

A Randomized Trial of Laparoscopic versus Open Surge

New England Journal of Medicine

372, 1324-1332

DOI: [10.1056/nejmoa1414882](https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa1414882)

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Laparoscopic Low Anterior Resection and Eversion Technique Combined With a Nondog Ear Anastomosis for Mid- and Distal Rectal Neoplasms. <i>Medicine (United States)</i> , 2015, 94, e2285.	0.4	17
2	Promises and pitfalls of total mesorectal excision: getting the best outcomes. <i>Colorectal Cancer</i> , 2015, 4, 213-220.	0.8	0
3	Colorectal cancer. <i>Nature Reviews Disease Primers</i> , 2015, 1, 15065.	18.1	1,104
10	Urogenital dysfunctions after treatment of rectal cancer. <i>Colorectal Cancer</i> , 2015, 4, 241-259.	0.8	0
11	Minimally invasive colorectal surgery: do we all speak the same language?. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2015, 17, 837-838.	0.7	0
12	Transanal total mesorectal excision. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2015, 102, 1591-1593.	0.1	13
13	Differences in clinical features between laparoscopy and open resection for primary tumor in patients with stage IV colorectal cancer. <i>OncoTargets and Therapy</i> , 2015, 8, 3441.	1.0	6
15	Transanal total mesorectal excision: Towards standardization of technique. <i>World Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2015, 21, 12686.	1.4	33
16	Transanal total mesorectal excision: A valid option for rectal cancer?. <i>World Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2015, 21, 11700.	1.4	35
17	Laparoscopic Versus Open Surgery for Mid-Low Rectal Cancer: a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis on Short- and Long-Term Outcomes. <i>Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery</i> , 2015, 19, 1497-1512.	0.9	35
18	Variation in circumferential resection margin: Reporting and involvement in the South-Netherlands. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2015, 41, 1485-1492.	0.5	13
19	Simple criteria to predict margin involvement after chemoradiotherapy and sphincter-sparing for low rectal cancer. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2015, 41, 1210-1216.	0.5	3
20	Green light given for laparoscopic surgery for rectal cancer. <i>Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology</i> , 2015, 12, 375-376.	12.5	1
21	A Randomized Trial of Laparoscopic versus Open Surgery for Rectal Cancer. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> , 2015, 373, 194-194.	13.9	141
22	What surgery is best?. <i>Nature Reviews Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2015, 12, 252-252.	8.2	0
23	Transanal total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer: a single center experience and systematic review of the literature. <i>Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery</i> , 2015, 400, 945-959.	0.8	65
24	Organ preservation for clinical T2N0 distal rectal cancer using neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy and local excision (ACOSOG Z6041): results of an open-label, single-arm, multi-institutional, phase 2 trial. <i>Lancet Oncology</i> , The, 2015, 16, 1537-1546.	5.1	326
25	Current considerations in colorectal cancer surgery. <i>Colorectal Cancer</i> , 2015, 4, 167-174.	0.8	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
26	Robot-assisted rectal cancer surgery deserves a fair trial. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2015, 17, 824-825.	0.7	9
27	Minimally Invasive Approaches to Rectal Cancer and Diverticulitis. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2015, 314, 1343.	3.8	10
28	Effect of Laparoscopic-Assisted Resection vs Open Resection on Pathological Outcomes in Rectal Cancer. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2015, 314, 1356.	3.8	835
29	Occult and Manifest Colorectal Carcinoma in Ulcerative Colitis: How Does It Influence Surgical Decision Making?. <i>Visceral Medicine</i> , 2015, 31, 252-257.	0.5	1
30	Treatment of Rectal Cancer with Trans-Anal Mesorectal Excision: A Mini-Review of the Literature. <i>Colorectal Cancer Open Access</i> , 2016, 2, .	0.0	0
31	Short and long-term outcomes of laparoscopic compared to open liver resection for colorectal liver metastases. <i>Hepatobiliary Surgery and Nutrition</i> , 2016, 5, 300-310.	0.7	24
32	A review on robotic surgery in rectal cancer. <i>Translational Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2016, 1, 5-5.	1.5	9
33	Preoperative, intraoperative and postoperative risk factors for anastomotic leakage after laparoscopic low anterior resection with double stapling technique anastomosis. <i>World Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2016, 22, 5718.	1.4	84
34	Laparoscopic colorectal surgery: Current status and implementation of the latest technological innovations. <i>World Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2016, 22, 704.	1.4	91
35	Minimally Invasive Versus Open Low Anterior Resection. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2016, 263, 1152-1158.	2.1	48
36	Safety and efficacy of intraoperative iodine-125 seed implantation brachytherapy for rectal cancer patients: A retrospective clinical research. <i>Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology (Australia)</i> , 2016, 31, 1076-1084.	1.4	8
37	Transanal total mesorectal excision (taTME) for rectal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of oncological and perioperative outcomes compared with laparoscopic total mesorectal excision. <i>BMC Cancer</i> , 2016, 16, 380.	1.1	150
39	Sparing Sphincters and Laparoscopic Resection Improve Survival by Optimizing the Circumferential Resection Margin in Rectal Cancer Patients. <i>Medicine (United States)</i> , 2016, 95, e2669.	0.4	3
40	Up-to-down rectal resection with total mesorectal excision through single-incision laparoscopy - a video vignette. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2016, 18, 627-628.	0.7	0
41	Outcomes following laparoscopic rectal cancer resection by supervised trainees. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2016, 103, 1076-1083.	0.1	4
42	Factors influencing mortality and morbidity following colorectal resection in France. Analysis of a national database (2009-2011). <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2016, 18, 205-213.	0.7	6
43	Laparoscopic rectal cancer resection: inferior to open or not?. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2016, 18, 233-233.	0.7	12
44	Hybrid robotic posterior pelvic clearance - a video vignette. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2016, 18, 626-627.	0.7	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
45	Does robotics improve minimally invasive rectal surgery? Functional and oncological implications. <i>Journal of Digestive Diseases</i> , 2016, 17, 88-94.	0.7	10
46	Transanal total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer: early outcomes in 50 consecutive patients. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2016, 18, 570-577.	0.7	88
47	Conventional and/or laparoscopic rectal cancer surgery: what is the current evidence?. <i>Innovative Surgical Sciences</i> , 2016, 1, 13-18.	0.4	2
48	SEOM Clinical Guideline of localized rectal cancer (2016). <i>Clinical and Translational Oncology</i> , 2016, 18, 1163-1171.	1.2	10
49	The Radical Extent of lymphadenectomy " D2 dissection versus complete mesocolic excision of LAParoscopic Right Colectomy for right-sided colon cancer (RELARC) trial: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. <i>Trials</i> , 2016, 17, 582.	0.7	48
50	Short- and mid-term outcomes of robotic-assisted total mesorectal excision for the treatment of rectal cancer. Our experience after 198 consecutive cases. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2016, 42, 848-854.	0.5	9
51	Surgical considerations in FAP-related pouch surgery: Could we do better?. <i>Familial Cancer</i> , 2016, 15, 457-466.	0.9	26
52	Role of Minimally Invasive Surgery in the Reoperative Abdomen or Pelvis. <i>Clinics in Colon and Rectal Surgery</i> , 2016, 29, 168-180.	0.5	12
53	Laparoscopy for Rectal Cancer: Is the Story Settled?. <i>Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A</i> , 2016, 26, 302-304.	0.5	1
54	The First Experiences With Colorectal Laparoscopic Surgery in Spain. Valencia, November 1991. <i>CirugÃa EspaÃola (English Edition)</i> , 2016, 94, 210-212.	0.1	1
55	"Scarless" and no-stoma surgery for low rectal cancer: the laparoscopic pull-through delayed "high" colo-anal anastomosis. <i>Updates in Surgery</i> , 2016, 68, 99-104.	0.9	17
57	Selection for laparoscopic resection confers a survival benefit in colorectal cancer surgery in England. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2016, 30, 3839-3847.	1.3	16
59	Risk of anastomotic leak after laparoscopic versus open colectomy. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2016, 30, 5275-5282.	1.3	34
60	Comparative analysis focusing on surgical and early oncological outcomes of open, laparoscopy-assisted, and robot-assisted approaches in rectal cancer patients. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2016, 31, 1179-1187.	1.0	29
61	Ten-year outcomes following laparoscopic colorectal resection: results of a randomized controlled trial. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2016, 31, 1283-1290.	1.0	10
63	Robot-assisted versus laparoscopic surgery for lower rectal cancer: the impact of visceral obesity on surgical outcomes. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2016, 31, 1701-1710.	1.0	63
64	Transanal total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer: the journey towards a new technique and its current status. <i>Expert Review of Anticancer Therapy</i> , 2016, 16, 1145-1153.	1.1	14
65	Comparison of short-term clinical outcomes between transanal and laparoscopic total mesorectal excision for the treatment of mid and low rectal cancer: A meta-analysis. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2016, 42, 1841-1850.	0.5	65

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
67	Technologies, technical steps, and early postoperative results of transanal TME. Minimally Invasive Therapy and Allied Technologies, 2016, 25, 247-256.	0.6	14
68	Functional outcome and quality of life following treatment for rectal cancer. Journal of Coloproctology, 2016, 36, 251-261.	0.1	10
69	Impact of Conversion to Open Surgery on Early Postoperative Morbidity After Laparoscopic Resection for Rectal Adenocarcinoma: A Retrospective Study. Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A, 2016, 26, 697-701.	0.5	12
70	Analysis of mortality in colorectal surgery in the BiêNational Colorectal Cancer Audit. ANZ Journal of Surgery, 2016, 86, 454-458.	0.3	19
71	Endoscopic resection of colorectal lesions: The narrowing divide between East and West. Digestive Endoscopy, 2016, 28, 296-305.	1.3	38
72	Difficult laparoscopic total mesorectal excision after preoperative colonoscopic tattooing. Digestive Endoscopy, 2016, 28, 102-102.	1.3	4
73	Future of Minimally Invasive Colorectal Surgery. Clinics in Colon and Rectal Surgery, 2016, 29, 221-231.	0.5	10
75	10â€Year Oncologic Outcomes After Laparoscopic or Open Total Mesorectal Excision for Rectal Cancer. World Journal of Surgery, 2016, 40, 3052-3062.	0.8	11
76	Is it time to rethink the rule of total mesorectal excision? A prospective radiological and pathological study in 49 consecutive patients with midâ€rectal cancer. Colorectal Disease, 2016, 18, O314-21.	0.7	2
77	Techniques and technology evolution of rectal cancer surgery: a history of more than a hundred years. Minimally Invasive Therapy and Allied Technologies, 2016, 25, 226-233.	0.6	35
78	Clinical outcomes and case volume effect of transanal total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer: a systematic review. Techniques in Coloproctology, 2016, 20, 811-824.	0.8	131
79	Short-term outcomes of open versus laparoscopic surgery in elderly patients with colorectal cancer. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2016, 30, 5550-5557.	1.3	26
80	Network meta-analysis of protocol-driven care and laparoscopic surgery for colorectal cancer. British Journal of Surgery, 2016, 103, 1783-1794.	0.1	28
81	Robotic Rectal Cancer Surgery. Cancer Treatment and Research, 2016, 168, 295-308.	0.2	2
82	Impact of Surgical Complications Following Resection of Locally Advanced Rectal Adenocarcinoma on Adjuvant Chemotherapy Delivery and Survival Outcomes. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2016, 59, 916-924.	0.7	16
83	Two-port laparoscopic anterior resection through a self-made glove device versus conventional laparoscopic anterior resection for rectal cancer: a comparison of short-term surgical results. World Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 14, 275.	0.8	5
86	Minimally Invasive Colorectal Cancer Surgery in Europe. Medicine (United States), 2016, 95, e3812.	0.4	19
87	Open Versus Laparoscopic Surgery for Rectal Cancer: Single-Center Results of 587 Cases. Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy and Percutaneous Techniques, 2016, 26, e62-e68.	0.4	9

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
88	Circular Stapler-Assisted Extraperitoneal Colostomy in Laparoscopic Abdominoperineal Resection: a Single Surgeon Experience. <i>Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery</i> , 2016, 20, 619-623.	0.9	3
89	Laparo-endoscopic Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision (TATME): evidence of a novel technique. <i>Minimally Invasive Therapy and Allied Technologies</i> , 2016, 25, 278-287.	0.6	3
90	Transanal total mesorectal excision: surgical technique description and outcomes. <i>Minimally Invasive Therapy and Allied Technologies</i> , 2016, 25, 234-240.	0.6	22
91	Clinical and oncologic outcomes of totally robotic total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer: initial results in a center for minimally invasive surgery. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2016, 31, 843-852.	1.0	20
92	Robotic colorectal cancer surgery: Are data supporting the desire to innovate?. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2016, 42, 1085-1087.	0.5	3
93	Local wound infiltration plus transversus abdominis plane (TAP) block versus local wound infiltration in laparoscopic colorectal surgery and ERAS program. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2016, 30, 5117-5125.	1.3	52
94	Robotic Colorectal Surgery. <i>Current Surgery Reports</i> , 2016, 4, 1.	0.4	1
95	Robotic abdominoperineal resection. <i>Seminars in Colon and Rectal Surgery</i> , 2016, 27, 155-159.	0.2	1
98	Impact of Laparoscopic Adrenalectomy on Overall Survival in Patients with Nonmetastatic Adrenocortical Carcinoma. <i>Journal of the American College of Surgeons</i> , 2016, 223, 485-492.	0.2	29
99	Robotic Colorectal Surgery. <i>Advances in Surgery</i> , 2016, 50, 157-171.	0.6	4
100	Prevention of parastomal hernias with 3D funnel meshes in intraperitoneal onlay position by placement during initial stoma formation. <i>Hernia: the Journal of Hernias and Abdominal Wall Surgery</i> , 2016, 20, 151-159.	0.9	34
101	Laparoscopic Surgery for Colorectal Cancer. , 2016, , .		2
102	Robotic Versus Laparoscopic Total Mesorectal Excision (TME) for Sphincter-Saving Surgery: Is There Any Difference in the Transanal TME Rectal Approach?. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2016, 23, 1594-1600.	0.7	40
103	Colon, Rectum, and Appendix. , 2016, , 39-52.		0
104	Safety of Laparoscopic Pelvic Exenteration with Urinary Diversion for Colorectal Malignancies. <i>World Journal of Surgery</i> , 2016, 40, 1236-1243.	0.8	27
105	Laparoscopic Surgery. , 2016, , .		0
106	Laparoscopic colorectal surgery: why, when, how?. <i>Updates in Surgery</i> , 2016, 68, 3-5.	0.9	4
107	Current topics in the multimodality treatment of locally advanced rectal cancer. <i>Future Oncology</i> , 2016, 12, 963-979.	1.1	1

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
108	Transanal <i>vs</i> laparoscopic total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer: initial experience from Denmark. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2016, 18, 51-58.	0.7	72
109	Laparoscopic versus open surgery for rectal cancer: a meta-analysis of 3-year follow-up outcomes. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2016, 31, 805-811.	1.0	25
110	Clinical Cancer Advances 2016: Annual Report on Progress Against Cancer From the American Society of Clinical Oncology. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2016, 34, 987-1011.	0.8	141
111	Two lives. <i>European Journal of Cardio-thoracic Surgery</i> , 2016, 49, 1541-1542.	0.6	3
112	Ultrasound-guided percutaneous dilational tracheostomy versus bronchoscopy-guided percutaneous dilational tracheostomy in critically ill patients (TRACHUS): a randomized noninferiority controlled trial. <i>Intensive Care Medicine</i> , 2016, 42, 342-351.	3.9	72
113	Current Status of Minimally Invasive Surgery for Rectal Cancer. <i>Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery</i> , 2016, 20, 1056-1064.	0.9	8
114	Avances en cirugía del cáncer de recto: recorrido histórico y nuevas perspectivas después del estudio COLOR II. <i>Cirugía Española</i> , 2016, 94, 1-3.	0.1	0
115	Comparison of Risk Factors for Unplanned Conversion from Laparoscopic and Robotic to Open Colorectal Surgery Using the Michigan Surgical Quality Collaborative (MSQC) Database. <i>Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery</i> , 2016, 20, 1223-1230.	0.9	43
119	Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision Versus Laparoscopic Surgery for Rectal Cancer Receiving Neoadjuvant Chemoradiation: A Matched Case-Control Study. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2016, 23, 1169-1176.	0.7	105
121	COLOR III: a multicentre randomised clinical trial comparing transanal TME versus laparoscopic TME for mid and low rectal cancer. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2016, 30, 3210-3215.	1.3	297
122	Laparoscopic simultaneous resection of colorectal primary tumor and liver metastases: a propensity score matching analysis. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2016, 30, 1853-1862.	1.3	50
123	Small bowel obstruction and incisional hernia after laparoscopic and open colorectal surgery: a meta-analysis of comparative trials. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2017, 31, 85-99.	1.3	30
124	Is the benefit of laparoscopy maintained in elderly patients undergoing rectal cancer resection? An analysis of 446 consecutive patients. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2017, 31, 632-642.	1.3	15
125	Insurance Status, Not Race, is Associated With Use of Minimally Invasive Surgical Approach for Rectal Cancer. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2017, 265, 774-781.	2.1	35
126	Minimally Invasive Cancer Surgery: Indications and Outcomes. <i>Seminars in Oncology Nursing</i> , 2017, 33, 23-36.	0.7	10
127	Transanal total mesorectal excision (TaTME) for rectal cancer: effects on patient-reported quality of life and functional outcome. <i>Techniques in Coloproctology</i> , 2017, 21, 25-33.	0.8	91
129	Oligometastatic Disease in Colorectal Cancer - How to Proceed. <i>Visceral Medicine</i> , 2017, 33, 23-28.	0.5	18
130	Laparoscopic versus open surgery for rectal cancer: A meta-analysis of classic randomized controlled trials and high-quality Nonrandomized Studies in the last 5 years. <i>International Journal of Surgery</i> , 2017, 39, 1-10.	1.1	67

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
131	Laparoscopic Rectal Dissection Assisted by Transanal Endoluminal Videoendoscopy Through a Blunt Tip Trocar. <i>Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A</i> , 2017, 27, 636-638.	0.5	1
132	Comparable long-term oncologic outcomes of laparoscopic versus open pancreaticoduodenectomy for adenocarcinoma: a propensity score weighting analysis. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2017, 31, 3970-3978.	1.3	54
133	The future for laparoscopic rectal cancer surgery. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2017, 104, 643-645.	0.1	6
134	Intraoperative Localization of Rectal Tumors Using Liposomal Indocyanine Green. <i>Surgical Innovation</i> , 2017, 24, 139-144.	0.4	7
135	Laparoscopic surgery for rectal cancer: the verdict is not final yet!. <i>Techniques in Coloproctology</i> , 2017, 21, 241-243.	0.8	3
136	Anastomotic leak after colorectal resection: A population-based study of risk factors and hospital variation. <i>Surgery</i> , 2017, 161, 1619-1627.	1.0	101
137	Laparoscopic Versus Robotic Versus Open Surgery for Rectal Cancer. <i>Difficult Decisions in Surgery: an Evidence-based Approach</i> , 2017, , 519-533.	0.0	0
138	Benchmarking recent national practice in rectal cancer treatment with landmark randomized controlled trials. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2017, 19, O219-O231.	0.7	36
139	Rectal cancer should not be resected laparoscopically: the rationale and the data. <i>Techniques in Coloproctology</i> , 2017, 21, 237-240.	0.8	13
140	Minimally Invasive Surgery for Rectal Cancer: Current Status and Future Perspectives. <i>Indian Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2017, 8, 591-599.	0.3	14
141	What quality criterion should we choose to evaluate the surgical resection of rectal cancer?. <i>Journal of Visceral Surgery</i> , 2017, 154, 69-71.	0.4	3
142	Minimally invasive versus open distal pancreatectomy (LEOPARD): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. <i>Trials</i> , 2017, 18, 166.	0.7	40
143	A multicentre randomised controlled trial to evaluate the efficacy, morbidity and functional outcome of endoscopic transanal proctectomy versus laparoscopic proctectomy for low-lying rectal cancer (ETAP-GRECCAR 11 TRIAL): rationale and design. <i>BMC Cancer</i> , 2017, 17, 253.	1.1	94
144	Laparoscopic Surgery in Patients on Peritoneal Dialysis: A Review of the Literature. <i>Surgical Innovation</i> , 2017, 24, 397-401.	0.4	20
146	Objective assessment of minimally invasive total mesorectal excision performance: a systematic review. <i>Techniques in Coloproctology</i> , 2017, 21, 259-268.	0.8	7
147	Evolution of Surgical Treatment for Rectal Cancer: a Review. <i>Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery</i> , 2017, 21, 1166-1173.	0.9	27
148	Comparison of short-term and oncologic outcomes of robotic and laparoscopic resection for mid- and distal rectal cancer. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2017, 31, 2798-2807.	1.3	63
149	An Instrumental Variable Analysis Comparing Medicare Expenditures for Laparoscopic vs Open Colectomy. <i>JAMA Surgery</i> , 2017, 152, 921.	2.2	27

