

CITATION REPORT

List of articles citing

An automatic method for extracting citations from Google Books

DOI: 10.1002/asi.23170

Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2015, 66, 309-320.

Source: <https://exaly.com/paper-pdf/62841704/citation-report.pdf>

Version: 2022-09-28

This report has been generated based on the citations recorded by exaly.com for the above article. For the latest version of this publication list, visit the link given above.

The third column is the impact factor (IF) of the journal, and the fourth column is the number of citations of the article.

#	Paper	IF	Citations
30	An automatic method for assessing the teaching impact of books from online academic syllabi. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2016 , 67, 2993-3007	2.6	21
29	Commonly Used Indexes for Assessment of Research Production. <i>Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Scientific and Scholarly Communication</i> , 2016 , 55-99		
28	Web Indicators for Research Evaluation: A Practical Guide. <i>Synthesis Lectures on Information Concepts, Retrieval, and Services</i> , 2016 , 8, i-155	1.7	10
27	Alternative metric indicators for funding scheme evaluations. <i>Aslib Journal of Information Management</i> , 2016 , 68, 2-18	1.4	24
26	Patent citation analysis with Google. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2017 , 68, 48-61	2.6	18
25	Are wikipedia citations important evidence of the impact of scholarly articles and books?. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2017 , 68, 762-779	2.6	45
24	The Diffusion of Evidence-Based Practice: Reviewing the Evidence-Based Practice Networks in the United States and German-Speaking Countries. <i>Journal of Evidence-informed Social Work</i> , 2017 , 14, 86-118		6
23	Goodreads reviews to assess the wider impacts of books. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2017 , 68, 2004-2016	2.6	20
22	Specific performance on specialized search engines. 2018 , 125-151		
21	The insoluble problems of books: what does Altmetric.com have to offer?. <i>Aslib Journal of Information Management</i> , 2018 , 70, 691-707	1.4	10
20	Do prestigious Spanish scholarly book publishers have more teaching impact?. <i>Aslib Journal of Information Management</i> , 2018 , 70, 673-690	1.4	2
19	Can Microsoft Academic help to assess the citation impact of academic books?. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2018 , 12, 972-984	3	10
18	What can Bookmetrix tell us about the impact of Springer Nature's books. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2019 , 121, 521-536	2.9	5
17	Comparative analysis of book citations in social science journals by Central and Eastern European authors. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2019 , 120, 1005-1029	2.9	2
16	Web Citation Indicators for Wider Impact Assessment of Articles. <i>Springer Handbooks</i> , 2019 , 801-818	1.2	2
15	Examining similarities and differences of citation patterns between monographs and papers: a case in biology and computer science. <i>Information Discovery and Delivery</i> , 2019 , 47, 229-241	1.3	3
14	Evaluating wider impacts of books via fine-grained mining on citation literatures. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2020 , 125, 1923-1948	2.9	1

13	The field-specific citation and usage patterns of book literature in the Book Citation Index. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2020 , 29, 203-214	1.7	0
12	Comparison of academic book impact from a disciplinary perspective: an analysis of citations and altmetric indicators. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2021 , 126, 1101-1123	2.9	2
11	News stories as evidence for research? BBC citations from articles, Books, and Wikipedia. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2017 , 68, 2017-2028	2.6	2
10	Data Collection from the Web for Informetric Purposes. <i>Springer Handbooks</i> , 2019 , 781-800	1.2	2
9	Identification of Tweets that Mention Books: An Experimental Comparison of Machine Learning Methods. <i>Lecture Notes in Computer Science</i> , 2015 , 278-288	0.8	2
8	Data Science Altmetrics. <i>Journal of Data and Information Science</i> , 2017 , 1, 7-12	1.2	2
7	The Pros and Cons of the Use of Altmetrics in Research Assessment. <i>Scholarly Assessment Reports</i> , 2020 , 2,	1.5	10
6	Citation analysis of Ph.D. theses with data from Scopus and Google Books. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2021 , 126, 9431	2.9	0
5	Estimaci3n del valor educativo de los libros acad3micos que no est3n en ingl3s: el caso de Espa3a. <i>Revista Espanola De Documentacion Cientifica</i> , 2018 , 41, 222	0.7	
4	Google Books, Scopus, Microsoft Academic and Mendeley for impact assessment of doctoral dissertations: A multidisciplinary analysis of the UK. <i>Quantitative Science Studies</i> , 1-26	3.7	1
3	Gray Literature and Academic Libraries: How Do They Access, Use, Manage, and Cope with Gray Literature. <i>Serials Review</i> , 1-10	0.3	
2	Why does library holding format really matter for book impact assessment?: Modelling the relationship between citations and altmetrics with print and electronic holdings.. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2021 , 127, 1-32	2.9	1
1	OCLC library holdings: assessing availability of academic books in libraries in print and electronic compared to citations and altmetrics. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2022 , 127, 991-1020	2.9	1