

Sherlock Holmes and the Case of Resist/Refuse Dynamics Inference in Child Custody Evaluations

Family Court Review

58, 386-402

DOI: [10.1111/fcre.12478](https://doi.org/10.1111/fcre.12478)

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Parental Alienation: In Search of Common Ground For a More Differentiated Theory. Family Court Review, 2020, 58, 270-292.	0.4	34
2	Ideology and Rhetoric Replace Science and Reason in Some Parental Alienation Literature and Advocacy: A Critique. Family Court Review, 2020, 58, 340-361.	0.4	28
3	Concepts, Controversies And Conundrums Of "Alienation": Lessons Learned In A Decade And Reflections On Challenges Ahead. Family Court Review, 2020, 58, 576-603.	0.4	15
4	Dynamics, Not Diagnoses. Family Court Review, 2020, 58, 368-370.	0.4	9
5	De conflictscheiding als complexe gezinsproblematiek. Pedagogiek, 2020, 40, 205-231.	0.0	0
6	Weaponizing Clinical Mental Health in Family Justice Courts: Ethical and Legal Minefields. Journal of Forensic Social Work, 2022, 6, 22-42.	0.6	0
7	Considerations regarding child and parent neurodiversity in family court. Family Court Review, 2022, 60, 492-506.	0.4	2
8	The Role of Work Product Review and Consultancy in Forensic Social Work. , 2023, , 37-56.		0
9	Looking Beyond the Sorting Hat: Deconstructing the "Five Factor Model" of Alienation. Journal of Divorce and Remarriage, 0, , 1-27.	0.9	1
10	Identifying and managing evaluator biases in parenting plan evaluations. Family Court Review, 2023, 61, 762-781.	0.4	1
11	A structured rubric for evaluating the many systemic variables that can contribute to parent-child contact problems (<sc>PCCP</sc>). Family Court Review, 2024, 62, 343-358.	0.4	0