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
150	New Strategies in Rectal Cancer. <i>Surgical Clinics of North America</i> , 2017, 97, 587-604.	0.5	38
151	CONSORT Statement for Randomized Trials of Nonpharmacologic Treatments: A 2017 Update and a CONSORT Extension for Nonpharmacologic Trial Abstracts. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2017, 167, 40.	2.0	833
152	Randomized Controlled Trial of the Intraportal Chemotherapy Combined With Adjuvant Chemotherapy (mFOLFOX6) for Stage II and III Colon Cancer. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2017, 266, e105-e106.	2.1	0
153	Anorectal functional outcome following laparoscopic low anterior resection for rectal cancer. <i>Molecular and Clinical Oncology</i> , 2017, 6, 613-621.	0.4	11
154	Short- and Long-term Outcomes of Laparoscopic Total Mesenteric Excision for Neuroendocrine Tumors of the Rectum. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2017, 60, 284-289.	0.7	27
155	Laparoscopic <i>en bloc</i> total mesorectal excision post chemoradiotherapy – a video vignette. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2017, 19, 697-698.	0.7	0
156	Identification of Recurrence: Predictive Indicators in Stage I Colorectal Cancer. <i>World Journal of Surgery</i> , 2017, 41, 1656-1657.	0.8	0
157	Robotic versus laparoscopic rectal resection for sphincter-saving surgery: pathological and short-term outcomes in a single-center analysis of 130 consecutive patients. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2017, 31, 4085-4091.	1.3	40
158	Outcome comparisons between high-volume robotic and laparoscopic surgeons in a large healthcare system. <i>American Journal of Surgery</i> , 2017, 213, 901-905.	0.9	31
159	Evaluation of short-term outcomes of laparoscopic-assisted surgery for colorectal cancer in elderly patients aged over 75 years old: a multi-institutional study (YSURG1401). <i>BMC Surgery</i> , 2017, 17, 29.	0.6	32
160	Descriptive characteristics of colon and rectal cancer recurrence in a Danish population-based study. <i>Acta Oncologica</i> , 2017, 56, 1111-1119.	0.8	18
161	Laparoscopy for Rectal Cancer. <i>Clinics in Colon and Rectal Surgery</i> , 2017, 30, 104-111.	0.5	7
162	Current Status of Laparoscopic Surgery in Colorectal Cancer. <i>Current Colorectal Cancer Reports</i> , 2017, 13, 27-36.	1.0	0
163	Preoperative Prognostic Nutritional Index Correlates with Severe Complications and Poor Survival in Patients with Colorectal Cancer Undergoing Curative Laparoscopic Surgery: A Retrospective Study in a Single Chinese Institution. <i>Nutrition and Cancer</i> , 2017, 69, 454-463.	0.9	31
164	Robotic Surgery for Colon and Rectal Cancer: Current Status, Recent Advances, and Future Directions. <i>Current Colorectal Cancer Reports</i> , 2017, 13, 37-44.	1.0	4
165	Sphincter-Preserving Surgery for Low Rectal Cancer: Do We Overshoot the Mark?. <i>Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery</i> , 2017, 21, 885-891.	0.9	24
166	Minimally invasive operative techniques: Is less always more?. <i>Surgery</i> , 2017, 161, 1455-1457.	1.0	0
167	C-reactive protein as early predictor of complications after minimally invasive colorectal resection. <i>Journal of Surgical Research</i> , 2017, 210, 261-268.	0.8	15

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
169	Robotic surgery for rectal cancer. Asian Journal of Endoscopic Surgery, 2017, 10, 364-371.	0.4	12
170	Surgical Technique and Difficult Situations from Steven Wexner (Laparoscopic)., 2017, , 377-381.		0
171	Minimally invasive surgery and enhanced recovery after surgery: The ideal combination?. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 116, 613-616.	0.8	31
172	Advantages of Minimally Invasive Surgery in Upper Abdominal Surgery. , 2017, , 3-8.		0
173	Minimally invasive surgery for rectal cancer. Coloproctology, 2017, 39, 385-387.	0.3	1
174	Use of a novel shorter minimum caliber needle for creating endoscopic tattoos for preoperative localization: a comparative ex vivo study. Endoscopy International Open, 2017, 05, E513-E517.	0.9	5
175	A New Prediction Model for Local Recurrence After Curative Rectal Cancer Surgery: Development and Validation as an Asian Collaborative Study. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2017, 60, 1168-1174.	0.7	12
176	Comparison of outcomes between symptomatic and asymptomatic patients with colorectal cancer: a propensity score-matched analysis of surgical invasiveness, medical costs and oncological outcomes. BMJ Open Gastroenterology, 2017, 4, e000146.	1.1	7
177	Meta-analysis of the impact of surgical approach on the grade of mesorectal excision in rectal cancer. British Journal of Surgery, 2017, 104, 1609-1619.	0.1	43
178	Long-term Oncologic Outcome After Laparoscopic Converted or Primary Open Resection for Colorectal Cancer: A Systematic Review of the Literature. Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy and Percutaneous Techniques, 2017, 27, 328-334.	0.4	1
179	Effect of Robotic-Assisted vs Conventional Laparoscopic Surgery on Risk of Conversion to Open Laparotomy Among Patients Undergoing Resection for Rectal Cancer. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2017, 318, 1569.	3.8	891
180	Randomized Clinical Trials in Colon and Rectal Cancer. Surgical Oncology Clinics of North America, 2017, 26, 689-704.	0.6	26
181	Is BMI a Virtual Predictor for Perioperative Outcome of Colorectal Surgery?. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2017, 60, 1116-1116.	0.7	0
182	Circumferential margin involvement after total mesorectal excision for mid or low rectal cancer: are all R1 resections equal?. Colorectal Disease, 2017, 19, O377-O385.	0.7	9
183	Initial experience with a dual-console robotic-assisted platform for training in colorectal surgery. Techniques in Coloproctology, 2017, 21, 721-727.	0.8	22
184	Totally Laparoscopic Resection for Low Sigmoid and Rectal Cancer Using Natural Orifice Specimen Extraction Techniques. Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy and Percutaneous Techniques, 2017, 27, e74-e79.	0.4	7
185	Open Colectomies of Shorter Operative Time Do Not Result in Improved Outcomes Compared With Prolonged Laparoscopic Operations. Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy and Percutaneous Techniques, 2017, 27, 361-365.	0.4	5
187	Identification of Recurrenceâ€Predictive Indicators in Stage I Colorectal Cancer: Reply. World Journal of Surgery, 2017, 41, 1658-1659.	0.8	5

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
188	Clinical practice guideline for enhanced recovery after colon and rectal surgery from the American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons (ASCRS) and Society of American Gastrointestinal and Endoscopic Surgeons (SAGES). <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2017, 31, 3412-3436.	1.3	55
189	Critical appraisal of two randomized clinical trials on pathologic outcomes. <i>Coloproctology</i> , 2017, 39, 277-277.	0.3	14
190	Factors Influencing Difficulty of Laparoscopic Abdominoperineal Resection for Ultra-Low Rectal Cancer. <i>Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy and Percutaneous Techniques</i> , 2017, 27, 104-109.	0.4	10
191	There is no difference in outcome between laparoscopic and open surgery for rectal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis on short- and long-term oncologic outcomes. <i>Techniques in Coloproctology</i> , 2017, 21, 595-604.	0.8	65
192	Is it right to ignore learning curve patients? Laparoscopic colorectal trials. <i>ANZ Journal of Surgery</i> , 2017, 87, 898-902.	0.3	6
193	Is There Any Reason Not to Perform Standard Laparoscopic Total Mesorectal Excision?. <i>Clinics in Colon and Rectal Surgery</i> , 2017, 30, 333-338.	0.5	7
194	Is there any role for minimally invasive surgery in NET?. <i>Reviews in Endocrine and Metabolic Disorders</i> , 2017, 18, 443-457.	2.6	15
195	Robotic-assisted multivisceral resection for rectal cancer: short-term outcomes at a single center. <i>Techniques in Coloproctology</i> , 2017, 21, 879-886.	0.8	22
196	Local control of sphincter-preserving procedures and abdominoperineal resection for locally advanced low rectal cancer: Propensity score matched analysis. <i>Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery</i> , 2017, 1, 199-207.	1.2	8
197	Surgery-induced tumor growth in (metastatic) colorectal cancer. <i>Surgical Oncology</i> , 2017, 26, 535-543.	0.8	19
198	Association of Coloproctology of Great Britain & Ireland (ACPGBI): Guidelines for the Management of Cancer of the Colon, Rectum and Anus (2017) – Surgical Management. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2017, 19, 18-36.	0.7	39
201	Clinical Practice Guidelines for Enhanced Recovery After Colon and Rectal Surgery From the American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons and Society of American Gastrointestinal and Endoscopic Surgeons. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2017, 60, 761-784.	0.7	309
202	Minimally Invasive Surgery for Rectal Cancer: Current Trends. <i>Current Colorectal Cancer Reports</i> , 2017, 13, 136-143.	1.0	0
203	Transanal approach for intersphincteric resection of rectal cancer in a patient with a huge prostatic hypertrophy. <i>International Cancer Conference Journal</i> , 2017, 6, 1-3.	0.2	2
204	Defining the Role of Minimally Invasive Proctectomy for Locally Advanced Rectal Adenocarcinoma. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2017, 266, 574-581.	2.1	19
205	Pathologic Outcomes of Laparoscopic vs Open Mesorectal Excision for Rectal Cancer. <i>JAMA Surgery</i> , 2017, 152, 986.	2.2	3
206	Multicentre propensity score-matched analysis of laparoscopic versus open surgery for T4 rectal cancer. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2017, 31, 3106-3121.	1.3	38
207	Oncological impact of anastomotic leakage after laparoscopic mesorectal excision. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2017, 104, 288-295.	0.1	64

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
208	Conversions in laparoscopic surgery for rectal cancer. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2017, 31, 2263-2270.	1.3	25
209	Laparoscopic colorectal cancer resections in the obese: a systematic review. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2017, 31, 2072-2088.	1.3	50
210	Training residents in laparoscopic colorectal surgery: is supervised surgery safe?. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2017, 31, 2602-2606.	1.3	14
211	Single center cost analysis of single-port and conventional laparoscopic surgical treatment in colorectal malignant diseases. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2017, 32, 233-239.	1.0	8
212	Institutional variation in short- and long-term outcomes after surgery for gastric or esophagogastric junction adenocarcinoma: correlative study of two randomized phase III trials (JCOG9501 and JCOG9502). <i>Gastric Cancer</i> , 2017, 20, 508-516.	2.7	10
213	Laparoscopic Rectal Resection—Ready for Prime Time?. <i>JAMA Oncology</i> , 2017, 3, 113.	3.4	1
214	Outcomes of Open vs Laparoscopic Rectal Cancer Resection. <i>JAMA Oncology</i> , 2017, 3, 115.	3.4	4
215	Preoperative localization of colorectal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2017, 31, 2366-2379.	1.3	53
216	Health economic analysis of costs of laparoscopic and open surgery for rectal cancer within a randomized trial (COLOR II). <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2017, 31, 1225-1234.	1.3	9
217	Characteristics of learning curve in minimally invasive ileal pouch-anal anastomosis in a single institution. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2017, 31, 1083-1092.	1.3	17
218	Total mesorectal excision using a soft and flexible robotic arm: a feasibility study in cadaver models. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2017, 31, 264-273.	1.3	61
219	Extralevator with vs nonextralevator abdominoperineal excision for rectal cancer: the RELAP randomized controlled trial. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2017, 19, 148-157.	0.7	24
220	Management of rectal cancer: the 2016 French guidelines. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2017, 19, 115-122.	0.7	68
222	Long-term oncological outcomes of robotic versus laparoscopic total mesorectal excision of mid-low rectal cancer following neoadjuvant chemoradiation therapy. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2017, 31, 1728-1737.	1.3	59
223	Re-appraisal and consideration of minimally invasive surgery in colorectal cancer. <i>Gastroenterology Report</i> , 2017, 5, 1-10.	0.6	50
224	The Authors Reply. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2017, 60, 1115-1116.	0.7	0
226	Indications for Laparoscopic Surgery for Colorectal Cancer in Japan—A Questionnaire Survey of the 85th Meeting of the Japanese Society for Cancer of the Colon and Rectum—; <i>Nihon Daicho Komonbyo Gakkai Zasshi</i> , 2017, 70, 205-213.	0.1	0
227	Long-term outcomes of laparoscopy vs. open surgery for colorectal cancer in elderly patients: A meta-analysis. <i>Molecular and Clinical Oncology</i> , 2017, 7, 771-776.	0.4	17

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
228	Reduced-port robotic total mesorectal resection for rectal cancer using a single-port access: a technical note. <i>Wideochirurgia I Inne Techniki Maloinwazyjne</i> , 2017, 4, 378-384.	0.3	2
229	Impact of Body Mass Index on Surgical and Oncological Outcomes in Laparoscopic Total Mesorectal Excision for Locally Advanced Rectal Cancer after Neoadjuvant 5-Fluorouracil-Based Chemoradiotherapy. <i>Gastroenterology Research and Practice</i> , 2017, 2017, 1-9.	0.7	4
230	Dysfunctional Natural Killer Cells in the Aftermath of Cancer Surgery. <i>International Journal of Molecular Sciences</i> , 2017, 18, 1787.	1.8	54
231	Hand-assisted laparoscopic surgery versus laparoscopic right colectomy: a meta-analysis. <i>World Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2017, 15, 215.	0.8	9
232	Effectiveness of Minimal Bowel Preparation With Oral Bisacodyl Before Laparoscopic Radical Proctectomy: Case-Control Comparison of Bisacodyl and Polyethylene Glycol as Oral Laxative Agents. <i>International Surgery</i> , 2017, 102, 2-9.	0.0	0
233	Evaluation of safety, feasibility and the long-term outcomes of colectomy for colorectal adenocarcinoma in patients older than 80 years of age. <i>Molecular and Clinical Oncology</i> , 2017, 7, 564-568.	0.4	1
234	The da Vinci Xi: a review of its capabilities, versatility, and potential role in robotic colorectal surgery. <i>Robotic Surgery (Auckland)</i> , 2017, Volume 4, 77-85.	1.3	49
236	History of Rectal Cancer Surgery. , 2018, , 3-18.		0
237	Robotics confers an advantage in right hemicolectomy with intracorporeal anastomosis when matched against conventional laparoscopy. <i>Journal of Robotic Surgery</i> , 2018, 12, 647-653.	1.0	27
238	Risk Factors Associated With Circumferential Resection Margin Positivity in Rectal Cancer: A Binational Registry Study. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2018, 61, 433-440.	0.7	39
239	Simultaneous resection of colorectal cancer with synchronous liver metastases (RESECT), a pilot study. <i>International Journal of Surgery Protocols</i> , 2018, 8, 1-6.	0.5	8
240	Decision-Making Strategy for Rectal Cancer Management Using Radiation Therapy for Elderly or Comorbid Patients. <i>International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics</i> , 2018, 100, 926-944.	0.4	23
241	Recent updates in the surgical treatment of colorectal cancer. <i>Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery</i> , 2018, 2, 129-136.	1.2	64
242	What have we learned in minimally invasive colorectal surgery from NSQIP and NIS large databases? A systematic review. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2018, 33, 663-681.	1.0	9
243	Long-term oncologic outcomes after laparoscopic versus open rectal cancer resection: a high-quality population-based analysis in a Southern German district. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2018, 32, 4096-4104.	1.3	24
244	Phase II Clinical Trial to Evaluate the Efficacy of Transanal Endoscopic Total Mesorectal Excision for Rectal Cancer. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2018, 61, 554-560.	0.7	14
245	Analysis of Early and Long-Term Oncologic Outcomes After Converted Laparoscopic Resection Compared to Primary Open Surgery for Rectal Cancer. <i>World Journal of Surgery</i> , 2018, 42, 3405-3414.	0.8	5
246	Long-Term Oncologic Outcomes of Minimally Invasive Proctectomy for Rectal Adenocarcinoma. <i>Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery</i> , 2018, 22, 1412-1417.	0.9	14

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
247	Multiport Laparoscopic TME with Coloanal Anastomosis. , 2018, , 233-255.		0
248	Multiport Laparoscopic Abdominoperineal Resection. , 2018, , 311-324.		0
249	Robotic-Assisted Abdominoperineal Resection. , 2018, , 369-384.		0
250	Conversion is a risk factor for postoperative anastomotic leak in rectal cancer patients - A retrospective cohort study. International Journal of Surgery, 2018, 53, 298-303.	1.1	10
251	Short-Term and Long-Term Outcomes of Laparoscopic Versus Open Surgery for Low Rectal Cancer. Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A, 2018, 28, 637-644.	0.5	7
252	Laparoscopic conversion in colorectal cancer surgery; is there any improvement over time at a population level?. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2018, 32, 3234-3246.	1.3	50
253	Comparison of the guidelines for colorectal cancer in Japan, the USA and Europe. Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery, 2018, 2, 6-12.	1.2	49
254	Overview of colorectal resections in a reference center in Curitiba â€” Brazil: Experience with open and laparoscopic approach. Journal of Coloproctology, 2018, 38, 050-055.	0.1	0
255	Short-term outcomes of open liver resection and laparoscopic liver resection: Secondary analysis of data from a multicenter prospective study (<sc>CSGO</sc>â€”<sc>HBP</sc>â€”004). Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery, 2018, 2, 87-94.	1.2	13
256	Systematic review of robotic low anterior resection for rectal cancer. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2018, 32, 569-581.	1.3	31
257	Surgical stress response and promotion of metastasis in colorectal cancer: a complex and heterogeneous process. Clinical and Experimental Metastasis, 2018, 35, 333-345.	1.7	57
259	Short- and Long-Term Oncological Outcome After Rectal Cancer Surgery: a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Comparing Open Versus Laparoscopic Rectal Cancer Surgery. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2018, 22, 1418-1433.	0.9	22
260	Lower Gastrointestinal Surgery: Robotic Surgery versus Laparoscopic Procedures. Visceral Medicine, 2018, 34, 16-22.	0.5	7
261	Does prolonged operative time impact postoperative morbidity in patients undergoing robotic-assisted rectal resection for cancer?. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2018, 32, 3659-3666.	1.3	14
262	Single-Docking Full Robotic Surgery for Rectal Cancer: A Single-Center Experience. Surgical Innovation, 2018, 25, 258-266.	0.4	6
263	Safety and feasibility of single-port laparoscopic low anterior resection for upper rectal cancer. American Journal of Surgery, 2018, 216, 1101-1106.	0.9	15
264	Transanal total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer: evaluation of the learning curve. Techniques in Coloproctology, 2018, 22, 279-287.	0.8	122
265	Breast Cancer, Version 4.2017, NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network: JNCCN, 2018, 16, 310-320.	2.3	476

