

Response to Comment on “Estimating the reproducibility of

Science

351, 1037-1037

DOI: [10.1126/science.aad9163](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad9163)

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	The Solution to Science's Replication Crisis. SSRN Electronic Journal, 2016, , .	0.4	0
2	Mapping the Parameter Space of tDCS and Cognitive Control via Manipulation of Current Polarity and Intensity. <i>Frontiers in Human Neuroscience</i> , 2016, 10, 665.	1.0	16
3	Replication in computing education research. , 2016, , .		32
4	Contextual sensitivity in scientific reproducibility. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</i> , 2016, 113, 6454-6459.	3.3	283
5	Measuring the effects of publication bias in political science. <i>Research and Politics</i> , 2016, 3, 205316801666585.	0.7	9
6	Here/In This Issue and There/Abstract Thinking: Reproducibility of Science. <i>Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry</i> , 2016, 55, 435-436.	0.3	1
7	Replication initiatives will not salvage the trustworthiness of psychology. <i>BMC Psychology</i> , 2016, 4, 28.	0.9	31
8	A research symbiont. <i>Science</i> , 2016, 351, 1405-1406.	6.0	10
9	Revisiting the revisit: added evidence for a social chemosignal in human emotional tears. <i>Cognition and Emotion</i> , 2017, 31, 151-157.	1.2	8
10	Improving the rigor of psychophysiology research. <i>International Journal of Psychophysiology</i> , 2017, 111, 5-16.	0.5	32
11	Progress in Modeling Through Distributed Collaboration. <i>Psychology of Learning and Motivation - Advances in Research and Theory</i> , 2017, 66, 79-115.	0.5	5
12	Effects of tDCS on motor learning and memory formation: A consensus and critical position paper. <i>Clinical Neurophysiology</i> , 2017, 128, 589-603.	0.7	275
13	A Collaborative Approach to Infant Research: Promoting Reproducibility, Best Practices, and Theoryâ€Building. <i>Infancy</i> , 2017, 22, 421-435.	0.9	193
14	Null hypothesis significance testing and Type I error: The domain problem. <i>New Ideas in Psychology</i> , 2017, 45, 19-27.	1.2	38
15	Predicting risk and outcomes for frail older adults: an umbrella review of frailty screening tools. <i>JBIM Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports</i> , 2017, 15, 1154-1208.	1.7	163
16	Enhancing reproducibility: Failures from Reproducibility Initiatives underline core challenges. <i>Biochemical Pharmacology</i> , 2017, 138, 7-18.	2.0	22
17	What Is Meant by â€œReplicationâ€ and Why Does It Encounter Resistance in Economics?. <i>American Economic Review</i> , 2017, 107, 46-51.	4.0	71
18	Replication in Social Science. <i>Annual Review of Sociology</i> , 2017, 43, 147-165.	3.1	170

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	View From Here. Journal of Wound, Ostomy and Continence Nursing, 2017, 44, 18-19.	0.6	1
20	Empathy for others's suffering and its mediators in mental health professionals. Scientific Reports, 2017, 7, 6391.	1.6	26
21	Unto the third generation: evidence for strong familial aggregation of physicians, psychologists, and psychotherapists among first-year medical and psychology students in a nationwide Austrian cohort census. BMC Medical Education, 2017, 17, 81.	1.0	0
22	From Gutenberg to Open Science: An Unfulfilled Odyssey. Drug Development Research, 2017, 78, 3-23.	1.4	16
23	Trombones Elicit Bitter More Strongly Than Do Clarinets: a Partial Replication of Three Studies of Crisinel and Spence. Multisensory Research, 2017, 30, 321-335.	0.6	13
24	Reproducibility in Psychological Science: When Do Psychological Phenomena Exist?. Frontiers in Psychology, 2017, 8, 879.	1.1	19
25	The Weak Spots in Contemporary Science (and How to Fix Them). Animals, 2017, 7, 90.	1.0	32
26	Sharing data: Some examples. Chinese Journal of Sociology, 2018, 4, 262-276.	0.3	0
