

# Watchful eyes: implicit observability cues and voting

Evolution and Human Behavior

35, 279-284

DOI: [10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2014.02.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2014.02.008)

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Butterfly Eyespots: Their Potential Influence on Aesthetic Preferences and Conservation Attitudes. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0141433.	2.5	19
2	A Closer Look at Eyespot Effects on Voter Turnout: Reply to Matland and Murray. Political Psychology, 2016, 37, 551-557.	3.6	4
3	Risk marketing. Journal of CENTRUM Cathedra (JCC) the Business and Economics Research Journal, 2016, 9, 27-51.	0.4	2
4	The Effects of Authoritarian Iconography. Comparative Political Studies, 2016, 49, 1704-1738.	3.6	31
5	Eyes Wide Open. Evolutionary Psychology, 2016, 14, 147470491664078.	0.9	42
6	Conformity to implicit social pressure: the role of political identity. Social Influence, 2016, 11, 177-184.	1.6	21
7	Factors affecting donations in U.S. retail stores: A conceptual framework. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 2016, 33, 178-185.	9.4	9
8	I Only Have Eyes for You: Does Implicit Social Pressure Increase Voter Turnout?. Political Psychology, 2016, 37, 533-550.	3.6	14
9	Partisan Social Pressure and Voter Mobilization. American Politics Research, 2016, 44, 982-1007.	1.4	6
10	The Social Rewards of Engagement: Appealing to Social Motivations to Stimulate Political Interest at High and Low Levels of External Efficacy. Political Studies, 2017, 65, 24-41.	3.0	33
11	Artificial surveillance cues do not increase generosity: two meta-analyses. Evolution and Human Behavior, 2017, 38, 144-153.	2.2	109
12	Effect of artificial surveillance cues on reported moral judgment: Experimental failures to replicate and two meta-analyses. Evolution and Human Behavior, 2017, 38, 561-571.	2.2	19
14	Attentiveness to eyes predicts generosity in a reputation-relevant context. Evolution and Human Behavior, 2017, 38, 729-733.	2.2	13
15	The watching-eyes phenomenon and blood donation: Does exposure to pictures of eyes increase blood donation by young adults?. Transfusion and Apheresis Science, 2017, 56, 168-170.	1.0	11
16	The Sense of Being Watched Is Modulated by Arousal and Duration of the Perceptual Episode. I-Perception, 2017, 8, 204166951774217.	1.4	8
17	Does observability affect prosociality?. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2018, 285, 20180116.	2.6	48
18	Evaluation Potential and Task Performance: Evidence From Two Randomized Field Experiments in Election Administration. Political Psychology, 2018, 39, 725-741.	3.6	1
19	Eyes, More Than Other Facial Features, Enhance Real-World Donation Behavior. Human Nature, 2018, 29, 390-401.	1.6	12

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
20	Perceived Gaze Direction Modulates Neural Processing of Prosocial Decision Making. <i>Frontiers in Human Neuroscience</i> , 2018, 12, 52.	2.0	7
21	A second look at partisanship's effect on receptivity to social pressure to vote. <i>Social Influence</i> , 2019, 14, 1-13.	1.6	2
22	Political identity moderates the effect of watchful eyes on voter mobilization: A reply to Matland and Murray (2019). <i>Social Influence</i> , 2019, 14, 152-158.	1.6	0
23	The effect of social pressure from family and friends on turnout. <i>Journal of Social and Personal Relationships</i> , 2019, 36, 2824-2841.	2.3	17
24	Can Social Pressure Foster Responsiveness? An Open Records Field Experiment with Mayoral Offices. <i>Journal of Experimental Political Science</i> , 2020, , 1-11.	2.5	1
25	Self-Worth Versus Net Worth: Image Motivation and the Quantity-Quality Trade-Off. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	2
26	No effect on condemnation of short or long exposure to eye images. <i>Letters on Evolutionary Behavioral Science</i> , 2015, 6, 13-16.	0.3	14
28	â€œDon't Care About Social Controlâ€ Why the Eye Image Effect Does Not Reduce Illegal Pedestrian Behavior in France. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	0
30	How do eye cues affect behaviors? Two meta-analyses. <i>Current Psychology</i> , 2024, 43, 1084-1101.	2.8	0
31	Reputation Reminders: When do Eye Cues Promote Prosocial Behavior?. <i>Spanish Journal of Psychology</i> , 2023, 26, .	2.1	0
33	Eyes on incivility in surgical teams: Teamwork, well-being, and an intervention. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2023, 18, e0295271.	2.5	0
34	Revisiting the Watching Eyes Effect: How Emotional Expressions, Sex, and Age of Watching Eyes Influence Stereotypical Statement Endorsement. <i>Open Psychology</i> , 2023, 5, .	0.3	0