

Open versus laparoscopic surgery for mid-rectal or low chemoradiotherapy (COREAN trial): survival outcomes randomised controlled trial

Lancet Oncology, The

15, 767-774

DOI: [10.1016/s1470-2045\(14\)70205-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1470-2045(14)70205-0)

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Challenge or Opportunity: Outcomes of Laparoscopic Resection for Rectal Cancer in Patients with High Operative Risk. <i>Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A</i> , 2014, 24, 756-761.	0.5	2
2	Minimally-invasive approach for rectal cancer surgery. <i>Lancet Oncology</i> , The, 2014, 15, 680-681.	5.1	5
3	Loss of AT-Rich Interactive Domain 1A Expression in Gastrointestinal Malignancies. <i>Oncology</i> , 2015, 88, 234-240.	0.9	21
6	Prognostic factors for low rectal cancer patients undergoing intersphincteric resection after neoadjuvant chemoradiation. <i>Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2015, 111, 1054-1058.	0.8	21
7	Multicenter Analysis of Long-Term Oncologic Impact of Anastomotic Leakage After Laparoscopic Total Mesorectal Excision. <i>Medicine (United States)</i> , 2015, 94, e1202.	0.4	32
8	Open Surgery Against Laparoscopic Surgery for Mid-Rectal or Low-Rectal Cancer of Male Patients. <i>Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy and Percutaneous Techniques</i> , 2015, 25, 444-448.	0.4	3
9	Multicentre study of robotic intersphincteric resection for low rectal cancer. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2015, 102, 1567-1573.	0.1	65
10	Spin Is Common in Studies Assessing Robotic Colorectal Surgery. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2015, 58, 878-884.	0.7	34
11	Short-term and Long-term Outcomes Regarding Laparoscopic Versus Open Surgery for Low Rectal Cancer. <i>Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy and Percutaneous Techniques</i> , 2015, 25, 286-296.	0.4	7
12	Long-term Outcomes of Laparoscopic versus Open Surgery for Rectal Cancer: A Singlecenter Retrospective Analysis. <i>Korean journal of gastroenterology = Taehan Sohwagi Hakhoe chi, The</i> , 2015, 65, 273.	0.2	7
13	Laparoscopic Versus Open Surgery for Mid-Low Rectal Cancer: a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis on Short- and Long-Term Outcomes. <i>Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery</i> , 2015, 19, 1497-1512.	0.9	35
14	Low-Level Microsatellite Instability as a Potential Prognostic Factor in Sporadic Colorectal Cancer. <i>Medicine (United States)</i> , 2015, 94, e2260.	0.4	21
15	Objective assessment of technique in laparoscopic colorectal surgery: What are the existing tools?. <i>Techniques in Coloproctology</i> , 2015, 19, 1-4.	0.8	9
16	Evaluation of the intestinal blood flow near the rectosigmoid junction using the indocyanine green fluorescence method in a colorectal cancer surgery. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2015, 30, 329-335.	1.0	65
18	Transanal total mesorectal excision assisted by single-port laparoscopic surgery for low rectal cancer. <i>Techniques in Coloproctology</i> , 2015, 19, 527-534.	0.8	21
19	Factors affecting the selection of minimally invasive surgery for stage 0/I colorectal cancer. <i>International Journal of Surgery</i> , 2015, 16, 44-48.	1.1	8
20	Clinical outcomes of laparoscopic versus open surgery for primary tumor resection in patients with stage IV colorectal cancer with unresectable metastasis. <i>Surgery Today</i> , 2015, 45, 752-758.	0.7	7
21	A Randomized Trial of Laparoscopic versus Open Surgery for Rectal Cancer. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> , 2015, 372, 1324-1332.	13.9	1,084

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
22	Transanal total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer: a single center experience and systematic review of the literature. <i>Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery</i> , 2015, 400, 945-959.	0.8	65
23	Effect of Laparoscopic-Assisted Resection vs Open Resection of Stage II or III Rectal Cancer on Pathologic Outcomes. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2015, 314, 1346.	3.8	898
24	Minimally Invasive Approaches to Rectal Cancer and Diverticulitis. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2015, 314, 1343.	3.8	10
25	Effect of Laparoscopic-Assisted Resection vs Open Resection on Pathological Outcomes in Rectal Cancer. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2015, 314, 1356.	3.8	835
26	Surgical Options in the Treatment of Lower Gastrointestinal Tract Cancers. <i>Current Treatment Options in Oncology</i> , 2015, 16, 46.	1.3	1
27	Impact of type of surgery (laparoscopic versus open) on the time to initiation of adjuvant chemotherapy in operable rectal cancers. <i>Indian Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2015, 34, 310-313.	0.7	2
28	Effect of Visceral Obesity on Surgical Outcomes of Patients Undergoing Laparoscopic Colorectal Surgery. <i>World Journal of Surgery</i> , 2015, 39, 2343-2353.	0.8	26
29	Hand-assisted laparoscopic surgery compared with open resection for mid and low rectal cancer: a case-matched study with long-term follow-up. <i>World Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2015, 13, 199.	0.8	8
30	Treatment of Rectal Cancer with Trans-Anal Mesorectal Excision: A Mini-Review of the Literature. <i>Colorectal Cancer Open Access</i> , 2016, 2, .	0.0	0
31	Feasibility of transanal endoscopic total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer: results of a pilot study. <i>Annals of Surgical Treatment and Research</i> , 2016, 91, 187.	0.4	6
32	Preoperative, intraoperative and postoperative risk factors for anastomotic leakage after laparoscopic low anterior resection with double stapling technique anastomosis. <i>World Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2016, 22, 5718.	1.4	84
33	Laparoscopic Resection of Rectal Cancer in the Elderly. <i>Baylor University Medical Center Proceedings</i> , 2016, 29, 436-438.	0.2	1
34	A Systematic Review of Outcomes After Transanal Mesorectal Resection for Rectal Cancer. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2016, 59, 340-350.	0.7	12
35	Transanal total mesorectal excision (taTME) for rectal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of oncological and perioperative outcomes compared with laparoscopic total mesorectal excision. <i>BMC Cancer</i> , 2016, 16, 380.	1.1	150
37	Decreased Morbidity of Laparoscopic Distal Gastrectomy Compared With Open Distal Gastrectomy for Stage I Gastric Cancer. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2016, 263, 28-35.	2.1	518
38	Transanal total mesorectal excision in rectal cancer: why, how and when. <i>Colorectal Cancer</i> , 2016, 5, 33-39.	0.8	0
39	Role of Minimally Invasive Surgery in the Reoperative Abdomen or Pelvis. <i>Clinics in Colon and Rectal Surgery</i> , 2016, 29, 168-180.	0.5	12
40	Laparoscopy for Rectal Cancer: Is the Story Settled?. <i>Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A</i> , 2016, 26, 302-304.	0.5	1

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
41	“Scarless” and no-stoma surgery for low rectal cancer: the laparoscopic pull-through delayed “high” colo-anal anastomosis. <i>Updates in Surgery</i> , 2016, 68, 99-104.	0.9	17
43	Robotic surgery with high dissection and low ligation technique for consecutive patients with rectal cancer following preoperative concurrent chemoradiotherapy. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2016, 31, 1169-1177.	1.0	23
44	<i>Surgical Oncology Manual</i> . , 2016, , .		1
45	Laparoscopic surgery for colorectal cancer patients who underwent previous abdominal surgery. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2016, 30, 5472-5480.	1.3	19
47	Comparative analysis focusing on surgical and early oncological outcomes of open, laparoscopy-assisted, and robot-assisted approaches in rectal cancer patients. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2016, 31, 1179-1187.	1.0	29
48	Robot-assisted versus laparoscopic surgery for lower rectal cancer: the impact of visceral obesity on surgical outcomes. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2016, 31, 1701-1710.	1.0	63
50	Impact of Conversion to Open Surgery on Early Postoperative Morbidity After Laparoscopic Resection for Rectal Adenocarcinoma: A Retrospective Study. <i>Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A</i> , 2016, 26, 697-701.	0.5	12
51	Laparoscopic surgery for patients with colorectal cancer produces better short-term outcomes with similar survival outcomes in elderly patients compared to open surgery. <i>Cancer Medicine</i> , 2016, 5, 1047-1054.	1.3	29
52	Surgical Results and Oncologic Outcomes for Rectal Cancer with Tailored Mesorectal Excision over Two Decades. <i>World Journal of Surgery</i> , 2016, 40, 1500-1508.	0.8	3
53	Clinical outcomes and case volume effect of transanal total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer: a systematic review. <i>Techniques in Coloproctology</i> , 2016, 20, 811-824.	0.8	131
54	Short-term outcomes of open versus laparoscopic surgery in elderly patients with colorectal cancer. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2016, 30, 5550-5557.	1.3	26
55	Network meta-analysis of protocol-driven care and laparoscopic surgery for colorectal cancer. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2016, 103, 1783-1794.	0.1	28
56	Right lower transverse incision versus vertical transumbilical incision for laparoscopic specimen extraction in patients with left-sided colorectal cancer: a comparative study of two mini-laparotomy techniques. <i>World Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2016, 14, 274.	0.8	8
58	Impact of Type of Surgery on Survival Outcome in Patients With Early Gallbladder Cancer in the Era of Minimally Invasive Surgery. <i>Medicine (United States)</i> , 2016, 95, e3675.	0.4	49
59	Open Versus Laparoscopic Surgery for Rectal Cancer: Single-Center Results of 587 Cases. <i>Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy and Percutaneous Techniques</i> , 2016, 26, e62-e68.	0.4	9
60	Determining the extent of cholecystectomy using intraoperative specimen ultrasonography in patients with suspected early gallbladder cancer. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2016, 30, 4229-4238.	1.3	10
61	The effect of hospital volume on resection margins in rectal cancer surgery. <i>Journal of Surgical Research</i> , 2016, 204, 22-28.	0.8	8
64	Application of objective clinical human reliability analysis (OCHRA) in assessment of technical performance in laparoscopic rectal cancer surgery. <i>Techniques in Coloproctology</i> , 2016, 20, 361-367.	0.8	42

