Psychosocial and Sexual Well-Being Following Nipple-S Reconstruction

Breast Journal 22, 10-17

DOI: 10.1111/tbj.12542

Citation Report

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Trends and controversies in multidisciplinary care of the patient with breast cancer. Current Problems in Surgery, 2016, 53, 559-595.	0.6	7
2	Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy in Patients with Germline BRCA Mutations: Is it Safe?. Current Breast Cancer Reports, 2016, 8, 90-94.	0.5	O
3	Overall Survival, Disease-Free Survival, Local Recurrence, and Nipple–Areolar Recurrence in the Setting of Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy: AÂMeta-Analysis and Systematic Review. Breast Diseases, 2016, 27, 201-203.	0.0	0
4	Psychosocial and Sexual Well-Being Following Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy and Reconstruction. Breast Diseases, 2016, 27, 210-211.	0.0	O
5	Initial experience of the BREAST-Q breast-conserving therapy module. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2016, 160, 79-89.	1.1	64
6	Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy: Indications, Contraindications, Risks, Benefits, and Techniques. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 23, 3138-3144.	0.7	28
7	Breast Cancer Survivorship: Why, What and When?. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 23, 3162-3167.	0.7	10
8	Oncoplastic Breast Surgery: What, When and for Whom?. Current Breast Cancer Reports, 2016, 8, 112-117.	0.5	80
9	Validation of the electronic version of the BREAST-Q in the army of women study. Breast, 2017, 33, 44-49.	0.9	48
10	Accuracy and interobserver agreement of retroareolar frozen sections in nipple-sparing mastectomies. Annals of Diagnostic Pathology, 2017, 29, 46-51.	0.6	6
11	Patient-Reported Outcomes of Aesthetics and Satisfaction in Immediate Breast Reconstruction After Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy With Implants and Fat Grafting. Aesthetic Surgery Journal, 2017, 37, 999-1008.	0.9	51
12	Direct-to-Implant versus Two-Stage Tissue Expander/Implant Reconstruction: 2-Year Risks and Patient-Reported Outcomes from a Prospective, Multicenter Study. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2017, 140, 869-877.	0.7	81
13	Oncologic Safety of Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy in Women with Breast Cancer. Journal of the American College of Surgeons, 2017, 225, 361-365.	0.2	108
14	Nipple sparing mastectomy for breast cancer is associated with high patient satisfaction and safe oncological outcomes. Journal of Gynecology Obstetrics and Human Reproduction, 2017, 46, 637-642.	0.6	25
15	Trends in breast reconstruction practices in a specialized breast tertiary referral centre. BJS Open, 2017, 1, 148-157.	0.7	16
16	Nipple-sparing and skin-sparing mastectomy: Review of aims, oncological safety and contraindications. Breast, 2017, 34, S82-S84.	0.9	181
17	Nipple–areola complex reconstruction improves psychosocial and sexual well-being in women treated for breast cancer. Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery, 2017, 70, 209-214.	0.5	38
18	Current trends and outcomes of breast reconstruction following nipple-sparing mastectomy: results from a national multicentric registry with 1006 cases over a 6-year period. Breast Cancer, 2017, 24, 451-457.	1.3	20

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Nipple Preservation in Breast Cancer Associated with Nipple Discharge. World Journal of Surgery, 2017, 41, 176-183.	0.8	8
20	Oncology Section EDGE Task Force on Cancer: A Systematic Review of Patient-Reported Measures for Sexual Dysfunction. Rehabilitation Oncology, 2017, 35, 137-143.	0.2	8
21	Nipple-sparing bilateral prophylactic mastectomy and immediate reconstruction with TiLoop® Bra mesh in BRCA1/2 mutation carriers: A prospective study of long-term and patient reported outcomes using the BREAST-Q. Breast, 2018, 39, 8-13.	0.9	49
22	Preoperative breast MRI: reproducibility and significance of findings relevant to nipple–areolar complex involvement. Breast Cancer, 2018, 25, 456-463.	1.3	16
23	Salvage of Implant-Based Breast Reconstruction in Nipple-Sparing Mastectomies With Autologous Flaps. Aesthetic Surgery Journal, 2018, 38, 734-741.	0.9	15
24	Patient-Centered Breast Reconstruction Based on Health-Related Quality-of-Life Evidence. Clinics in Plastic Surgery, 2018, 45, 137-143.	0.7	23
