A theoretical evaluation of Hirsch-type bibliometric indicators confronted with extreme self-citation

#	Paper	IF	Citations
11	Disaggregated research evaluation through median-based characteristic scores and scales: a comparison with the mean-based approach. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2017**, 11, 748-765	3.1	4
10	Doppelgänger research? Considerations on self-citations. *Revista De Psiquiatría Y Salud Mental*, **2018**, 11, 185-186	2.7	0
9	A simple centrality index for scientific social recognition. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications*, **2018**, 491, 632-640	3.3	3
8	Doppelgänger research? Considerations on self-citations. *Revista De Psiquiatría Y Salud Mental (English Edition)*, **2018**, 11, 185-186	0.2	1
7	Self-Citation Analysis on Google Scholar Dataset for H-Index Corrections. *IEEE Access*, **2019**, 7, 126025-126036	3.9	1
6	How much is too much? The difference between research influence and self-citation excess. *Scientometrics*, **2020**, 123, 1119-1147	3	18
5	Web of Science (WoS) and Scopus: The Titans of Bibliographic Information in Today's Academic World. *Publications*, **2021**, 9, 12	1.7	111
4	Citations optimal growth path: A tool to analyze sensitivity to citations of h-like indexes. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2021**, 15, 101215	3.1	1
3	Towards Finding a Research Lineage Leveraging on Identification of Significant Citations. *Proceedings of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, **2021**, 58, 456-460	0.4	0
2	Towards Establishing a Research Lineage via Identification of Significant Citations. *Quantitative Science Studies*, 1-19	3.8	2
1	Understanding the peer review endeavor in scientific publishing. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2022**, 16, 101264	3.1	0