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
65	Impact of Laparoscopic Adrenalectomy on Overall Survival in Patients with Nonmetastatic Adrenocortical Carcinoma. <i>Journal of the American College of Surgeons</i> , 2016, 223, 485-492.	0.2	29
67	Safety of Laparoscopic Pelvic Exenteration with Urinary Diversion for Colorectal Malignancies. <i>World Journal of Surgery</i> , 2016, 40, 1236-1243.	0.8	27
68	Is laparoscopic surgery really effective for the treatment of colon and rectal cancer in very elderly over 80 years old? A prospective multicentric case-control assessment. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2016, 30, 4372-4382.	1.3	24
69	Laparoscopic versus open surgery for rectal cancer: a meta-analysis of 3-year follow-up outcomes. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2016, 31, 805-811.	1.0	25
70	Current Status of Minimally Invasive Surgery for Rectal Cancer. <i>Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery</i> , 2016, 20, 1056-1064.	0.9	8
71	Avances en cirugía del cáncer de recto: recorrido histórico y nuevas perspectivas después del estudio COLOR II. <i>Cirugía Española</i> , 2016, 94, 1-3.	0.1	0
74	Transanal total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer: a preliminary report. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2016, 30, 2552-2562.	1.3	30
75	Laparoscopic lateral pelvic lymph node dissection is achievable and offers advantages as a minimally invasive surgery over the open approach. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2016, 30, 1938-1947.	1.3	38
76	The feasibility of laparoscopic extended pelvic surgery for rectal cancer. <i>Surgery Today</i> , 2016, 46, 950-956.	0.7	2
77	Clinical safety and outcomes of laparoscopic surgery versus open surgery for palliative resection of primary tumors in patients with stage IV colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2016, 30, 1902-1910.	1.3	7
78	Transanal total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer. <i>Surgery Today</i> , 2016, 46, 641-653.	0.7	21
79	Initial experience of laparoscopic pelvic exenteration and comparison with conventional open surgery. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2016, 30, 132-138.	1.3	41
80	Transanal total mesorectal excision for rectal carcinoma: short-term outcomes and experience after 80 cases. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2016, 30, 464-470.	1.3	130
81	Small bowel obstruction and incisional hernia after laparoscopic and open colorectal surgery: a meta-analysis of comparative trials. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2017, 31, 85-99.	1.3	30
82	Is the benefit of laparoscopy maintained in elderly patients undergoing rectal cancer resection? An analysis of 446 consecutive patients. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2017, 31, 632-642.	1.3	15
83	Insurance Status, Not Race, is Associated With Use of Minimally Invasive Surgical Approach for Rectal Cancer. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2017, 265, 774-781.	2.1	35
84	Surviving rectal cancer: examination of racial disparities surrounding access to care. <i>Journal of Surgical Research</i> , 2017, 211, 100-106.	0.8	11
85	Laparoscopic versus open surgery for rectal cancer: A meta-analysis of classic randomized controlled trials and high-quality Nonrandomized Studies in the last 5 years. <i>International Journal of Surgery</i> , 2017, 39, 1-10.	1.1	67

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
86	The future for laparoscopic rectal cancer surgery. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2017, 104, 643-645.	0.1	6
87	Laparoscopic surgery for rectal cancer: the verdict is not final yet!. <i>Techniques in Coloproctology</i> , 2017, 21, 241-243.	0.8	3
88	Anastomotic leak after colorectal resection: A population-based study of risk factors and hospital variation. <i>Surgery</i> , 2017, 161, 1619-1627.	1.0	101
89	Oncologic relevance of magnetic resonance imagingâ€“detected threatened mesorectal fascia for patients with mid or low rectal cancer: A longitudinal analysis before and after long-course, concurrent chemoradiotherapy. <i>Surgery</i> , 2017, 162, 152-163.	1.0	8
90	Laparoscopic Versus Robotic Versus Open Surgery for Rectal Cancer. <i>Difficult Decisions in Surgery: an Evidence-based Approach</i> , 2017, , 519-533.	0.0	0
91	Rectal cancer should not be resected laparoscopically: the rationale and the data. <i>Techniques in Coloproctology</i> , 2017, 21, 237-240.	0.8	13
92	Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2017, 266, 111-117.	2.1	377
93	Objective assessment of minimally invasive total mesorectal excision performance: a systematic review. <i>Techniques in Coloproctology</i> , 2017, 21, 259-268.	0.8	7
94	Natural Orifice Specimen Extraction With Single Stapling Colorectal Anastomosis for Laparoscopic Anterior Resection: Feasibility, Outcomes, and Technical Considerations. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2017, 60, 43-50.	0.7	57
95	Evolution of Surgical Treatment for Rectal Cancer: a Review. <i>Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery</i> , 2017, 21, 1166-1173.	0.9	27
96	Comparison of short-term and oncologic outcomes of robotic and laparoscopic resection for mid- and distal rectal cancer. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2017, 31, 2798-2807.	1.3	63
97	New Strategies in Rectal Cancer. <i>Surgical Clinics of North America</i> , 2017, 97, 587-604.	0.5	38
98	Short- and Long-term Outcomes of Laparoscopic Total Mesenteric Excision for Neuroendocrine Tumors of the Rectum. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2017, 60, 284-289.	0.7	27
99	Laparoscopic <i>en bloc</i> total mesorectal excision post chemoradiotherapy â€“ a video vignette. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2017, 19, 697-698.	0.7	0
100	Evaluation of short-term outcomes of laparoscopic-assisted surgery for colorectal cancer in elderly patients aged over 75 years old: a multi-institutional study (YSURG1401). <i>BMC Surgery</i> , 2017, 17, 29.	0.6	32
101	Laparoscopy for Rectal Cancer. <i>Clinics in Colon and Rectal Surgery</i> , 2017, 30, 104-111.	0.5	7
102	C-reactive protein as early predictor of complications after minimally invasive colorectal resection. <i>Journal of Surgical Research</i> , 2017, 210, 261-268.	0.8	15
103	Robotic surgery for rectal cancer. <i>Asian Journal of Endoscopic Surgery</i> , 2017, 10, 364-371.	0.4	12

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
104	A New Prediction Model for Local Recurrence After Curative Rectal Cancer Surgery: Development and Validation as an Asian Collaborative Study. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2017, 60, 1168-1174.	0.7	12
105	Comparison of outcomes between symptomatic and asymptomatic patients with colorectal cancer: a propensity score-matched analysis of surgical invasiveness, medical costs and oncological outcomes. <i>BMJ Open Gastroenterology</i> , 2017, 4, e000146.	1.1	7
106	Meta-analysis of the impact of surgical approach on the grade of mesorectal excision in rectal cancer. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2017, 104, 1609-1619.	0.1	43
107	Effect of Robotic-Assisted vs Conventional Laparoscopic Surgery on Risk of Conversion to Open Laparotomy Among Patients Undergoing Resection for Rectal Cancer. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2017, 318, 1569.	3.8	891
108	Clinical practice guideline for enhanced recovery after colon and rectal surgery from the American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons (ASCRS) and Society of American Gastrointestinal and Endoscopic Surgeons (SAGES). <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2017, 31, 3412-3436.	1.3	55
109	Critical appraisal of two randomized clinical trials on pathologic outcomes. <i>Coloproctology</i> , 2017, 39, 277-277.	0.3	14
110	There is no difference in outcome between laparoscopic and open surgery for rectal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis on short- and long-term oncologic outcomes. <i>Techniques in Coloproctology</i> , 2017, 21, 595-604.	0.8	65
111	Is it right to ignore learning curve patients? Laparoscopic colorectal trials. <i>ANZ Journal of Surgery</i> , 2017, 87, 898-902.	0.3	6
112	Is There Any Reason Not to Perform Standard Laparoscopic Total Mesorectal Excision?. <i>Clinics in Colon and Rectal Surgery</i> , 2017, 30, 333-338.	0.5	7
113	Is there any role for minimally invasive surgery in NET?. <i>Reviews in Endocrine and Metabolic Disorders</i> , 2017, 18, 443-457.	2.6	15
114	Robotic-assisted multivisceral resection for rectal cancer: short-term outcomes at a single center. <i>Techniques in Coloproctology</i> , 2017, 21, 879-886.	0.8	22
115	Local control of sphincter-preserving procedures and abdominoperineal resection for locally advanced low rectal cancer: Propensity score matched analysis. <i>Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery</i> , 2017, 1, 199-207.	1.2	8
116	Management of Low Colorectal Anastomotic Leakage in the Laparoscopic Era: More Than a Decade of Experience. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2017, 60, 807-814.	0.7	62
117	Clinical Practice Guidelines for Enhanced Recovery After Colon and Rectal Surgery From the American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons and Society of American Gastrointestinal and Endoscopic Surgeons. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2017, 60, 761-784.	0.7	309
118	Minimally Invasive Surgery for Rectal Cancer: Current Trends. <i>Current Colorectal Cancer Reports</i> , 2017, 13, 136-143.	1.0	0
119	Transanal approach for intersphincteric resection of rectal cancer in a patient with a huge prostatic hypertrophy. <i>International Cancer Conference Journal</i> , 2017, 6, 1-3.	0.2	2
120	Pathologic Outcomes of Laparoscopic vs Open Mesorectal Excision for Rectal Cancer. <i>JAMA Surgery</i> , 2017, 152, 986.	2.2	3
121	Laparoscopic Versus Open Resection for Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumors (GISTs). <i>Journal of Gastrointestinal Cancer</i> , 2017, 48, 20-24.	0.6	10