27	Improving the Replicability of Psychological Science Through Pedagogy. Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science, 2018, 1, 7-18.	5.4	26
28	One of the most cited persuasion studies but no success in replication: investigating replication using Petty, Cacioppo, and Goldman (1981) as an example. Annals of the International Communication Association, 2018, 42, 1-20.	2.8	12
29	Sex differences in 30 facets of the five factor model of personality in the large public (N=320,128). Personality and Individual Differences, 2018, 129, 126-130.	1.6	63
30	The Emergence of Contextual Social Psychology. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 2018, 44, 963-971.	1.9	65
31	Praxis of Talking to Our Selves: Reflection, Ignorance, and Agency. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 2018, 41, e36.	0.4	12
32	On the significance of statistically insignificant results in consumer behavior experiments. Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 2018, 46, 81-91.	7.2	5
33	Replication in Criminology and the Social Sciences. Annual Review of Criminology, 2018, 1, 19-38.	2.1	68
34	Psychology's Renaissance. Annual Review of Psychology, 2018, 69, 511-534.	9.9	326
35	A Systems-Based Approach to Fostering Robust Science in Industrial-Organizational Psychology. Industrial and Organizational Psychology, 2018, 11, 4-42.	0.5	36
36	Failure to Produce False Memories Through the Stimulus Equivalence Paradigm. Paideia, 2018, 28, .	0.1	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Limited Usefulness of Capture Procedure and Capture Percentage for Evaluating Reproducibility in Psychological Science. <i>Frontiers in Psychology</i> , 2018, 9, 1657.	1.1	1
38	Transparency, Reproducibility, and the Credibility of Economics Research. <i>Journal of Economic Literature</i> , 2018, 56, 920-980.	4.5	246
39	Does health informatics have a replication crisis?. <i>Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association: JAMIA</i> , 2018, 25, 963-968.	2.2	80
40	Addressing Reproducibility: Peer Review, Impact Factors, Checklists, Guidelines, and Reproducibility Initiatives. , 2018, , 197-306.		4
41	A systematic review of Drosophila short-term-memory genetics: Meta-analysis reveals robust reproducibility. <i>Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews</i> , 2018, 95, 361-382.	2.9	11
42	Evaluating the replicability of social science experiments in Nature and Science between 2010 and 2015. <i>Nature Human Behaviour</i> , 2018, 2, 637-644.	6.2	845
43	Quality science from quality measurement: The role of measurement type with respect to replication and effect size magnitude in psychological research. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2018, 13, e0192808.	1.1	14
44	A Statistical Model to Investigate the Reproducibility Rate Based on Replication Experiments. <i>International Statistical Review</i> , 2019, 87, 68-79.	1.1	3
45	Identification of and Correction for Publication Bias. <i>American Economic Review</i> , 2019, 109, 2766-2794.	4.0	201
46	Replicator Degrees of Freedom Allow Publication of Misleading 'Failures to Replicate'. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 2019, , .	0.4	2
47	Trails of Data: Three Cases for Collecting Web Information for Social Science Research. <i>Social Science Computer Review</i> , 2021, 39, 922-942.	2.6	6
48	The Use and Usefulness of p-values in Political Science: Introduction. <i>Swiss Political Science Review</i> , 2019, 25, 276-280.	1.2	1
49	Three Changes Public Health Scientists Can Make to Help Build a Culture of Reproducible Research. <i>Public Health Reports</i> , 2019, 134, 109-111.	1.3	2
50	An Overview of Scientific Reproducibility: Consideration of Relevant Issues for Behavior Science/Analysis. <i>Perspectives on Behavior Science</i> , 2019, 42, 33-57.	1.1	51
51	The Meta-Science of Adult Statistical Word Segmentation: Part 1. <i>Collabra: Psychology</i> , 2019, 5, .	0.9	12
