

# Perceptions of Uncivil Discourse Online: An Examination

Communication Research

47, 795-814

DOI: [10.1177/0093650217699933](https://doi.org/10.1177/0093650217699933)

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Incivility and Political Identity on the Internet: Intergroup Factors as Predictors of Incivility in Discussions of News Online. <i>Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication</i> , 2017, 22, 163-178.	1.7	74
2	Lying, Liars, and Lies: Incivility in 2016 Presidential Candidate and Campaign Tweets During the Invisible Primary. <i>American Behavioral Scientist</i> , 2018, 62, 286-299.	2.3	21
4	Gendered Morality and Backlash Effects in Online Discussions: An Experimental Study on How Users Respond to Hate Speech Comments Against Women and Sexual Minorities. <i>Sex Roles</i> , 2019, 80, 381-392.	1.4	32
5	We Should Not Get Rid of Incivility Online. <i>Social Media and Society</i> , 2019, 5, 205630511986264.	1.5	32
6	Ridiculed, but safe: What e-mothersâ€™ discussion on migration tells us about the potential of â€œthird spacesâ€™ for the political communication of women. <i>European Journal of Communication</i> , 2019, 34, 142-158.	1.1	4
7	When partisans see media coverage as hostile: The effect of uncivil online comments on hostile media effect. <i>Media Psychology</i> , 2019, 22, 845-866.	2.1	10
8	Reporting Hate Comments: Investigating the Effects of Deviance Characteristics, Neutralization Strategies, and Usersâ€™ Moral Orientation. <i>Communication Research</i> , 2020, 47, 921-944.	3.9	27
9	Tales of conflict: narrative immersion and political aggression in the United States. <i>Media Psychology</i> , 2020, 23, 579-602.	2.1	11
10	From â€œthank god for helping this personâ€•to â€œlibtards really jumped the sharkâ€• Opinion leaders and (in)civility in the wake of school shootings. <i>New Media and Society</i> , 2020, 22, 1004-1025.	3.1	3
11	Is Context the Key? The (Non-)Differential Effects of Mediated Incivility in Three European Countries. <i>Political Communication</i> , 2020, 37, 88-107.	2.3	32
12	Lonely together? Identifying the determinants of collective corrective action against uncivil comments. <i>New Media and Society</i> , 2020, 22, 731-751.	3.1	33
13	Female journalists under attack? Explaining gender differences in reactions to audiencesâ€™ attacks. <i>New Media and Society</i> , 2020, 22, 1849-1867.	3.1	46
14	Civility, gender, and gendered nationalism in the age of Trump. <i>Politics, Groups &amp; Identities</i> , 2022, 10, 430-454.	1.2	2
15	Engagement Moderation: What Journalists Should Say to Improve Online Discussions. <i>Journalism Practice</i> , 2020, , 1-17.	1.5	12
16	Beyond Incivility: Understanding Patterns of Uncivil and Intolerant Discourse in Online Political Talk. <i>Communication Research</i> , 2022, 49, 399-425.	3.9	97
17	Uncivil Nameâ€•Calling in the U.S. Presidency, 1933â€•2018. <i>Presidential Studies Quarterly</i> , 2020, 50, 264-285.	0.3	10
19	Predicting perceptions of incivility across 20 news comment sections. <i>Journalism</i> , 2022, 23, 134-152.	1.8	6
20	Measuring Emotional Responses to Negative Commercials: A Comparison of Two Methods. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 2021, 74, 526-539.	1.1	5