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
122	Laparoscopic Rectal Resection "Ready for Prime Time?. JAMA Oncology, 2017, 3, 113.	3.4	1
123	Outcomes of Open vs Laparoscopic Rectal Cancer Resection. JAMA Oncology, 2017, 3, 115.	3.4	4
124	Management of rectal cancer: the 2016 French guidelines. Colorectal Disease, 2017, 19, 115-122.	0.7	68
125	Long-term oncological outcomes of robotic versus laparoscopic total mesorectal excision of mid-to-low rectal cancer following neoadjuvant chemoradiation therapy. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2017, 31, 1728-1737.	1.3	59
126	Re-appraisal and consideration of minimally invasive surgery in colorectal cancer. Gastroenterology Report, 2017, 5, 1-10.	0.6	50
127	Indications for Laparoscopic Surgery for Colorectal Cancer in Japan —A Questionnaire Survey of the 85th Meeting of the Japanese Society for Cancer of the Colon and Rectum—; Nihon Daicho Komonbyo Gakkai Zasshi, 2017, 70, 205-213.	0.1	0
128	Long-term outcomes of laparoscopy vs. open surgery for colorectal cancer in elderly patients: A meta-analysis. Molecular and Clinical Oncology, 2017, 7, 771-776.	0.4	17
129	Reduced-port robotic total mesorectal resection for rectal cancer using a single-port access: a technical note. Wideochirurgia I Inne Techniki Maloinwazyjne, 2017, 4, 378-384.	0.3	2
130	Impact of Body Mass Index on Surgical and Oncological Outcomes in Laparoscopic Total Mesorectal Excision for Locally Advanced Rectal Cancer after Neoadjuvant 5-Fluorouracil-Based Chemoradiotherapy. Gastroenterology Research and Practice, 2017, 2017, 1-9.	0.7	4
131	Pulmonary Complications after Surgery for Rectal Cancer in Elderly Patients: Evaluation of Laparoscopic versus Open Approach from a Multicenter Study on 477 Consecutive Cases. Gastroenterology Research and Practice, 2017, 2017, 1-7.	0.7	9
132	Defunctioning loop ileostomy with restorative proctocolectomy for rectal cancer: Friend or foe?. Journal of the Anus, Rectum and Colon, 2017, 1, 136-140.	0.4	8
133	The da Vinci Xi: a review of its capabilities, versatility, and potential role in robotic colorectal surgery. Robotic Surgery (Auckland), 2017, Volume 4, 77-85.	1.3	49
134	Low-tie IMA and selective D3 lymph node sampling in laparoscopic rectal resection for carcinoma rectum: comparison of surgical and oncological outcomes with the open technique. Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology, 2017, 8, 850-857.	0.6	4
135	Pathologic complete response and disease-free survival are not surrogate endpoints for 5-year survival in rectal cancer: an analysis of 22 randomized trials. Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology, 2017, 8, 39-48.	0.6	35
137	Simultaneous resection of colorectal cancer with synchronous liver metastases (RESECT), a pilot study. International Journal of Surgery Protocols, 2018, 8, 1-6.	0.5	8
138	Representation of obese participants in obesity-related cancer randomized trials. Annals of Oncology, 2018, 29, 1582-1587.	0.6	20
139	Is trans-anal total mesorectal excision really safe and better than laparoscopic total mesorectal excision with a perineal approach first in patients with low rectal cancer? A learning curve with case-matched study in 68 patients. Colorectal Disease, 2018, 20, O143-O151.	0.7	44
140	Recent updates in the surgical treatment of colorectal cancer. Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery, 2018, 2, 129-136.	1.2	64

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
141	The effect of increasing body mass index on laparoscopic surgery for colon and rectal cancer. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2018, 20, 778-788.	0.7	38
142	Phase II Clinical Trial to Evaluate the Efficacy of Transanal Endoscopic Total Mesorectal Excision for Rectal Cancer. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2018, 61, 554-560.	0.7	14
143	Robotic-Assisted Abdominoperineal Resection. , 2018, , 369-384.		0
144	Minimally invasive colorectal surgery in the morbid obese: does size really matter?. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2018, 32, 3486-3494.	1.3	15
145	Short-Term and Long-Term Outcomes of Laparoscopic Versus Open Surgery for Low Rectal Cancer. <i>Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A</i> , 2018, 28, 637-644.	0.5	7
146	Comparison of the guidelines for colorectal cancer in Japan, the USA and Europe. <i>Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery</i> , 2018, 2, 6-12.	1.2	49
147	Laparoscopic Abdominal Transanal Proctocolectomy with Coloanal Anastomosis Is a Good Surgical Option in Selective Patients with Low-Lying Rectal Cancer: A Retrospective Analysis Based on a Single Surgeon's Experience. <i>Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A</i> , 2018, 28, 269-277.	0.5	4
148	Recurrence pattern of rectal cancer after surgical treatment. Analysis of 122 patients in a tertiary care center. <i>Journal of Coloproctology</i> , 2018, 38, 018-023.	0.1	1
149	The role of robotics in colorectal surgery. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2018, 360, j5304.	2.4	46
150	Short- and Long-Term Oncological Outcome After Rectal Cancer Surgery: a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Comparing Open Versus Laparoscopic Rectal Cancer Surgery. <i>Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery</i> , 2018, 22, 1418-1433.	0.9	22
151	Lower Gastrointestinal Surgery: Robotic Surgery versus Laparoscopic Procedures. <i>Visceral Medicine</i> , 2018, 34, 16-22.	0.5	7
152	Does prolonged operative time impact postoperative morbidity in patients undergoing robotic-assisted rectal resection for cancer?. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2018, 32, 3659-3666.	1.3	14
153	Robotic rectal cancer surgery in obese patients may lead to better short-term outcomes when compared to laparoscopy: a comparative propensity scored match study. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2018, 33, 1079-1086.	1.0	34
154	Single-Docking Full Robotic Surgery for Rectal Cancer: A Single-Center Experience. <i>Surgical Innovation</i> , 2018, 25, 258-266.	0.4	6
155	Safety and feasibility of single-port laparoscopic low anterior resection for upper rectal cancer. <i>American Journal of Surgery</i> , 2018, 216, 1101-1106.	0.9	15
156	Transanal total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer: evaluation of the learning curve. <i>Techniques in Coloproctology</i> , 2018, 22, 279-287.	0.8	122
157	Breast Cancer, Version 4.2017, NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. <i>Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network: JNCCN</i> , 2018, 16, 310-320.	2.3	476
158	Pelvic inlet shape measured by three-dimensional pelvimetry is a predictor of the operative time in the anterior resection of rectal cancer. <i>Surgery Today</i> , 2018, 48, 51-57.	0.7	14

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
159	Open versus Laparoscopic Surgery for Advanced Low Rectal Cancer. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2018, 268, 318-324.	2.1	85
160	Laparoscopic versus open surgery for rectal cancer after neoadjuvant chemoradiation: Long-term outcomes of a propensity score matched study. <i>Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2018, 117, 506-513.	0.8	10
161	Transanal versus abdominal low rectal dissection for rectal cancer: long-term results of the Bordeaux™ randomized trial. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2018, 32, 1486-1494.	1.3	40
162	Short-term outcomes of laparoscopic surgery in octogenarians with colorectal cancer: a single-institution analysis. <i>Surgery Today</i> , 2018, 48, 292-299.	0.7	12
163	Single Port and Conventional Laparoscopy in Colorectal Surgery: Comparison of Two Techniques. <i>Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A</i> , 2018, 28, 65-70.	0.5	0
164	Predicting opportunities to increase utilization of laparoscopy for rectal cancer. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2018, 32, 1556-1563.	1.3	23
165	Masters Program Colon Pathway: Robotic Low Anterior Resection. , 2018, , 151-174.		0
166	Effect of transanal total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer: comparison of short-term outcomes with laparoscopic and open surgeries. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2018, 32, 2312-2321.	1.3	65
167	Outcomes of Laparoscopic Colectomy in Younger and Older Patients: An Analysis of Nationwide Readmission Database. <i>Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A</i> , 2018, 28, 370-378.	0.5	9
168	Robotic Rectal Resection. , 2018, , 165-176.		0
169	Laparoscopic Rectal Surgery. , 2018, , 147-163.		1
170	Assessing the economic advantage of laparoscopic vs. open approaches for colorectal cancer by a propensity score matching analysis. <i>Surgery Today</i> , 2018, 48, 439-448.	0.7	11
171	Induced Bias Due to Crossover Within Randomized Controlled Trials in Surgical Oncology: A Meta-regression Analysis of Minimally Invasive versus Open Surgery for the Treatment of Gastrointestinal Cancer. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2018, 25, 221-230.	0.7	15
172	Optimal extent of surgery for early gallbladder cancer with regard to long-term survival: a meta-analysis. <i>Journal of Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Sciences</i> , 2018, 25, 131-141.	1.4	32
173	Comparison of surgical outcomes between open and robot-assisted minimally invasive pancreaticoduodenectomy. <i>Journal of Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Sciences</i> , 2018, 25, 142-149.	1.4	48
174	Evolution of transanal total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer: From top to bottom. <i>World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery</i> , 2018, 10, 28-39.	0.8	40
175	The application of transanal total mesorectal excision for patients with middle and low rectal cancer. <i>Medicine (United States)</i> , 2018, 97, e11410.	0.4	18
176	Preservation of Pathologic Outcomes in Robotic versus Open Resection for Rectal Cancer: Can the Robot Fill the Minimally Invasive Gap?. <i>American Surgeon</i> , 2018, 84, 1876-1881.	0.4	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
177	Evolution of surgery for rectal cancer: Transanal total mesorectal excision~new standard or fad?~. Journal of the Anus, Rectum and Colon, 2018, 2, 115-121.	0.4	2
178	Short- and long-term outcomes of laparoscopic versus open surgery for rectal cancer. Medicine (United States), 2018, 97, e13704.	0.4	12
179	Robotic~assisted surgery for rectal cancer: Current state and future perspective. Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery, 2018, 2, 406-412.	1.2	33
180	Transanal total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer with indocyanine green fluorescence angiography. Techniques in Coloproctology, 2018, 22, 785-791.	0.8	19
181	A Meta-Analysis and Systematic Review of Perioperative Outcomes of Laparoscopic-assisted Rectal Resection (LARR) Versus Open Rectal Resection (ORR) for Carcinoma. Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy and Percutaneous Techniques, 2018, 28, 337-348.	0.4	4
184	Short- and long-term outcomes following laparoscopic <i>vs</i> open surgery for pathological T4 colorectal cancer: 10 years of experience in a single center. World Journal of Gastroenterology, 2018, 24, 76-86.	1.4	29
185	Novel biomarkers for patient stratification in colorectal cancer: A review of definitions, emerging concepts, and data. World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology, 2018, 10, 145-158.	0.8	29
186	Total mesorectal excision with or without preoperative chemoradiotherapy for resectable mid/low rectal cancer: a long~term analysis of a prospective, single~center, randomized trial. Cancer Communications, 2018, 38, 1-10.	3.7	9
187	Transanal total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer: state of the art. Techniques in Coloproctology, 2018, 22, 649-655.	0.8	13
188	Totally~laparoscopic versus laparoscopic~assisted low anterior resection for rectal cancer: are outcomes different?. ANZ Journal of Surgery, 2018, 88, E818-E823.	0.3	1
189	Minimally invasive surgery for colorectal cancer remains underutilized in Germany despite its nationwide application over the last decade. Scientific Reports, 2018, 8, 15146.	1.6	20
190	Robotic gastrointestinal surgery. Current Problems in Surgery, 2018, 55, 198-246.	0.6	14
191	Laparoscopic Curative Resection for Rectal Cancer: A Cohort Study on Long-term Outcome. Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy and Percutaneous Techniques, 2018, 28, 318-323.	0.4	2
192	Short- and long-term outcomes of robotic-assisted laparoscopic surgery for rectal cancer: results of a single high-volume center in Japan. International Journal of Colorectal Disease, 2018, 33, 1755-1762.	1.0	31
193	Robotic versus laparoscopic intersphincteric resection for low rectal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. International Journal of Colorectal Disease, 2018, 33, 1741-1753.	1.0	52
194	Controversies in Surgical Oncology: Does the Minimally Invasive Approach for Rectal Cancer Provide Equivalent Oncologic Outcomes Compared with the Open Approach?. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 25, 3587-3595.	0.7	16
195	Robotic Versus Laparoscopic Total Mesorectal Excision for Sphincter-Saving Surgery: Results of a Single-Center Series of 400 Consecutive Patients and Perspectives. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 25, 3572-3579.	0.7	60
196	Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision vs Laparoscopic Total Mesorectal Excision in the Treatment of Low and Middle Rectal Cancer: A Propensity Score Matching Analysis. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2018, 61, 809-816.	0.7	57