52	Research practices and statistical reporting quality in 250 economic psychology master's theses: a meta-research investigation. <i>Royal Society Open Science</i> , 2019, 6, 190738.	1.1	5
53	What Is Rationality?. , 2019, , 25-38.		0
54	Rationality for Puppets. , 2019, , 39-90.		0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Preference Biases. , 2019, , 91-118.		0
56	The Rationality of Beliefs. , 2019, , 119-189.		0
57	Deficient Foundations for Behavioral Policymaking. , 2019, , 190-234.		0
58	Knowledge Problems in Paternalist Policymaking. , 2019, , 235-308.		0
59	The Political Economy of Paternalist Policymaking. , 2019, , 309-348.		0
60	Slippery Slopes in Paternalist Policymaking. , 2019, , 349-397.		0
61	Common Threads, Escape Routes, and Paths Forward. , 2019, , 398-440.		0
64	Replicator degrees of freedom allow publication of misleading failures to replicate. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2019, 116, 25535-25545.	3.3	39
67	A Bayes Factor for Replications of ANOVA Results. American Statistician, 2019, 73, 327-339.	0.9	7
68	Criminalization of scientific misconduct. Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy, 2019, 22, 245-252.	0.9	39
69	A Systems Approach to Understanding and Improving Research Integrity. Science and Engineering Ethics, 2019, 25, 211-229.	1.7	7
70	Examining the Reproducibility of 6 Published Studies in Public Health Services and Systems Research. Journal of Public Health Management and Practice, 2019, 25, 128-136.	0.7	5
71	Double trouble? The communication dimension of the reproducibility crisis in experimental psychology and neuroscience. European Journal for Philosophy of Science, 2020, 10, 1.	0.6	8
72	Many Labs 5: Testing Pre-Data-Collection Peer Review as an Intervention to Increase Replicability. Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science, 2020, 3, 309-331.	5.4	42
73	Replication and the Establishment of Scientific Truth. Frontiers in Psychology, 2020, 11, 2183.	1.1	9
74	Changing the logic of replication: A case from infant studies. , 2020, 61, 101483.		5
76	Transparency and Reproducibility: Potential Solutions. , 2020, , 165-196.		0
77	Length Limits. , 2020, , 98-126.		2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
78	Replication for Quantitative Research. , 2020, , 267-283.		0
79	Avoid Oversimplifications in Machine Learning: Going beyond the Class-Prediction Accuracy. Patterns, 2020, 1, 100025.	3.1	18
80	Making Research Data Accessible. , 2020, , 197-220.		4
81	Measurement Replication in Qualitative and Quantitative Studies. , 2020, , 284-300.		1
82	Coordinating Reappraisals. , 2020, , 334-353.		1
83	Impact Metrics. , 2020, , 371-400.		0
84	Whatâ€™s Wrong with Replicating the Old Boysâ€™ Networks?. , 2020, , 403-431.		0
85	Ideological Diversity. , 2020, , 432-456.		1
87	Research Cycles. , 2020, , 42-70.		0
88	Transparency and Reproducibility: Conceptualizing the Problem. , 2020, , 129-164.		1
90	Pre-registration and Results-Free Review in Observational and Qualitative Research. , 2020, , 221-264.		10
91	Exploratory Research. , 2020, , 17-41.		70
92	Comprehensive Appraisal. , 2020, , 354-370.		0
93	Science is not a signal detection problem. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2020, 117, 5559-5567.	3.3	38
94	Advancing Transparency and Openness in Child Development Research: Opportunities. Child Development Perspectives, 2020, 14, 3-8.	2.1	17
95	Reliability of Inference: Analogs of Replication in Qualitative Research. , 2020, , 301-333.		1
96	Proposals. , 2020, , 459-486.		1
97	Publication Biases in Replication Studies. Political Analysis, 2021, 29, 370-384.	2.8	3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
98	What type of Type I error? Contrasting the Neyman-Pearson and Fisherian approaches in the context of exact and direct replications. <i>Synthese</i> , 2021, 198, 5809-5834.	0.6	13