25	Oncological safety of nipple-sparing prophylactic mastectomy: A review of the literature on 3716 cases. Annales De Chirurgie Plastique Et Esthetique, 2018, 63, e6-e13.	0.2	30
26	Oncological Safety and Technical Feasibility of Nippleâ€5paring Mastectomy for Breast Cancer: The Hong Kong Experience. World Journal of Surgery, 2018, 42, 1375-1383.	0.8	11
27	Oncologic Safety of Prophylactic Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy in a Population With <i>BRCA</i> Mutations. JAMA Surgery, 2018, 153, 123.	2.2	140
28	Immediate Reconstruction: General and Oncological Considerations. , 2018, , 315-323.		0
29	Nipple sparing mastectomy and the evolving direct to implant breast reconstruction. Gland Surgery, 2018, 7, 267-272.	0.5	12
30	Creating Value in Plastic Surgery. Seminars in Plastic Surgery, 2018, 32, 187-190.	0.8	3
31	Oncological Outcomes of Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy: A Single-Center Experience of 1989 Patients. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 25, 3849-3857.	0.7	68
32	Oncoplastic Breast Consortium consensus conference on nipple-sparing mastectomy. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2018, 172, 523-537.	1.1	84
33	A Comparison of Patient-Reported Outcomes After Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy and Conventional Mastectomy with Reconstruction. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 25, 2909-2916.	0.7	70
34	Breast Reconstruction in the Setting of Surgical Prevention for Breast Cancer. Current Breast Cancer Reports, 2018, 10, 219-225.	0.5	0
35	Quality of life and sexual wellâ€being after nipple sparing mastectomy: A matched comparison of patients using the breast Q. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 118, 238-242.	0.8	25
36	National Patterns of Breast Reconstruction and Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy for Breast Cancer, 2005–2015. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 26, 3194-3203.	0.7	50

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Update in Direct-to-Implant Breast Reconstruction. Seminars in Plastic Surgery, 2019, 33, 264-269.	0.8	8
38	Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy and Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy: Timing and Postoperative Complications. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 26, 2768-2772.	0.7	21
39	Early breast cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Annals of Oncology, 2019, 30, 1194-1220.	0.6	1,241
40	International trends in the uptake of cancer risk reduction strategies in women with a BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation. British Journal of Cancer, 2019, 121, 15-21.	2.9	101
41	Evolving indications and longâ€term oncological outcomes of riskâ€reducing bilateral nippleâ€sparing mastectomy. BJS Open, 2019, 3, 169-173.	0.7	18
42	A retrospective comparative analysis of risk factors and outcomes in direct-to-implant and two-stages prepectoral breast reconstruction: BMI and radiotherapy as new selection criteria of patients. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 45, 1357-1363.	0.5	25
43	Discussion. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2019, 143, 1586-1587.	0.7	1
44	Comparing Nipple-sparing Mastectomy to Secondary Nipple Reconstruction. Annals of Surgery, 2019, Publish Ahead of Print, 390-395.	2.1	7
45	The Role of Sharp Dissection in Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy: A Safe Procedure with No Necrosis of the Nipple-Areolar Complex. Cancer Management and Research, 2019, Volume 11, 10223-10228.	0.9	1
46	Development and Validation of a Nipple-Specific Scale for the BREAST-Q to Assess Patient-Reported Outcomes following Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2019, 143, 1010-1017.	0.7	23
47	The Latissimus Dorsi Myocutaneous Flap Is a Safe and Effective Method of Partial Breast Reconstruction in the Setting of Breast-Conserving Therapy. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2019, 143, 927e-935e.	0.7	16
48	Frontiers in Oncologic Reconstruction. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open, 2019, 7, e2181.	0.3	5
49	Surgical and oncological safety of nipple-sparing mastectomy in an Asian population. Breast Cancer, 2019, 26, 165-171.	1.3	6
50	Systematic review of therapeutic nippleâ€sparing <i>versus</i> skinâ€sparing mastectomy. BJS Open, 2019, 3, 135-145.	0.7	66