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
197	Does robotic rectal cancer surgery improve the results of experienced laparoscopic surgeons? An observational single institution study comparing 168 robotic assisted with 184 laparoscopic rectal resections. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2018, 32, 4562-4570.	1.3	19
198	Laparoscopic Pelvic Exenteration for Locally Advanced Rectal Cancer, Technique and Short-Term Outcomes. <i>Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A</i> , 2018, 28, 1489-1494.	0.5	13
199	Robotic-assisted total mesorectal excision (TME) for rectal cancer results in a significantly higher quality of TME specimen compared to the laparoscopic approach—report of a single-center experience. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2018, 33, 1575-1581.	1.0	34
200	Meta-analysis of histopathological outcomes of laparoscopic assisted rectal resection (LARR) vs open rectal resection (ORR) for carcinoma. <i>American Journal of Surgery</i> , 2018, 216, 1004-1015.	0.9	7
201	Colorectal surgeons should be open to modern surgical technologies for challenging cases. <i>ANZ Journal of Surgery</i> , 2018, 88, 831-835.	0.3	1
202	Is the laparoscopic approach for rectal cancer superior to open surgery? A systematic review and meta-analysis on short-term surgical outcomes. <i>Wideochirurgia I Inne Techniki Maloinwazyjne</i> , 2018, 13, 129-140.	0.3	17
203	The dark side of laparoscopic surgery for colorectal cancer patients aged 75 years or older. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2018, 33, 1367-1371.	1.0	5
204	Does obesity impact postoperative outcomes following robotic-assisted surgery for rectal cancer?. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2018, 32, 4886-4892.	1.3	14
205	Rectal Cancer, Version 2.2018, NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. <i>Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network: JNCCN</i> , 2018, 16, 874-901.	2.3	698
206	Long-term outcomes after Natural Orifice Specimen Extraction versus conventional laparoscopy-assisted surgery for rectal cancer: a matched case-control study. <i>Annals of Surgical Treatment and Research</i> , 2018, 94, 26.	0.4	52
207	Laparoscopic TME and Sphincter-Saving Procedures. , 2018, , 139-162.		0
208	Laparoscopic low anterior resection for rectal cancer with rectal prolapse: a case report. <i>Journal of Medical Case Reports</i> , 2018, 12, 28.	0.4	7
210	Personalized management of elderly patients with rectal cancer: Expert recommendations of the European Society of Surgical Oncology, European Society of Coloproctology, International Society of Geriatric Oncology, and American College of Surgeons Commission on Cancer. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2018, 44, 1685-1702.	0.5	100
211	The Future of Rectal Cancer Surgery: A Narrative Review of an International Symposium. <i>Surgical Innovation</i> , 2018, 25, 525-535.	0.4	8
212	Preoperative evaluation of skeletal muscle mass in the risk assessment for the short-term outcome of elderly colorectal cancer patients undergoing colectomy. <i>Molecular and Clinical Oncology</i> , 2018, 8, 779-784.	0.4	9
213	The Pattern of Defects in Mesorectal Specimens: Is There a Difference between Transanal and Laparoscopic Approaches?. <i>Scandinavian Journal of Surgery</i> , 2019, 108, 49-54.	1.3	5
214	Comparison Between Laparoscopic and Open Resection Following Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy for Mid-Low Rectal Cancer Patients: A Meta-Analysis. <i>Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A</i> , 2019, 29, 316-322.	0.5	5
215	Effect of Age and Comorbidities on Short- and Long-Term Results in Patients Undergoing Laparoscopic Curative Resection for Rectal Cancer. <i>Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A</i> , 2019, 29, 353-359.	0.5	8

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
216	Trans-perineal minimally invasive surgery during laparoscopic abdominoperineal resection for low rectal cancer. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2019, 33, 437-447.	1.3	13
217	Comparison of early experience of robotic and transanal total mesorectal excision using propensity score matching. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2019, 33, 757-763.	1.3	25
218	Bowel Obstruction and Ventral Hernia After Laparoscopic Versus Open Surgery for Rectal Cancer in A Randomized Trial (COLOR II). <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2019, 269, 53-57.	2.1	35
220	Standardised approach to laparoscopic total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer: a prospective multi-centre analysis. <i>Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery</i> , 2019, 404, 547-555.	0.8	10
221	Laparoscopic surgery for rectal cancer reveals comparable oncological outcome even in context of worse short-term resultsâ€”long-term analysis of nearly 500 patients from two high-volume centers. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2019, 34, 1541-1550.	1.0	2
222	A National study on the adoption of laparoscopic colorectal surgery in the elderly population: current state and value proposition. <i>Techniques in Coloproctology</i> , 2019, 23, 965-972.	0.8	5
223	Short-term outcomes of robotic-assisted laparoscopic rectal surgery: A pilot study during the introductory period at a local municipal hospital. <i>Journal of the Anus, Rectum and Colon</i> , 2019, 3, 27-35.	0.4	0
224	Oncological outcomes and quality of life after rectal cancer surgery. <i>Open Medicine (Poland)</i> , 2019, 14, 653-662.	0.6	11
225	The impact of robotic total mesorectal excision on survival of patients with rectal cancerâ€”a propensity matched analysis. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2019, 34, 2081-2089.	1.0	12
226	The rationale for expanding transanal transection and singleâ€”stapled anastomosis from transanal total mesorectal excision to open, laparoscopic and robotic resections. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2019, 21, 991-991.	0.7	7
227	Surgical techniques in the management of rectal cancer: a modified Delphi method by colorectal surgeons in Australia and New Zealand. <i>Techniques in Coloproctology</i> , 2019, 23, 743-749.	0.8	3
228	Comprehensive intra-individual genomic and transcriptional heterogeneity: Evidence-based Colorectal Cancer Precision Medicine. <i>Cancer Treatment Reviews</i> , 2019, 80, 101894.	3.4	37
229	Transanal versus laparoscopic total mesorectal excision for mid and low rectal cancer: a meta-analysis of short-term outcomes. <i>Wideochirurgia I Inne Techniki Maloinwazyjne</i> , 2019, 14, 353-365.	0.3	11
230	Impact of Family History on Prognosis of Patients with Sporadic Colorectal Cancer. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2019, 26, 1118-1126.	0.7	2
231	Minimally-Invasive Radical Hysterectomy for Cancer of the Cervix: The Perspective of the Society of Gynecologic Oncology of Canada (GOC). <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada</i> , 2019, 41, 143-145.	0.3	2
232	Laparoscopic Versus Open Resection for Rectal Cancer. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2019, 269, 849-855.	2.1	50
233	The oncological and surgical safety of robot-assisted surgery in colorectal cancer: outcomes of a longitudinal prospective cohort study. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2019, 33, 3644-3655.	1.3	39
234	Short-term Outcomes of Transanal versus Laparoscopic Total Mesorectal Excision: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Cohort Studies. <i>Journal of Cancer</i> , 2019, 10, 341-354.	1.2	24

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
235	A Multicenter Study Evaluating Natural Orifice Specimen Extraction Surgery for Rectal Cancer. <i>Journal of Surgical Research</i> , 2019, 243, 236-241.	0.8	28
237	Single vs. double purse-string anastomosis during laparoscopic low anterior rectal resection (SINGLE vs. DOUBLE trial): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. <i>Trials</i> , 2019, 20, 292.	0.7	1
239	Does transanal total mesorectal excision of rectal cancer improve histopathology metrics and/or complication rates? A meta-analysis. <i>Surgical Oncology</i> , 2019, 30, 47-51.	0.8	9
240	Changes in the multidisciplinary management of rectal cancer from 2009 to 2015 and associated improvements in short-term outcomes. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2019, 21, 1140-1150.	0.7	16
241	Endovascular Exclusion of Abdominal Aortic Aneurysms and Simultaneous Resection of Colorectal Cancer. <i>Annals of Vascular Surgery</i> , 2019, 58, 1-6.	0.4	7
242	Trends in the Use of Laparoscopy and Robotics for Colorectal Cancer in Florida. <i>Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A</i> , 2019, 29, 926-933.	0.5	10
243	Emergency Surgical Management of Colorectal Cancer. <i>Hot Topics in Acute Care Surgery and Trauma</i> , 2019, , .	0.1	2
245	Proctectomy for rectal cancer – What is the data for open, laparoscopy and robotics?. <i>Seminars in Colon and Rectal Surgery</i> , 2019, 30, 75-78.	0.2	0
246	Transanal total mesorectal excision: how are we doing so far?. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2019, 21, 767-774.	0.7	11
247	The Impact of Minimally Invasive Technology in Rectal Cancer. , 2019, , 147-160.		0
249	Feasibility of transanal total mesorectal excision in cases with challenging patient and tumor characteristics. <i>Annals of Surgical Treatment and Research</i> , 2019, 96, 123.	0.4	8
250	Open versus minimally invasive surgery for rectal cancer: a single-center cohort study on 237 consecutive patients. <i>Updates in Surgery</i> , 2019, 71, 493-504.	0.9	9
251	Laparoscopic versus Open Surgery in Lateral Lymph Node Dissection for Advanced Rectal Cancer: A Meta-Analysis. <i>Gastroenterology Research and Practice</i> , 2019, 2019, 1-13.	0.7	13
252	The technical aspects of rectal cancer surgery. <i>Seminars in Colon and Rectal Surgery</i> , 2019, 30, 68-74.	0.2	0
253	Strategy to avoid local recurrence in patients with locally advanced rectal cancer. <i>Radiation Oncology</i> , 2019, 14, 53.	1.2	6
254	Integration of transanal techniques for precise rectal transection and single-stapled anastomosis: a proof of concept study. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2019, 21, 841-846.	0.7	30
255	Transanal TME: new standard or fad?. <i>Journal of the Anus, Rectum and Colon</i> , 2019, 3, 1-9.	0.4	8
256	Transanal total mesorectal excision (TaTME): current status and future perspectives. <i>Updates in Surgery</i> , 2019, 71, 29-37.	0.9	40