99	Building theories of consistency and variability in children's language development: A large-scale data approach. <i>Advances in Child Development and Behavior</i> , 2021, 61, 199-221.	0.7	0
100	How (not) to measure replication. <i>European Journal for Philosophy of Science</i> , 2021, 11, 1.	0.6	7
103	A Bayesian decision-making framework for replication. <i>Behavioral and Brain Sciences</i> , 2018, 41, e132.	0.4	7
104	Nicht replizieren: publizieren!?. <i>Zeitschrift Fur Padagogische Psychologie</i> , 2019, 33, 163-176.	1.2	6
105	Psychology's reproducibility problem is exaggerated - say psychologists. <i>Nature</i> , 0, , .	13.7	17
106	The (non-)replicability of regulatory resource depletion: A field report employing non-invasive brain stimulation. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2017, 12, e0174331.	1.1	6
107	Men, women - who cares? A population-based study on sex differences and gender roles in empathy and moral cognition. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2017, 12, e0179336.	1.1	140
108	Personality traits across countries: Support for similarities rather than differences. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2017, 12, e0179646.	1.1	112
109	No relationship between researcher impact and replication effect: an analysis of five studies with 100 replications. <i>PeerJ</i> , 2020, 8, e8014.	0.9	6
110	Replicability, Robustness, and Reproducibility in Psychological Science. <i>Annual Review of Psychology</i> , 2022, 73, 719-748.	9.9	204
111	A psicologia como ci�ncia emp�rica. <i>Psicologia USP</i> , 2016, 27, 379-394.	0.1	1
112	A Preliminary Study to Evaluate the Reproducibility of Factor Analysis Results: The Case of Educational Research Journals in Turkey. <i>Journal of Measurement and Evaluation in Education and Psychology</i> , 0, , 1-11.	0.0	2
113	Quantity Over Quality? Reproducible Psychological Science from a Mixed Methods Perspective. <i>Collabra: Psychology</i> , 2020, 6, .	0.9	6
114	Publication Policies for Replicable Research and the Community-Wide False Discovery Rate. <i>American Statistician</i> , 2022, 76, 131-141.	0.9	1
115	Statistical methods for replicability assessment. <i>Annals of Applied Statistics</i> , 2020, 14, .	0.5	6
116	Investigating the replicability of preclinical cancer biology. <i>ELife</i> , 2021, 10, .	2.8	121
117	Testing the Reproducibility of the Effects of Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation: Failure to Modulate Beauty Perception by Brain Stimulation. <i>Frontiers in Human Neuroscience</i> , 2022, 16, 767344.	1.0	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
118	How to trust a scientist. <i>Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part A</i> , 2022, 93, 11-20.	0.6	7
121	Devaluation of NoGo stimuli is both robust and fragile. <i>Cognition and Emotion</i> , 2022, 36, 876-893.	1.2	5
122	25 Years of Molecular Psychology: The best is yet to come. , 0, 1, 1.		0
123	Open Science Knowledge Production: Addressing Epistemological Challenges and Ethical Implications. <i>Publications</i> , 2022, 10, 24.	1.9	1
124	Metacognition. , 2022, , 314-340.		2
125	Assessing and Improving Robustness of Psychological Research Findings in Four Steps. , 2022, , 379-400.		3
126	Predictive Models of Life Satisfaction in Older People: A Machine Learning Approach. <i>International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health</i> , 2023, 20, 2445.	1.2	3
127	MRI-based basal forebrain atrophy and volumetric signatures associated with limbic TDP-43 compared to Alzheimer's disease pathology. <i>Neurobiology of Disease</i> , 2023, 180, 106070.	2.1	2
128	Epistemic Functions of Replicability in Experimental Sciences: Defending the Orthodox View. <i>Foundations of Science</i> , 0, , .	0.4	1
132	Data Analysis Frameworks for Investigating Behavioural Differences. , 2023, , 9-48.		0