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
266	Pelvic inlet shape measured by three-dimensional pelvimetry is a predictor of the operative time in the anterior resection of rectal cancer. <i>Surgery Today</i> , 2018, 48, 51-57.	0.7	14
267	Open versus Laparoscopic Surgery for Advanced Low Rectal Cancer. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2018, 268, 318-324.	2.1	85
268	Oncological Outcomes After Robotic Proctectomy for Rectal Cancer. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2018, 267, 521-526.	2.1	44
269	Transversus abdominis plane (TAP) block versus thoracic epidural analgesia (TEA) in laparoscopic colon surgery in the ERAS program. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2018, 32, 376-382.	1.3	70
270	Laparoscopic Versus Open Resection for Colorectal Liver Metastases. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2018, 267, 199-207.	2.1	488
271	Is right colectomy a complete learning procedure for a robotic surgical program?. <i>Journal of Robotic Surgery</i> , 2018, 12, 147-155.	1.0	19
272	Outcomes of a Single Surgeon-Based Transanal-Total Mesorectal Excision (TATME) for Rectal Cancer. <i>Journal of Gastrointestinal Cancer</i> , 2018, 49, 455-462.	0.6	19
273	Laparoscopic versus open surgery for rectal cancer after neoadjuvant chemoradiation: Long-term outcomes of a propensity score matched study. <i>Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2018, 117, 506-513.	0.8	10
274	Feasibility of laparoscopic surgery after stent insertion for obstructive colorectal cancer. <i>Asian Journal of Endoscopic Surgery</i> , 2018, 11, 118-122.	0.4	16
275	Transanal versus abdominal low rectal dissection for rectal cancer: long-term results of the Bordeaux™ randomized trial. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2018, 32, 1486-1494.	1.3	40
276	Robotic versus open pancreatoduodenectomy: a propensity score-matched analysis based on factors predictive of postoperative pancreatic fistula. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2018, 32, 1234-1247.	1.3	32
277	Predicting opportunities to increase utilization of laparoscopy for rectal cancer. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2018, 32, 1556-1563.	1.3	23
278	First experience in colorectal surgery with a new robotic platform with haptic feedback. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2018, 20, 228-235.	0.7	68
279	Effect of Continuous Motion Parameter Feedback on Laparoscopic Simulation Training: A Prospective Randomized Controlled Trial on Skill Acquisition and Retention. <i>Journal of Surgical Education</i> , 2018, 75, 516-526.	1.2	14
280	Effect of transanal total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer: comparison of short-term outcomes with laparoscopic and open surgeries. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2018, 32, 2312-2321.	1.3	65
281	Intraoperative Conversions in Minimally Invasive Colorectal Surgery. , 2018, , 71-75.		0
282	A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials on the Use of Suction Drains Following Rectal Surgery. <i>Digestive Surgery</i> , 2018, 35, 482-490.	0.6	30
283	Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision in Lower Rectal Cancer: Comparison of Short-Term Outcomes with Conventional Laparoscopic Total Mesorectal Excision. <i>Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A</i> , 2018, 28, 365-369.	0.5	24

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
284	Impact of minimally invasive surgery on short-term outcomes after rectal resection for neoplasm within the setting of an enhanced recovery program. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2018, 32, 2517-2524.	1.3	5
285	Assessing the economic advantage of laparoscopic vs. open approaches for colorectal cancer by a propensity score matching analysis. <i>Surgery Today</i> , 2018, 48, 439-448.	0.7	11
286	Induced Bias Due to Crossover Within Randomized Controlled Trials in Surgical Oncology: A Meta-regression Analysis of Minimally Invasive versus Open Surgery for the Treatment of Gastrointestinal Cancer. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2018, 25, 221-230.	0.7	15
287	Attempting a Laparoscopic Approach in Patients Undergoing Left-Sided Colorectal Surgery Who Have Had a Previous Laparotomy: Is it Feasible?. <i>Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery</i> , 2018, 22, 316-320.	0.9	5
288	Robotic versus laparoscopic versus open colorectal surgery: towards defining criteria to the right choice. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2018, 32, 24-38.	1.3	46
289	Redo transanal total mesorectal excision (Re-TaTME) after initial TaTME; is it possible?. <i>AME Case Reports</i> , 2018, 2, 6-6.	0.2	2
291	Cancer survivorsâ€™ needs during various treatment phases after multimodal treatment for colon cancer - is there a role for eHealth?. <i>BMC Cancer</i> , 2018, 18, 1207.	1.1	37
292	The application of transanal total mesorectal excision for patients with middle and low rectal cancer. <i>Medicine (United States)</i> , 2018, 97, e11410.	0.4	18
293	Evolution of surgery for rectal cancer: Transanal total mesorectal excision~new standard or fad?~. <i>Journal of the Anus, Rectum and Colon</i> , 2018, 2, 115-121.	0.4	2
294	Effect of cancer characteristics and oncological outcomes associated with laparoscopic colorectal resection converted to open surgery. <i>Medicine (United States)</i> , 2018, 97, e13317.	0.4	5
295	Clinical Significance of Pelvic Peritonization in Laparoscopic Dixon Surgery. <i>Chinese Medical Journal</i> , 2018, 131, 289-294.	0.9	5
296	Trans-anal or trans-abdominal total mesorectal excision? A systematic review and meta-analysis of recent comparative studies on perioperative outcomes and pathological result. <i>International Journal of Surgery</i> , 2018, 60, 113-119.	1.1	15
297	A quest for sphincter-saving surgery in ultralow rectal tumoursâ€™ a single-centre cohort study. <i>World Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2018, 16, 218.	0.8	14
298	Transanal total mesorectal excision for low rectal cancer: a case-matched study comparing TaTME versus standard laparoscopic TME. <i>Cancer Management and Research</i> , 2018, Volume 10, 5239-5245.	0.9	23
299	Transanal total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer with indocyanine green fluorescence angiography. <i>Techniques in Coloproctology</i> , 2018, 22, 785-791.	0.8	19
300	A Meta-Analysis and Systematic Review of Perioperative Outcomes of Laparoscopic-assisted Rectal Resection (LARR) Versus Open Rectal Resection (ORR) for Carcinoma. <i>Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy and Percutaneous Techniques</i> , 2018, 28, 337-348.	0.4	4
302	Short- and long-term outcomes following laparoscopic <i>vs</i> open surgery for pathological T4 colorectal cancer: 10 years of experience in a single center. <i>World Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2018, 24, 76-86.	1.4	29
303	Total mesorectal excision with or without preoperative chemoradiotherapy for resectable mid/low rectal cancer: a long-term analysis of a prospective, single-center, randomized trial. <i>Cancer Communications</i> , 2018, 38, 1-10.	3.7	9

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
304	Transanal total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer: state of the art. Techniques in Coloproctology, 2018, 22, 649-655.	0.8	13
305	The impact of conversion on the risk of major complication following laparoscopic colonic surgery: an international, multicentre prospective audit. Colorectal Disease, 2018, 20, 69-89.	0.7	13
306	Totally laparoscopic versus laparoscopic-assisted low anterior resection for rectal cancer: are outcomes different?. ANZ Journal of Surgery, 2018, 88, E818-E823.	0.3	1
307	Minimally invasive surgery for colorectal cancer remains underutilized in Germany despite its nationwide application over the last decade. Scientific Reports, 2018, 8, 15146.	1.6	20
308	Intracorporeal versus extracorporeal anastomosis for minimally invasive right colectomy: A multi-center propensity score-matched comparison of outcomes. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0206277.	1.1	52
309	Different Risk Factors for Early and Late Colorectal Anastomotic Leakage in a Nationwide Audit. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2018, 61, 1258-1266.	0.7	145
310	Survival after Minimally Invasive Radical Hysterectomy for Early-Stage Cervical Cancer. New England Journal of Medicine, 2018, 379, 1905-1914.	13.9	527
311	Robotic gastrointestinal surgery. Current Problems in Surgery, 2018, 55, 198-246.	0.6	14
312	Laparoscopic Curative Resection for Rectal Cancer: A Cohort Study on Long-term Outcome. Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy and Percutaneous Techniques, 2018, 28, 318-323.	0.4	2
313	Closure of the perineal defect after abdominoperineal excision for rectal adenocarcinoma – Position Statement. Colorectal Disease, 2018, 20, 5-23.	0.7	55
314	Short- and long-term outcomes of robotic-assisted laparoscopic surgery for rectal cancer: results of a single high-volume center in Japan. International Journal of Colorectal Disease, 2018, 33, 1755-1762.	1.0	31
315	Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision for Rectal Cancer: Short Term Outcomes from Two Centers. Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A, 2018, 28, 1476-1482.	0.5	4
316	Robotic-Assisted versus Conventional Laparoscopic Approach for Rectal Cancer Surgery, First Egyptian Academic Center Experience, RCT. Minimally Invasive Surgery, 2018, 2018, 1-11.	0.1	24
317	The ALCCaS Trial: A Randomized Controlled Trial Comparing Quality of Life Following Laparoscopic Versus Open Colectomy for Colon Cancer. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2018, 61, 1156-1162.	0.7	44
318	Controversies in Surgical Oncology: Does the Minimally Invasive Approach for Rectal Cancer Provide Equivalent Oncologic Outcomes Compared with the Open Approach?. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 25, 3587-3595.	0.7	16
319	Does robotic rectal cancer surgery improve the results of experienced laparoscopic surgeons? An observational single institution study comparing 168 robotic assisted with 184 laparoscopic rectal resections. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2018, 32, 4562-4570.	1.3	19
320	Laparoscopic Pelvic Exenteration for Locally Advanced Rectal Cancer, Technique and Short-Term Outcomes. Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A, 2018, 28, 1489-1494.	0.5	13
321	Meta-analysis of histopathological outcomes of laparoscopic assisted rectal resection (LARR) vs open rectal resection (ORR) for carcinoma. American Journal of Surgery, 2018, 216, 1004-1015.	0.9	7

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
322	Impact of laparoscopic approach in emergency major abdominal surgery: single-centre analysis of 748 consecutive cases. <i>Annals of the Royal College of Surgeons of England</i> , 2018, 100, 279-284.	0.3	30
323	Diagnostic Yield and False-Referral Rate of Staging Chest CT in Patients with Colon Cancer. <i>Radiology</i> , 2018, 289, 535-545.	3.6	10
324	Is the laparoscopic approach for rectal cancer superior to open surgery? A systematic review and meta-analysis on short-term surgical outcomes. <i>Wideochirurgia I Inne Techniki Maloinwazyjne</i> , 2018, 13, 129-140.	0.3	17
325	Spillage of bacterial products during colon surgery increases the risk of liver metastases development in a rat colon carcinoma model. <i>Oncolmmunology</i> , 2018, 7, e1461302.	2.1	6
326	Does obesity impact postoperative outcomes following robotic-assisted surgery for rectal cancer?. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2018, 32, 4886-4892.	1.3	14
327	Rectal Cancer, Version 2.2018, NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. <i>Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network: JNCCN</i> , 2018, 16, 874-901.	2.3	698
328	Long-term outcomes after Natural Orifice Specimen Extraction versus conventional laparoscopy-assisted surgery for rectal cancer: a matched case-control study. <i>Annals of Surgical Treatment and Research</i> , 2018, 94, 26.	0.4	52
329	Toward a standard ontology of surgical process models. <i>International Journal of Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery</i> , 2018, 13, 1397-1408.	1.7	54
330	Laparoscopic TME and Sphincter-Saving Procedures. , 2018, , 139-162.		0
331	Surgical Management of Hereditary Colorectal Cancer Syndromes. , 2018, , 327-347.		0
332	Analysis of Prognostic Factors for Resected Synchronous and Metachronous Liver Metastases from Colorectal Cancer. <i>Gastroenterology Research and Practice</i> , 2018, 2018, 1-14.	0.7	16
333	An overview of 25 years of incidence, treatment and outcome of colorectal cancer patients. <i>International Journal of Cancer</i> , 2018, 143, 2758-2766.	2.3	203
334	Prognostic factors for return to work and work disability among colorectal cancer survivors; A systematic review. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2018, 13, e0200720.	1.1	36
336	Personalized management of elderly patients with rectal cancer: Expert recommendations of the European Society of Surgical Oncology, European Society of Coloproctology, International Society of Geriatric Oncology, and American College of Surgeons Commission on Cancer. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2018, 44, 1685-1702.	0.5	100
337	The Future of Rectal Cancer Surgery: A Narrative Review of an International Symposium. <i>Surgical Innovation</i> , 2018, 25, 525-535.	0.4	8
339	Preoperative evaluation of skeletal muscle mass in the risk assessment for the short-term outcome of elderly colorectal cancer patients undergoing colectomy. <i>Molecular and Clinical Oncology</i> , 2018, 8, 779-784.	0.4	9
340	The Pattern of Defects in Mesorectal Specimens: Is There a Difference between Transanal and Laparoscopic Approaches?. <i>Scandinavian Journal of Surgery</i> , 2019, 108, 49-54.	1.3	5
341	Comparison Between Laparoscopic and Open Resection Following Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy for Mid-Low Rectal Cancer Patients: A Meta-Analysis. <i>Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A</i> , 2019, 29, 316-322.	0.5	5

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
342	Effect of Age and Comorbidities on Short- and Long-Term Results in Patients Undergoing Laparoscopic Curative Resection for Rectal Cancer. <i>Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A</i> , 2019, 29, 353-359.	0.5	8
343	A prospective study of the safety and usefulness of a new miniature wide-angle camera: the "BirdView camera system". <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2019, 33, 199-205.	1.3	8
344	Residual mesorectum on postoperative magnetic resonance imaging following transanal total mesorectal excision (TaTME) and laparoscopic total mesorectal excision (LapTME) in rectal cancer. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2019, 33, 94-102.	1.3	36
345	Trans-perineal minimally invasive surgery during laparoscopic abdominoperineal resection for low rectal cancer. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2019, 33, 437-447.	1.3	13
346	Impact of Left Colonic Artery Preservation on Anastomotic Leakage in Laparoscopic Sigmoid Resection and Anterior Resection for Sigmoid and Rectosigmoid Colon Cancer. <i>Journal of Gastrointestinal Cancer</i> , 2019, 50, 723-727.	0.6	9
347	Comparison of early experience of robotic and transanal total mesorectal excision using propensity score matching. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2019, 33, 757-763.	1.3	25
348	Bowel Obstruction and Ventral Hernia After Laparoscopic Versus Open Surgery for Rectal Cancer in A Randomized Trial (COLOR II). <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2019, 269, 53-57.	2.1	35
349	Learning Curve in Laparoscopic Liver Resection, Educational Value of Simulation and Training Programmes: A Systematic Review. <i>World Journal of Surgery</i> , 2019, 43, 2710-2719.	0.8	27
351	Efficacy of different surgical approaches in the clinical and survival outcomes of patients with early-stage cervical cancer: protocol of a phase III multicentre randomised controlled trial in China. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2019, 9, e029055.	0.8	39
352	Standardised approach to laparoscopic total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer: a prospective multi-centre analysis. <i>Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery</i> , 2019, 404, 547-555.	0.8	10
353	Impact of surgical approach on oncologic outcomes in women undergoing radical hysterectomy for cervical cancer. <i>American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology</i> , 2019, 221, 619.e1-619.e24.	0.7	102
354	The value of force and torque measurements in transanal total mesorectal excision (TaTME). <i>Techniques in Coloproctology</i> , 2019, 23, 843-852.	0.8	4
355	Laparoscopic surgery for rectal cancer reveals comparable oncological outcome even in context of worse short-term results"long-term analysis of nearly 500 patients from two high-volume centers. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2019, 34, 1541-1550.	1.0	2
356	Comparison of pathological outcomes between robotic rectal cancer surgery and laparoscopic rectal cancer surgery: A meta-analysis based on seven randomized controlled trials. <i>International Journal of Medical Robotics and Computer Assisted Surgery</i> , 2019, 15, e2027.	1.2	15
357	Evolving Treatment Options and Future Directions for Locally Advanced Rectal Cancer. <i>Clinical Colorectal Cancer</i> , 2019, 18, 231-237.	1.0	7
358	Short-term efficacy of natural orifice specimen extraction surgery for low rectal cancer. <i>World Journal of Clinical Cases</i> , 2019, 7, 122-129.	0.3	14
359	The impact of robotic colorectal surgery in obese patients: a systematic review, meta-analysis, and meta-regression. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2019, 33, 3558-3566.	1.3	17
360	Short-term efficacy of transvaginal specimen extraction for right colon cancer based on propensity score matching: A retrospective cohort study. <i>International Journal of Surgery</i> , 2019, 72, 102-108.	1.1	23

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
361	Size and lipid modification determine liposomal Indocyanine green performance for tumor imaging in a model of rectal cancer. <i>Scientific Reports</i> , 2019, 9, 8566.	1.6	7
362	A National study on the adoption of laparoscopic colorectal surgery in the elderly population: current state and value proposition. <i>Techniques in Coloproctology</i> , 2019, 23, 965-972.	0.8	5
363	Short-term outcomes of robotic-assisted laparoscopic rectal surgery: A pilot study during the introductory period at a local municipal hospital. <i>Journal of the Anus, Rectum and Colon</i> , 2019, 3, 27-35.	0.4	0
364	Oncological outcomes and quality of life after rectal cancer surgery. <i>Open Medicine (Poland)</i> , 2019, 14, 653-662.	0.6	11
365	State of the Art " Rectal Cancer Surgery. <i>Visceral Medicine</i> , 2019, 35, 252-258.	0.5	3
366	The impact of robotic total mesorectal excision on survival of patients with rectal cancer" a propensity matched analysis. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2019, 34, 2081-2089.	1.0	12
367	Points clés, limites et innovations de la "section laparoscopique des métastases hépatiques d'origine colorectale. <i>Journal De Chirurgie Viscérale</i> , 2019, 156, 355-365.	0.0	0
368	Comprehensive intra-individual genomic and transcriptional heterogeneity: Evidence-based Colorectal Cancer Precision Medicine. <i>Cancer Treatment Reviews</i> , 2019, 80, 101894.	3.4	37
369	Propensity score-matching analysis comparing laparoscopic and open pancreaticoduodenectomy in elderly patients. <i>Scientific Reports</i> , 2019, 9, 12961.	1.6	22
370	Quality of Life Following Intersphincteric Resections for Low Rectal Cancer: Early Results. , 2019, , .		0
371	Revisiting Minimally Invasive Surgery in the Management of Early-Stage Cervical Cancer. <i>Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network: JNCCN</i> , 2019, 17, 86-90.	2.3	19
372	Minimally-Invasive Radical Hysterectomy for Cancer of the Cervix: The Perspective of the Society of Gynecologic Oncology of Canada (GOC). <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada</i> , 2019, 41, 143-145.	0.3	2
373	Surgical Outcomes of Rectal Resection: Our 10 Years Experience. <i>Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A</i> , 2019, 29, 820-825.	0.5	1
374	Laparoscopic Versus Open Resection for Rectal Cancer. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2019, 269, 849-855.	2.1	50
375	Video-Assisted Thoracoscopic Lobectomy for Lung Cancer. <i>Annals of Thoracic Surgery</i> , 2019, 107, 603-609.	0.7	36
376	The oncological and surgical safety of robot-assisted surgery in colorectal cancer: outcomes of a longitudinal prospective cohort study. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2019, 33, 3644-3655.	1.3	39
377	Short-term Outcomes of Transanal versus Laparoscopic Total Mesorectal Excision: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Cohort Studies. <i>Journal of Cancer</i> , 2019, 10, 341-354.	1.2	24
378	The impact of the surgical routes and learning curve of radical hysterectomy on the survival outcomes in stage IB cervical cancer: A retrospective cohort study. <i>International Journal of Surgery</i> , 2019, 68, 72-77.	1.1	28