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
257	Trends and outcomes in laparoscopic versus open surgery for rectal cancer from 2005 to 2016 using the ACS-NSQIP database, a retrospective cohort study. <i>International Journal of Surgery</i> , 2019, 63, 71-76.	1.1	18
258	The effect of increased body mass index values on surgical outcomes after radical resection for low rectal cancer. <i>Surgery Today</i> , 2019, 49, 401-409.	0.7	15
260	Current Surgical Strategies in the Management of Rectal Cancer. <i>Current Colorectal Cancer Reports</i> , 2019, 15, 18-27.	1.0	1
261	Adverse Effects on the Postoperative Urinary Function After Combined Resection of Inferior Vesical Artery in Laparoscopic Lateral Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection: Retrospective Analysis of Consecutive 95 Series. <i>Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy and Percutaneous Techniques</i> , 2019, 29, 493-497.	0.4	8
262	Transanal total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer has been suspended in Norway. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2019, 107, 121-130.	0.1	188
264	Laparoscopic Proctectomy for Cancer. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2019, 269, 603-604.	2.1	1
265	Open Versus Laparoscopic Versus Robotic Versus Transanal Mesorectal Excision for Rectal Cancer. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2019, 270, 59-68.	2.1	123
266	Disease-free Survival and Local Recurrence After Laparoscopic-assisted Resection or Open Resection for Rectal Cancer. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2019, 269, 596-602.	2.1	210
267	En-Bloc Excision of the High-ligated Inferior Mesenteric Vein Pedicle With the Specimen in Patients Undergoing Minimally Invasive and Open Sphincter Saving Rectal Resections for Cancer. <i>Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy and Percutaneous Techniques</i> , 2019, 29, 13-17.	0.4	2
268	Laparoscopic Total Pelvic Exenteration for Locally Advanced or Recurrent Rectal Cancer. <i>Nihon Daicho Komonbyo Gakkai Zasshi</i> , 2019, 72, 559-566.	0.1	0
269	Urethral Injury and Other Urologic Injuries During Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2021, 274, e115-e125.	2.1	83
270	Operations for Rectal Cancer. , 2019, , 2005-2034.		0
271	SSAT State-of-the-Art Conference: Advances in the Management of Rectal Cancer. <i>Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery</i> , 2019, 23, 433-442.	0.9	0
272	Minimally Invasive Surgery for Locally Advanced Rectal Cancer. <i>Surgical Oncology Clinics of North America</i> , 2019, 28, 297-308.	0.6	8
273	A propensity score-matched analysis of laparoscopic vs open surgery for rectal cancer in a population-based study. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2019, 21, 441-450.	0.7	10
274	Recent Advances in the Treatment of Colorectal Cancer. , 2019, , .		2
275	Laparoscopic Surgery for Colorectal Cancer. , 2019, , 39-48.		0
276	Robotic-Assisted Laparoscopic Surgery for Rectal Cancer. , 2019, , 49-57.		0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
277	Short- and Long-term Outcomes of Minimally Invasive Versus Open Multivisceral Resection for Locally Advanced Colorectal Cancer. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2019, 62, 40-46.	0.7	24
278	24 Rectal Adenocarcinoma. , 2019, , .		0
279	Basic Principles of the Operative Treatment of Colorectal Cancer. , 2019, , 1981-1991.		3
280	Meta-analysis of oncological outcomes of sigmoid cancers: A hidden epidemic of R1 â€œpalliativeâ€• resections. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2019, 45, 489-497.	0.5	3
281	Minimally Invasive Surgery for Rectal Adenocarcinoma Shows Promising Outcomes Compared to Laparotomy, a National Cancer Database Observational Analysis. <i>Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A</i> , 2019, 29, 218-224.	0.5	8
282	Guidelines for Perioperative Care in Elective Colorectal Surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS [®]) Society Recommendations: 2018. <i>World Journal of Surgery</i> , 2019, 43, 659-695.	0.8	1,166
283	Systematic review analysis of robotic and transanal approaches in TME surgery- A systematic review of the current literature in regard to challenges in rectal cancer surgery. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2019, 45, 498-509.	0.5	24
284	Successful singleâ€•stage laparoscopic surgery using a preoperative selfâ€•expanding metallic stent in patients with obstructive colorectal cancer. <i>Asian Journal of Endoscopic Surgery</i> , 2019, 12, 401-407.	0.4	9
285	A Systematic Review and Bayesian Network Meta-Analysis: Short-Term and Long-Term Outcomes of Three Surgery Procedures Following Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy for Rectal Cancer. <i>Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A</i> , 2019, 29, 663-670.	0.5	5
286	Robotic Versus Conventional Laparoscopic Surgery for Colorectal Cancer: A Systematic Review and Metaâ€•Analysis with Trial Sequential Analysis. <i>World Journal of Surgery</i> , 2019, 43, 1146-1161.	0.8	44
287	2D versus 3D laparoscopic total mesorectal excision: a developmental multicentre randomised controlled trial. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2019, 33, 3370-3383.	1.3	20
288	Robotic versus laparoscopic surgery for rectal cancer: an overview of systematic reviews with quality assessment of current evidence. <i>Surgery Today</i> , 2019, 49, 556-570.	0.7	21
289	HystÃ©rectomie radicale Ã©ffraction minimale pour le traitement d'un cancer du col de l'utÃ©rusÃ©: point de vue de la GOC. <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada</i> , 2019, 41, 146-148.	0.3	0
290	Transanal total mesorectal excision in selected patients with â€œdifficult pelvisâ€• caseâ€•control study of â€œdifficultâ€•rectal cancer patients. <i>European Surgery - Acta Chirurgica Austriaca</i> , 2019, 51, 13-18.	0.3	3
291	Short- and long-term outcomes of transanal versus laparoscopic total mesorectal excision for mid-to-low rectal cancer: a meta-analysis. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2019, 33, 972-985.	1.3	34
292	Adoption of robotic technology in Turkey: A nationwide analysis on caseload and platform used. <i>International Journal of Medical Robotics and Computer Assisted Surgery</i> , 2019, 15, e1962.	1.2	11
293	Appraisal and Current Considerations of Robotics in Colon and Rectal Surgery. <i>Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A</i> , 2019, 29, 152-158.	0.5	15
294	Oncologic Outcome and Morbidity in the Elderly Rectal Cancer Patients After Preoperative Chemoradiotherapy and Total Mesorectal Excision. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2019, 269, 108-113.	2.1	15

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
295	How Is Rectal Cancer Managed: a Survey Exploring Current Practice Patterns in Canada. <i>Journal of Gastrointestinal Cancer</i> , 2019, 50, 260-268.	0.6	8
296	Laparoscopic surgery in rectal cancer patients taking anti-thrombotic therapy. <i>Minimally Invasive Therapy and Allied Technologies</i> , 2020, 29, 202-209.	0.6	2
297	Laparoscopic and open surgery in rectal cancer patients in Germany: short and long-term results of a large 10-year population-based cohort. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2020, 34, 1132-1141.	1.3	29
298	A rare case of pelvic bronchogenic cyst treated by laparoscopic surgery. <i>Asian Journal of Endoscopic Surgery</i> , 2020, 13, 227-230.	0.4	5
300	Pelvic dimensions on preoperative imaging can identify poor-quality resections after laparoscopic low anterior resection for mid- and low rectal cancer. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2020, 34, 4609-4615.	1.3	9
301	Total mesorectal excision for low and middle rectal cancer: laparoscopic versus transanal approach—a meta-analysis. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2020, 34, 3908-3919.	1.3	56
302	Engineered extracellular vesicles and their mimetics for clinical translation. <i>Methods</i> , 2020, 177, 80-94.	1.9	26
303	Teaching robotic rectal cancer surgery at your workplace: does the presence of visiting surgeons in the operating room have a detrimental effect on outcomes?. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2020, 34, 3936-3943.	1.3	1
304	Transanal total mesorectal excision (taTME) for rectal cancer: beyond the learning curve. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2020, 34, 4101-4109.	1.3	27
305	Prognostic Impact of the Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio in Stage I-II Rectal Cancer Patients. <i>Journal of Surgical Research</i> , 2020, 245, 281-287.	0.8	16
306	Comparison of Perioperative and Survival Outcomes of Laparoscopic Versus Open Gastrectomy after Preoperative Chemotherapy: a Propensity Score-Matched Analysis. <i>Indian Journal of Surgery</i> , 2020, 82, 42-49.	0.2	6
307	Needlescopic surgery for very low rectal cancer with no abdominal skin incision. <i>Asian Journal of Endoscopic Surgery</i> , 2020, 13, 180-185.	0.4	2
308	Comparing pathologic outcomes for robotic versus laparoscopic Surgery in rectal cancer resection: a propensity adjusted analysis of 7616 patients. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2020, 34, 2613-2622.	1.3	14
309	Cost analysis of single-incision versus conventional laparoscopic surgery for colon cancer: A propensity score-matching analysis. <i>Asian Journal of Surgery</i> , 2020, 43, 557-563.	0.2	2
310	Feasibility of transanal total mesorectal excision (taTME) using the Medrobotics FlexÂ® System. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2020, 34, 485-491.	1.3	20
311	Outcomes in rectal cancer patients undergoing laparoscopic or robotic low anterior resection compared to open: a propensity-matched analysis of the NCDB (2010–2015). <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2020, 34, 4754-4771.	1.3	12
312	A comparison of open, laparoscopic and robotic total mesorectal excision: trial sequential analysis and network meta-analysis. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2020, 22, 382-391.	0.7	11
313	Does conversion during laparoscopic rectal oncological surgery increases postoperative complications and anastomotic leakage rates? A meta-analysis. <i>Journal of Visceral Surgery</i> , 2020, 157, 277-287.	0.4	5