51	Subcutaneous expanders and synthetic mesh for breast reconstruction: Long-term and patient-reported BREAST-Q outcomes of a single-center prospective study. Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery, 2019, 72, 805-812.	0.5	31
52	Simultaneous Nipple–Areola Complex Reconstruction Technique: Combination Nipple Sharing and Tattooing. Aesthetic Plastic Surgery, 2019, 43, 76-82.	0.5	6
53	Use of Mammographic Measurements to Predict Complications After Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy in BRCA Mutation Carriers. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 27, 367-372.	0.7	5
54	Breast reconstruction patterns and outcomes in academic and community practices within a single institution. Breast Journal, 2020, 26, 924-930.	0.4	1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Nipple-sparing skin-reducing mastectomy with reconstruction for large ptotic breasts. Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery, 2020, 73, 690-695.	0.5	12
56	PROMs in post-mastectomy care: Patient self-reports (BREAST-Qâ,,¢) as a powerful instrument to personalize medical services. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 46, 1034-1040.	0.5	15
57	ADM-assisted prepectoral breast reconstruction and skin reduction mastectomy: Expanding the indications for subcutaneous reconstruction. Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery, 2020, 73, 673-680.	0.5	17
58	The Price of Aesthetics After Nipple-Sparring Mastectomy. Annals of Plastic Surgery, 2020, 84, 300-306.	0.5	5
59	Prepectoral Breast Reconstruction in Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy With Immediate Mastopexy. Annals of Plastic Surgery, 2020, 85, 18-23.	0.5	9
60	Modern surgical treatment of breast cancer. Annals of Medicine and Surgery, 2020, 56, 95-107.	0.5	41
61	Do Nipple Necrosis Rates Differ in Prepectoral Versus Submuscular Implant-Based Reconstruction After Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy?. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 27, 4760-4766.	0.7	14
62	Nipple-sparing mastectomy for early breast cancer: the importance of intraoperative evaluation of retroareolar margins and intra-nipple duct removal. Gland Surgery, 2020, 9, 637-646.	0.5	3
63	Quality of Life Indicators in Patients Operated on for Breast Cancer in Relation to the Type of Surgery—A Retrospective Cohort Study of Women in Serbia. Medicina (Lithuania), 2020, 56, 402.	0.8	8
64	Nippleâ€sparing mastectomy: A review of outcomes at a single institution. Breast Journal, 2020, 26, 2183-2187.	0.4	4
65	Surgical and Oncologic Outcomes of Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy for a Cohort of Breast Cancer Patients, Including Cases with High-Risk Features. Clinical Breast Cancer, 2020, 20, 353-358.	1.1	5
66	Predictors of women's sexual outcomes after <scp>implantâ€based</scp> breast reconstruction. Psycho-Oncology, 2020, 29, 1272-1279.	1.0	8
67	Robotic Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy and Immediate Breast Reconstruction With Robotic Latissimus Dorsi Flap Harvest: Technique and Results. Surgical Innovation, 2020, 27, 481-491.	0.4	11
68	Use of bilateral prophylactic nipple-sparing mastectomy in patients with high risk of breast cancer. British Journal of Surgery, 2020, 107, 1307-1312.	0.1	15
69	Breast-Specific Sensuality and Appearance Satisfaction: Comparison of Breast-Conserving Surgery and Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy. Journal of the American College of Surgeons, 2020, 230, 990-998.	0.2	9
70	The Emergence of Robotic-assisted Breast Surgery: Proceed With Caution. Annals of Surgery, 2020, 271, 1013-1015.	2.1	7
71	Impact of socioeconomic status on psychological functioning in survivorship following breast cancer and reconstruction. Breast Journal, 2020, 26, 1695-1701.	0.4	6
72	Oncological Outcomes of Total Skin-Sparing Mastectomy for Invasive Lobular Carcinoma of the Breast: A 20-Year Institutional Experience. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 2555-2560.	0.7	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	Citations
73	MIP image derived from abbreviated breast MRI: potential to reduce unnecessary sub-nipple biopsies during nipple-sparing mastectomy for breast cancer. European Radiology, 2021, 31, 3683-3692.	2.3	10