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
379	Treatment and survival of locally recurrent rectal cancer: A cross-sectional population study 15 years after the Dutch TME trial. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2019, 45, 2059-2069.	0.5	22
381	Hybrid minimally invasive/open approach versus total minimally invasive approach for rectal cancer resection: short- and long-term results. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2019, 34, 1251-1258.	1.0	1
382	Does transanal total mesorectal excision of rectal cancer improve histopathology metrics and/or complication rates? A meta-analysis. <i>Surgical Oncology</i> , 2019, 30, 47-51.	0.8	9
383	Changes in the multidisciplinary management of rectal cancer from 2009 to 2015 and associated improvements in short-term outcomes. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2019, 21, 1140-1150.	0.7	16
384	Transanal total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer: hype or new hope?. <i>Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology</i> , 2019, 10, 1193-1199.	0.6	4
385	Highlights, limitations and future challenges of laparoscopic resection for colorectal liver metastases. <i>Journal of Visceral Surgery</i> , 2019, 156, 329-337.	0.4	2
386	Impact of surgical approach on short-term oncological outcomes and recovery following low anterior resection for rectal cancer. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2019, 21, 932-942.	0.7	9
387	Emergency Surgical Management of Colorectal Cancer. <i>Hot Topics in Acute Care Surgery and Trauma</i> , 2019, , .	0.1	2
389	Oncologic Outcomes. , 2019, , 405-410.		0
391	Current Controversies and Challenges in Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision (taTME). , 2019, , 493-497.		0
392	Robotic versus laparoscopic rectal resection surgery: Short-term outcomes and complications: A retrospective comparative study. <i>Surgical Oncology</i> , 2019, 29, 71-77.	0.8	14
393	Full convolutional network based multiple side-output fusion architecture for the segmentation of rectal tumors in magnetic resonance images: A multi-vendor study. <i>Medical Physics</i> , 2019, 46, 2659-2668.	1.6	15
394	Transanal total mesorectal excision: how are we doing so far?. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2019, 21, 767-774.	0.7	11
395	The Impact of Minimally Invasive Technology in Rectal Cancer. , 2019, , 147-160.		0
397	Impact of enhanced recovery on oncological outcomes following minimally invasive surgery for rectal cancer. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2019, 106, 922-929.	0.1	16
398	Current Trends in the Management of Low Rectal Tumors: Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision. <i>Current Colorectal Cancer Reports</i> , 2019, 15, 90-97.	1.0	0
399	Laparoscopic versus Open Surgery in Lateral Lymph Node Dissection for Advanced Rectal Cancer: A Meta-Analysis. <i>Gastroenterology Research and Practice</i> , 2019, 2019, 1-13.	0.7	13
400	The technical aspects of rectal cancer surgery. <i>Seminars in Colon and Rectal Surgery</i> , 2019, 30, 68-74.	0.2	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
401	Salvage surgery for local regrowths in Watch & Wait - Are we harming our patients by deferring the surgery?. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2019, 45, 1559-1566.	0.5	38
402	International consensus on natural orifice specimen extraction surgery (NOSES) for colorectal cancer. <i>Gastroenterology Report</i> , 2019, 7, 24-31.	0.6	109
403	The Internist's Guide to Minimally Invasive Gastrointestinal Surgery. <i>Clinical Gastroenterology</i> , 2019, , .	0.0	0
404	Trends and outcomes in laparoscopic versus open surgery for rectal cancer from 2005 to 2016 using the ACS-NSQIP database, a retrospective cohort study. <i>International Journal of Surgery</i> , 2019, 63, 71-76.	1.1	18
405	Systemic review and network meta-analysis comparing minimal surgical techniques for rectal cancer: quality of total mesorectum excision, pathological, surgical, and oncological outcomes. <i>Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2019, 119, 987-998.	0.8	16
406	Conventional Versus Minimally Invasive Hartmann Takedown: A Meta-analysis of the Literature. <i>World Journal of Surgery</i> , 2019, 43, 1820-1828.	0.8	25
407	Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision: Is There a Real Advantage? The Baltic View. <i>Visceral Medicine</i> , 2019, 35, 145-150.	0.5	2
408	Laparoscopic and open resection of rectal cancer is age an effect modifier for short- and long-term survival?. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2019, 34, 821-828.	1.0	6
410	Current Surgical Strategies in the Management of Rectal Cancer. <i>Current Colorectal Cancer Reports</i> , 2019, 15, 18-27.	1.0	1
411	Optimizing Patient Selection for Laparoscopic and Open Colorectal Cancer Resections: A National Surgical Quality Improvement Program Matched Analysis. <i>American Surgeon</i> , 2019, 85, 206-212.	0.4	3
412	TaTME: 2 Years of Experience of a Single Center. <i>Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy and Percutaneous Techniques</i> , 2019, 29, 64-68.	0.4	7
413	Transanal total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer has been suspended in Norway. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2019, 107, 121-130.	0.1	188
415	Circumferential Resection Margin After Laparoscopic and Open Rectal Resection: A Nationwide Propensity Score Matched Cohort Study. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2019, 62, 1177-1185.	0.7	7
416	Open Versus Laparoscopic Versus Robotic Versus Transanal Mesorectal Excision for Rectal Cancer. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2019, 270, 59-68.	2.1	123
417	Surgical Quality Assurance in COLOR III. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2019, 270, 768-774.	2.1	25
418	Disease-free Survival and Local Recurrence After Laparoscopic-assisted Resection or Open Resection for Rectal Cancer. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2019, 269, 596-602.	2.1	210
419	Laparoscopic Total Pelvic Exenteration for Locally Advanced or Recurrent Rectal Cancer. <i>Nihon Daicho Komonbyo Gakkai Zasshi</i> , 2019, 72, 559-566.	0.1	0
420	Surgical management of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors: an introduction. <i>Expert Review of Anticancer Therapy</i> , 2019, 19, 1089-1100.	1.1	19

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
421	Operations for Rectal Cancer. , 2019, , 2005-2034.		0
422	SSAT State-of-the-Art Conference: Advances in the Management of Rectal Cancer. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2019, 23, 433-442.	0.9	0
423	Influence of Conversion and Anastomotic Leakage on Survival in Rectal Cancer Surgery; Retrospective Cross-sectional Study. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2019, 23, 2007-2018.	0.9	22
424	Minimally Invasive Surgery for Locally Advanced Rectal Cancer. Surgical Oncology Clinics of North America, 2019, 28, 297-308.	0.6	8
425	A propensity score-matched analysis of laparoscopic vs open surgery for rectal cancer in a population-based study. Colorectal Disease, 2019, 21, 441-450.	0.7	10
426	The importance of surgery in colorectal cancer treatment. Lancet Oncology, The, 2019, 20, 6-7.	5.1	15
427	Recent Advances in the Treatment of Colorectal Cancer. , 2019, , .		2
428	Laparoscopic Surgery for Colorectal Cancer. , 2019, , 39-48.		0
429	Robotic-Assisted Laparoscopic Surgery for Rectal Cancer. , 2019, , 49-57.		0
430	Short- and Long-term Outcomes of Minimally Invasive Versus Open Multivisceral Resection for Locally Advanced Colorectal Cancer. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2019, 62, 40-46.	0.7	24
431	24 Rectal Adenocarcinoma. , 2019, , .		0
432	Laparoscopic synchronous resection of colorectal cancer and liver metastases: A systematic review. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 119, 30-39.	0.8	40
433	Meta-analysis of oncological outcomes of sigmoid cancers: A hidden epidemic of R1 palliative resections. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 45, 489-497.	0.5	3
434	Laparoscopic Complete Mesocolic Excision for Right-Sided Colon Cancer: Analysis of Feasibility and Safety from a Single Western Center. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2019, 23, 402-407.	0.9	10
435	Minimally Invasive Surgery for Rectal Adenocarcinoma Shows Promising Outcomes Compared to Laparotomy, a National Cancer Database Observational Analysis. Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A, 2019, 29, 218-224.	0.5	8
436	Guidelines for Perioperative Care in Elective Colorectal Surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS Society Recommendations: 2018. World Journal of Surgery, 2019, 43, 659-695.	0.8	1,166
437	Short-term outcomes after laparoscopic cytoreductive surgery in patients with limited peritoneal metastases from colorectal cancer. Surgery, 2019, 165, 775-781.	1.0	9
438	Successful single-stage laparoscopic surgery using a preoperative self-expanding metallic stent in patients with obstructive colorectal cancer. Asian Journal of Endoscopic Surgery, 2019, 12, 401-407.	0.4	9

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
439	2D versus 3D laparoscopic total mesorectal excision: a developmental multicentre randomised controlled trial. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2019, 33, 3370-3383.	1.3	20
440	Robotic versus laparoscopic surgery for rectal cancer: an overview of systematic reviews with quality assessment of current evidence. <i>Surgery Today</i> , 2019, 49, 556-570.	0.7	21
441	Hystérectomie radicale à effraction minimale pour le traitement d'un cancer du col de l'utérus: point de vue de la GOC. <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada</i> , 2019, 41, 146-148.	0.3	0
442	Pure natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery for rectal cancer: Ta-TME and CME without abdominal assistance. <i>Asian Journal of Surgery</i> , 2019, 42, 450-457.	0.2	5
443	Robotic surgery trends in general surgical oncology from the National Inpatient Sample. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2019, 33, 2591-2601.	1.3	55
444	Evaluation of the venous drainage pattern of the splenic flexure by preoperative three-dimensional computed tomography. <i>Asian Journal of Endoscopic Surgery</i> , 2019, 12, 412-416.	0.4	12
445	Short- and long-term outcomes of transanal versus laparoscopic total mesorectal excision for mid-to-low rectal cancer: a meta-analysis. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2019, 33, 972-985.	1.3	34
446	Comparison of the short-term outcomes in lower rectal cancer using three different surgical techniques: Transanal total mesorectal excision (TME), laparoscopic TME, and open TME. <i>Asian Journal of Surgery</i> , 2019, 42, 674-680.	0.2	26
447	The liver-first approach for locally advanced rectal cancer and synchronous liver metastases. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2019, 45, 591-596.	0.5	15
448	Long-Term Outcomes in Laparoscopic D2 Gastrectomy for Gastric Cancer: a Large Comprehensive Study Proposing Novel Hypotheses. <i>Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery</i> , 2019, 23, 1349-1361.	0.9	4
449	Chemoradiotherapy and Local Excision for Organ Preservation in Early Rectal Cancer—The End of the Beginning?. <i>JAMA Surgery</i> , 2019, 154, 54.	2.2	4
450	Simultaneous laparoscopic proctocolectomy (TaTME) and robot-assisted radical prostatectomy for synchronous rectal and prostate cancer. <i>Acta Chirurgica Belgica</i> , 2019, 119, 47-51.	0.2	4
451	How Is Rectal Cancer Managed: a Survey Exploring Current Practice Patterns in Canada. <i>Journal of Gastrointestinal Cancer</i> , 2019, 50, 260-268.	0.6	8
452	Treatment of Colorectal Cancer: a Multidisciplinary Approach. <i>Journal of Gastrointestinal Cancer</i> , 2019, 50, 458-468.	0.6	12
453	Laparoscopic surgery in rectal cancer patients taking anti-thrombotic therapy. <i>Minimally Invasive Therapy and Allied Technologies</i> , 2020, 29, 202-209.	0.6	2
454	Laparoscopic and open surgery in rectal cancer patients in Germany: short and long-term results of a large 10-year population-based cohort. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2020, 34, 1132-1141.	1.3	29
455	Can rectal washout reduce anastomotic recurrence after anterior resection for rectal cancer? A review of the literature. <i>Surgery Today</i> , 2020, 50, 644-649.	0.7	15
456	Structured training pathway and proctoring; multicenter results of the implementation of transanal total mesorectal excision (TaTME) in the Netherlands. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2020, 34, 192-201.	1.3	57

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
458	Pelvic dimensions on preoperative imaging can identify poor-quality resections after laparoscopic low anterior resection for mid- and low rectal cancer. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2020, 34, 4609-4615.	1.3	9
459	Total mesorectal excision for low and middle rectal cancer: laparoscopic versus transanal approach—a meta-analysis. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2020, 34, 3908-3919.	1.3	56
460	Cost-effectiveness analysis of laparoscopic and open surgery in routine Swedish care for colorectal cancer. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2020, 34, 4403-4412.	1.3	22
461	Teaching robotic rectal cancer surgery at your workplace: does the presence of visiting surgeons in the operating room have a detrimental effect on outcomes?. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2020, 34, 3936-3943.	1.3	1
462	Short-term and long-term outcomes of robotic rectal surgery—from the real world data of 1145 consecutive cases in China. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2020, 34, 4079-4088.	1.3	15
463	Transanal total mesorectal excision (taTME) for rectal cancer: beyond the learning curve. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2020, 34, 4101-4109.	1.3	27
464	Sensitive and selective detection of Pb (II) and Cu (II) using a metal-organic framework/polypyrrole nanocomposite functionalized electrode. <i>Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical</i> , 2020, 304, 127286.	4.0	47
465	Prognostic Impact of the Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio in Stage I-II Rectal Cancer Patients. <i>Journal of Surgical Research</i> , 2020, 245, 281-287.	0.8	16
466	Right vs Left Colon Cancers Have Comparable Survival: a Decade's Experience. <i>Indian Journal of Surgery</i> , 2020, 82, 134-141.	0.2	1
467	An international comparison of the utilisation of and outcomes from minimal access surgery for the treatment of common abdominal surgical emergencies. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2020, 34, 2012-2018.	1.3	2
468	A multicentre cohort study of serum and peritoneal biomarkers to predict anastomotic leakage after rectal cancer resection. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2020, 22, 36-45.	0.7	24
469	Comparing pathologic outcomes for robotic versus laparoscopic Surgery in rectal cancer resection: a propensity adjusted analysis of 7616 patients. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2020, 34, 2613-2622.	1.3	14
470	A new human cadaver model for laparoscopic training using N-vinyl-2-pyrrolidone: a feasibility study. <i>Anatomical Science International</i> , 2020, 95, 156-164.	0.5	11
471	Predicting the level of difficulty of the double-stapling technique in laparoscopic total mesorectal excision. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2020, 34, 3382-3387.	1.3	5
472	Short- and long-term outcomes of robotic surgery for rectal cancer: a single-center retrospective cohort study. <i>Surgery Today</i> , 2020, 50, 240-247.	0.7	11
473	Outcomes in rectal cancer patients undergoing laparoscopic or robotic low anterior resection compared to open: a propensity-matched analysis of the NCDB (2010–2015). <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2020, 34, 4754-4771.	1.3	12
474	Implementation of Novel Robotic Systems in Colorectal Surgery. , 2020, , 147-158.		3
475	The Use of Robotics in Colorectal Surgery. , 2020, , 159-170.		0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
476	Prospective evaluation of muscle strength and spine joint motility of patients who underwent surgery for colorectal cancer by open and laparoscopic methods. <i>Wideochirurgia I Inne Techniki Maloinwazyjne</i> , 2020, 15, 49-57.	0.3	1
477	Smart Composites and Hybrid Soft-Foldable Technologies for Minimally Invasive Surgical Robots. , 2020, , 323-340.		2
478	A comparison of open, laparoscopic and robotic total mesorectal excision: trial sequential analysis and network meta-analysis. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2020, 22, 382-391.	0.7	11
479	Totally robotic vs hybrid abdominoperineal resection: A retrospective multicenter analysis. <i>International Journal of Medical Robotics and Computer Assisted Surgery</i> , 2020, 16, e2073.	1.2	3
480	Long-term oncologic outcome and risk factors after conversion in laparoscopic surgery for colon cancer. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2020, 35, 395-402.	1.0	7
481	Nationwide analysis of hospital variation in preoperative radiotherapy use for rectal cancer following guideline revision. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 46, 486-494.	0.5	14
482	Does conversion during laparoscopic rectal oncological surgery increases postoperative complications and anastomotic leakage rates? A meta-analysis. <i>Journal of Visceral Surgery</i> , 2020, 157, 277-287.	0.4	5
483	Transanal total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer: a multicentric cohort study. <i>Gastroenterology Report</i> , 2020, 8, 36-41.	0.6	30
484	Regional Variation in the Utilization of Laparoscopy for the Treatment of Rectal Cancer: The Importance of Fellowship Training Sites. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 27, 2478-2486.	0.7	3
485	Grey areas and evidence gaps in the management of rectal cancer as revealed by comparing recommendations from clinical guidelines. <i>Cancer Treatment Reviews</i> , 2020, 82, 101930.	3.4	13
486	Cancer of the Rectum. , 2020, , 1281-1299.e7.		0
487	Three-dimensional <i>versus</i> Two-dimensional Laparoscopic Surgery for Colorectal Cancer: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. <i>In Vivo</i> , 2020, 34, 11-21.	0.6	18
488	Laparoscopic Posterior Pelvic Exenteration (Complete and Supralelevator) for Locally Advanced Adenocarcinoma of the Rectum in Females: Surgical Technique and Short-Term Outcomes. <i>Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A</i> , 2020, 30, 558-563.	0.5	9
489	Superior pathologic and clinical outcomes after minimally invasive rectal cancer resection, compared to open resection. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2020, 34, 3435-3448.	1.3	15
490	Oncologic and Perioperative Outcomes of Laparoscopic, Open, and Robotic Approaches for Rectal Cancer Resection: A Multicenter, Propensity Score-Weighted Cohort Study. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2020, 63, 46-52.	0.7	13
491	Changing treatment landscape for early cervical cancer: outcomes reported with minimally invasive surgery compared with an open approach. <i>Current Opinion in Obstetrics and Gynecology</i> , 2020, 32, 22-27.	0.9	19
492	Trends and outcomes of robotic surgery for gastrointestinal (GI) cancers in the USA: maintaining perioperative and oncologic safety. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2020, 34, 4932-4942.	1.3	30
493	Long-term results of laparoscopic cytoreductive surgery and HIPEC for the curative treatment of low-grade pseudomyxoma peritonei and multicystic mesothelioma. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2020, 34, 4916-4923.	1.3	19

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
495	Proficiency Level of Novice Technically Qualified Surgeons in Laparoscopic Rectal Resection. <i>Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy and Percutaneous Techniques</i> , 2020, 30, 49-54.	0.4	4
496	The severity of postoperative complications after robotic versus laparoscopic surgery for rectal cancer: A systematic review, meta-analysis and meta-regression. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2020, 15, e0239909.	1.1	15
497	Changes in the sexual function of male patients with rectal cancer over a 24-month period from diagnosis to 24-month follow-up: A prospective, multicenter, cohort study. <i>Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 122, 1647-1654.	0.8	1
498	Re-evaluation of possible vulnerable sites in the lateral pelvic cavity to local recurrence during robot-assisted total mesorectal excision. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2021, 35, 5450-5460.	1.3	1
500	Obese patients and robotic colorectal surgery: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BJS Open</i> , 2020, 4, 1042-1053.	0.7	9
501	Oncological Outcomes After Anastomotic Leakage After Surgery for Colon or Rectal Cancer. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2022, 275, e420-e427.	2.1	74
502	Current Surgical Strategies for the Treatment of Rectal Adenocarcinoma and the Risk of Local Recurrence. <i>Digestive Diseases</i> , 2021, 39, 325-333.	0.8	3
503	Laparoscopic proctectomy for rectal cancer in an automated peritoneal dialysis patient: A case report. <i>International Journal of Surgery Case Reports</i> , 2020, 74, 19-22.	0.2	0
504	Colorectal Cancer Immunotherapy: Options and Strategies. <i>Frontiers in Immunology</i> , 2020, 11, 1624.	2.2	207
505	Long-term results of a randomized study comparing open surgery and laparoscopic surgery in elderly colorectal cancer patients (Eld Lap study). <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2021, 35, 5686-5697.	1.3	10
506	Open versus laparoscopic surgery for primary appendiceal tumors: a large multicenter retrospective propensity score-matched cohort study in Japan. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2021, 35, 5515-5523.	1.3	6
507	Minimally invasive surgery in elderly patients with rectal cancer: An analysis of the Bi-National Colorectal Cancer Audit (BCCA). <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 46, 1649-1655.	0.5	5
508	Avoidance of Overtreatment of Rectal Cancer by Selective Chemoradiotherapy: Results of the Optimized Surgery and MRI-Based Multimodal Therapy Trial. <i>Journal of the American College of Surgeons</i> , 2020, 231, 413-425e2.	0.2	41
509	The Landmark Series: Minimally Invasive (Laparoscopic and Robotic) Colorectal Cancer Surgery. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 27, 3704-3715.	0.7	7
510	Association of Image-Guided Navigation With Complete Resection Rate in Patients With Locally Advanced Primary and Recurrent Rectal Cancer. <i>JAMA Network Open</i> , 2020, 3, e208522.	2.8	16
511	Recurrence Risk after Radical Colorectal Cancer Surgery—Less Than before, But How High Is It?. <i>Cancers</i> , 2020, 12, 3308.	1.7	25
512	Effect of duration of adjuvant chemotherapy for patients with stage III colon cancer (IDEA). <i>Lancet Oncology</i> , 2020, 21, 1620-1629.	5.1	152
513	Robotic colorectal cancer surgery in China: a nationwide retrospective observational study. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2020, 35, 6591-6603.	1.3	17

