

Lower Court Checks on Supreme Court Power

American Political Science Review

53, 1017-1031

DOI: [10.2307/1952072](https://doi.org/10.2307/1952072)

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Toward and Beyond a Theory of Supreme Court Impact. <i>American Behavioral Scientist</i> , 1970, 13, 561-573.	3.8	10
2	Methodological Concerns in Studying Supreme Court Efficacy. <i>Law and Society Review</i> , 1970, 4, 583.	1.0	11
3	SCIENZA POLITICA E SISTEMA GIUDIZIARIO. <i>Rivista Italiana Di Scienza Politica</i> , 1971, 1, 393-445.	0.7	2
4	THREE PRINCIPAL FACETS OF JUDICIAL MANAGEMENT. <i>Criminology</i> , 1971, 9, 131-153.	3.3	0
5	Reactions of State Supreme Courts to a U.S. Supreme Court Civil Liberties Decision. <i>Law and Society Review</i> , 1973, 8, 109.	1.0	45
6	Implementation of Judicial Decisions. <i>American Politics Research</i> , 1976, 4, 86-114.	0.7	70
7	Court Directives and Compliance. <i>American Politics Research</i> , 1977, 5, 465-480.	0.7	6
8	Public Opinion and Federal Judicial Policy. <i>American Journal of Political Science</i> , 1977, 21, 567.	4.5	62
9	Compliance and the Courts. <i>Review of Research in Education</i> , 1980, 8, 336.	1.6	2
10	A Longitudinal Study of Party Effects on Federal District Court Policy Propensities. <i>American Journal of Political Science</i> , 1980, 24, 291.	4.5	18
11	Chapter 7: Compliance and the Courts. <i>Review of Research in Education</i> , 1980, 8, 336-358.	1.6	1
12	LITIGATION, JUDICIAL DEFERENCE, AND POLICY CHANGE. <i>Law and Policy</i> , 1981, 3, 141-165.	0.7	17
13	Supreme Court Disengagement from the Exclusionary Rule: The Impact of <i>Stone v. Powell</i> . <i>Criminal Justice Review</i> , 1981, 6, 43-46.	0.9	1
14	The Policy-Making Role of the Courts of Appeals in Northern School Desegregation: Ambiguity and Judicial Policy-Making. <i>The Western Political Quarterly</i> , 1982, 35, 359-375.	0.3	1
15	The Policy-Making Role of the Courts of Appeals in Northern School Desegregation: Ambiguity and Judicial Policy-Making. <i>The Western Political Quarterly</i> , 1982, 35, 359.	0.3	6
16	<i>Stone v. Powell</i> : The impact on state appellate court judges' perceptions. <i>Journal of Criminal Justice</i> , 1983, 11, 27-34.	2.3	0
17	Judicial Policy-Making and Implementation: an Empirical Examination. <i>The Western Political Quarterly</i> , 1988, 41, 509-527.	0.3	4
18	Judicial Policy-Making and Implementation: An Empirical Examination. <i>The Western Political Quarterly</i> , 1988, 41, 509.	0.3	10

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Policymaking and the Modern Court: When do Supreme Court Rulings Prevail?. <i>The Western Political Quarterly</i> , 1989, 42, 493-507.	0.3	3
20	Supreme Court Authority in the Judiciary. <i>American Politics Research</i> , 1992, 20, 169-191.	0.7	33
21	The Development of a Legal Rule: The Federal Common Law of Public Nuisance. <i>Law and Society Review</i> , 1998, 32, 613.	1.0	23
22	Norm Violation by the Lower Courts in the Treatment of Supreme Court Precedent: A Research Framework. <i>Justice System Journal</i> , 2000, 21, 1-1.	0.4	3
23	The Precedent That Wasn't: College Hate Speech Codes and the Two Faces of Legal Compliance. <i>Law and Society Review</i> , 2001, 35, 345.	1.0	13
24	Laws of Banking and Finance in the Hong Kong SAR (review). <i>China Review International</i> , 2001, 8, 396-407.	0.0	0
25	Precedent, Parity, and Racial Discrimination: A Federal/State Comparison of the Impact of <i>Brown v. Board of Education</i> . <i>Law and Society Review</i> , 2003, 37, 809-826.	1.0	6
26	Courts and Judges. , 0, , 170-194.		5
27	Constitutioning Hong Kong: "One Country, Two Systems" in the Dock. <i>China Review International</i> , 2004, 11, 248-263.	0.0	1
29	Rita, Claiborne, and the Courts of Appeals' Attachment to the Sentencing Guidelines. <i>Federal Sentencing Reporter</i> , 2007, 19, 171-176.	0.0	0
30	ORGANIZATIONAL VARIATIONS AND STATE APPEALS COURTS BEHAVIOR: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS. <i>Southeastern Political Review</i> , 1993, 21, 783-801.	0.1	0
31	From protecting rights to aiding law enforcement: trends in the "search incident to arrest" doctrine since <i>Chimel v. California</i> . <i>Criminal Justice Studies</i> , 2008, 21, 295-310.	1.2	1
32	Strategic Defiance and Compliance in the U.S. Courts of Appeals. <i>American Journal of Political Science</i> , 2010, 54, 891-905.	4.5	89
33	<i>Hudson v. Michigan</i> and the decline of the exclusionary rule. <i>Police Practice and Research</i> , 2012, 13, 282-293.	1.5	5
34	Judicial Behavior. , 2015, , 885-890.		0
36	Gatekeeping and Filtering in Trial Courts. , 2017, , .		0
37	The Role of Precedent: A Brief Hi. , 2020, , 13-38.		0
38	A Theory of State High Court Usage of US Supreme Court Precedent. , 2020, , 39-56.		2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
39	Conceptualizing and Measuring How State High Courts Use US Supreme Court Opinions. , 2020, , 57-70.		0
41	State High Court Responses to Atkins v. Virginia: Characterized by Flexibility. , 2020, , 71-95.		0
42	State High Court Usage of Lemon v. Kurtzman: Examining a Case of Maximum Discretion. , 2020, , 96-120.		0
43	State High Court Usage of District of Columbia v. Heller and McDonald v. City of Chicago: Moderate Degrees of Flexibility. , 2020, , 121-155.		0
46	Concluding Thoughts and Future Extensions. , 2020, , 156-168.		0
47	The Effect of Opinion Readability on the Impact of U.S. Supreme Court Precedents in State High Courts. Social Science Quarterly, 2020, 101, 811-824.	1.6	9
49	Judicial Entrepreneurs on the U.S. Courts of Appeals: A Citation Analysis of Judicial Influence. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
50	Lower Court Defiance of (Compliance with) the U.S. Supreme Court. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	1
51	«Pourquoi c'est toujours les mÃªmes qui s'en sortent bien?»: rÃ©flexions sur les limites de la transformation par le droit. Droit Et Societe, 2013, nÂ° 85, 575-640.	0.5	4
54	â€œWhy the â€˜Havesâ€™ Come Out Ahead: Speculations on the Limits of Legal Changeâ€, 0, , 481-546.		2
55	How Minimum Sentences Benefit Offenders â€“ The Case of Suspended Sentences. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
56	How Minimum Sentences Benefit Offendersâ€™The Case of Suspended Sentences. Law and Social Inquiry, 0, , 1-34.	0.6	0
57	Ideological Determinants of Citations to Supreme Court Precedent Across the Federal Judiciary. Journal of Law and Courts, 0, , 1-24.	0.6	0