74	Residual breast tissue after robot-assisted nipple sparing mastectomy. Breast, 2021, 55, 25-29.	0.9	10
75	The Landmark Series: Mastectomy Trials (Skin-Sparing and Nipple-Sparing and Reconstruction Landmark) Tj ETQ	q0 0 0 rgB	T /Qverlock 10
76	Minimally invasive robotic breast reconstruction surgery. Gland Surgery, 2021, 10, 469-478.	0.5	14
77	Mastectomy with immediate breast reconstruction: Results of a mono-centric 4-years cohort. Annals of Medicine and Surgery, 2021, 61, 172-179.	0.5	7
78	Skin-Sparing and Nipple-Sparing Mastectomies. , 2021, , 349-358.		0
79	Oncologic Safety of Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy for Patients with Breast Cancer. Current Breast Cancer Reports, 2021, 13, 35-41.	0.5	0
80	Breast Reconstruction and Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy. Annals of Plastic Surgery, 2021, 86, S521-S525.	0.5	6
81	Prepectoral breast reconstruction: an ideal approach to bilateral risk-reducing mastectomy. Gland Surgery, 2021, 10, 2997-3006.	0.5	11
82	Staged breast reconstruction before nipple-sparing mastectomy with reconstruction. Annals of Breast Surgery, 0, .	0.8	O
83	Robotic Versus Conventional Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy With Immediate Breast Reconstruction. Frontiers in Oncology, 2021, 11, 637049.	1.3	17
84	Quality of Life After Bilateral Risk-Reducing Mastectomy and Simultaneous Reconstruction Using Pre-Pectoral Silicone Implants. Patient Preference and Adherence, 2021, Volume 15, 741-750.	0.8	11
85	Oncologic Safety of Immediate Breast Reconstruction. Annals of Plastic Surgery, 2021, Publish Ahead of Print, 623-627.	0.5	2
86	Oncological safety of therapeutic â€~nipple-sparing mastectomy' followed by reconstruction: a systematic review. Acta Chirurgica Belgica, 2021, 121, 155-163.	0.2	8
87	Management of patients with BRCA mutation from the point of view of a breast surgeon. Annals of Medicine and Surgery, 2021, 65, 102311.	0.5	3
88	Patient Satisfaction Increases with Nipple Reconstruction following Autologous Breast Reconstruction. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2021, 148, 177e-184e.	0.7	9
89	A Randomized Trial of Robotic Mastectomy Versus Open Surgery in Women With Breast Cancer or BrCA Mutation. Annals of Surgery, 2022, 276, 11-19.	2.1	36
90	Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy in Breast Cancer: Impact on Surgical Resection, Oncologic Safety, and Psychological Well-Being. Journal of the Advanced Practitioner in Oncology, 2021, 12, 499-506.	0.2	3

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	Bilateral Prophylactic Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy: Analysis of the Risk-Reducing Effect in BRCA1/2 Mutation Carriers. Aesthetic Plastic Surgery, 2022, 46, 706-711.	0.5	7
92	Invited Discussion on: a Medical Tattooing Technique for Enhancing the Three-Dimensional Appearance of the Nipple-Areola Complex After Flap-Based Nipple Reconstruction by Yoshihiro Sowa, Ph.D., M.D. Takuya Kodama, M.D. Tomoko Hori, M.D. Toshiaki Numajiri, M.D., Ph Aesthetic Plastic Surgery, 2021, 45, 2637-2638.	0.5	0
93	Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy versus Skin-Sparing Mastectomy: Does Saving the Nipple Impact Short- and Long-Term Patient Satisfaction?. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 29, 1033-1040.	0.7	5
94	Titanium-coated polypropylene mesh as innovative bioactive material in conservatives mastectomies and pre-pectoral breast reconstruction. Bioactive Materials, 2021, 6, 4640-4653.	8.6	10
95	Prophylactic nipple-sparing mastectomy with immediate breast reconstruction: results of a French prospective trial. British Journal of Surgery, 2021, 108, 296-301.	0.1	12
96	Fluorescence Imaging in Breast Reconstruction: Minimizing Complications and Improving Outcomes., 2017,, 195-208.		2
97	Nipple Reconstruction: Risk Factors and Complications. , 2018, , 619-627.		2
98	Oncologic Principles for Breast Reconstruction: Indications and Limits. , 2019, , 223-231.		1
99	Achieving an Optimal Outcome in Immediate Breast Reconstruction. Annals of Plastic Surgery, 2021, 86, S148-S153.	0.5	3
100	Surgical Management of Breast Cancer in BRCA Mutation Carriers. , 2017, , 119-134.		0
101	Mammary adenectomy followed by immediate reconstruction for treatment of patients with early-infiltrating breast carcinoma: a cohort study. Sao Paulo Medical Journal, 2019, 137, 336-342.	0.4	2