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
515	Laparoscopic staged colonâ€¢first resection for metastatic colorectal cancer: Perioperative and midterm outcomes from a singleâ€¢center experience. <i>Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 122, 1453-1461.	0.8	1
516	The Impact of Conversion to Laparotomy in Rectal Cancer. <i>American Surgeon</i> , 2020, 86, 811-818.	0.4	2
517	Laparoscopic Approach to Rectal Cancerâ€¢The New Standard?. <i>Frontiers in Oncology</i> , 2020, 10, 1239.	1.3	8
518	Long-term Oncologic Outcomes of Laparoscopic Anterior Resections for Cancer with Natural Orifice Versus Conventional Specimen Extraction: A Case-Control Study. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2020, 63, 1071-1079.	0.7	19
519	Nonoperative Management Versus Radical Surgery of Rectal Cancer After Neoadjuvant Therapy-Induced Clinical Complete Response: A Markov Decision Analysis. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2020, 63, 1080-1089.	0.7	4
520	Comparative Evaluation of the Short-Term Treatment Outcomes Between Open, Laparoscopic- and Robotic-Assisted Surgical Approaches for Rectal Cancer Treatment. <i>Indian Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 11, 649-652.	0.3	2
521	Influence of tumor location on short- and long-term outcomes after laparoscopic surgery for rectal cancer: a propensity score matched cohort study. <i>BMC Cancer</i> , 2020, 20, 761.	1.1	6
522	The learning curve of TaTME for mid-low rectal cancer: a comprehensive analysis from a five-year institutional experience. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2021, 35, 6190-6200.	1.3	38
523	Robotic Total Mesorectal Excision for Rectal Cancer: Short-Term Oncological Outcomes of Initial 178 Cases. <i>Indian Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 11, 653-661.	0.3	0
524	Surgical Management of Neuroendocrine Tumours of the Pancreas. <i>Journal of Clinical Medicine</i> , 2020, 9, 2993.	1.0	9
525	Survival outcomes following laparoscopic vs open surgery for non-metastatic rectal cancer: a two-center cohort study with propensity score matching. <i>Gastroenterology Report</i> , 2020, 8, 319-325.	0.6	3
526	Shortâ€¢term and longâ€¢term outcomes of laparoscopic colectomy with multivisceral resection for surgical T4b colon cancer: Comparison with open colectomy. <i>Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery</i> , 2020, 4, 676-683.	1.2	14
527	Comparison of the perioperative outcomes of laparoscopic surgery, robotic surgery, open surgery, and transanal total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer: An overview of systematic reviews. <i>Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery</i> , 2020, 4, 628-634.	1.2	6
528	Clinical impact of Endoscopic Surgical Skill Qualification System (ESSQS) by Japan Society for Endoscopic Surgery (JSES) for laparoscopic distal gastrectomy and low anterior resection based on the National Clinical Database (NCD) registry. <i>Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery</i> , 2020, 4, 721-734.	1.2	31
529	Outcomes of Definitive Treatment of Signet Ring Cell Carcinoma of the Rectum: Is Minimal Invasive Surgery Detrimental in Signet Ring Rectal Cancers?. <i>Indian Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 11, 597-603.	0.3	2
530	Efficacy of different surgical approaches on survival outcomes in patients with early-stage cervical cancer: protocol for a multicentre longitudinal study in China. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2020, 10, e038020.	0.8	2
531	Inequalities in access to minimally invasive general surgery: a comprehensive nationwide analysis across 20Åyears. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2021, 35, 6227-6243.	1.3	17
532	Laparoscopic abdominosacral resection for rectal and anal canal carcinoma with pagetoid spread. <i>Asian Journal of Endoscopic Surgery</i> , 2020, 14, 624-627.	0.4	1

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
533	Enhanced Laparoscopic Vision Improves Detection of Intraoperative Adverse Events During Laparoscopy. <i>Irbm</i> , 2020, , .	3.7	3
534	ISR for T1-2 Low Rectal Cancer: A Japanese Approach. <i>Clinics in Colon and Rectal Surgery</i> , 2020, 33, 361-365.	0.5	9
535	Robotics and transanal total mesorectal excision. <i>Annals of Laparoscopic and Endoscopic Surgery</i> , 0, 5, 41-41.	0.5	0
536	Sacrectomy for Recurrent Rectal Cancer Using the Transanal Total Mesorectum Excision Technique. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2020, 63, e566-e573.	0.7	4
537	Current status of surgical treatment of rectal cancer in China. <i>Chinese Medical Journal</i> , 2020, 133, 2703-2711.	0.9	23
539	Minimally Invasive Proctectomy for Rectal Cancer: A National Perspective on Short-term Outcomes and Morbidity. <i>World Journal of Surgery</i> , 2020, 44, 3130-3140.	0.8	5
540	Transanal total mesorectal excision: the new kid on the block or a false dawn?. <i>ANZ Journal of Surgery</i> , 2020, 90, 651-652.	0.3	3
541	Impact of intraoperative zero-balance fluid therapy on the occurrence of acute kidney injury in patients who had undergone colorectal cancer resection within an enhanced recovery after surgery protocol: a propensity score matching analysis. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2020, 35, 1537-1548.	1.0	9
542	Transanal total mesorectal excision the Gold Coast experience: learning curve and comparison to traditional technique. <i>ANZ Journal of Surgery</i> , 2020, 90, 1316-1320.	0.3	3
544	Changes in surgical therapies for rectal cancer over the past 100 years: A review. <i>Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery</i> , 2020, 4, 331-342.	1.2	13
545	A controlled study on the efficacy and quality of life of laparoscopic intersphincteric resection (ISR) and extralevator abdominoperineal resection (ELAPE) in the treatment of extremely low rectal cancer. <i>Medicine (United States)</i> , 2020, 99, e20245.	0.4	4
546	Transperineal minimally invasive APE: preliminary outcomes in a multicenter cohort. <i>Techniques in Coloproctology</i> , 2020, 24, 823-831.	0.8	8
547	Meta-analysis and trial sequential analysis of robotic versus laparoscopic total mesorectal excision in management of rectal cancer. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2020, 35, 1423-1438.	1.0	10
548	Locoregional recurrences after transanal total mesorectal excision of rectal cancer during the exploration phase. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2020, 107, e353-e353.	0.1	2
550	Functional complaints and quality of life after transanal total mesorectal excision: a meta-analysis. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2020, 107, 489-498.	0.1	49
551	Laparoscopic Surgery Versus Open Surgery for Colorectal Cancer: Impacts on Natural Killer Cells. <i>Cancer Control</i> , 2020, 27, 107327482090681.	0.7	13
552	Development of Visible Manipulator With Multi-Gear Array Mechanism for Laparoscopic Surgery. <i>IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters</i> , 2020, 5, 3090-3097.	3.3	7
553	Impact of technically qualified surgeons on laparoscopic colorectal resection outcomes: results of a propensity score-matching analysis. <i>BJS Open</i> , 2020, 4, 486-498.	0.7	23

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
554	Long-term survival outcomes following laparoscopic surgery for clinical stage 0/I rectal carcinoma. <i>Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery</i> , 2020, 4, 294-300.	1.2	0
555	Risk factors for nonclosure of defunctioning stoma and stoma-related complications among low rectal cancer patients after sphincter-preserving surgery. <i>Chronic Diseases and Translational Medicine</i> , 2020, 6, 188-197.	0.9	4
556	The possibility of a transanal tube as an alternative to diverting stoma in terms of preventing severe postoperative anastomotic leakage after laparoscopic low anterior resection. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2020, 35, 2055-2064.	1.0	6
557	In experienced hands, does the robotic platform impact operative efficiency? Comparison of the da Vinci Si versus Xi robot in colorectal surgery. <i>Journal of Robotic Surgery</i> , 2020, 14, 789-792.	1.0	12
558	Prevention of anastomotic leak in rectal cancer surgery with local antibiotic decontamination: a prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled single center trial. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2020, 35, 847-857.	1.0	28
559	The surgical robot Micro Hand S: A cheapest device for an innovative surgery. An invited commentary on "Chinese surgical robot Micro Hand S: A consecutive case series in general surgery". <i>International Journal of Surgery</i> , 2020, 76, 25-26.	1.1	0
560	Robotic Colorectal Surgery. <i>Surgical Clinics of North America</i> , 2020, 100, 337-360.	0.5	31
561	Combination of Polypropylene Mesh and in Situ Injectable Mussel-Inspired Hydrogel in Laparoscopic Hernia Repair for Preventing Post-Surgical Adhesions in the Piglet Model. <i>ACS Biomaterials Science and Engineering</i> , 2020, 6, 1735-1743.	2.6	30
562	taTME: boom or bust?. <i>Gastroenterology Report</i> , 2020, 8, 1-4.	0.6	12
563	Meta-analysis of transanal total mesorectal excision versus laparoscopic total mesorectal excision in management of rectal cancer. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2020, 35, 575-593.	1.0	35
564	Machine Learning Algorithms for Predicting the Recurrence of Stage IV Colorectal Cancer After Tumor Resection. <i>Scientific Reports</i> , 2020, 10, 2519.	1.6	54
565	Association between surgical approach and survival following resection of abdominopelvic malignancies. <i>Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 121, 620-629.	0.8	2
566	Comparing the safety, efficacy, and oncological outcomes of laparoscopic and open colectomy in transverse colon cancer: a meta-analysis. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2020, 35, 373-386.	1.0	11
567	Clinical factors affecting the distal margin in rectal cancer surgery. <i>Surgery Today</i> , 2020, 50, 743-748.	0.7	2
568	Effect of Preoperative Nutritional Risk Screening on Postoperative Recovery in Patients with Laparoscopic-Assisted Radical Resection for Colorectal Cancer. <i>Gastroenterology Research and Practice</i> , 2020, 2020, 1-7.	0.7	1
569	La conversion d'une chirurgie rectale oncologique par laparoscopie augmente-t-elle les complications postopératoires et les taux de fistules anastomotiques? Résultats d'une méta-analyse. <i>Journal De Chirurgie Viscérale</i> , 2020, 157, 283-294.	0.0	0
570	Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision (Ta-TME) in a Rectal Cancer Patient with a History of Abdominal Surgery: A Case Report. <i>Journal of the Anus, Rectum and Colon</i> , 2020, 4, 41-46.	0.4	3
571	Robotic Surgery for Rectal Cancer: Operative Technique and Review of the Literature. <i>Journal of the Anus, Rectum and Colon</i> , 2020, 4, 14-24.	0.4	25

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
572	Essential Updates 2018/2019: Essential advances in surgical and adjuvant therapies for colorectal cancer. <i>Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery</i> , 2020, 4, 39-46.	1.2	18
573	Accurate surgical navigation with real-time tumor tracking in cancer surgery. <i>Npj Precision Oncology</i> , 2020, 4, 8.	2.3	16
574	Total Mesorectal Excision Technique—Past, Present, and Future. <i>Clinics in Colon and Rectal Surgery</i> , 2020, 33, 134-143.	0.5	53
575	Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision: Description of the Technique. <i>Clinics in Colon and Rectal Surgery</i> , 2020, 33, 144-149.	0.5	2
576	Locoregional recurrences after transanal total mesorectal excision of rectal cancer during implementation. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2020, 107, 1211-1220.	0.1	88
578	Ligating the rectum with cable tie facilitates rectum transection in laparoscopic anterior resection of rectal cancer. <i>Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery</i> , 2020, 405, 233-239.	0.8	4
579	Total mesorectal excision — 40 years of standard of rectal cancer surgery. <i>Acta Chirurgica Belgica</i> , 2020, 120, 286-290.	0.2	5
580	Robotic <i>vs</i> laparoscopic total mesorectal excision for rectal cancers: has a paradigm change occurred? A systematic review by updated meta-analysis. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2020, 22, 1506-1517.	0.7	27
581	Laparoscopic vs. open liver resections of posterolateral liver segments — a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Wideochirurgia I Inne Techniki Maloinwazyjne</i> , 2020, 15, 395-402.	0.3	3
582	Minimally invasive rectal surgery: Laparoscopy, robotics, and transanal approaches. <i>Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 122, 78-84.	0.8	2
583	SAGES and EAES recommendations for minimally invasive surgery during COVID-19 pandemic. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2020, 34, 2327-2331.	1.3	166
584	Role of intraoperative oliguria in risk stratification for postoperative acute kidney injury in patients undergoing colorectal surgery with an enhanced recovery protocol: A propensity score matching analysis. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2020, 15, e0231447.	1.1	12
585	Predicting Difficult Laparoscopic Total Mesorectal Excision for Locally-advanced Mid-low Rectal Cancer: The EuMaRCS Score Validation. <i>Anticancer Research</i> , 2020, 40, 2079-2087.	0.5	3
586	Oncological safety of transanal total mesorectal excision (TaTME) for rectal cancer: mid-term results of a prospective multicentre study. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2021, 35, 1808-1819.	1.3	27
587	Early postoperative outcomes of diverting loop ileostomy closure surgery following laparoscopic versus open colorectal surgery. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2021, 35, 2509-2514.	1.3	10
588	Robotic rectal cancer surgery with single side-docking technique: experience of a tertiary care university hospital. <i>Journal of Robotic Surgery</i> , 2021, 15, 135-142.	1.0	0
589	Transition from laparoscopic to robotic rectal resection: outcomes and learning curve of the initial 100 cases. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2021, 35, 2921-2927.	1.3	17
590	Predictive ability of preoperative CT scan for the intraoperative difficulty and postoperative outcomes of laparoscopic liver resection. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2021, 35, 2942-2952.	1.3	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
591	A comparative analysis of outcomes of open, laparoscopic, and robotic elective (procto-) colectomies for benign and malignant disease. <i>Journal of Robotic Surgery</i> , 2021, 15, 53-62.	1.0	8
592	Transanal total mesorectal excision: the Slagelse experience 2013–2019. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2021, 35, 826-836.	1.3	31
593	Evolution of minimally invasive surgery for rectal cancer: update from the national cancer database. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2021, 35, 275-290.	1.3	8
594	Functional outcomes after laparoscopic versus robotic-assisted rectal resection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2021, 35, 81-95.	1.3	43
595	A Comprehensive Review of Randomized Clinical Trials Shaping the Landscape of Rectal Cancer Therapy. <i>Clinical Colorectal Cancer</i> , 2021, 20, 1-19.	1.0	7
596	Optimising functional outcomes in rectal cancer surgery. <i>Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery</i> , 2021, 406, 233-250.	0.8	19
597	Laparoscopic Versus Open Extralevaor Abdominoperineal Excision for Lower Rectal Cancer: A Retrospective Cohort Study in Single Institute. <i>Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A</i> , 2021, 31, 71-76.	0.5	2
598	Surgical approach for rectal cancer: A network meta-analysis comparing open, laparoscopic, robotic and transanal TME approaches. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2021, 47, 285-295.	0.5	24
599	Precision functional sphincter-preserving surgery (PPS) for ultralow rectal cancer: a natural orifice specimen extraction (NOSE) surgery technique. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2021, 35, 476-485.	1.3	4
600	Investigating the possible impact of peritoneal tumor exposure amongst women with early stage cervical cancer treated with minimally invasive approach. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2021, 47, 1090-1097.	0.5	15
601	Short-term outcomes of pelvic floor peritoneum closure in endoscopic low anterior resection of rectal cancer: A propensity score matching analysis. <i>Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2021, 123, 271-277.	0.8	3
602	Transanal extraction of two specimens after laparoscopic anterior resection with extended D3 lymph node dissection and unilateral salpingo-oophorectomy (NOSES) – a video vignette. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2021, 23, 560-561.	0.7	0
603	Risk factors for suboptimal laparoscopic surgery in rectal cancer patients. <i>Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery</i> , 2021, 406, 309-318.	0.8	4
605	Robotic rectal resection: oncologic outcomes. <i>Updates in Surgery</i> , 2021, 73, 1081-1091.	0.9	4
606	Long-Term Oncologic Outcomes After Laparoscopic Versus Open Resection for Colorectal Liver Metastases. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2021, 174, 175-182.	2.0	53
607	Exploring the perioperative outcomes of a sample of successful adopters of transanal total mesorectal excision (taTME) during the learning phase. <i>Surgery</i> , 2021, 169, 774-781.	1.0	3
608	Multidisciplinary Treatment of Colorectal Cancer. , 2021, , .		2
609	Similarities and differences between study designs in short- and long-term outcomes of laparoscopic versus open low anterior resection for rectal cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized, case-matched, and cohort studies. <i>Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery</i> , 2021, 5, 183-193.	1.2	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
610	Association of certification, improved quality and better oncological outcomes for rectal cancer in a specialized colorectal unit. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2021, 36, 517-533.	1.0	11
611	Comparison of survival outcomes between laparoscopic and abdominal radical hysterectomy for early-stage cervical cancer: A French multicentric study. <i>Journal of Gynecology Obstetrics and Human Reproduction</i> , 2021, 50, 102046.	0.6	12
612	Complete laparoscopic radical resection of hilar cholangiocarcinoma: technical aspects and long-term results from a single center. <i>Wideochirurgia i Inne Techniki Maloinwazyjne</i> , 2021, 16, 62-75.	0.3	5
613	A comparative cost analysis of transanal and laparoscopic total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer. <i>Updates in Surgery</i> , 2021, 73, 85-91.	0.9	9
614	Are oncological long-term outcomes equal after laproscopic completed and converted laparoscopic converted rectal resection for cancer?. <i>Techniques in Coloproctology</i> , 2021, 25, 91-99.	0.8	3
615	Laparoscopic Low Anterior Resection. , 2021, , 357-370.		0
616	Small-Dose Endoscopic Tattooing Using a Novel Needle for Localization Prior to Laparoscopic Surgery of Colorectal Cancer. <i>Digestive Diseases and Sciences</i> , 2021, 66, 4448-4456.	1.1	3
617	Transanal mesorectal excision: early outcomes in Australia and New Zealand. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2021, 108, 214-219.	0.1	11
618	Robotic surgery for clinical T4 rectal cancer: short- and long-term outcomes. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2022, 36, 91-99.	1.3	12
619	Cost drivers of locally advanced rectal cancer treatment—An analysis of a leading healthcare insurer. <i>Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2021, 123, 1023-1029.	0.8	4
620	Quality of life after sphincter preservation surgery or abdominoperineal resection for low rectal cancer (ASPIRE): A long-term prospective, multicentre, cohort study. <i>The Lancet Regional Health - Western Pacific</i> , 2021, 6, 100087.	1.3	23
621	Quality of life in restorative <i>versus</i> non-restorative resections for rectal cancer: systematic review. <i>BJS Open</i> , 2021, 5, .	0.7	11
622	Laparoscopic versus open rectal resection: a 1:2 propensity score—matched analysis of oncological adequateness, short- and long-term outcomes. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2021, 36, 801-810.	1.0	4
623	Experimental evaluation of the fineness of needle forceps: advantages other than minimal access. <i>Surgery Today</i> , 2021, 51, 1220-1226.	0.7	0
624	Minimally Invasive Surgery for Colorectal Cancer. <i>JMA Journal</i> , 2021, 4, 17-23.	0.6	8
625	Transanal total mesorectal excision outcomes for advanced rectal cancer in a complex surgical population. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2022, 36, 167-175.	1.3	5
626	A Novel Method of Natural Orifice Specimen Extraction Surgery (NOSES) during Laparoscopic Anterior Resection for Rectal Cancer. <i>Gastroenterology Research and Practice</i> , 2021, 2021, 1-6.	0.7	0
627	Treatment and subsequent prognosis in locally recurrent rectal cancer: a multicenter retrospective study of 498 patients. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2021, 36, 1243-1250.	1.0	7

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
628	Outcomes of robotic low anterior resection <i>versus</i> transanal total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer. BJS Open, 2021, 5, .	0.7	2
629	Surgical oncology in the age of multimodality therapy for cancer of the upper and lower gastrointestinal tract. Expert Review of Anticancer Therapy, 2021, 21, 511-522.	1.1	6
630	Comparison of perioperative short-term outcomes and oncologic long-term outcomes between open and laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy in patients with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Annals of Surgical Treatment and Research, 2021, 100, 320.	0.4	3
631	Application of the hospitalâ€family holistic care model in caregivers of patients with permanent enterostomy: A randomized controlled trial. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 2021, 77, 2033-2049.	1.5	7
632	Optimizing outcomes in colorectal surgery: cost and clinical analysis of robotic versus laparoscopic approaches to colon resection. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 2022, 16, 107-112.	1.0	8
634	A multicenter, propensity score-matched cohort study about short-term and long-term outcomes after laparoscopic versus open surgery for locally advanced rectal cancer. International Journal of Colorectal Disease, 2021, 36, 1287-1295.	1.0	7
635	Comparing functional outcomes between transanal total mesorectal excision (TaTME) and laparoscopic total mesorectal excision (LaTME) for rectal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. International Journal of Colorectal Disease, 2021, 36, 1163-1174.	1.0	16
636	Surgery for Colorectal Cancer: A Trigger for Liver Metastases Development? New Insights into the Underlying Mechanisms. Biomedicines, 2021, 9, 177.	1.4	12
637	End-to-end versus end-to-side anastomosis for low anterior resection: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Surgery, 2021, 170, 397-404.	1.0	11
638	Association of Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision With Local Recurrence of Rectal Cancer. JAMA Network Open, 2021, 4, e2036330.	2.8	19
639	Natural orifice versus conventional mini-laparotomy for specimen extraction after reduced-port laparoscopic surgery for colorectal cancer: propensity score-matched comparative study. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2021, , 1.	1.3	8
640	Improving vision for surgeons during laparoscopy: the Enhanced Laparoscopic Vision System (ELViS). Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2021, 35, 2403-2415.	1.3	7
642	Outcomes of robotic-assisted vs conventional laparoscopic surgery among patients undergoing resection for rectal cancer: an observational single hospital study of 300 cases. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 2021, , 1.	1.0	2
643	Role of Simulation-Based Training in Minimally Invasive and Robotic Colorectal Surgery. Clinics in Colon and Rectal Surgery, 2021, 34, 136-143.	0.5	6
644	Clinical Reality and Treatment for Local Recurrence of Rectal Cancer: A Single-Center Retrospective Study. Medicina (Lithuania), 2021, 57, 286.	0.8	7
645	Safe Introduction of New Technologies and Techniques in Minimally Invasive Colorectal Surgery. Clinics in Colon and Rectal Surgery, 2021, 34, 181-185.	0.5	0
646	Oncologic long-term outcome of single-incision laparoscopic surgery (SILS) for colorectal cancer. International Journal of Colorectal Disease, 2021, 36, 1751-1758.	1.0	2
648	Oncological outcomes of laparoscopic versus open rectal cancer resections: meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. British Journal of Surgery, 2021, 108, 469-476.	0.1	22