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
314	Transanal total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer: a multicentric cohort study. <i>Gastroenterology Report</i> , 2020, 8, 36-41.	0.6	30
315	Regional Variation in the Utilization of Laparoscopy for the Treatment of Rectal Cancer: The Importance of Fellowship Training Sites. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 27, 2478-2486.	0.7	3
316	Grey areas and evidence gaps in the management of rectal cancer as revealed by comparing recommendations from clinical guidelines. <i>Cancer Treatment Reviews</i> , 2020, 82, 101930.	3.4	13
317	Cancer of the Rectum. , 2020, , 1281-1299.e7.		0
318	Three-dimensional <i>versus</i> Two-dimensional Laparoscopic Surgery for Colorectal Cancer: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. <i>In Vivo</i> , 2020, 34, 11-21.	0.6	18
319	Tansanal total mesorectal excision (TaTME): systematization and mediated results in 10 patients. <i>Journal of Coloproctology</i> , 2020, 40, 050-055.	0.1	0
320	Laparoscopic Posterior Pelvic Exenteration (Complete and Supralelevator) for Locally Advanced Adenocarcinoma of the Rectum in Females: Surgical Technique and Short-Term Outcomes. <i>Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A</i> , 2020, 30, 558-563.	0.5	9
321	Superior pathologic and clinical outcomes after minimally invasive rectal cancer resection, compared to open resection. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2020, 34, 3435-3448.	1.3	15
322	Neoadjuvant Radiotherapy Versus Surgery Alone for Stage II/III Mid-low Rectal Cancer With or Without High-risk Factors. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2020, 272, 1060-1069.	2.1	24
323	Oncologic and Perioperative Outcomes of Laparoscopic, Open, and Robotic Approaches for Rectal Cancer Resection: A Multicenter, Propensity Score-Weighted Cohort Study. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2020, 63, 46-52.	0.7	13
324	The Predictive Value of Pre-/Postneoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy MRI Characteristics for Patient Outcomes in Locally Advanced Rectal Cancer. <i>Academic Radiology</i> , 2020, 27, e233-e243.	1.3	11
325	Preoperative lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio predicts postoperative infectious complications after laparoscopic colorectal cancer surgery. <i>International Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2020, 25, 633-640.	1.0	22
326	Proficiency Level of Novice Technically Qualified Surgeons in Laparoscopic Rectal Resection. <i>Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy and Percutaneous Techniques</i> , 2020, 30, 49-54.	0.4	4
327	Re-evaluation of possible vulnerable sites in the lateral pelvic cavity to local recurrence during robot-assisted total mesorectal excision. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2021, 35, 5450-5460.	1.3	1
328	Operative and long-term oncological outcomes in patients undergoing robotic versus laparoscopic surgery for rectal cancer. <i>International Journal of Medical Robotics and Computer Assisted Surgery</i> , 2020, 16, 1-10.	1.2	2
329	Current Surgical Strategies for the Treatment of Rectal Adenocarcinoma and the Risk of Local Recurrence. <i>Digestive Diseases</i> , 2021, 39, 325-333.	0.8	3
330	Long-term results of a randomized study comparing open surgery and laparoscopic surgery in elderly colorectal cancer patients (Eld Lap study). <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2021, 35, 5686-5697.	1.3	10
331	Open versus laparoscopic surgery for primary appendiceal tumors: a large multicenter retrospective propensity score-matched cohort study in Japan. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2021, 35, 5515-5523.	1.3	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
332	Minimally invasive complete mesocolic excision and central vascular ligation (CME/CVL) for right colon cancer. <i>Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology</i> , 2020, 11, 491-499.	0.6	4
333	A simple difficulty scoring system for laparoscopic total mesorectal excision. <i>Techniques in Coloproctology</i> , 2020, 24, 1137-1143.	0.8	4
334	Avoidance of Overtreatment of Rectal Cancer by Selective Chemoradiotherapy: Results of the Optimized Surgery and MRI-Based Multimodal Therapy Trial. <i>Journal of the American College of Surgeons</i> , 2020, 231, 413-425e2.	0.2	41
335	The Landmark Series: Minimally Invasive (Laparoscopic and Robotic) Colorectal Cancer Surgery. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 27, 3704-3715.	0.7	7
336	Robotic Surgery for Rectal Cancer: Hype or Hope? (Indian Experience). <i>Indian Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 11, 604-612.	0.3	4
337	Risk factors for anastomotic leakage after laparoscopic low anterior resection: A single-center retrospective study. <i>Asian Journal of Endoscopic Surgery</i> , 2021, 14, 478-488.	0.4	4
338	Impact of previous abdominal surgery on robotic-assisted rectal surgery in patients with locally advanced rectal adenocarcinoma: a propensity score matching study. <i>World Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 18, 308.	0.8	7
339	Advantage of laparoscopy surgery for elderly colorectal cancer patients without compromising oncologic outcome. <i>BMC Surgery</i> , 2020, 20, 294.	0.6	10
340	The Impact of Conversion to Laparotomy in Rectal Cancer. <i>American Surgeon</i> , 2020, 86, 811-818.	0.4	2
341	Laparoscopic Approach to Rectal Cancer—The New Standard?. <i>Frontiers in Oncology</i> , 2020, 10, 1239.	1.3	8
342	Long-term Oncologic Outcomes of Laparoscopic Anterior Resections for Cancer with Natural Orifice Versus Conventional Specimen Extraction: A Case-Control Study. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2020, 63, 1071-1079.	0.7	19
343	A bibliometric analysis of 23,492 publications on rectal cancer by machine learning: basic medical research is needed. <i>Therapeutic Advances in Gastroenterology</i> , 2020, 13, 175628482093459.	1.4	27
344	Neoadjuvant concurrent chemoradiotherapy followed by transanal total mesorectal excision assisted by single-port laparoscopic surgery for low-lying rectal adenocarcinoma: a single center study. <i>World Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 18, 198.	0.8	0
345	Comparative Evaluation of the Short-Term Treatment Outcomes Between Open, Laparoscopic- and Robotic-Assisted Surgical Approaches for Rectal Cancer Treatment. <i>Indian Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 11, 649-652.	0.3	2
346	The American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Management of Rectal Cancer. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2020, 63, 1191-1222.	0.7	183
347	Influence of tumor location on short- and long-term outcomes after laparoscopic surgery for rectal cancer: a propensity score matched cohort study. <i>BMC Cancer</i> , 2020, 20, 761.	1.1	6
348	The learning curve of TaTME for mid-low rectal cancer: a comprehensive analysis from a five-year institutional experience. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2021, 35, 6190-6200.	1.3	38
349	Hospital robotic use for colorectal cancer care. <i>Journal of Robotic Surgery</i> , 2021, 15, 561-569.	1.0	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
350	A Paradigm Shift in Physician Reimbursement: A Model to Align Reimbursement to Value in Laparoscopic Colorectal Surgery in the United States. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2020, 63, 1446-1454.	0.7	1
351	Survival outcomes following laparoscopic vs open surgery for non-metastatic rectal cancer: a two-center cohort study with propensity score matching. <i>Gastroenterology Report</i> , 2020, 8, 319-325.	0.6	3
352	Short-term and long-term outcomes of laparoscopic colectomy with multivisceral resection for surgical T4b colon cancer: Comparison with open colectomy. <i>Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery</i> , 2020, 4, 676-683.	1.2	14
353	Comparison of the perioperative outcomes of laparoscopic surgery, robotic surgery, open surgery, and transanal total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer: An overview of systematic reviews. <i>Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery</i> , 2020, 4, 628-634.	1.2	6
354	Clinical impact of Endoscopic Surgical Skill Qualification System (ESSQS) by Japan Society for Endoscopic Surgery (JSES) for laparoscopic distal gastrectomy and low anterior resection based on the National Clinical Database (NCD) registry. <i>Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery</i> , 2020, 4, 721-734.	1.2	31
355	Outcomes of Definitive Treatment of Signet Ring Cell Carcinoma of the Rectum: Is Minimal Invasive Surgery Detrimental in Signet Ring Rectal Cancers?. <i>Indian Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 11, 597-603.	0.3	2
356	Laparoscopic abdominosacral resection for rectal and anal canal carcinoma with pagetoid spread. <i>Asian Journal of Endoscopic Surgery</i> , 2020, 14, 624-627.	0.4	1
357	ISR for T1-2 Low Rectal Cancer: A Japanese Approach. <i>Clinics in Colon and Rectal Surgery</i> , 2020, 33, 361-365.	0.5	9
358	Robotics and transanal total mesorectal excision. <i>Annals of Laparoscopic and Endoscopic Surgery</i> , 0, 5, 41-41.	0.5	0
360	Laparoscopic versus open selective lateral pelvic lymph node dissection following total mesorectal excision for locally advanced low rectal cancer. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2020, 35, 1301-1309.	1.0	12
361	Minimally Invasive Proctectomy for Rectal Cancer: A National Perspective on Short-term Outcomes and Morbidity. <i>World Journal of Surgery</i> , 2020, 44, 3130-3140.	0.8	5
362	Transanal total mesorectal excision: the new kid on the block or a false dawn?. <i>ANZ Journal of Surgery</i> , 2020, 90, 651-652.	0.3	3
363	The comparison of the process of manual and robotic positioning of the electrode performing radiofrequency ablation under the control of a surgical navigation system. <i>Scientific Reports</i> , 2020, 10, 8612.	1.6	8
364	Transanal total mesorectal excision the Gold Coast experience: learning curve and comparison to traditional technique. <i>ANZ Journal of Surgery</i> , 2020, 90, 1316-1320.	0.3	3
365	Changes in surgical therapies for rectal cancer over the past 100 years: A review. <i>Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery</i> , 2020, 4, 331-342.	1.2	13
366	Results of laparoscopic resection in high-risk rectal cancer patients. <i>Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery</i> , 2020, 405, 479-490.	0.8	3
367	Peritoneal spillage is not an issue in patients undergoing minimally invasive surgery for colorectal cancer. <i>World Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 18, 107.	0.8	7
368	Laparoscopic right colectomy after previous colonic resection – the importance of three-dimensional CT angiography reconstruction and indocyanine green fluorescence – a video vignette. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2020, 22, 1778-1779.	0.7	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
369	Meta-analysis and trial sequential analysis of robotic versus laparoscopic total mesorectal excision in management of rectal cancer. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2020, 35, 1423-1438.	1.0	10
370	Functional complaints and quality of life after transanal total mesorectal excision: a meta-analysis. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2020, 107, 489-498.	0.1	49
371	Impact of technically qualified surgeons on laparoscopic colorectal resection outcomes: results of a propensity score-matching analysis. <i>BJS Open</i> , 2020, 4, 486-498.	0.7	23
372	Long-term survival outcomes following laparoscopic surgery for clinical stage 0/I rectal carcinoma. <i>Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery</i> , 2020, 4, 294-300.	1.2	0
373	The possibility of a transanal tube as an alternative to diverting stoma in terms of preventing severe postoperative anastomotic leakage after laparoscopic low anterior resection. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2020, 35, 2055-2064.	1.0	6
374	Rapid dissemination of practice-changing information: A longitudinal analysis of real-world rates of minimally invasive radical hysterectomy before and after presentation of the LACC trial. <i>Gynecologic Oncology</i> , 2020, 157, 494-499.	0.6	26
375	Robotic Colorectal Surgery. <i>Surgical Clinics of North America</i> , 2020, 100, 337-360.	0.5	31
376	Meta-analysis of transanal total mesorectal excision versus laparoscopic total mesorectal excision in management of rectal cancer. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2020, 35, 575-593.	1.0	35
377	Mesorectal fat area and mesorectal area affect the surgical difficulty of robotic-assisted mesorectal excision and intersphincteric resection respectively in different ways. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2020, 22, 1130-1138.	0.7	5
378	Machine Learning Algorithms for Predicting the Recurrence of Stage IV Colorectal Cancer After Tumor Resection. <i>Scientific Reports</i> , 2020, 10, 2519.	1.6	54
379	Clinical factors affecting the distal margin in rectal cancer surgery. <i>Surgery Today</i> , 2020, 50, 743-748.	0.7	2
380	La conversion d'une chirurgie rectale oncologique par laparoscopie augmente-t-elle les complications postopératoires et les taux de fistules anastomotiques? Résultats d'une méta-analyse. <i>Journal De Chirurgie Viscérale</i> , 2020, 157, 283-294.	0.0	0
381	Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision (Ta-TME) in a Rectal Cancer Patient with a History of Abdominal Surgery: A Case Report. <i>Journal of the Anus, Rectum and Colon</i> , 2020, 4, 41-46.	0.4	3
382	Robotic Surgery for Rectal Cancer: Operative Technique and Review of the Literature. <i>Journal of the Anus, Rectum and Colon</i> , 2020, 4, 14-24.	0.4	25
383	Manometric assessment of anorectal function after transanal total mesorectal excision. <i>Techniques in Coloproctology</i> , 2020, 24, 231-236.	0.8	16
384	Essential Updates 2018/2019: Essential advances in surgical and adjuvant therapies for colorectal cancer. <i>Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery</i> , 2020, 4, 39-46.	1.2	18
385	Prediction of surgical difficulty in minimally invasive surgery for rectal cancer by use of MRI pelvimetry. <i>BJS Open</i> , 2020, 4, 666-677.	0.7	16
386	Total Mesorectal Excision Technique—Past, Present, and Future. <i>Clinics in Colon and Rectal Surgery</i> , 2020, 33, 134-143.	0.5	53

