

# Three-Dimensional Accuracy of Digital Implant Impressions and Implant Level

International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Implants  
32, 70-80

DOI: [10.11607/jomi.4942](https://doi.org/10.11607/jomi.4942)

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Digital Implant Impression Technique Accuracy. <i>Implant Dentistry</i> , 2017, 26, 929-935.	1.7	34
2	Digital Impressions for Implant-Supported Fixed Dental Prostheses. <i>Current Oral Health Reports</i> , 2017, 4, 136-141.	0.5	4
3	Accuracy of four intraoral scanners in oral implantology: a comparative in vitro study. <i>BMC Oral Health</i> , 2017, 17, 92.	0.8	234
4	Comparison of the accuracy of digital impressions and traditional impressions: Systematic review. <i>The Journal of Korean Academy of Prosthodontics</i> , 2018, 56, 258.	0.0	2
5	Accuracy of printed casts generated from digital implant impressions versus stone casts from conventional implant impressions: A comparative in vitro study. <i>Clinical Oral Implants Research</i> , 2018, 29, 835-842.	1.9	37
6	Fully digital workflow, integrating dental scan, smile design and CAD-CAM: case report. <i>BMC Oral Health</i> , 2018, 18, 134.	0.8	58
7	Trueness and precision of 5 intraoral scanners in the impressions of single and multiple implants: a comparative in vitro study. <i>BMC Oral Health</i> , 2019, 19, 101.	0.8	135
9	Immediate loading of multiple splinted implants via complete digital workflow: A pilot clinical study with 1-year follow-up. <i>Clinical Implant Dentistry and Related Research</i> , 2019, 21, 446-453.	1.6	17
10	Trueness of digital intraoral impression in reproducing multiple implant position. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2019, 14, e0222070.	1.1	28
11	A Clinical Comparative Study of 3D Dimensional Accuracy between Digital and Conventional Implant Impression Techniques. <i>Journal of Prosthodontics</i> , 2019, 28, e902-e908.	1.7	66
12	The current clinical relevancy of intraoral scanners in implant dentistry. <i>Dental Materials Journal</i> , 2020, 39, 57-61.	0.8	29
13	Influence of scan body design and digital implant analogs on implant replica position in additively manufactured casts. <i>Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry</i> , 2020, 124, 202-210.	1.1	29
14	Digital Versus Conventional Full-Arch Implant Impressions: A Prospective Study on 16 Edentulous Maxillae. <i>Journal of Prosthodontics</i> , 2020, 29, 281-286.	1.7	74
15	Digital vs Conventional Implant Impressions: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. <i>Journal of Prosthodontics</i> , 2020, 29, 660-678.	1.7	85
16	Influence of scan body design on accuracy of the implant position as transferred to a virtual definitive implant cast. <i>Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry</i> , 2021, 125, 918-923.	1.1	18
17	Accuracy of Implant Analogs in 3D Printed Resin Models. <i>Journal of Prosthodontics</i> , 2021, 30, 57-64.	1.7	8
18	A comparative clinical study on the transfer accuracy of conventional and digital implant impressions using a new reference key-based method. <i>Clinical Oral Implants Research</i> , 2021, 32, 460-469.	1.9	13
19	Accuracy of 3D Printed Implant Casts Versus Stone Casts: A Comparative Study in the Anterior Maxilla. <i>Journal of Prosthodontics</i> , 2021, 30, 783-788.	1.7	18

