

CITATION REPORT

List of articles citing

Public preferences for prioritizing preventive and curative health care interventions: a discrete choice experiment

DOI: 10.1016/j.jval.2014.12.007
Value in Health, 2015, 18, 224-33.

Source: <https://exaly.com/paper-pdf/62137307/citation-report.pdf>

Version: 2024-04-28

This report has been generated based on the citations recorded by exaly.com for the above article. For the latest version of this publication list, visit the link given above.

The third column is the impact factor (IF) of the journal, and the fourth column is the number of citations of the article.

#	Paper	IF	Citations
45	Homogeneous versus heterogeneous designs for stated choice experiments: Are all homogeneous designs all bad?. <i>Journal of Choice Modelling</i> , 2016 , 21, 2-9	3.8	2
44	Does the Public Prefer Health Gain for Cancer Patients? A Systematic Review of Public Views on Cancer and its Characteristics. <i>Pharmacoeconomics</i> , 2017 , 35, 793-804	4.4	11
43	The acceptability of stem cell-based fertility treatments for different indications. <i>Molecular Human Reproduction</i> , 2017 , 23, 855-863	4.4	6
42	Prevention of treatable infectious diseases: A game-theoretic approach. <i>Vaccine</i> , 2017 , 35, 5339-5345	4.1	5
41	Patient preferences for venous thromboembolism prophylaxis after injury: a discrete choice experiment. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2017 , 7, e016676	3	10
40	Criteria for prioritization of HIV programs in Viet Nam: a discrete choice experiment. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2017 , 17, 719	2.9	6
39	How Should Discrete Choice Experiments with Duration Choice Sets Be Presented for the Valuation of Health States?. <i>Medical Decision Making</i> , 2018 , 38, 306-318	2.5	6
38	The views of the general public on prioritising vaccination programmes against childhood diseases: A qualitative study. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2018 , 13, e0197374	3.7	3
37	Individual decisions to vaccinate one's child or oneself: A discrete choice experiment rejecting free-riding motives. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2018 , 207, 106-116	5.1	24
36	A practical approach to designing partial-profile choice experiments with two alternatives for estimating main effects and interactions of many two-level attributes. <i>Journal of Choice Modelling</i> , 2019 , 32, 100136	3.8	2
35	Choice models with mixtures: An application to a cocktail experiment. <i>Food Quality and Preference</i> , 2019 , 77, 135-146	5.8	5
34	A Guide to Measuring and Interpreting Attribute Importance. <i>Patient</i> , 2019 , 12, 287-295	3.7	24
33	Priority-Setting and Personality: Effects of Dispositional Optimism on Preferences for Allocating Healthcare Resources. <i>Social Justice Research</i> , 2019 , 32, 186-207	1.6	1
32	Drivers of vaccine decision-making in South Africa: A discrete choice experiment. <i>Vaccine</i> , 2019 , 37, 2079-2089	4.2	18
31	Discrete Choice Experiments in Health Economics: Past, Present and Future. <i>Pharmacoeconomics</i> , 2019 , 37, 201-226	4.4	181
30	Attitudes of health professionals concerning bedside rationing criteria: a survey from Portugal. <i>Health Economics, Policy and Law</i> , 2020 , 15, 113-127	2.3	3
29	Economic evaluation of meningococcal vaccines: considerations for the future. <i>European Journal of Health Economics</i> , 2020 , 21, 297-309	3.6	10

