

Rancher-reported efficacy of lethal and non-lethal lives strategies for a suite of carnivores

Scientific Reports

7, 14105

DOI: [10.1038/s41598-017-14462-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-14462-1)

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Modeling Large Carnivore and Ranch Attribute Effects on Livestock Predation and Nonlethal Losses. <i>Rangeland Ecology and Management</i> , 2018, 71, 815-826.	1.1	7
2	Contemporary Livestockâ€Predator Themes Identified Through a Wyoming, USA Rancher Survey. <i>Rangelands</i> , 2019, 41, 94-101.	0.9	4
3	Mind the gap: Experimental tests to improve efficacy of fladry for nonlethal management of coyotes. <i>Wildlife Society Bulletin</i> , 2019, 43, 265-271.	1.6	9
4	Foraging theory provides a useful framework for livestock predation management. <i>Journal for Nature Conservation</i> , 2019, 49, 69-75.	0.8	4
5	Puma and livestock in central Patagonia (Argentina): from ranchersâ€™ perceptions to predator management. <i>Human Dimensions of Wildlife</i> , 2020, 25, 1-16.	1.0	13
6	Animal Welfare and Production Challenges Associated with Pasture Pig Systems: A Review. <i>Agriculture (Switzerland)</i> , 2020, 10, 223.	1.4	18
7	Mitigating Human Conflicts with Livestock Guardian Dogs in Extensive Sheep Grazing Systems. <i>Rangeland Ecology and Management</i> , 2020, 73, 724-732.	1.1	8
8	Patterns of coyote predation on sheep in California: A socioâ€ecological approach to mapping risk of livestockâ€predator conflict. <i>Conservation Science and Practice</i> , 2021, 3, e175.	0.9	10
9	Social Effectiveness and Human-Wildlife Conflict: Linking the Ecological Effectiveness and Social Acceptability of Livestock Protection Tools. <i>Frontiers in Conservation Science</i> , 2021, 2, .	0.9	8
10	Integrating social science into conservation planning. <i>Biological Conservation</i> , 2021, 262, 109298.	1.9	17
11	Prevalence and drivers of poison use by South African commercial farmers and perceptions of alternative livestock protection measures. <i>Ambio</i> , 2021, 50, 1211-1221.	2.8	10
12	The ecological effects of livestock guarding dogs (LGDs) on target and non-target wildlife. <i>Journal of Vertebrate Biology</i> , 2020, 69, .	0.4	18
13	Ranchers' Perspectives on Participating in Non-lethal Wolf-Livestock Coexistence Strategies. <i>Frontiers in Conservation Science</i> , 2021, 2, .	0.9	5
14	AUGMENTATION OF NATURAL PREY REDUCES CATTLE PREDATION BY PUMA (PUMA CONCOLOR) AND JAGUAR (PANTHERA ONCA) ON A RANCH IN SONORA, MEXICO. <i>Southwestern Naturalist</i> , 2021, 65, .	0.1	1
15	The tail wagging the dog: positive attitude towards livestock guarding dogs do not mitigate pastoralistsâ€™ opinions of wolves or grizzly bears. <i>Palgrave Communications</i> , 2019, 5, .	4.7	1
16	The case for case studies: A new approach to evaluating the effectiveness of livestock protection tools. <i>California Fish and Wildlife Journal</i> , 2021, 107, 173-183.	0.2	0
17	When fishing bites: Understanding angler responses to shark depredation. <i>Fisheries Research</i> , 2022, 246, 106174.	0.9	13
18	Genetic sampling and an activity index indicate contrasting outcomes of lethal control for an invasive predator. <i>Austral Ecology</i> , 2022, 47, 1062-1076.	0.7	4

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Low-stress livestock handling protects cattle in a five-predator habitat. PeerJ, 0, 11, e14788.	0.9	1
23	Mesocarnivores of Western Rangelands. , 2023, , 549-590.		0
24	Avian Predators in Rangelands. , 2023, , 471-504.		0