

Assessing perched aquifer vulnerability using modified waste in north-east England (UK)

Hydrogeology Journal

27, 1837-1850

DOI: [10.1007/s10040-019-01932-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-019-01932-1)

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Evaluation of the groundwater resources vulnerability index using nitrate concentration prediction approach. <i>Geocarto International</i> , 2022, 37, 1664-1680.	1.7	7
2	Influence of Agricultural Irrigation Activity on the Potential Risk of Groundwater Pollution: A Study with Drastic Method in a Semi-Arid Agricultural Region of China. <i>Sustainability</i> , 2020, 12, 1954.	1.6	12
3	Quantitative assessment of groundwater pollution risk in reclaimed water irrigation areas of northern China. <i>Environmental Pollution</i> , 2020, 261, 114173.	3.7	34
4	Delineation of regional groundwater vulnerability using DRASTIC model for agricultural application in Pakistan. <i>Arabian Journal of Geosciences</i> , 2020, 13, 1.	0.6	21
5	Groundwater Monitoring Network Design Using Optimized DRASTIC Method and Capture Zone Analysis. <i>International Journal of Environmental Research</i> , 2021, 15, 807-817.	1.1	6
6	Assessment of groundwater intrinsic vulnerability using GIS-based DRASTIC method in District Haripur, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. <i>Environmental Monitoring and Assessment</i> , 2021, 193, 487.	1.3	3
7	Classification of Aquifer Vulnerability by Using the DRASTIC Index and Geo-Electrical Techniques. <i>Water (Switzerland)</i> , 2021, 13, 2144.	1.2	29
8	Étude de la vulnérabilité à la pollution du système phréatique du sahel de Sfax par les outils SIG. <i>Revue Internationale De Géomatique</i> , 2019, 29, 317-338.	0.2	1
9	Groundwater vulnerability to agrochemical contamination. <i>Brazilian Journal of Environmental Sciences (Online)</i> , 2020, 55, 440-455.	0.1	4
10	Characterizing groundwater vulnerability in developing urban settings using DRASTIC-LuPa approach: A case study of Aba City, Nigeria. <i>African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology</i> , 2021, 15, 540-559.	0.2	0