The Natural Resource Content of Foreign Trade, 1870-19 Natural Resources in the United States

Review of Economics and Statistics

41, 146

DOI: 10.2307/1927796

Citation Report

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Formal Schooling and the Human-Capital Intensity of American Foreign Trade: A Cost Approach. Economic Journal, 1972, 82, 629.	3.6	6
2	NATURAL RESOURCE ENDOWMENTS AND COMPARATIVE LABOR COSTS: A HYBRID MODEL OF COMPARATIVE ADVANTAGE*. Journal of Regional Science, 1975, 15, 139-150.	3.3	10
3	Substitution among Capital, Labor, and Natural Resource Products in American Manufacturing. Journal of Political Economy, 1975, 83, 57-82.	4.5	133
4	Human-Capital Intensity of U.S. Foreign Trade: 1947–70. American economist, The, 1976, 20, 11-14.	0.7	1
5	Die Kapital-und Arbeitsintensit�t des �sterreichischen Au�enhandels 1964. Empirica, 1978, 5, 195-213.	1.8	3
6	Efficiency in natural resource usage: A comparison of market central planning policies. Journal of Policy Modeling, 1981, 3, 19-35.	3.1	2
7	The U.S. tariff and comparative advantage: A survey of method. Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv, 1981, 117, 65-109.	0.8	9
8	Market structure and U.S. trade flows. International Journal of Industrial Organization, 1984, 2, 173-197.	1.2	21
9	Product Life Cycle and Export Competitiveness of the UK Electronics Industry (1970–1979). European Journal of Marketing, 1987, 21, 28-37.	2.9	7
10	Changing Agricultural Comparative Advantage. Agricultural Economics (United Kingdom), 1987, 1, 97-112.	3.9	5
11	Changing agricultural comparative advantage. Agricultural Economics (United Kingdom), 1987, 1, 97-112.	3.9	9
12	An empirical analysis of the leontief paradox in us agricultural trade. Agribusiness, 1988, 4, 49-61.	3.4	O
13	Examining the leontief paradox in U.S. agricultural trade. Agricultural Economics (United Kingdom), 1988, 2, 259-272.	3.9	3
14	The natural resource intensity of production technology in market and planned economies: Austria vs Czechoslovakia. Journal of Comparative Economics, 1988, 12, 217-227.	2.2	6
15	Examining the Leontief Paradox in U.S. Agricultural Trade. Agricultural Economics (United Kingdom), 1988, 2, 259-272.	3.9	4
16	Impacts of technological change on factor substitution between energy and other inputs within US agriculture, 1950–79. Energy Economics, 1990, 12, 2-10.	12.1	22
17	Comparative advantage and forest endowment in forest products trade: evidence from panel data of OECD-countries. Journal of Forest Economics, 2002, 8, 53-75.	0.2	12
18	Trade Specialisation Dynamics in Russia. Comparative Economic Studies, 2007, 49, 232-258.	1.1	4

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	The great realignment: How factor-biased innovation reshaped comparative advantage in the U.S. and Japan, 1970–1992. Japan and the World Economy, 2007, 19, 112-132.	1.1	5
20	European trade of forest products in the presence of EU policy. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2009, 17, S18-S26.	9.3	11
21	Indicators of product sophistication and factor intensities: Measurement matters. Journal of Economic and Social Measurement, 2017, 42, 27-65.	0.7	11
22	The Pure Theory of International Trade: A Survey. , 1965, , 156-239.		75
23	The Heckscher-Ohlin Model. Springer Texts in Business and Economics, 2014, , 63-101.	0.3	6
24	Die reine (mikroökonomisch fundierte) Theorie. , 2001, , 25-163.		0
25	International Trade In Forest Products. Forestry Sciences, 2003, , 177-199.	0.4	2
26	Land: The Effects of Resources on Economic Growth. , 1964, , 19-52.		1
27	Factor reversals and factor prices: empirical testing and the Leontief paradox., 1980,, 61-76.		0
28	The gains from trade and the income distribution. , 1980, , 56-60.		O
29	The Heckscher-Ohlin Model. , 1986, , 76-106.		0
31	Indicators of Product Sophistication and Factor Intensities: Measurement Matters. Contributions To Economics, 2018, , 9-50.	0.3	1
33	Land Resources and Agricultural Exports Nexus. Folia Oeconomica Stetinensia, 2023, 23, 284-300.	0.9	0