

The Growing Influence of Gender Attitudes on Public Support for 2008–2012

Politics and Gender

12, 28-49

DOI: [10.1017/s1743923x15000562](https://doi.org/10.1017/s1743923x15000562)

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Talk “Like a Man”: The Linguistic Styles of Hillary Clinton, 1992–2013. <i>Perspectives on Politics</i> , 2016, 14, 625-642.	0.2	67
2	Modern Sexism and the 2012 U.S. Presidential Election: Reassessing the Casualties of the “War on Women”. <i>Politics and Gender</i> , 2017, 13, 359-378.	1.1	28
3	Gender-Related Attitudes and Beliefs Predict White Women’s Views of Candidates in the 2016 United States Presidential Election. <i>Analyses of Social Issues and Public Policy</i> , 2018, 18, 34-60.	1.0	5
4	Who Voted for Hillary Clinton? Sexual Identities, Gender, and Family Influences. <i>Journal of GLBT Family Studies</i> , 2018, 14, 21-42.	1.4	27
5	Why Did Women Vote for Donald Trump?. <i>PS - Political Science and Politics</i> , 2018, 51, 523-527.	0.3	49
6	Wanting, and Weighting: White Women and Descriptive Representation in the 2016 Presidential Election. <i>Journal of Race, Ethnicity and Politics</i> , 2018, 3, 29-51.	0.4	14
7	The Clinton Effect? The (Non)Impact of a High-Profile Candidate on Gender Stereotypes. <i>Social Science Quarterly</i> , 2019, 100, 2134-2147.	0.9	3
8	White House, Black Mother: Michelle Obama and the Politics of Motherhood as First Lady. <i>Politics and Gender</i> , 2019, 15, 460-483.	1.1	8
9	A “Presidential Look”? An Analysis of Gender Framing in 2016 Persuasive Memes of Hillary Clinton. <i>Journal of Broadcasting and Electronic Media</i> , 2019, 63, 304-321.	0.8	20
10	Hillary’s hypothesis about attitudes towards women and voting in the 2016 presidential election. <i>Electoral Studies</i> , 2019, 61, 102034.	1.0	3
11	Sex and corruption: how sexism shapes voters’ responses to scandal. <i>Politics, Groups & Identities</i> , 2020, 8, 103-121.	1.2	59
12	Voting Can Be Hard, Information Helps. <i>Urban Affairs Review</i> , 2020, 56, 124-153.	1.4	30
13	“And Why Is That a Partisan Issue?” Source Cues, Persuasion, and School Lunches. <i>Journal of Politics</i> , 2020, 82, 361-366.	1.4	7
14	Let’s Talk About Sexism: The Differential Effects of Gender Discrimination on Liberal and Conservative Women’s Political Engagement. <i>American Politics Research</i> , 2020, 48, 779-791.	0.9	8
15	A “nasty woman”: assessing the gendered mediation of Hillary Clinton’s nonverbal immediacy cues during the 2016 U.S. presidential campaign. <i>Feminist Media Studies</i> , 2021, 21, 427-442.	1.4	0
16	Hostile Sexism, Racial Resentment, and Political Mobilization. <i>Political Behavior</i> , 2022, 44, 1317-1335.	1.7	20
17	Gender Attitudes and Candidate Preferences in the 2016 U.S. Presidential Primary and General Elections. <i>Politics and Gender</i> , 2022, 18, 830-857.	1.1	4
18	MOBILIZING AND DEMOBILIZING. <i>Public Opinion Quarterly</i> , 0, , .	0.9	7

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Gendering racialization. <i>Politics, Groups & Identities</i> , 2023, 11, 306-334.	1.2	3
20	New voters, new attitudes: how Gen Z Americans rate candidates with respect to generation, gender, and race. <i>Politics, Groups & Identities</i> , 0, , 1-21.	1.2	2
21	Sexism as a political force: The impact of gender-based attitudes on the presidential elections of 2012 and 2016. <i>Social Science Quarterly</i> , 2021, 102, 1408-1427.	0.9	2
22	The Contingent Effects of Sexism in Primary Elections. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 0, , 106591292110431.	1.1	0
23	Modern Sexism in Modern Times Public Opinion in the #Metoo Era. <i>Public Opinion Quarterly</i> , 2021, 84, 813-837.	0.9	14
24	Making America Exceptional Again: Donald Trump's Traditionalist Jeremiad, Civil Religion, and the Politics of Resentment. <i>Politics and Religion</i> , 2022, 15, 247-269.	0.5	4
25	Explaining Vote Choice in 2016: How the Attitudinal Characteristics of Iowans Shaped the Vote for Donald Trump. , 2020, , 91-119.		0
26	Traditional Gender Attitudes, Nativism, and Support for the Radical Right. <i>Politics and Gender</i> , 2022, 18, 1141-1167.	1.1	4
27	Who do you like? Who will you vote for? Political ideology and person perception in the 2020 U.S. presidential election. <i>Analyses of Social Issues and Public Policy</i> , 2022, 22, 30-65.	1.0	1
28	The Role of Gender in Government and Nonprofit Workplaces: An Experimental Analysis of Rule Compliance and Supervisor Trust. <i>Public Administration Review</i> , 0, , .	2.9	4
30	Looking the other way: how ideology influences perceptions of sexual harassment. <i>European Journal of Politics and Gender</i> , 2022, 5, 211-231.	0.8	3
31	Whose Party is It?: Lame Ducks, Presidential Candidates, and Evaluations of the Party. <i>American Politics Research</i> , 2022, 50, 539-544.	0.9	2
32	Tell Us How You Feel: Emotional Appeals for Votes in Presidential Primaries. <i>American Politics Research</i> , 2022, 50, 609-622.	0.9	3
33	Hostile, Benevolent, Implicit: How Different Shades of Sexism Impact Gendered Policy Attitudes. <i>Frontiers in Political Science</i> , 0, 4, .	1.0	7
34	Sexism and the Far-Right Vote: The Individual Dynamics of Gender Backlash. <i>American Journal of Political Science</i> , 2024, 68, 478-493.	2.9	10
35	#JoeandtheHoe: Exploring Gender and Racial Stereotypes Used to Discredit Kamala Harris in the 2020 Presidential Election. <i>Howard Journal of Communications</i> , 2023, 34, 273-292.	0.6	2
36	Gendered Attitudes and Support for Elizabeth Warren in the 2020 Democratic Primary. , 2023, , 7-18.		0