103	Types of Mastectomies and Immediate Reconstructions for Ipsilateral Breast Local Recurrences. Frontiers in Oncology, 2020, 10, 567298.	1.3	4
104	Technology-Assisted Mastectomy: Robotic- and Endoscopic-Assisted Mastectomy. , 2020, , 385-412.		0
105	Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy: Initial Experience Evaluating Patients Satisfaction and Oncological Safety in a Tertiary Care Centre in Jordan. Cureus, 2021, 13, e19238.	0.2	2
106	A Comparative Analysis of Outcomes of Free Nipple Areolar Grafting in Autologous Breast Reconstruction. Journal of Reconstructive Microsurgery, 2021, 37, 458-464.	1.0	2
107	Oncology Section EDGE Task Force on Cancer: A systematic review of patient-reported measures for sexual dysfunction. Rehabilitation Oncology, 2017, 35, 137-143.	0.2	3
108	Immediate-implant-based-breast-reconstruction with two-stage expander-implant reconstruction versus one-stage-reconstruction with acellular dermal matrix: analysis of patients' satisfaction. Acta Biomedica, 2021, 92, e2021228.	0.2	1
109	Prepectoral versus subpectoral implant-based breast reconstruction after skin-sparing mastectomy or nipple-sparing mastectomy (OPBC-02/ PREPEC): a pragmatic, multicentre, randomised, superiority trial. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e045239.	0.8	1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
110	Prospective pilot study protocol evaluating the safety and feasibility of robot-assisted nipple-sparing mastectomy (RNSM). BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e050173.	0.8	4
111	Post-Oncologic Breast Reconstruction: Autologous and Alloplastic Approaches. , 2022, , 239-251.		0
112	Immediate Breast Reconstruction Using the Goldilocks Procedure: A Balance between More Surgery and Patient Satisfaction. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2022, 149, 801-809.	0.7	4
113	Long-term survey of sexual well-being after breast reconstruction using the BREAST-Q in the Japanese population. Asian Journal of Surgery, 2023, 46, 150-155.	0.2	4
114	Robot-Assisted Minimally Invasive Breast Surgery: Recent Evidence with Comparative Clinical Outcomes. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2022, 11, 1827.	1.0	24
115	Nipple-sparing Mastectomy: What the Radiologist Should Know. Radiographics, 2022, 42, 321-339.	1.4	5
116	Sexual Well-Being After Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy: Does Preservation of the Nipple Matter?. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 29, 4167-4179.	0.7	1
117	Prepectoral versus subpectoral implant-based breast reconstruction after skin-sparing mastectomy or nipple-sparing mastectomy (OPBC-02/ PREPEC): a pragmatic, multicentre, randomised, superiority trial. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e045239.	0.8	12
118	Effect of the Nipple-Excising Breast-Conserving Therapy in Female Breast Cancer: A Competing Risk Analysis and Propensity Score Matching Analysis of Results Based on the SEER Database. Frontiers in Oncology, 2022, 12, 848187.	1.3	1
123	Variation in adoption of skin and nipple sparing mastectomy: An opportunity to enhance patient outcomes. American Journal of Surgery, 2022, , .	0.9	0
124	A nomogram based on clinical factors for preoperative prediction of nipple involvement in breast cancer. Frontiers in Surgery, 0, 9, .	0.6	1
125	A descriptive comparison of satisfaction and well-being between expander-based and direct-to-implant breast reconstruction after Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy. Aesthetic Plastic Surgery, 2023, 47, 30-39.	0.5	5
126	Mastectomy and Immediate Breast Reconstruction with Pre-Pectoral or Sub- Pectoral Implant: Assessing Clinical Practice, Post-Surgical Outcomes, Patient's Satisfaction and Cost. Journal of Surgery and Research, 2022, 05, .	0.1	3
127	Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy and Immediate Reconstruction: A Propensity Score–Matched Analysis of Satisfaction and Quality of Life. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2022, 150, 1214e-1223e.	0.7	3
128	The impact of mastectomy and reconstruction technique on patient perceived quality of Life. American Journal of Surgery, 2022, 224, 1450-1454.	0.9	2
129	Postoperative Complications from Breast and Axillary Surgery. Surgical Clinics of North America, 2023, 103, 121-139.	0.5	3