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
21	Exploring the Relationship Between Campaign Discourse on Facebook and the Public's Comments: A Case Study of Incivility During the 2016 US Presidential Election. <i>Political Studies</i> , 2021, 69, 89-107.	2.0	12
22	Exploring the Influence of Comment Tone and Content in Response to Misinformation in Social Media News. <i>Journalism Practice</i> , 2021, 15, 456-470.	1.5	5
23	Curbing profanity online: A network-based diffusion analysis of profane speech on Chinese social media. <i>New Media and Society</i> , 2021, 23, 982-1003.	3.1	9
24	"Frustrated women invite the immigrants to Europe": Intersection of (xeno-) racism and sexism in online discussions on gender aspects of immigration. <i>European Journal of Cultural Studies</i> , 2021, 24, 333-349.	1.5	2
25	Twitter, Incivility and "Everyday"-Gendered Othering: An Analysis of Tweets Sent to UK Members of Parliament. <i>Social Science Computer Review</i> , 2021, 39, 259-275.	2.6	43
26	Hass und seine vielen Gesichter: Eine sozial- und kommunikationswissenschaftliche Einordnung von Hate Speech. , 2021, , 53-77.		6
27	Social Norms and the Dynamics of Online Incivility. <i>Social Science Computer Review</i> , 2022, 40, 717-735.	2.6	24
28	Training Strategies for Promoting Accuracy in Crowdsourced Content Analysis. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	0
29	Roots of Incivility: How Personality, Media Use, and Online Experiences Shape Uncivil Participation. <i>Media and Communication</i> , 2021, 9, 195-208.	1.1	32
30	"What an idiot!" "How the appraisal of the writer of an uncivil comment impacts discussion behavior. <i>New Media and Society</i> , 2022, 24, 2743-2762.	3.1	5
31	Descriptive and Injunctive Incivility Norms in Political Campaigns: Differences Across Behavior Type, Candidate Gender, and Candidate Party Position. <i>American Behavioral Scientist</i> , 2022, 66, 274-291.	2.3	6
32	Better Crowdcoding: Strategies for Promoting Accuracy in Crowdsourced Content Analysis. <i>Communication Methods and Measures</i> , 2021, 15, 141-155.	3.0	3
33	More Than Just Shouting? Distinguishing Interpersonal-Directed and Elite-Directed Incivility in Online Political Talk. <i>Social Media and Society</i> , 2021, 7, 205630512110088.	1.5	9
34	The strategic use of incivility in contemporary politics. The case of the 2018 Italian general election on Facebook. <i>Communication Review</i> , 2021, 24, 107-132.	0.8	15
35	(De)Politicizing Polyamory: Social Media Comments on Media Representations of Consensual Non-Monogamies. <i>Archives of Sexual Behavior</i> , 2021, 50, 1325-1340.	1.2	5
36	Who is responsible for interventions against problematic comments? Comparing user attitudes in Germany and the United States. <i>Policy and Internet</i> , 2021, 13, 433-451.	2.0	12
37	Common sense or censorship: How algorithmic moderators and message type influence perceptions of online content deletion. <i>New Media and Society</i> , 2023, 25, 2595-2617.	3.1	10
38	Digital microaggressions and everyday othering: an analysis of tweets sent to women members of Parliament in the UK. <i>Information, Communication and Society</i> , 2021, 24, 1998-2015.	2.6	11

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
39	Itâ€™s a manâ€™s (online) world. Personality traits and the gender gap in online political discussion. Information, Communication and Society, 2021, 24, 2054-2074.	2.6	2
40	Assessing the Russian Troll Efforts to Sow Discord on Twitter during the 2016 U.S. Election. Human Communication Research, 2021, 47, 477-486.	1.9	3
41	From â€˜Please sir, stay out of itâ€™ to â€˜You are an abominationâ€™: (in)civility and emotional expression in emails sent to politicians. Information, Communication and Society, 2021, 24, 667-683.	2.6	1
42	Uncivil Communication and Simplistic Argumentation: Decreasing Political Trust, Increasing Persuasive Power?. Political Communication, 2020, 37, 768-788.	2.3	33
43	Incivility Detection in Online Comments. , 2019, , .		13
44	The "Shut the f**k up" Phenomenon: Characterizing Incivility in Open Source Code Review Discussions. Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction, 2021, 5, 1-35.	2.5	17
45	GenÅŒ Nesilde Ã–tekileÅŒtirmenin Dijital YÃ¼zÃ¼: Nezaket DÃ¼ÅŒ Siyasal DeÃŒer YÃ¼zÃ¼ PaylaÅŒmlar ve KutuplaÅŒma. OPUS Uluslararası Toplum AraÅŒtirmalar Dergisi, 0, , .	0.3	2
46	The Influence of Groupthink on Culture and Conflict in Twitter. , 2019, , 1619-1639.		0
47	Over-Time Trends in Incivility on Social Media: Evidence From Political, Non-Political, and Mixed Sub-Reddits Over Eleven Years. Frontiers in Political Science, 2021, 3, .	1.0	8
48	Serving the Greater Social Good for Personal Gain: Effects of Polite Disagreements in Online Debates. Communication Research, 0, , 009365022110534.	3.9	0
49	Degrees of Disrespect: How Only Extreme and Rare Incivility Alienates the Base. Journal of Politics, 2022, 84, 1746-1759.	1.4	1
50	The Influence of Groupthink on Culture and Conflict in Twitter. Advances in Linguistics and Communication Studies, 0, , 223-249.	0.2	0
51	Replication Note: What is Political Incivility?. Human Communication Research, 2021, 48, 168-177.	1.9	8
52	Hateful and Other Negative Communication in Online Commenting Environments: Content, Structure and Targets. Acta Informatica Pragensia, 2021, 10, 257-274.	0.7	5
53	Dear President Macron; an Ordinary People Get Anger to You (But I know you are Not Meant to Be). Journal of Social Science, 2020, 1, 253-259.	0.0	0
54	The Impact of Giving Feedback in Online Discussions. Journal of Media Psychology, 0, , .	0.7	2
55	Misleading political advertising fuels incivility online: A social network analysis of 2020 U.S. presidential election campaign video comments on YouTube. Computers in Human Behavior, 2022, 131, 107202.	5.1	12
56	Is Constructive Engagement Online a Lost Cause? Toxic Outrage in Online User Comments Across Democratic Political Systems and Discussion Arenas. Communication Research, 2023, 50, 508-531.	3.9	7