28	Preferential differences in vaccination decision-making for oneself or one's child in The Netherlands: a discrete choice experiment. <i>BMC Public Health</i> , 2020 , 20, 828	4.1	10
27	Treatment Preferences of Residents Assumed to Have Severe Chronic Diseases in China: A Discrete Choice Experiment. <i>International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health</i> , 2020 , 17,	4.6	1
26	Value judgment of new medical treatments: Societal and patient perspectives to inform priority setting in The Netherlands. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2020 , 15, e0235666	3.7	2
25	Value Frameworks for Vaccines: Which Dimensions Are Most Relevant?. <i>Vaccines</i> , 2020 , 8,	5.3	0
24	Integrating Alternative Social Value Judgments Into Cost-Effectiveness Analysis of Vaccines: An Application to Varicella-Zoster Virus Vaccination. <i>Value in Health</i> , 2021 , 24, 41-49	3.3	1
23	Economic Evaluation of Vaccines: Belgian Reflections on the Need for a Broader Perspective. <i>Value in Health</i> , 2021 , 24, 105-111	3.3	4
22	Preferences for Medical Consultations from Online Providers: Evidence from a Discrete Choice Experiment in the United Kingdom. <i>Applied Health Economics and Health Policy</i> , 2021 , 19, 521-535	3.4	2
21	Can financial payments incentivize short-term smoking cessation in orthopaedic trauma patients? Evidence from a discrete choice experiment. <i>Health Economics Review</i> , 2021 , 11, 15	2	0
20	Public preferences for allocating absolute scarce critical healthcare resources during the COVID-19 pandemic. <i>Journal of Health Organization and Management</i> , 2021 , ahead-of-print,	1.9	1
19	How to fairly allocate scarce medical resources? Controversial preferences of healthcare professionals with different personal characteristics. <i>Health Economics, Policy and Law</i> , 2021 , 1-18	2.3	0
18	Do You Prefer Safety to Social Participation? Finnish Population-Based Preference Weights for the Adult Social Care Outcomes Toolkit (ASCOT) for Service Users. <i>MDM Policy and Practice</i> , 2021 , 6, 23814683211027902	1.5	2
17	The effect of information transfer related to soil biodiversity on Flemish citizens' preferences for forest management. <i>Science of the Total Environment</i> , 2021 , 776, 145791	10.2	2
16	What Aspects of Illness Influence Public Preferences for Healthcare Priority Setting? A Discrete Choice Experiment in the UK. <i>Pharmacoeconomics</i> , 2021 , 39, 1443-1454	4.4	1
15	Bayesian I-optimal designs for choice experiments with mixtures. <i>Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems</i> , 2021 , 217, 104395	3.8	2
14	How to Fairly Allocate Scarce Medical Resources: Ethical Argumentation under Scrutiny by Health Professionals and Lay People. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2016 , 11, e0159086	3.7	31
13	Adolescent values for immunisation programs in Australia: A discrete choice experiment. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2017 , 12, e0181073	3.7	13
12	Stakeholder value judgments in decision-making on the incorporation, financing, and allocation of new health technologies in limited-resource settings: a potential Brazilian approach. <i>Revista Panamericana De Salud Publica/Pan American Journal of Public Health</i> , 2018 , 42, e102	4.1	0
11	Measuring Public Preferences for Changes in the Health Insurance Benefit Package Policies in Iran: A Survey Approach. <i>Iranian Journal of Public Health</i> , 2020 , 49, 940-948	0.7	1

10	Rationing of a scarce life-saving resource: Public preferences for prioritizing COVID-19 vaccination. <i>Health Economics (United Kingdom)</i> , 2021 ,	2.4	1
9	Individual factors influencing COVID-19 vaccine acceptance in between and during pandemic waves (July-December 2020). <i>Vaccine</i> , 2021 ,	4.1	6
8	Conjoint Analysis: A Research Method to Study Patients Preferences and Personalize Care.. <i>Journal of Personalized Medicine</i> , 2022 , 12,	3.6	2
7	Social preferences for adopting new vaccines in the national immunization program: A discrete choice experiment. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2022 , 114991	5.1	1
6	What public health interventions do people in Canada prefer to fund? A discrete choice experiment. <i>BMC Public Health</i> , 2022 , 22,	4.1	0
5	If you were a policymaker, which treatment would you disinvest? A participatory value evaluation on public preferences for active disinvestment of health care interventions in the Netherlands. <i>Health Economics, Policy and Law</i> , 1-16	2.3	0
4	Community preferences for the allocation of scarce healthcare resources during the Covid-19 pandemic: a review of the literature. <i>Public Health</i> , 2022 ,	4	
3	Current Practices for Accounting for Preference Heterogeneity in Health-Related Discrete Choice Experiments: A Systematic Review.		
2	Trading off environmental attributes in food consumption choices. 2022 , 112, 102338		1
1	Towards machine learning for moral choice analysis in health economics: A literature review and research agenda. 2023 , 115910		0