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
649	Controversies and consensus in transanal total mesorectal excision (taTME): Is it a valid choice for rectal cancer?. <i>Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2021, 123, S59-S64.	0.8	6
650	Impact of BMI on Adverse Events After Laparoscopic and Open Surgery for Rectal Cancer. <i>Journal of Gastrointestinal Cancer</i> , 2022, 53, 370-379.	0.6	6
651	Potential urinary function benefits of initial robotic surgery for rectal cancer in the introductory phase. <i>Journal of Robotic Surgery</i> , 2022, 16, 159-168.	1.0	4
652	Education and Training in Transanal Endoscopic Surgery and Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision. <i>Clinics in Colon and Rectal Surgery</i> , 2021, 34, 163-171.	0.5	6
653	Development of surgical concepts in rectal cancer resection and challenges in minimally invasive surgical proctectomy. <i>Annals of Laparoscopic and Endoscopic Surgery</i> , 0, 6, 18-18.	0.5	0
654	Comparison of the Effects of Laparoscopic and Open Surgery on Postoperative Acute Kidney Injury in Patients with Colorectal Cancer: Propensity Score Analysis. <i>Journal of Clinical Medicine</i> , 2021, 10, 1438.	1.0	4
655	The Efficacy and Safety of Laparoscopy for Blunt Abdominal Trauma: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. <i>Journal of Clinical Medicine</i> , 2021, 10, 1853.	1.0	10
656	Prognostic importance of circumferential resection margin in the era of evolving surgical and multidisciplinary treatment of rectal cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Surgery</i> , 2021, 170, 412-431.	1.0	17
657	Volume-outcome relationship in rectal cancer surgery. <i>Discover Oncology</i> , 2021, 12, 11.	0.8	12
658	Real-world comparison of curative open, laparoscopic and robotic resections for sigmoid and rectal cancer—single center experience. <i>Journal of Robotic Surgery</i> , 2021, , 1.	1.0	0
659	Predictors of 30-Day Mortality Among Dutch Patients Undergoing Colorectal Cancer Surgery, 2011-2016. <i>JAMA Network Open</i> , 2021, 4, e217737.	2.8	37
660	Outcomes of Laparoscopic Versus Open Surgery in Elderly Patients with Rectal Cancer. <i>Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention</i> , 2021, 22, 1325-1329.	0.5	5
661	Preoperative Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS)-Physical Function and Perioperative Complication in Major Abdominal Colorectal Operations. <i>Journal of the American College of Surgeons</i> , 2021, 232, 451-459.	0.2	1
662	High-pressure CO2 insufflation is a risk factor for postoperative ileus in patients undergoing TaTME. <i>Updates in Surgery</i> , 2021, 73, 2181-2187.	0.9	3
663	Short-term outcome in robotic vs laparoscopic and open rectal tumor surgery within an ERAS protocol: a retrospective cohort study from the Swedish ERAS database. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2022, 36, 2006-2017.	1.3	9
664	Observational BGOG Study of the Results of Robot-assisted Laparoscopy in 166 Patients with FIGO 2009 Stage IA1-IB1 Cervical Cancer. <i>Journal of Minimally Invasive Gynecology</i> , 2021, 28, 1920-1926.	0.3	1
665	Potential Benefits of Minimally Invasive Laparoscopy in Reducing Local Recurrence After Surgery for Low Rectal Cancer. <i>Anticancer Research</i> , 2021, 41, 2617-2623.	0.5	2
667	Effect of Tumor Location on Outcome after Laparoscopic Low Rectal Cancer Surgery. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2021, Publish Ahead of Print, 672-682.	0.7	3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
668	Comparison of oncological and functional outcomes and quality of life after transanal or laparoscopic total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Techniques in Coloproctology</i> , 2021, 25, 901-913.	0.8	19
669	The learning curve of transanal total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer is associated with local recurrence: results from a multicentre external audit. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2021, 23, 2020-2029.	0.7	14
671	Statistical, Clinical, Methodological Evaluation of Local Recurrence Following Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision for Rectal Cancer: A Systematic Review. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2021, 64, 899-914.	0.7	8
672	Japanese Society for Cancer of the Colon and Rectum (JSCCR) guidelines 2020 for the Clinical Practice of Hereditary Colorectal Cancer. <i>International Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2021, 26, 1353-1419.	1.0	67
673	Comparison between robotic natural orifice specimen extraction surgery and traditional laparoscopic low anterior resection for middle and low rectal cancer: A propensity score matching analysis. <i>Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2021, 124, 607-618.	0.8	9
674	Laparoscopic approach for T4 colon cancer can be associated with poor prognosis in right-sided T4b tumours. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2021, 47, 1645-1650.	0.5	3
675	Feasibility of injected indocyanine green for ureteral identification during robotic left-sided colorectal resections. <i>American Journal of Surgery</i> , 2022, 223, 14-20.	0.9	12
676	Open versus laparoscopic surgery for mid or low rectal cancer after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy (COREAN trial): 10-year follow-up of an open-label, non-inferiority, randomised controlled trial. <i>The Lancet Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2021, 6, 569-577.	3.7	50
677	A nomogram predicting the difficulty of laparoscopic surgery for rectal cancer. <i>Surgery Today</i> , 2021, 51, 1835-1842.	0.7	6
678	Robot-assisted laparoscopic rectal surgery: operative technique and initial experiences. <i>European Surgery - Acta Chirurgica Austriaca</i> , 2021, 53, 175-180.	0.3	0
679	Improving postoperative outcome in rectal cancer surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery in an era of increasing laparoscopic resection. <i>Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery</i> , 2021, , 1.	0.8	3
680	Hemodynamic changes of anesthesia, pneumoperitoneum, and head-down tilt during laparoscopic surgery in elderly patients. <i>Annals of Translational Medicine</i> , 2021, 9, 1177-1177.	0.7	3
681	Long-term safety of laparoscopic rectal cancer resection. <i>The Lancet Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2021, 6, 516-518.	3.7	0
683	Comparison between epidural and intravenous analgesia effects on disease-free survival after colorectal cancer surgery: a randomised multicentre controlled trial. <i>British Journal of Anaesthesia</i> , 2021, 127, 65-74.	1.5	32
684	Three-year outcomes of transanal total mesorectal excision versus standard laparoscopic total mesorectal excision for mid and low rectal cancer. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2021, , 1.	1.3	9
685	The impact of operative approach on postoperative outcomes and healthcare utilization after colectomy. <i>Surgery</i> , 2022, 171, 320-327.	1.0	17
686	Comparison of guidelines on rectal cancer: exception proves the rule?. <i>Gastroenterology Report</i> , 2021, 9, 290-298.	0.6	4
687	Local recurrence in sigmoid cancer is a hidden problem, could CT prognostic factors be of value in their prevention? A multi-centre study of 414 patients. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2021, 47, 2093-2099.	0.5	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
688	Update on Minimally Invasive Surgical Approaches for Rectal Cancer. <i>Current Oncology Reports</i> , 2021, 23, 117.	1.8	3
689	Does conversion during minimally invasive rectal surgery for cancer have an impact on short-term and oncologic outcomes? Results of a retrospective cohort study. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2021, , 1.	1.3	1
690	Central vascular ligation and mesentery based abdominal surgery. <i>Discover Oncology</i> , 2021, 12, 24.	0.8	2
691	Lower conversion rate with robotic assisted rectal resections compared with conventional laparoscopy; a national cohort study. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2022, 36, 3574-3584.	1.3	9
692	Collaboration in colorectal surgical research. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2021, 23, 2741-2749.	0.7	2
693	Highs and lows in laparoscopic pancreaticoduodenectomy. <i>CirugÃa EspaÃ±ola (English Edition)</i> , 2021, 99, 593-601.	0.1	1
694	Association of hospital factors and socioeconomic status with the utilization of minimally invasive surgery for colorectal cancer over a decade. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2022, 36, 3750-3762.	1.3	10
695	Impact of Surgical Approach on Surgical Resection Quality in Mid- and Low Rectal Cancer, A Bayesian Network Meta-Analysis. <i>Frontiers in Oncology</i> , 2021, 11, 699200.	1.3	3
696	Should minimally invasive approaches in rectal surgery be regarded as a key element of modern enhanced recovery perioperative care?. <i>Acta Chirurgica Belgica</i> , 2021, , 1-7.	0.2	0
697	Minimally Invasive Compared to Open Colorectal Cancer Resection for Older Adults. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2021, Publish Ahead of Print, .	2.1	0
698	Early and late clinicoâ€pathologic outcomes of minimally invasive total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer: A propensity scoreâ€matched comparison of robotic and laparoscopic approaches. <i>International Journal of Medical Robotics and Computer Assisted Surgery</i> , 2021, 17, e2324.	1.2	5
699	Complete mesocolic excision and central vascular ligation in colorectal cancer in the era of minimally invasive surgery. <i>World Journal of Clinical Cases</i> , 2021, 9, 7297-7305.	0.3	2
700	National disparities in use of minimally invasive surgery for rectal cancer in older adults. <i>Journal of the American Geriatrics Society</i> , 2022, 70, 126-135.	1.3	7
701	Minimally invasive versus open distal pancreatectomy for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (DIPLOMA): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. <i>Trials</i> , 2021, 22, 608.	0.7	22
702	Operative Approach Does Not Impact Radial Margin Positivity in Distal Rectal Cancer. <i>World Journal of Surgery</i> , 2021, 45, 3686-3694.	0.8	1
703	Renal outcomes of laparoscopic versus open surgery in patients with rectal cancer: a propensity score analysis. <i>Kidney Research and Clinical Practice</i> , 2021, 40, 634-644.	0.9	2
704	Brazilian society of surgical oncology: Guidelines for the surgical treatment of midâ€low rectal cancer. <i>Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2022, 125, 194-216.	0.8	4
705	Intraoperative complications during laparoscopic total mesorectal excision. <i>Minerva Surgery</i> , 2021, 76, 332-342.	0.1	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
706	Robotic total mesorectal excision or transanal total mesorectal excision meta-analysis. ANZ Journal of Surgery, 2021, 91, 2269-2276.	0.3	2
708	Role of salt-inducible kinase ² in the malignant behavior and glycolysis of colorectal cancer cells. Molecular Medicine Reports, 2021, 24, .	1.1	4
709	Effect of the postural transition in minimally invasive esophagectomy: a propensity score matching analysis. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2021, , 1.	1.3	0
710	LongTerm Outcomes of Three-Port Laparoscopic Right Hemicolectomy Versus Five-Port Laparoscopic Right Hemicolectomy: A Retrospective Study. Frontiers in Oncology, 2021, 11, 762716.	1.3	3
711	Minimally invasive <i>versus</i> open pelvic exenterations for rectal cancer: a comparative analysis of perioperative and 3-year oncological outcomes. BJS Open, 2021, 5, .	0.7	24
712	Reply to: CT defined prognostic factors for local recurrence after sigmoid resection – How relevant are they?. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 47, 2467.	0.5	0
713	Options for Low Rectal Cancer: Robotic Total Mesorectal Excision. Clinics in Colon and Rectal Surgery, 2021, 34, 311-316.	0.5	0
714	Three-Port with Natural Orifice Specimen Extraction versus Conventional Laparoscopic Anterior Resection for Rectal-Sigmoid Cancer: A Matched Pair Analysis. Journal of Investigative Surgery, 2021, , 1-5.	0.6	0
715	Transanal total mesorectal excision and transabdominal robotic surgery for rectal cancer: A retrospective study. Annals of Medicine and Surgery, 2021, 70, 102902.	0.5	4
716	Surgical waste in a colorectal surgery operating room: A five-year experience. Perioperative Care and Operating Room Management, 2021, 25, 100209.	0.2	0
717	Laparoscopic anterior resection: Analysis of technique over 1000 cases. Journal of Minimal Access Surgery, 2021, 17, 356.	0.4	3
718	Minimally Invasive Proctectomy Has Noninferior Oncologic Outcomes Compared With Open Resection After Passing the Learning Curve. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2021, 64, e76-e76.	0.7	0
719	A Soft Robotic Sleeve for Safer Colonoscopy Procedures. IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters, 2021, 6, 5292-5299.	3.3	20
720	Benign Tumours of the Colon. Springer Surgery Atlas Series, 2021, , 83-118.	0.1	0
721	Trends and consequences of surgical conversion in the United States. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2022, 36, 82-90.	1.3	10
722	Minimally invasive pancreatic surgery – will robotic surgery be the future?. European Surgery - Acta Chirurgica Austriaca, 2021, 53, 158-165.	0.3	2
724	Robotic Total Mesorectal Excision for Rectal Cancer. , 2019, , 127-139.		1
725	Laparoscopy in Colorectal Cancer. , 2020, , 113-131.		1

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
726	Long-term outcomes by a transanal approach to total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2017, 31, 5248-5257.	1.3	65
727	Luces y sombras de la duodenopancreatectomía cefálica laparoscópica. <i>Cirugía Española</i> , 2020, 99, 593-593.	0.1	6
728	Completion Surgery in Unfavorable Rectal Cancer after Transanal Endoscopic Microsurgery: Does It Achieve Satisfactory Sphincter Preservation, Quality of Total Mesorectal Excision Specimen, and Long-term Oncological Outcomes?. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2021, 64, 200-208.	0.7	9
729	Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision in Mid-Low Rectal Cancer: Evaluation of the Learning Curve and Comparison of Short-term Results With Standard Laparoscopic Total Mesorectal Excision. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2021, 64, 380-388.	0.7	8
730	Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision: Short-term Outcomes of 1283 Cases from a Nationwide Registry in China. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2021, 64, 190-199.	0.7	11
731	Local Recurrence After Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision for Rectal Cancer. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2021, 274, 359-366.	2.1	71
732	Laparoscopic Surgery for Colorectal Cancer in Super-Elderly Patients: A Single-Center Analysis. <i>Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy and Percutaneous Techniques</i> , 2021, 31, 337-341.	0.4	4
733	A Comparison of Colectomy Outcomes Utilizing Open, Laparoscopic, and Robotic Techniques. <i>American Surgeon</i> , 2021, 87, 1275-1279.	0.4	6
734	Novelties in treatment of locally advanced rectal cancer. <i>F1000Research</i> , 2018, 7, 1868.	0.8	6
735	Long-term outcomes and propensity score matching analysis: rectal cancer resection for patients with elevated preoperative risk. <i>Oncotarget</i> , 2017, 8, 25679-25690.	0.8	1
736	The comprehensive therapeutic effects of rectal surgery are better in laparoscopy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Oncotarget</i> , 2017, 8, 12717-12729.	0.8	24
737	Transanal total mesorectal excision compared to laparoscopic TME for mid and low rectal cancer—current evidence. <i>Annals of Laparoscopic and Endoscopic Surgery</i> , 0, 3, 41-41.	0.5	10
738	Safety and survival outcomes of transanal natural orifice specimen extraction using prolapsing technique for patients with middle- to low-rectal cancer. <i>Chinese Journal of Cancer Research: Official Journal of China Anti-Cancer Association, Beijing Institute for Cancer Research</i> , 2020, 32, 654-664.	0.7	6
739	Safety and Effectiveness of Laparoscopic Colorectal Resection in Elderly Patients with Colorectal Cancer: A Propensity Score Matching Study. <i>Anticancer Research</i> , 2017, 37, 4195-4198.	0.5	4
740	Electronic Health Program to Empower Patients in Returning to Normal Activities After Colorectal Surgical Procedures: Mixed-Methods Process Evaluation Alongside a Randomized Controlled Trial. <i>Journal of Medical Internet Research</i> , 2019, 21, e10674.	2.1	18
741	Electronic Health Program to Empower Patients in Returning to Normal Activities After General Surgical and Gynecological Procedures: Intervention Mapping as a Useful Method for Further Development. <i>Journal of Medical Internet Research</i> , 2019, 21, e9938.	2.1	9
742	Robotic surgery for rectal cancer: the future?. <i>Minerva Chirurgica</i> , 2018, 73, 574-578.	0.8	2
743	The present status and developments of laparoscopic surgery for colorectal cancer. <i>Journal of the Anus, Rectum and Colon</i> , 2017, 1, 1-6.	0.4	7

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
744	Robotic-assisted surgery compared with laparoscopic resection surgery for rectal cancer: the ROLARR RCT. Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation, 2019, 6, 1-140.	0.9	27
745	Safety and Feasibility of Robotic Natural Orifice Specimen Extraction Surgery in Colorectal Neoplasms During the Initial Learning Curve. <i>Frontiers in Oncology</i> , 2020, 10, 1355.	1.3	8
746	Initial Experience with the Safe Implementation of Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision (TaTME) as a Standardized Procedure for Low Rectal Cancer. <i>Journal of Clinical Medicine</i> , 2021, 10, 72.	1.0	6
747	Variation in the Height of Rectal Cancers According to the Diagnostic Modalities. <i>Annals of Coloproctology</i> , 2019, 35, 24-29.	0.5	5
748	Characteristics and Survival of Korean Patients With Colorectal Cancer Based on Data From the Korea Central Cancer Registry Data. <i>Annals of Coloproctology</i> , 2018, 34, 212-221.	0.5	38
749	Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision for Rectal Cancer: Perioperative and Oncological Outcomes. <i>Annals of Coloproctology</i> , 2018, 34, 1-3.	0.5	1
750	Reconsideration of the Safety of Laparoscopic Rectal Surgery for Cancer. <i>Annals of Coloproctology</i> , 2019, 35, 229-237.	0.5	4
751	Real-World Impact of Laparoscopic Surgery for Rectal Cancer: A Population-Based Analysis. <i>Current Oncology</i> , 2020, 27, 251-258.	0.9	4
752	Laparoscopic <i>vs</i> open abdominoperineal resection in the multimodality management of low rectal cancers. <i>World Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2015, 21, 10174-10183.	1.4	26
753	Clinical comparison of laparoscopy <i>vs</i> open surgery in a radical operation for rectal cancer: A retrospective case-control study. <i>World Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2015, 21, 13532.	1.4	12
754	Total mesorectal excision for mid and low rectal cancer: Laparoscopic <i>vs</i> robotic surgery. <i>World Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2016, 22, 3602.	1.4	60
755	Technical feasibility of laparoscopic extended surgery beyond total mesorectal excision for primary or recurrent rectal cancer. <i>World Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2016, 22, 718.	1.4	39
756	Conversion of laparoscopic colorectal resection for cancer: What is the impact on short-term outcomes and survival?. <i>World Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2016, 22, 8304.	1.4	54
757	Role of minimally invasive surgery for rectal cancer. <i>World Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2020, 26, 4394-4414.	1.4	13
758	Robotic surgery: A step forward in the wide spread of minimally invasive colorectal surgery. <i>Journal of Minimal Access Surgery</i> , 2015, 11, 285.	0.4	5
759	Short- and long-term outcomes of laparoscopic-assisted surgery, mini-laparotomy and conventional laparotomy in patients with Stage I-III colorectal cancer. <i>Journal of Minimal Access Surgery</i> , 2018, 14, 321.	0.4	12
760	Quality of life in rectal cancer surgery: What do the patient ask?. <i>World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery</i> , 2015, 7, 349.	0.8	7
761	Critical appraisal of laparoscopic <i>vs</i> open rectal cancer surgery. <i>World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery</i> , 2016, 8, 452.	0.8	5