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
387	Evolution of Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision. Clinics in Colon and Rectal Surgery, 2020, 33, 113-127.	0.5	5
388	Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision: Description of the Technique. Clinics in Colon and Rectal Surgery, 2020, 33, 144-149.	0.5	2
389	Ligating the rectum with cable tie facilitates rectum transection in laparoscopic anterior resection of rectal cancer. Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery, 2020, 405, 233-239.	0.8	4
390	Robotic <i>vs</i> laparoscopic total mesorectal excision for rectal cancers: has a paradigm change occurred? A systematic review by updated meta-analysis. Colorectal Disease, 2020, 22, 1506-1517.	0.7	27
391	Minimally invasive rectal surgery: Laparoscopy, robotics, and transanal approaches. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 122, 78-84.	0.8	2
392	Predicting Difficult Laparoscopic Total Mesorectal Excision for Locally-advanced Mid-low Rectal Cancer: The EuMaRCS Score Validation. Anticancer Research, 2020, 40, 2079-2087.	0.5	3
393	Robotic surgery for rectal cancer as a platform to build on: review of current evidence. Surgery Today, 2021, 51, 44-51.	0.7	14
394	Transition from laparoscopic to robotic rectal resection: outcomes and learning curve of the initial 100 cases. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2021, 35, 2921-2927.	1.3	17
395	Trends in utilization, conversion rates, and outcomes for minimally invasive approaches to non-metastatic rectal cancer: a national cancer database analysis. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2021, 35, 3154-3165.	1.3	9
396	Ureteral injuries in colorectal surgery and the impact of laparoscopic and robotic-assisted approaches. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2021, 35, 2805-2816.	1.3	10
397	Educational system for acquiring appropriate laparoscopic colorectal surgical skills: analysis in a Japanese high-volume cancer center. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2021, 35, 2660-2666.	1.3	7
398	Transanal total mesorectal excision: the Slagelse experience 2013-2019. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2021, 35, 826-836.	1.3	31
399	Evolution of minimally invasive surgery for rectal cancer: update from the national cancer database. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2021, 35, 275-290.	1.3	8
400	Functional outcomes after laparoscopic versus robotic-assisted rectal resection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2021, 35, 81-95.	1.3	43
401	A Comprehensive Review of Randomized Clinical Trials Shaping the Landscape of Rectal Cancer Therapy. Clinical Colorectal Cancer, 2021, 20, 1-19.	1.0	7
402	Optimising functional outcomes in rectal cancer surgery. Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery, 2021, 406, 233-250.	0.8	19
403	Laparoscopic Versus Open Extralevaor Abdominoperineal Excision for Lower Rectal Cancer: A Retrospective Cohort Study in Single Institute. Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A, 2021, 31, 71-76.	0.5	2
404	Surgical approach for rectal cancer: A network meta-analysis comparing open, laparoscopic, robotic and transanal TME approaches. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 47, 285-295.	0.5	24

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
405	The incidence, risk factors, and new prediction score for fluorescence abnormalities of near-infrared imaging using indocyanine green in laparoscopic low anterior resection for rectal cancer. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2021, 36, 395-403.	1.0	1
406	Risk factors for suboptimal laparoscopic surgery in rectal cancer patients. <i>Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery</i> , 2021, 406, 309-318.	0.8	4
408	Similarities and differences between study designs in short- and long-term outcomes of laparoscopic versus open low anterior resection for rectal cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized, case-matched, and cohort studies. <i>Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery</i> , 2021, 5, 183-193.	1.2	6
409	Do specific operative approaches and insurance status impact timely access to colorectal cancer care?. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2021, 35, 3774-3786.	1.3	8
410	A comparative cost analysis of transanal and laparoscopic total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer. <i>Updates in Surgery</i> , 2021, 73, 85-91.	0.9	9
411	Laparoscopic Low Anterior Resection. , 2021, , 357-370.		0
412	Effect of lateral lymph node dissection on the quality of life and genitourinary function after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy for rectal cancer. <i>Annals of Surgical Treatment and Research</i> , 2021, 100, 109.	0.4	1
413	Oncologic comparison between nonradical management and total mesorectal excision in good responders after chemoradiotherapy in patients with mid-to-low rectal cancer. <i>Annals of Surgical Treatment and Research</i> , 2021, 101, 93.	0.4	6
414	Robotic surgery for clinical T4 rectal cancer: short- and long-term outcomes. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2022, 36, 91-99.	1.3	12
415	Cost drivers of locally advanced rectal cancer treatment—An analysis of a leading healthcare insurer. <i>Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2021, 123, 1023-1029.	0.8	4
416	Laparoscopic versus open rectal resection: a 1:2 propensity score-matched analysis of oncological adequateness, short- and long-term outcomes. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2021, 36, 801-810.	1.0	4
417	MRI pelvimetry-based evaluation of surgical difficulty in laparoscopic total mesorectal excision after neoadjuvant chemoradiation for male rectal cancer. <i>Surgery Today</i> , 2021, 51, 1144-1151.	0.7	8
418	Minimally Invasive Surgery for Colorectal Cancer. <i>JMA Journal</i> , 2021, 4, 17-23.	0.6	8
419	Optimising the TME Dissection during Robotic Low Anterior Resection with Three New Instruments. <i>Annals of Robotic Innovative Surgery</i> , 2021, 2, 15.	0.4	0
420	Transanal total mesorectal excision outcomes for advanced rectal cancer in a complex surgical population. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2022, 36, 167-175.	1.3	5
421	A Novel Method of Natural Orifice Specimen Extraction Surgery (NOSES) during Laparoscopic Anterior Resection for Rectal Cancer. <i>Gastroenterology Research and Practice</i> , 2021, 2021, 1-6.	0.7	0
422	Treatment and subsequent prognosis in locally recurrent rectal cancer: a multicenter retrospective study of 498 patients. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2021, 36, 1243-1250.	1.0	7
423	Oncologic benefits of laparoscopic and minimally invasive surgery: a review of the literature. <i>Annals of Laparoscopic and Endoscopic Surgery</i> , 0, 7, 5-5.	0.5	3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
424	Prognosis Comparisons of Laparoscopy versus Open Surgery for Rectal Cancer Patients after Preoperative Chemoradiotherapy: A Meta-Analysis. <i>Oncology Research and Treatment</i> , 2021, 44, 261-268.	0.8	2
425	Comparison of patient-reported quality of life and functional outcomes following laparoscopic and transanal total mesorectal excision of rectal cancer. <i>Annals of Surgical Treatment and Research</i> , 2021, 101, 1.	0.4	10
426	Laparoscopic D2 gastrectomy in advanced gastric cancer: Postoperative outcomes and long-term survival analysis. <i>Asian Journal of Endoscopic Surgery</i> , 2021, 14, 707-716.	0.4	2
427	Comparison of robotic and laparoscopic rectal cancer surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. <i>World Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2021, 19, 38.	0.8	18
428	Clinical and oncological outcomes of the low ligation of the inferior mesenteric artery with robotic surgery in patients with rectal cancer following neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy. <i>Turkish Journal of Medical Sciences</i> , 2021, 51, 111-123.	0.4	4
429	Age and comorbidities do not affect short-term outcomes after laparoscopic rectal cancer resection in elderly patients. A multi-institutional cohort study in 287 patients. <i>Updates in Surgery</i> , 2021, 73, 527-537.	0.9	21
430	Pathologic-Based Nomograms for Predicting Overall Survival and Disease-Free Survival Among Patients with Locally Advanced Rectal Cancer. <i>Cancer Management and Research</i> , 2021, Volume 13, 1777-1789.	0.9	1
431	The impact of surgical approach on short- and long-term outcomes after rectal cancer resection in elderly patients: a national cancer database propensity score matched comparison of robotic, laparoscopic, and open approaches. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2022, 36, 1269-1277.	1.3	4
432	A multicenter, propensity score-matched cohort study about short-term and long-term outcomes after laparoscopic versus open surgery for locally advanced rectal cancer. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2021, 36, 1287-1295.	1.0	7
433	Association of Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision With Local Recurrence of Rectal Cancer. <i>JAMA Network Open</i> , 2021, 4, e2036330.	2.8	19
434	Outcomes of robotic-assisted vs conventional laparoscopic surgery among patients undergoing resection for rectal cancer: an observational single hospital study of 300 cases. <i>Journal of Robotic Surgery</i> , 2021, , 1.	1.0	2
435	Oncological outcomes of laparoscopic versus open rectal cancer resections: meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2021, 108, 469-476.	0.1	22
436	Impact of BMI on Adverse Events After Laparoscopic and Open Surgery for Rectal Cancer. <i>Journal of Gastrointestinal Cancer</i> , 2022, 53, 370-379.	0.6	6
437	Potential urinary function benefits of initial robotic surgery for rectal cancer in the introductory phase. <i>Journal of Robotic Surgery</i> , 2022, 16, 159-168.	1.0	4
438	Education and Training in Transanal Endoscopic Surgery and Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision. <i>Clinics in Colon and Rectal Surgery</i> , 2021, 34, 163-171.	0.5	6
439	Comparison of Survival between Single-Access and Conventional Laparoscopic Surgery in Rectal Cancer. <i>Minimally Invasive Surgery</i> , 2021, 2021, 1-7.	0.1	2
440	The impact of body mass index on outcomes in robotic colorectal surgery: a single-centre experience. <i>Journal of Robotic Surgery</i> , 2022, 16, 279-285.	1.0	3
441	Predictors of 30-Day Mortality Among Dutch Patients Undergoing Colorectal Cancer Surgery, 2011-2016. <i>JAMA Network Open</i> , 2021, 4, e217737.	2.8	37

