

The Impact of Excluding Shock Patients on Hospital and Rates for Percutaneous Coronary Interventions

JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions

10, 224-231

DOI: [10.1016/j.jcin.2016.10.040](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcin.2016.10.040)

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Rationalizing Our Report Cards. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2017, 10, 232-234.	2.9	2
2	Patient and Physician Perspectives on Public Reporting of Mortality Ratings for Percutaneous Coronary Intervention in New York State. Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes, 2017, 10, .	2.2	23
3	Risk Aversion and Public Reporting. Part 2: Mitigation Strategies. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2017, 104, 2102-2110.	1.3	28
4	Public Reporting of Percutaneous Coronary Intervention Outcomes. JAMA Cardiology, 2018, 3, 635.	6.1	24
5	What Death After Percutaneous Coronary Intervention Cannot Teach. Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes, 2019, 12, e005692.	2.2	2
6	Association of acute myocardial infarction cardiac arrest patient volume and in-hospital mortality in the United States: Insights from the National Cardiovascular Data Registry Acute Coronary Treatment And Intervention Outcomes Network Registry. Clinical Cardiology, 2019, 42, 352-357.	1.8	16