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
762	Robotic total meso-rectal excision for rectal cancer: A systematic review following the publication of the ROLARR trial. <i>World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology</i> , 2018, 10, 449-464.	0.8	23
763	Long-term outcomes after stenting as a bridge to surgery for the management of acute obstruction secondary to colorectal cancer. <i>World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology</i> , 2016, 8, 105.	0.8	12
764	Impact of the Fourth Industrial Revolution on the Health Sector: A Qualitative Study. <i>Healthcare Informatics Research</i> , 2020, 26, 328-334.	1.0	35
765	Present laparoscopic surgery for colorectal cancer in Japan. <i>World Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2016, 7, 155.	0.9	1
766	Transanal total mesorectal excision: Myths and reality. <i>World Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2016, 7, 337.	0.9	10
767	Comparison of Short-Term and Long-Term outcomes of Laparoscopy Versus Laparotomy in Rectal Cancer: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. <i>Journal of Medical and Surgical Research</i> , 0, , 948-965.	0.0	0
768	Laparoscopic Versus Robot-Assisted Versus Transanal Low Anterior Resection: 3-Year Oncologic Results for a Population-Based Cohort in Experienced Centers. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2022, 29, 1910-1920.	0.7	8
769	Concepts and prospects of minimally invasive colorectal cancer surgery. <i>Clinical Radiology</i> , 2021, 76, 889-895.	0.5	6
770	Oncologic Outcomes After Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision for Rectal Cancer. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2022, 65, 827-836.	0.7	3
771	Laparoscopy in Gynecologic and Abdominal Surgery in Regional (Spinal, Peridural) Anesthesia, the Utility of the Technique during COVID-19 Pandemic. <i>Medicines (Basel, Switzerland)</i> , 2021, 8, 60.	0.7	4
772	Evidence of Laparoscopic Surgery for Colorectal Cancer. , 2016, , 17-29.		0
773	Colorectal Cancers in Mauritania: Clinical Aspects and Treatment. <i>Open Journal of Internal Medicine</i> , 2016, 06, 139-146.	0.1	1
774	Current methods in the treatment of rectal cancer. <i>Endoscopic Surgery</i> , 2016, 22, 49.	0.0	1
775	De Prefectos a Mandatarios de la Nación. La violencia en la política peruana (1829-1836). <i>Revista De Indias</i> , 2016, 76, 173-201.	0.2	0
776	Comparative assessment of the short-term outcomes of robotassisted and laparoscopic surgery for colorectal cancer. <i>Russian Journal of Oncology</i> , 2016, 21, 32-37.	0.1	1
777	TRANSANAL TOTAL MESORECTAL EXCISION FOR RECTAL CANCER (review). <i>Koloproktologia</i> , 2016, , 57-64.	0.1	1
778	Smoking and tumor obstruction are risk factors for anastomotic leakage after laparoscopic anterior resection during rectal cancer treatment. <i>Journal of the Anus, Rectum and Colon</i> , 2017, 1, 7-14.	0.4	4
779	NOTES Transanal Colorectal Resection. <i>Clinical Gastroenterology</i> , 2017, , 241-267.	0.0	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
780	Laparoscopic surgeries in the treatment of colorectal cancer. Endoscopic Surgery, 2017, 23, 3.	0.0	1
781	Laparoskopische und roboter assistierte anteriore Rektumresektion. , 2017, , 337-348.		0
782	Robotic and laparoscopic surgeries for colorectal cancer: learning curve and short-term outcomes. OnkologiÄeskaÄ¢ KoloproktologiÄ¢, 2017, 7, 25-34.	0.1	2
783	Principles of Cancer Surgery in Older Adults. , 2017, , 1-20.		0
784	Efficacy of Pelvic Peritoneal Reconstruction following Laparoscopic Abdomino-Perineal Resection of the Rectum in the treatment of Lower Rectal Cancer: A comparative Study and review. IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences, 2017, 16, 130-137.	0.0	0
785	Laparoscopic-assisted Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision for MiddleÄ€Low Rectal Carcinoma: A Clinical Study of 19 Cases. Anticancer Research, 2017, 37, 4599-4604.	0.5	2
786	Gender Differences in In-Hospital Mortality Rates among Hispanic Patients with Acute Myocardial Infarction. International Journal of Medicine and Surgery, 2017, 4, .	0.0	0
787	Laparoscopic TME: Is There a Verdict?. , 2018, , 369-378.		0
788	Enhanced Recovery After Surgery: A Better Protocol for Better Outcomes. Archives of Anesthesiology, 2018, 1, 1-7.	0.0	1
789	Rektumkarzinom. Evidenzbasierte Chirurgie, 2018, , 223-252.	0.0	0
791	Transanal Laparoscopic TME with Reduced Port Abdominal Laparoscopy. , 2018, , 457-472.		0
793	LAPAROSKOPÄ°K VE ROBOTÄ°K REKTUM CERRAHÄ°SÄ° VE LOKAL EKSÄ°ZYONLAR. Bozok TÄ±p Dergisi, 0, , .	0.0	0
794	Transanal transabdominal TME: how far can we push it?. Minerva Chirurgica, 2018, 73, 579-591.	0.8	0
795	Laparoscopic treatment of rectal cancer and lateral pelvic lymph node dissection: are they obsolete?. Minerva Chirurgica, 2018, 73, 558-573.	0.8	1
796	Rectal Cancer: Operative Treatment Transabdominal. , 2019, , 419-444.		0
797	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF OPEN AND TRANSANAL TOTAL MESORECTAL EXCISION FOR RECTAL CANCER. Koloproktologia, 2018, , 67-73.	0.1	3
799	Treatment of Rectal Cancer. , 2019, , 161-174.		0
800	Future Perspectives in Colorectal Cancer Treatments. Hot Topics in Acute Care Surgery and Trauma, 2019, , 267-283.	0.1	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
804	The value of biomarkers in colorectal cancer. <i>Medicine (United States)</i> , 2019, 98, e16034.	0.4	1
805	Laparoscopy-Assisted Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision. <i>Folia Medica</i> , 2019, 61, 180-187.	0.2	1
806	The Effectiveness and Safety of Open Versus laparoscopic Surgery for Rectal Cancer after Preoperative Chemo-radiotherapy: A Meta-Analysis. <i>Combinatorial Chemistry and High Throughput Screening</i> , 2019, 22, 153-159.	0.6	1
807	Quelle technique chirurgicale faut-il privilégier en 2019 ?. <i>Colon and Rectum</i> , 2019, 13, 147-153.	0.0	0
808	Minimizing Conversion in Laparoscopic Colorectal Surgery: From Preoperative Risk Assessment to Intraoperative Strategies. , 2020, , 489-508.		0
809	Laparoscopic Colorectal Surgery in the Obese and Morbidly Obese Patient: Preoperative Strategies and Surgical Techniques. , 2020, , 509-529.		0
810	Robotic Low Anterior Resection with Double-Staple Technique. , 2020, , 211-217.		0
811	Complications: Early Anastomotic Complicationsâ€”Leak, Abscess, and Bleeding. , 2020, , 667-674.		0
812	Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) in Colorectal Surgery. , 2020, , 163-176.		0
813	Successful management of tubular colonic duplication using a laparoscopic approach: A case report and review of the literature. <i>World Journal of Clinical Cases</i> , 2020, 8, 3291-3298.	0.3	1
814	Mid- and low-rectal cancer: laparoscopic vs open treatmentâ€”short- and long-term results. Meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2022, 37, 71-99.	1.0	7
815	Prognostic implications of surgical specimen quality on the oncological outcomes of open and laparoscopic surgery in mid and low rectal cancer. <i>Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery</i> , 2021, , 1.	0.8	1
816	Câ€reactive protein (CRP) trajectory as a predictor of anastomotic leakage after rectal cancer resection: a multicentre cohort study. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2021, , .	0.7	4
818	Principles of Cancer Surgery in Older Adults. , 2020, , 825-844.		0
819	ERAS in Colorectal Surgery. , 2020, , 375-383.		0
820	Rectal Conditions: Rectal Cancerâ€”Postoperative Surveillance. , 2020, , 327-330.		0
821	Rectal Conditions: Rectal Cancerâ€”Proctectomy. , 2020, , 297-302.		0
822	Systematic review of singleâ€port vs. multiâ€port surgery for rectal cancer. <i>Molecular and Clinical Oncology</i> , 2020, 14, 24.	0.4	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
823	Transanal total mesorectal excision: the race to the bottom. British Journal of Surgery, 2021, 108, 3-4.	0.1	2
824	LAPAROSCOPIC RESECTIONS WITH TRANSANAL SPECIMEN EXTRACTION IN RECTAL CANCER SURGERY (a Tj ETQq1 1 0.784314 rgBT /Ove	0.1	1
825	Transanal vs laparoscopic total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer: a multicenter randomized phase III clinical trial (TaLaR trial) protocol. Gastroenterology Report, 2021, 9, 71-76.	0.6	5
826	ERKRANKUNGEN DER VERDAUUNGSORGANE. , 2020, , pA-1-pA7.8-14.		0
827	Colorectal Cancer in Older Adults: Surgical Issues. , 2020, , 713-730.		0
829	ERAS and Minimally Invasive Surgical Techniques. , 2020, , 175-184.		1
830	Laparoscopic D2 plus complete mesogastrium excision using the "enjoyable space" approach versus conventional D2 total gastrectomy for local advanced gastric cancer: short-term outcomes. Wideochirurgia I Inne Techniki Maloinwazyjne, 2020, 15, 58-69.	0.3	5
831	Institutional Outcomes Should Be a Determinant in Decision to Perform Laparoscopic Proctectomies for Rectal Cancer. Cureus, 2020, 12, e7666.	0.2	0
832	First Feasibility Study and Short-term Outcomes of Laparoscopic-Assisted Anterior Resection in Colorectal Cancer in Malaysia. Annals of Coloproctology, 2020, 36, 94-101.	0.5	3
833	Bowel function after laparoscopic right hemicolectomy: a randomized controlled trial comparing intracorporeal anastomosis and extracorporeal anastomosis. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2022, 36, 4977-4982.	1.3	18
834	LOW ANTERIOR RESECTION POST-NEOADJUVANT CHEMORADIATION IN RECTAL CANCER (LAPAROSCOPIC Vs.) Tj ETQq0 0 0rgBT /Ove	0.0	0
835	Survival after Laparoscopic Versus Open Curative Excision for Rectal Cancer. Integrative Journal of Medical Sciences, 0, 7, .	0.0	0
836	Surgical Treatment Approaches to the Colorectal Cancers in the Light of the Current Guidelines. , 2021, , 269-284.		0
839	Introduction to Surgery. , 2021, , 65-72.		0
840	Robotic-Assisted Laparoscopic Surgery for Rectal Cancer (RALS): A Review of the Literature. Journal of Biomedical and Clinical Research, 2020, 13, 100-109.	0.1	0
841	Laparoscopic-assisted radical left hemicolectomy. Journal of Visualized Surgery, 2015, 1, 15.	0.2	1
842	Use of Robotic Technology in the Management of Complex Colorectal Pathology. Missouri Medicine, 2020, 117, 149-153.	0.3	2
843	Combination Therapy Composed of Surgery, Postoperative Radiotherapy, and Wound Self-management for Umbilical Keloids. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open, 2020, 8, e3181.	0.3	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
844	Two-Year Follow-Up of the First Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision (TaTME) Case Performed in Community Hospital in Hawai'i: A Case Report and Literature Review. <i>Hawai'i Journal of Health & Social Welfare</i> , 2021, 80, 159-164.	0.2	0
845	Tumorchirurgie. , 2022, , 19-26.		0
846	Long-term Outcomes of Minimally Invasive Versus Open Abdominoperineal Resection for Rectal Cancer: A Single Specialized Center Experience. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2022, 65, 361-372.	0.7	1
847	Updated Principles of Surgical Management of Pancreatic Neuroendocrine Tumours (pNETs): What Every Surgeon Needs to Know. <i>Cancers</i> , 2021, 13, 5969.	1.7	5
848	Laparoscopic Versus Open Surgery for Locally Advanced Rectal Cancer: Five-Year Survival Outcomes in a Large, Multicenter, Propensity Score-Matched Cohort Study. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2022, 65, 1005-1014.	0.7	4
849	Risk factors for anastomotic leakage after anterior resection for rectal cancer (RALAR study): A nationwide retrospective study of the Italian Society of Surgical Oncology Colorectal Cancer Network Collaborative Group. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2022, 24, 264-276.	0.7	33
850	The Safety and Feasibility of Laparoscopic Surgery for Very Low Rectal Cancer: A Retrospective Analysis Based on a Single Center's Experience. <i>Biomedicines</i> , 2021, 9, 1720.	1.4	0
851	Minimally Invasive or Open Esophagectomy for Treatment of Resectable Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma? Answer From a Real-world Multicenter Study. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2023, 277, e777-e784.	2.1	5
852	Adipose tissue alleviates the stress response by releasing adiponectin during laparoscopic surgery in patients with colorectal cancer. <i>Lipids in Health and Disease</i> , 2021, 20, 166.	1.2	2
853	Comparing outcomes of robotic versus open mesorectal excision for rectal cancer. <i>BJS Open</i> , 2021, 5, .	0.7	6
854	Human factors in pelvic surgery. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2022, 48, 2346-2351.	0.5	3
855	Robotic versus laparoscopic low anterior resection following neoadjuvant chemoradiation therapy for stage II-III locally advanced rectal cancer: a single-centre cohort study. <i>Journal of Robotic Surgery</i> , 2022, 16, 1133-1141.	1.0	2
856	P-POSSUM and the NELA Score Overpredict Mortality for Laparoscopic Emergency Bowel Surgery: An Analysis of the NELA Database. <i>World Journal of Surgery</i> , 2022, 46, 552-560.	0.8	5
857	Combination Therapy Composed of Surgery, Postoperative Radiotherapy, and Wound Self-management for Umbilical Keloids. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open</i> , 2020, 8, e3181.	0.3	7
858	Robotic or laparoscopic surgery for rectal cancer - which is the best answer? A comprehensive review of oncological outcomes. <i>Mini-invasive Surgery</i> , 0, , .	0.2	0
859	Open Versus Minimally Invasive Sphincter-Sparing Surgery for Rectal Cancer: A Latin American Single-Centre Retrospective Cohort Study. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	0
860	Local Recurrence After Pure NOTES Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision for Early Rectal Cancer. <i>Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery</i> , 2022, 26, 1318-1320.	0.9	0
861	Therapeutic Potential of Naturally Occurring Small Molecules to Target the Wnt/ β 2-Catenin Signaling Pathway in Colorectal Cancer. <i>Cancers</i> , 2022, 14, 403.	1.7	16