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
57	Confronting Politics: The Role of Conflict Orientation in Shaping Political Debate. <i>Journal of Deliberative Democracy</i> , 2022, 18, .	0.3	1
58	Audience participation in Spanish digital media on external causes of death. <i>Miguel Hernández Communication Journal</i> , 0, 12, 491-514.	0.2	0
60	Highlighting Incivility: How the News Media's Focus on Political Incivility Affects Political Trust and News Credibility. <i>Journalism and Mass Communication Quarterly</i> , 0, , 107769902210846.	1.4	5
61	Suscripción a la prensa digital como contención a los discursos de odio. <i>Profesional De La Informacion</i> , 0, , .	2.7	3
62	Argumentation and Incivility in Online Forums: The Case of Discussing Colorism. <i>Journal of Language and Social Psychology</i> , 0, , 0261927X2110668.	1.2	0
63	“Toxic atmosphere effect”: Uncivil online comments cue negative audience perceptions of news outlet credibility. <i>Journalism</i> , 2023, 24, 101-119.	1.8	10
64	Perceptions and Evaluations of Incivility in Public Online Discussions: Insights From Focus Groups With Different Online Actors. <i>Frontiers in Political Science</i> , 2022, 4, .	1.0	7
65	Race, Gender, and the Politics of Incivility: How Identity Moderates Perceptions of Uncivil Discourse. <i>Journal of Race, Ethnicity and Politics</i> , 2022, 7, 526-543.	0.4	4
66	Seeing red: Reading uncivil news comments guided by personality characteristics. <i>Newspaper Research Journal</i> , 2022, 43, 196-216.	0.5	2
67	How social media users perceive different forms of online hate speech: A qualitative multi-method study. <i>New Media and Society</i> , 0, , 146144482210911.	3.1	14
68	You've never been welcome here: exploring the relationship between exclusivity and incivility in online forums. <i>Journal of Information Technology and Politics</i> , 0, , 1-15.	1.8	1
69	The Language of Extremism on Social Media: An Examination of Posts, Comments, and Themes on Reddit. <i>Frontiers in Political Science</i> , 2022, 4, .	1.0	8
71	Deliberating alone: deliberative bias and giving up on political talk. <i>Human Communication Research</i> , 2022, 48, 579-590.	1.9	1
72	Searching for the Dimensions of Today's Political Incivility. <i>Social Media and Society</i> , 2022, 8, 205630512211144.	1.5	6
73	Damage Control: How Campaign Teams Interpret and Respond to Online Incivility. <i>Political Communication</i> , 0, , 1-21.	2.3	2
74	“What a nasty girl!”: incivility and gendered symbolic violence in news discussions. <i>Feminist Media Studies</i> , 2023, 23, 3866-3883.	1.4	1
75	How Background Images Impact Online Incivility. <i>Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction</i> , 2022, 6, 1-23.	2.5	0
76	Selective Avoidance: Understanding How Position and Proportion of Online Incivility Influence News Engagement. <i>Communication Research</i> , 2023, 50, 387-409.	3.9	4

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
77	How Contextual Features Shape Incivility Over Time: An Analysis of the Evolution and Determinants of Political Incivility in Televised Election Debates (1985–2019). <i>Communication Research</i> , 2023, 50, 480-507.	3.9	5
78	It's All Relative: Perceptions of (Comparative) Candidate Incivility and Candidate Sympathy in Three Multiparty Elections. <i>Politics and Governance</i> , 2022, 10, 261-274.	0.8	1
79	The expression effects of uncivil disagreement: the mechanisms of cognitive dissonance and self-perception. <i>Human Communication Research</i> , 0, , .	1.9	0
80	Crooked Hillary and Sleepy Joe: name-calling's backfire effect on candidate evaluations. <i>Journal of Elections, Public Opinion and Parties</i> , 0, , 1-21.	1.4	3
81	Polarizing publics in Twitter through organic targeting tactics of political incivility. <i>Frontiers in Political Science</i> , 0, 5, .	1.0	2
82	The Effects of Observer Expectations on Judgments of Anti-Asian Hate Tweets and Online Activism Response. <i>Social Media and Society</i> , 2023, 9, 205630512311572.	1.5	1
83	Too civil to care? How online hate speech against different social groups affects bystander intervention. <i>European Journal of Criminology</i> , 0, , 147737082311563.	1.5	0
84	How (Un)Freedom is Being Developed: The Linguistic World of One Political Party in Slovakia Concerning Liberalism. <i>Jazykovedny Casopis</i> , 2022, 73, 329-353.	0.1	0
85	Rant or rave: variation over time in the language of online reviews. <i>Language Resources and Evaluation</i> , 2023, 57, 1329-1359.	1.8	1
86	Reactance to Uncivil Disagreement?. <i>Journal of Media Psychology</i> , 2024, 36, 15-26.	0.7	0
87	The Silenced Text: Field Experiments on Gendered Experiences of Political Participation. <i>American Political Science Review</i> , 2024, 118, 481-487.	2.6	0
103	“Calling Out Our Own for Political Incivility? Identity and Shared Versus Oppositional Partisanship in Perceptions of Name-Calling and Deception”. <i>Frontiers in Sociology and Social Research</i> , 2023, , 339-371.	2.5	2