251	3.3	22
26	The comparison of classification-system-based normalization procedures with source normalization alternatives in Waltman and Van Eck (2013). *Journal of Informetrics*, **2014**, 8, 25-28	3.1	12
25	The skewness of scientific productivity. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2014**, 8, 917-934	3.1	49
24	Comparison of the effect of mean-based method and z-score for field normalization of citations at the level of Web of Science subject categories. *Scientometrics*, **2014**, 101, 1679-1693	3	28
23	The impact of extreme observations in citation distributions. *Research Evaluation*, **2014**, 23, 174-182	1.7	5
22	Improving the normalization effect of mean-based method from the perspective of optimization: optimization-based linear methods and their performance. *Scientometrics*, **2015**, 102, 587-607	3	0
21	Understanding the Scientific Enterprise: Citation Analysis, Data and Modeling. **2015**, 135-151		2
20	Field-normalized citation impact indicators using algorithmically constructed classification systems of science. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2015**, 9, 102-117	3.1	76
19	Within- and between-department variability in individual productivity: the case of economics. *Scientometrics*, **2015**, 102, 1497-1520	3	15
18	University citation distributions. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, **2016**, 67, 2790-2804	2.7	10
17	A comparison of two ways of evaluating research units working in different scientific fields. *Scientometrics*, **2016**, 106, 539-561	3	11
16	Ranking authors using fractional counting of citations: An axiomatic approach. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2016**, 10, 183-199	3.1	26
15	Citation analysis of scientific categories. *Heliyon*, **2017**, 3, e00300	3.6	50
14	On the quest for currencies of science. *Aslib Journal of Information Management*, **2017**, 69, 557-575	1.5	5

13	Distribution of Citations Received by Scientific Papers Published in the Imaging Literature From 2001 to 2010: Decreasing Inequality and Polarization. *American Journal of Roentgenology*, **2017**, 209, 248-254	5.4	4
12	The Balanced Worth: A Procedure to Evaluate Performance in Terms of Ordered Attributes. *Social Indicators Research*, **2018**, 140, 1279-1300	2.7	6
11	Individual and field citation distributions in 29 broad scientific fields. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2018**, 12, 868-892	3.1	7
10	Critical rationalism and the search for standard (field-normalized) indicators in bibliometrics. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2018**, 12, 598-604	3.1	21
9	A note on using revealed comparative advantages in scientometrics studies. *Scientometrics*, **2019**, 121, 595-599	3	9
8	Comparison of publication-level approaches to ex-post citation normalization. *Scientometrics*, **2019**, 120, 283-300	3	4
7	Globalised vs averaged: Bias and ranking performance on the author level. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2019**, 13, 299-313	3.1	2
6	Comprehensive Researcher Achievement Model (CRAM): a framework for measuring researcher achievement, impact and influence derived from a systematic literature review of metrics and models. *BMJ Open*, **2019**, 9, e025320	3	15
5	Measuring the academic reputation through citation networks via PageRank. *Journal of Informetrics* , **2019**, 13, 185-201	3.1	25
4	The diffusion of scientific innovations: A role typology. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part A*, **2019**, 77, 64-80	1.1	6
3	How can citation impact in bibliometrics be normalized? A new approach combining citing-side normalization and citation percentiles. *Quantitative Science Studies*, **2020**, 1, 1553-1569	3.8	0
2	Field Normalization of Scientometric Indicators. *Springer Handbooks*, **2019**, 281-300	1.3	13
1	Sandy beaches: Publication features, thematic areas and collaborative networks between 2009 and 2019. **2023**, 281, 108211		0