130	"Hook Shape―Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy and Prepectoral Implant Reconstruction: Technique, Results and Outcomes from a Preliminary Case Series. Aesthetic Plastic Surgery, 2023, 47, 546-556.	0.5	3
131	A systematic review of oncological outcomes after nippleâ€sparing mastectomy for breast cancer. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2023, 127, 361-368.	0.8	5

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
132	Sub-Muscular Direct-to-Implant Immediate Breast Reconstruction in Previously Irradiated Patients Avoiding the Use of ADM: A Preliminary Study. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2022, 11, 5856.	1.0	1
133	Sexual Health after a Breast Cancer Diagnosis: Addressing a Forgotten Aspect of Survivorship. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2022, 11, 6723.	1.0	7
134	Preserving Nipple Sensitivity after Breast Cancer Surgery: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Breast Journal, 2022, 2022, 1-12.	0.4	0
135	A comparison of reconstructive outcomes following rotation flap approach (<scp>RoFA</scp>) versus standard mastectomy: a randomized controlled trial. ANZ Journal of Surgery, 0, , .	0.3	0
136	Does breast oncoplastic surgery improve quality of life?. Frontiers in Oncology, 0, 12, .	1.3	1
137	Oncologic Safety of Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy for Breast Cancer in BRCA Gene Mutation Carriers: Outcomes at 70 Months Median Follow-Up. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2023, 30, 3215-3222.	0.7	3
138	Outcomes of Nipple-sparing Mastectomy with Reconstruction after Recent Oncoplastic Wise-pattern Reduction. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open, 2023, 11, e4731.	0.3	2
100	Paget's disease of the breast: diagnosis and management. British Journal of Hospital Medicine (London,) Tj ETQq1	1 0 70421	4 rgRT /Ove
139	Taget's disease of the oreast. diagnosis and management. Ortism Journal of Hospital Medicine (London,) if LTQq1	0.2	19 1801 7000
139	Quality of Life and Complications after Nipple- versus Skin-Sparing Mastectomy followed by Immediate Breast Reconstruction: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2023, 152, 12e-24e.	0.7	4
	Quality of Life and Complications after Nipple- versus Skin-Sparing Mastectomy followed by Immediate Breast Reconstruction: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery,	0.2	2
140	Quality of Life and Complications after Nipple- versus Skin-Sparing Mastectomy followed by Immediate Breast Reconstruction: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2023, 152, 12e-24e. Uptake and Effectiveness of Risk-Reducing Surgeries in Unaffected Female BRCA1 and BRCA2 Carriers: A	0.7	4
140	Quality of Life and Complications after Nipple- versus Skin-Sparing Mastectomy followed by Immediate Breast Reconstruction: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2023, 152, 12e-24e. Uptake and Effectiveness of Risk-Reducing Surgeries in Unaffected Female BRCA1 and BRCA2 Carriers: A Single Institution Experience in the Czech Republic. Cancers, 2023, 15, 1072.	0.7	4
140 141 142	Quality of Life and Complications after Nipple- versus Skin-Sparing Mastectomy followed by Immediate Breast Reconstruction: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2023, 152, 12e-24e. Uptake and Effectiveness of Risk-Reducing Surgeries in Unaffected Female BRCA1 and BRCA2 Carriers: A Single Institution Experience in the Czech Republic. Cancers, 2023, 15, 1072. Physical Function and Health-Related Quality of Life After Breast Cancer Surgery., 2022,, 25-61. Risk-Reducing Breast and Gynecological Surgery for BRCA Mutation Carriers: A Narrative Review.	0.7	4 0 0
140 141 142 143	Quality of Life and Complications after Nipple- versus Skin-Sparing Mastectomy followed by Immediate Breast Reconstruction: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2023, 152, 12e-24e. Uptake and Effectiveness of Risk-Reducing Surgeries in Unaffected Female BRCA1 and BRCA2 Carriers: A Single Institution Experience in the Czech Republic. Cancers, 2023, 15, 1072. Physical Function and Health-Related Quality of Life After Breast Cancer Surgery., 2022,, 25-61. Risk-Reducing Breast and Gynecological Surgery for BRCA Mutation Carriers: A Narrative Review. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2023, 12, 1422. A Pilot Study Comparing Sensation in Buried Versus Nonburied Deep Inferior Epigastric Perforator	0.7	4 0 0