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
862	Prognostic factors of survival and a new scoring system for liver resection of colorectal liver metastasis. <i>World Journal of Hepatology</i> , 2022, 14, 209-223.	0.8	3
863	Incidence and risk factors for umbilical incisional hernia after reduced port colorectal surgery (SIL+1 additional port)â€”is an umbilical midline approach really a problem?. <i>Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery</i> , 2022, , 1.	0.8	2
864	Clinical Status and Future Prospects of Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision. <i>Frontiers in Oncology</i> , 2021, 11, 752737.	1.3	4
865	New 5-mm laparoscopic pneumodissector device to improve laparoscopic dissection: an experimental study of its safety in a swine model. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2022, 36, 2712.	1.3	0
866	How to perform an anastomosis following a low anterior resection by transanal total mesorectal excision surgery: from top to bottom techniques. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2022, 24, 659-663.	0.7	2
867	A stent-based diverting technique after low anterior resection of rectal cancer: our preliminary experience. <i>Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery</i> , 2022, , 1.	0.8	0
868	Value of multi-slice spiral computerized tomography for diagnosis of synchronous colorectal carcinoma: a retrospective study. <i>Journal of International Medical Research</i> , 2022, 50, 030006052210760.	0.4	0
869	Advances in Rectal Cancer Surgery. <i>Clinical Colorectal Cancer</i> , 2022, 21, 55-62.	1.0	5
870	Risk factors for Low Anterior Resection Syndrome (LARS) in patients undergoing laparoscopic surgery for rectal cancer. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2022, 36, 6059-6066.	1.3	12
871	Completion Total Mesorectal Excision After Transanal Local Excision of Early Rectal Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2022, 65, 628-640.	0.7	5
872	Open surgery in the era of minimally invasive surgery. <i>Chinese Journal of Cancer Research: Official Journal of China Anti-Cancer Association, Beijing Institute for Cancer Research</i> , 2022, 34, 63-65.	0.7	7
873	Robotic vs. TaTME Rectal Surgery (ROTA STUDY) Matched Cohort Trial for Mid to Low Rectal Cancer Surgery Evaluation Trial in the Hands of an Experienced Surgeon. <i>International Journal of Surgery Protocols</i> , 2022, 26, 7-13.	0.5	2
874	Impact of Medicaid Expansion on the Diagnosis, Treatment, and Outcomes of Stage II and III Rectal Cancer Patients. <i>Journal of the American College of Surgeons</i> , 2022, 234, 54-63.	0.2	3
875	Short- and long-term outcomes as well as anal function of transanal natural orifice specimen extraction surgery versus conventional laparoscopic surgery for sigmoid colon or rectal cancer resection: a retrospective study with over 5-year follow-up. <i>Wideochirurgia I Inne Techniki Maloinwazyjne</i> , 2022, 17, 344-351.	0.3	3
876	A Soft Sensor for Bleeding Detection in Colonoscopies. <i>Advanced Intelligent Systems</i> , 2022, 4, .	3.3	1
877	The impact of robotic surgery on a tertiary care colorectal surgery program, an assessment of costs and short term outcomes: A Canadian perspective. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2022, 36, 6084-6094.	1.3	3
878	Patient-Reported Bowel, Urinary, and Sexual Outcomes After Laparoscopic-Assisted Resection or Open Resection for Rectal Cancer. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2023, 277, 449-455.	2.1	7
879	Efficacy and Safety of Laparoscopy for Mild and Moderate Pediatric Abdominal Trauma: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. <i>Journal of Clinical Medicine</i> , 2022, 11, 1942.	1.0	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
880	Laparoscopic vs open restorative proctectomy after total abdominal colectomy for ulcerative colitis or familial adenomatous polyposis. <i>Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery</i> , 2022, 407, 1605-1612.	0.8	4
881	National differences in implementation of minimally invasive surgery for colorectal cancer and the influence on short-term outcomes. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2022, 36, 5986-6001.	1.3	7
882	Alarmists at the Gates: Esophageal Adenocarcinoma after Sleeve Gastrectomy is Not Different than with Other Bariatric/Metabolic Surgeries. <i>Obesity Surgery</i> , 2022, , 1.	1.1	3
883	Feasibility of the semi-opened method of specimen resection for a circumferential resection margin in rectal cancer surgery: a multicenter study. <i>Surgery Today</i> , 2022, 52, 1275-1283.	0.7	2
884	Surgical Principles of Rectal Cancer. <i>Surgical Oncology Clinics of North America</i> , 2022, 31, 239-253.	0.6	2
885	Propensity Score-Matched Analysis of Laparoscopic versus Open Surgery for Non-Metastatic Rectal Cancer. <i>Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention</i> , 2021, 22, 3967-3975.	0.5	0
886	Management of acute kidney injury in gastrointestinal tumor: An overview. <i>World Journal of Clinical Cases</i> , 2021, 9, 10746-10764.	0.3	0
887	The role of transanal total mesorectal excision. <i>Surgical Oncology</i> , 2022, 43, 101695.	0.8	3
888	Oral and Parenteral vs. Parenteral Antibiotic Prophylaxis for Patients Undergoing Laparoscopic Colorectal Resection: An Intervention Review with Meta-Analysis. <i>Antibiotics</i> , 2022, 11, 21.	1.5	6
890	Robotic Versus Laparoscopic Surgery for Rectal Cancer: A Comprehensive Review of Oncological Outcomes. , 2021, 25, .		0
891	Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) in Surgical Oncology. <i>Current Oncology Reports</i> , 2022, 24, 1177-1187.	1.8	8
892	Assessment of Textbook Oncologic Outcomes Following Proctectomy for Rectal Cancer. <i>Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery</i> , 2022, 26, 1286-1297.	0.9	7
893	Laparoscopic bidirectional <sc>D3</sc> lymph node dissection for splenic flexure colon cancer â€“ video vignette. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2022, , .	0.7	0
894	Formalin-free soft embalming of human cadavers using N-vinyl-2-pyrrolidone: perspectives for cadaver surgical training and medical device development. <i>Anatomical Science International</i> , 2022, 97, 273-282.	0.5	6
895	Effect of partial preservation versus complete preservation of Denonvilliersâ€™ fascia on postoperative urogenital function in male patients with low rectal cancer (PREDICTION): protocol of a multicentre, prospective, randomised controlled clinical trial. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2022, 12, e055355.	0.8	1
896	Robotic surgery contributes to the preservation of bowel and urinary function after total mesorectal excision: comparisons with transanal and conventional laparoscopic surgery. <i>BMC Surgery</i> , 2022, 22, 147.	0.6	6
898	Use of Image-Guided Surgical Navigation during Resection of Locally Recurrent Rectal Cancer. <i>Life</i> , 2022, 12, 645.	1.1	4
899	Quality of life in a randomized trial comparing two neoadjuvant regimens for locally advanced rectal cancerâ€™INCAGI004. <i>Supportive Care in Cancer</i> , 2022, , 1.	1.0	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
900	Progress and remaining challenges in comprehensive gastric cancer treatment. , 2022, 1, .		3
901	Laparoscopic surgery reduced frequency of postoperative small bowel obstruction, and hospital stay compared with open surgery in a cohort of patients with colorectal cancer: a propensity score matching analysis. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2022, , .	1.3	0
902	Comparison of short-term outcomes of robotic-assisted and conventional laparoscopic surgery for rectal cancer: A propensity score-matched analysis. Asian Journal of Endoscopic Surgery, 2022, 15, 753-764.	0.4	8
904	Image-guided navigation for locally advanced primary and locally recurrent rectal cancer: evaluation of its early cost-effectiveness. BMC Cancer, 2022, 22, 504.	1.1	4
905	Is a Distal Resection Margin of 1 cm Safe in Patients with Intermediate- to Low-Lying Rectal Cancer? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2022, 26, 1791-1803.	0.9	3
906	Robotic versus Laparoscopic Total Mesorectal Excision Surgery in Rectal Cancer: Analysis of Medium-Term Oncological Outcomes. Surgical Innovation, 2022, , 155335062211002.	0.4	1
907	Risk factors and economic burden of postoperative anastomotic leakage related events in patients who underwent surgeries for colorectal cancer. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0267950.	1.1	7
910	Comparison of clinical outcomes of single-incision versus multi-port laparoscopic surgery for rectosigmoid or upper rectal cancer. International Journal of Colorectal Disease, 2022, 37, 1553-1560.	1.0	1
911	Analysis of bowel function, urogenital function, and long-term follow-up outcomes associated with robotic and laparoscopic sphincter-preserving surgical approaches to total mesorectal excision in low rectal cancer: a retrospective cohort study. World Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 20, .	0.8	1
912	Preliminary results of a program for the implementation of laparoscopic colorectal surgery in an Italian comprehensive cancer center during the COVID-19 pandemic. Updates in Surgery, 2022, 74, 1271-1279.	0.9	1
913	Short-term and oncological outcomes of natural orifice specimen extraction surgery (NOSES) for colorectal cancer in China: a national database study of 5055 patients. Science Bulletin, 2022, 67, 1331-1334.	4.3	18
914	Long term oncological outcomes for laparoscopic versus open surgery for rectal cancer – A population-based nationwide noninferiority study. Colorectal Disease, 2022, 24, 1308-1317.	0.7	8
915	Robotic and laparoscopic surgical procedures for colorectal cancer. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 0, , .	1.0	0
916	Local recurrence of robot-assisted total mesorectal excision: a multicentre cohort study evaluating the initial cases. International Journal of Colorectal Disease, 2022, 37, 1635-1645.	1.0	1
917	Short- and long-term outcomes of robotic-assisted laparoscopic surgery for rectal cancer: A single-center retrospective cohort study. Asian Journal of Endoscopic Surgery, 0, , .	0.4	2
918	Single-incision versus conventional laparoscopic surgery for rectal cancer: a meta-analysis of clinical and pathological outcomes. Wideochirurgia I Inne Techniki Maloinwazyjne, 2022, 17, 387-405.	0.3	1
919	The Multidisciplinary Approach of Rectal Cancer: The Experience of the COMRE Group Model. Diagnostics, 2022, 12, 1571.	1.3	0
921	Need for nursing care after laparoscopic and open colorectal cancer surgery: a claims data analysis in German primary care. Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery, 2022, 407, 2937-2944.	0.8	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
922	Japanese multicenter prospective study investigating laparoscopic surgery for locally advanced rectal cancer with evaluation of CRM and TME quality (PRODUCT trial). <i>Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery</i> , 0, , .	1.2	2
923	Laparoscopic versus open resection for stage <sc>II</sc>/<sc>III</sc> rectal cancer in obese patients: A multicenter propensity scoreâ€based analysis of shortâ€and longâ€term outcomes. <i>Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery</i> , 2023, 7, 71-80.	1.2	3
924	Learning Curve of Robotic-Assisted Total Mesorectal Excision for Rectal Cancer. <i>Frontiers in Oncology</i> , 0, 12, .	1.3	3
925	Oncologic outcomes of single-incision laparoscopic surgery versus conventional laparoscopic surgery for colorectal cancer (CSILS): study protocol for a multicentre, prospective, open-label, noninferiority, randomized controlled trial. <i>BMC Cancer</i> , 2022, 22, .	1.1	5
926	An MRI-based pelvimetry nomogram for predicting surgical difficulty of transabdominal resection in patients with middle and low rectal cancer. <i>Frontiers in Oncology</i> , 0, 12, .	1.3	5
927	Are risk factors for anastomotic leakage influencing long-term oncological outcomes after low anterior resection of locally advanced rectal cancer with neoadjuvant therapy? A single-centre cohort study. <i>Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery</i> , 2022, 407, 2945-2957.	0.8	6
928	Mesentery in Transanal TME. <i>Clinics in Colon and Rectal Surgery</i> , 2022, 35, 306-315.	0.5	1
929	Transversus abdominis plane block for laparoscopic colorectal surgery: A meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. <i>International Journal of Surgery</i> , 2022, 104, 106825.	1.1	10
930	Multimodal treatment of rectal cancer. <i>Deutsches A&#x0308;rztblatt International</i> , 0, , .	0.6	2
931	Shortâ€Term Outcomes of Triâ€Staple Versus Universal Staple in Laparoscopic Anterior Resection of Rectal and Distal Sigmoid Colonic Cancer: A Matchedâ€Pair Analysis. <i>World Journal of Surgery</i> , 2022, 46, 2817-2824.	0.8	1
932	Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision (TaTME) versus Laparoscopic Total Mesorectal Excision for Lower Rectal Cancer: A Propensity Score-Matched Analysis. <i>Cancers</i> , 2022, 14, 4098.	1.7	4
933	Optimizing the Personalized Care for the Management of Rectal Cancer: A Consensus Statement. , 2022, 33, 627-663.		0
934	Intrathecal diamorphine for perioperative analgesia during colorectal surgery: a cross-sectional survey of current UK practice. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2022, 12, e057407.	0.8	2
935	Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy, Excision, and Observation for Early Rectal Cancer: The Phase II NEO Trial (CCTG CO.28) Primary End Point Results. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2023, 41, 233-242.	0.8	13
936	Mesenteric Vascular Evaluation with Pre-operative Multidetector Computed Tomographic Angiography and Intraoperative Indocyanine Green Angiography to Reduce Anastomotic Leaks after Minimally Invasive Surgery for Colorectal Cancer. <i>Journal of the Society of Laparoendoscopic Surgeons</i> , 2022, 26, e2022.00022.	0.5	0
937	<i>Viszeralchirurgie.</i> , 2022, , 223-437.		0
938	Risk Factors and Preventive Measures for Anastomotic Leak in Colorectal Cancer. <i>Technology in Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2022, 21, 153303382211189.	0.8	3
939	A General Overview on Causes, Risk Factors, Diagnosis, Treatment, and Role of Oxidative Stress Biomarkers in Colorectal Cancer. , 2022, , 3877-3895.		0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
940	The Impact of Surgical Techniques in Patients with Rectal Cancer on Spine Mobility and Abdominal Muscle Strength—A Prospective Study. <i>Cancers</i> , 2022, 14, 4148.	1.7	0
942	Robotic versus laparoscopic abdominoperineal resections for low rectal cancer: A single-center randomized controlled trial. <i>Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2022, 126, 1481-1493.	0.8	10
946	The taTME learning curve for mid-low rectal cancer: a single-center experience in China. <i>World Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2022, 20, .	0.8	1
948	Short- and long-term outcomes of laparoscopic versus open pelvic exenteration for locally advanced rectal cancer: a single-center propensity score matching analysis. <i>Techniques in Coloproctology</i> , 2023, 27, 43-52.	0.8	6
950	Association of Long-term Oncologic Prognosis With Minimal Access Breast Surgery vs Conventional Breast Surgery. <i>JAMA Surgery</i> , 2022, 157, e224711.	2.2	16
951	Clinical Robotic Surgery Association (India Chapter) and Indian rectal cancer expert group's practical consensus statements for surgical management of localized and locally advanced rectal cancer. <i>Frontiers in Oncology</i> , 0, 12, .	1.3	0
952	Robotic versus laparoscopic surgery for middle and low rectal cancer (REAL): short-term outcomes of a multicentre randomised controlled trial. <i>The Lancet Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2022, 7, 991-1004.	3.7	101
953	Convincing evidence in favour of robotics in total mesorectal excision surgery?. <i>The Lancet Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2022, 7, 974-975.	3.7	1
954	Chemotherapeutic Protocols for the Treatment of Gastrointestinal Tract Cancer. , 2022, , 125-200.		0
955	Single-operator-conducted natural orifice specimen extraction surgery (NOSES) for sigmoid colon cancer. <i>Gastroenterology Report</i> , 2022, 10, .	0.6	0
956	Implementation of a standardized surgical technique in robot-assisted restorative rectal cancer resection: a single center cohort study. <i>BMC Surgery</i> , 2022, 22, .	0.6	0
957	Rectal Cancer, Version 2.2022, NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. <i>Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network: JNCCN</i> , 2022, 20, 1139-1167.	2.3	184
958	Interischial Spine Distance Is a Simple Index of the Narrow Pelvis That Can Predict Difficulty During Laparoscopic Low Anterior Resection. <i>Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy and Percutaneous Techniques</i> , 0, Publish Ahead of Print, .	0.4	0
959	Patterns and predictors of recurrence after laparoscopic resection of rectal cancer. <i>Frontiers in Oncology</i> , 0, 12, .	1.3	2
960	Robot-Assisted Colorectal Surgery. <i>The Ewha Medical Journal</i> , 2022, 45, .	0.1	0
961	Efficacy of transperineal minimally invasive surgery with laparoscopic abdominoperineal excision for lower rectal cancer. <i>Surgery Today</i> , 0, , .	0.7	0
962	Impact of a diverting ileostomy in total mesorectal excision with primary anastomosis for rectal cancer. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2023, 37, 1916-1932.	1.3	3
963	International survey among surgeons on the perioperative management of rectal cancer. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 0, , .	1.3	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
968	Laparoscopic Anterior Resection. , 2023, , 515-523.		0
969	Global trends and hotspots in research of robotic surgery in oncology: A bibliometric and visual analysis from 2002 to 2021. <i>Frontiers in Oncology</i> , 0, 12, .	1.3	14
970	Transanal down-to-up dissection of the distal rectum as a viable approach to achieve total mesorectal excision in laparoscopic sphincter-preserving surgery for rectal cancer near the anus: a study of short- and long-term outcomes of 123 consecutive patients from a single Japanese institution. <i>World Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2022, 20, .	0.8	2
971	Modern trends in minimally invasive versus open hepatectomy for colorectal liver metastasis: an analysis of ACS-NSQIP. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 0, , .	1.3	3
972	Current status of transanal total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer and the expanding indications of the transanal approach for extended pelvic surgeries. <i>Digestive Endoscopy</i> , 2023, 35, 243-254.	1.3	1
973	Effective initial management of anastomotic leak in the maintenance of functional colorectal or coloanal anastomosis. <i>Surgery Today</i> , 0, , .	0.7	1
974	BMI and pelvimetry help to predict the duration of laparoscopic resection for low and middle rectal cancer. <i>BMC Surgery</i> , 2022, 22, .	0.6	3
975	Short-term outcomes of robot-assisted versus conventional laparoscopic surgery for mid and low rectal cancer after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy: a propensity score-matched analysis. <i>Journal of Robotic Surgery</i> , 2023, 17, 959-969.	1.0	4
977	Meta-analysis of transanal <i>vs </i>laparoscopic total mesorectal excision of low rectal cancer: Importance of appropriate patient selection. <i>World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery</i> , 0, 14, 1397-1410.	0.8	1
978	Outcomes of open vs laparoscopic vs robotic vs transanal total mesorectal excision (TME) for rectal cancer: a network meta-analysis. <i>Techniques in Coloproctology</i> , 2023, 27, 345-360.	0.8	9
979	Lymph node yield less than 12 is not a poor predictor of survival in locally advanced rectal cancer after laparoscopic TME following neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy. <i>Frontiers in Oncology</i> , 0, 12, .	1.3	3
980	Minimally Invasive Lower Anterior Resections â€œ Better than Open But Not All the Same. <i>American Surgeon</i> , 2023, 89, 5270-5275.	0.4	0
981	A Comparison between Open and Minimally Invasive Techniques for the Resection of Colorectal Liver Metastasis. <i>Healthcare (Switzerland)</i> , 2022, 10, 2433.	1.0	0
982	EVALUATION OF COLORECTAL CANCER SURGERIES PERFORMED IN THREE YEARS. <i>Ankara EÄŸitim Ve AraÄŸtÄ±rma Hastanesi TÄ±p Dergisi</i> , 0, , .	0.1	0
983	Minimally invasive surgery for maximally invasive tumors: pelvic exenterations for rectal cancers. <i>Journal of Minimally Invasive Surgery</i> , 2022, 25, 131-138.	0.2	5
984	Does the mesorectal fat area impact the histopathology metrics of the specimen in males undergoing TME for distal rectal cancer?. <i>Updates in Surgery</i> , 2023, 75, 581-588.	0.9	4
985	Magnetic resonance imaging-based deep learning model to predict multiple firings in double-stapled colorectal anastomosis. <i>World Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 0, 29, 536-548.	1.4	3
986	Survival outcomes of stage I colorectal cancer: development and validation of the ACEPLY model using two prospective cohorts. <i>BMC Medicine</i> , 2023, 21, .	2.3	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
987	Short- and long-term outcomes of laparoscopic low anterior resection with eodog ear invagination anastomosis for mid and distal rectal cancer a propensity score matched analysis. <i>Frontiers in Surgery</i> , 0, 9, .	0.6	1
988	WATCH & WAIT-ESPERAR Y VER EN EL CĂNCER DE RECTO. <i>Archivos De ColoproctologĂa</i> , 2021, 4, .	0.0	0
989	Past and Current Status of Colorectal Cancer Surgery. <i>Journal of the Nihon University Medical Association</i> , 2022, 81, 255-265.	0.0	0
990	Essential updates 2020/2021: Advancing precision medicine for comprehensive rectal cancer treatment. <i>Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery</i> , 2023, 7, 198-215.	1.2	5
991	Augmented Reality in Surgical Navigation: A Review of Evaluation and Validation Metrics. <i>Applied Sciences (Switzerland)</i> , 2023, 13, 1629.	1.3	11
992	Advantage of laparoscopic surgery in patients with generalized obesity operated for colorectal malignancy: A retrospective cohort study. <i>Frontiers in Surgery</i> , 0, 9, .	0.6	1
994	Total Neoadjuvant Therapy in Rectal Cancer: Multi-center Comparison of Induction Chemotherapy and Long-Course Chemoradiation Versus Short-Course Radiation and Consolidative Chemotherapy. <i>Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery</i> , 2023, 27, 980-989.	0.9	1
995	Trends in emergency colorectal surgery: a 7-year retrospective single-centre cohort study. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 0, , .	1.3	0
996	The role of transanal total mesorectal excision in the treatment of rectal cancer: a systematic review. <i>Minerva Surgery</i> , 0, , .	0.1	0
997	Robotic surgery for locally advanced T4 rectal cancer: feasibility and oncological quality. <i>Updates in Surgery</i> , 2023, 75, 589-597.	0.9	2
998	Comparison of transabdominal wall specimen retrieval and natural orifice specimen extraction robotic surgery in the outcome of colorectal cancer treatment. <i>Frontiers in Surgery</i> , 0, 10, .	0.6	2
1000	Recommendations for modern perioperative care for elective surgery: consensus of panel of exerts. <i>Polski Przegląd Chirurgiczny</i> , 2023, 95, 1-5.	0.2	2
1001	Multidimensional Quality of Life After Robotic Versus Laparoscopic Surgery for Rectal Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. <i>World Journal of Surgery</i> , 2023, 47, 1310-1319.	0.8	3
1002	Staging Chest CT in Patients With Early-Stage Colon Cancer: Analysis of Impact on Survival Using Inverse Probability Weighting and Causal Diagram. <i>American Journal of Roentgenology</i> , 2023, 221, 184-195.	1.0	1
1003	Advances in pelvic imaging parameters predicting surgical difficulty in rectal cancer. <i>World Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2023, 21, .	0.8	2
1005	Rektumkarzinom: Radikale operative Therapie. <i>Springer Reference Medizin</i> , 2023, , 1-12.	0.0	0
1006	Global survey on the surgical management of patients affected by colorectal cancer with synchronous liver metastases: impact of surgical specialty and geographic region. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2023, 37, 4658-4672.	1.3	1
1007	Single-center comparative study of short-term outcomes of transanal and laparoscopic total mesorectal excisions for low and middle rectal cancers. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 0, , .	1.3	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1008	Advances in and Issues With Minimally Invasive Surgery for Rectal Cancer in Elderly Patients. Innovative Medicine of Kuban, 2023, , 116-122.	0.0	0
1009	The Effects of Hospital Volume on Short-Term Outcomes of Laparoscopic Surgery for Rectal Cancer: A Large-Scale Analysis of 37,821 Cases on a Nationwide Administrative Database. Digestive Surgery, 2023, 40, 39-47.	0.6	0
1011	Randomized controlled trial evaluating the effect of the use of a laparoscopic lens-cleaning device during laparoscopic colorectal surgery on the multidimensional workload (YCOG1903). Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 0, , .	1.3	0
1012	Impact of the approach on conversion to open surgery during minimally invasive restorative total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer. International Journal of Colorectal Disease, 2023, 38, .	1.0	1
1013	Feasibility and safety of robotic surgery for low rectal cancer combined with transanal total mesorectal excision. Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery, 2023, 408, .	0.8	1
1014	Laparoscopic and robotic intracorporeal resection and end-to-end anastomosis in left colectomy: a prospective cohort study â€” stage 2a IDEAL framework for evaluating surgical innovation. Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery, 2023, 408, .	0.8	2
1015	Disparities in access to robotic technology and perioperative outcomes among patients treated with radical prostatectomy. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2023, 128, 375-384.	0.8	4
1016	Early versus late reversal of diverting loop ileostomy in rectal cancer surgery: a multicentre randomized controlled trial. Scientific Reports, 2023, 13, .	1.6	3
1017	Comparison of specimen extraction site and another site for protective loop ileostomy in laparoscopic low anterior rectal resection: a retrospective comparative study. Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery, 2023, 408, .	0.8	2
1018	Robotic colorectal resection in combination with a multimodal enhanced recovery program - results of the first 100 cases. International Journal of Colorectal Disease, 2023, 38, .	1.0	4
1019	Assessment of the efficacy of Handmade Vacuum-Assisted Sponge Drain for Treatment of Anastomotic leakage after Low Anterior Rectal Resection. Updates in Surgery, 2023, 75, 847-854.	0.9	1
1025	Staging and Treatment. II-4. Surgical Treatment. , 2023, , 255-265.		0
1064	Total Mesorectal Excision for Rectal Cancer: Top Down or Bottom Up?. Difficult Decisions in Surgery: an Evidence-based Approach, 2023, , 583-604.	0.0	0
1072	ERAS Protocols and Multimodal Pain Management in Surgery. , 0, , .		1