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
442	Outcomes of Laparoscopic Versus Open Surgery in Elderly Patients with Rectal Cancer. <i>Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention</i> , 2021, 22, 1325-1329.	0.5	5
443	Short-term outcome in robotic vs laparoscopic and open rectal tumor surgery within an ERAS protocol: a retrospective cohort study from the Swedish ERAS database. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2022, 36, 2006-2017.	1.3	9
444	Robot Surgery Shows Similar Long-term Oncologic Outcomes as Laparoscopic Surgery for Mid/Lower Rectal Cancer but Is Beneficial to ypT3/4 After Preoperative Chemoradiation. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2021, 64, 812-821.	0.7	19
446	Effect of Tumor Location on Outcome after Laparoscopic Low Rectal Cancer Surgery. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2021, Publish Ahead of Print, 672-682.	0.7	3
447	Single-docking robotic assisted proctectomy for rectal cancer below peritoneal reflection: a propensity score matching analysis. <i>Annals of Translational Medicine</i> , 2021, 9, 1013-1013.	0.7	0
448	Laparoscopic approach for T4 colon cancer can be associated with poor prognosis in right-sided T4b tumours. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2021, 47, 1645-1650.	0.5	3
449	Treatment outcome of laparoscopic surgery after self-expandable metallic stent insertion for obstructive colorectal cancer. <i>International Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2021, 26, 2029-2036.	1.0	3
450	Open versus laparoscopic surgery for mid or low rectal cancer after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy (COREAN trial): 10-year follow-up of an open-label, non-inferiority, randomised controlled trial. <i>The Lancet Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2021, 6, 569-577.	3.7	50
451	Novel technique in atraumatic retraction for minimally invasive low anterior resection. <i>ANZ Journal of Surgery</i> , 2021, , .	0.3	0
452	The effectiveness of double team for transanal total mesorectal excision in treatment of mid-low rectal cancer. <i>International Journal of Surgery Open</i> , 2021, 34, 100359.	0.2	0
453	Comparison of guidelines on rectal cancer: exception proves the rule?. <i>Gastroenterology Report</i> , 2021, 9, 290-298.	0.6	4
454	Local recurrence in sigmoid cancer is a hidden problem, could CT prognostic factors be of value in their prevention? A multi-centre study of 414 patients. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2021, 47, 2093-2099.	0.5	2
455	Clinical and oncologic outcomes of laparoscopic versus open surgery in elderly patients with colorectal cancer: a retrospective multicenter study. <i>International Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2021, 26, 2237-2245.	1.0	11
456	Update on Minimally Invasive Surgical Approaches for Rectal Cancer. <i>Current Oncology Reports</i> , 2021, 23, 117.	1.8	3
457	Transanal Transection and Single-Stapled Anastomosis (TTSS): A comparison of anastomotic leak rates with the double-stapled technique and with transanal total mesorectal excision (TaTME) for rectal cancer. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2021, 47, 3123-3129.	0.5	23
458	Surgical Outcomes of Robotic Resection for Sigmoid and Rectal Cancer: Analysis of 109 Patients From a Single Center in China. <i>Frontiers in Surgery</i> , 2021, 8, 696026.	0.6	0
459	Central vascular ligation and mesentery based abdominal surgery. <i>Discover Oncology</i> , 2021, 12, 24.	0.8	2
460	Lower conversion rate with robotic assisted rectal resections compared with conventional laparoscopy; a national cohort study. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2022, 36, 3574-3584.	1.3	9

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
461	Spotlight on laparoscopy in the surgical resection of locally advanced rectal cancer: multicenter propensity score match study. <i>Annals of Coloproctology</i> , 2022, 38, 307-313.	0.5	8
462	Complete mesocolic excision and central vascular ligation in colorectal cancer in the era of minimally invasive surgery. <i>World Journal of Clinical Cases</i> , 2021, 9, 7297-7305.	0.3	2
463	Laparoscopic versus open resection in locally advanced rectal cancers: a propensity matched analysis of oncological and short-term outcomes. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2021, 23, 2894-2903.	0.7	3
464	National disparities in use of minimally invasive surgery for rectal cancer in older adults. <i>Journal of the American Geriatrics Society</i> , 2022, 70, 126-135.	1.3	7
465	Operative Approach Does Not Impact Radial Margin Positivity in Distal Rectal Cancer. <i>World Journal of Surgery</i> , 2021, 45, 3686-3694.	0.8	1
466	Update on Robotic Total Mesorectal Excision for Rectal Cancer. <i>Journal of Personalized Medicine</i> , 2021, 11, 900.	1.1	4
467	Comparison of efficacy of single-port laparoscopy and multi-port laparoscopy in colorectal resection: A systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Asian Journal of Surgery</i> , 2021, 44, 1611-1612.	0.2	0
468	Brazilian society of surgical oncology: Guidelines for the surgical treatment of mid-low rectal cancer. <i>Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2022, 125, 194-216.	0.8	4
469	Intraoperative complications during laparoscopic total mesorectal excision. <i>Minerva Surgery</i> , 2021, 76, 332-342.	0.1	2
470	Robotic total mesorectal excision or transanal total mesorectal excision meta-analysis. <i>ANZ Journal of Surgery</i> , 2021, 91, 2269-2276.	0.3	2
471	Long-Term Outcomes of Three-Port Laparoscopic Right Hemicolectomy Versus Five-Port Laparoscopic Right Hemicolectomy: A Retrospective Study. <i>Frontiers in Oncology</i> , 2021, 11, 762716.	1.3	3
472	Minimally invasive versus open pelvic exenterations for rectal cancer: a comparative analysis of perioperative and 3-year oncological outcomes. <i>BJS Open</i> , 2021, 5, .	0.7	24
473	Reply to: CT defined prognostic factors for local recurrence after sigmoid resection – How relevant are they?. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2021, 47, 2467.	0.5	0
474	Robotic rectal resection preserves anorectal function: Systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>International Journal of Medical Robotics and Computer Assisted Surgery</i> , 2021, 17, e2329.	1.2	14
475	Transanal (TaTME) vs. laparoscopic total mesorectal excision for mid and low rectal cancer: a propensity score-matched analysis of early and long-term outcomes. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2021, 36, 2271-2279.	1.0	16
476	Transanal total mesorectal excision and transabdominal robotic surgery for rectal cancer: A retrospective study. <i>Annals of Medicine and Surgery</i> , 2021, 70, 102902.	0.5	4
477	Locally advanced anorectal malignant melanoma in septuagenarian patient treated by laparoscopic abdominoperineal resection: A case report. <i>International Journal of Surgery Case Reports</i> , 2021, 87, 106378.	0.2	0
478	Surgical waste in a colorectal surgery operating room: A five-year experience. <i>Perioperative Care and Operating Room Management</i> , 2021, 25, 100209.	0.2	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
479	Comparison of analgesic requirements in robot-assisted versus conventional laparoscopic abdominal surgeries. <i>Journal of Anaesthesiology Clinical Pharmacology</i> , 2021, 37, 79.	0.2	5
480	Oncologic safety of laparoscopic surgery after metallic stent insertion for obstructive left-sided colorectal cancer: a multicenter comparative study. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2022, 36, 385-395.	1.3	5
481	Cancer field surgery in endometrial cancer: peritoneal mesometrial resection and targeted compartmental lymphadenectomy for locoregional control. <i>Journal of Gynecologic Oncology</i> , 2021, 32, e7.	1.0	8
482	Laparoscopy Versus Open Colorectal Surgery: How Strong Is the Evidence?. , 2020, , 77-85.		1
483	Robotic Total Mesorectal Excision for Rectal Cancer. , 2019, , 127-139.		1
484	Laparoscopy in Colorectal Cancer. , 2020, , 113-131.		1
485	Long-term outcomes by a transanal approach to total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2017, 31, 5248-5257.	1.3	65
486	Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision in Mid-Low Rectal Cancer: Evaluation of the Learning Curve and Comparison of Short-term Results With Standard Laparoscopic Total Mesorectal Excision. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2021, 64, 380-388.	0.7	8
487	Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision: Short-term Outcomes of 1283 Cases from a Nationwide Registry in China. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2021, 64, 190-199.	0.7	11
488	Outcomes of robotic versus laparoscopic surgery for mid and low rectal cancer after neoadjuvant chemoradiation therapy and the effect of learning curve. <i>Medicine (United States)</i> , 2017, 96, e8171.	0.4	46
489	Robotic Versus Laparoscopic Minimally Invasive Surgery for Rectal Cancer. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2018, 267, 1034-1046.	2.1	244
490	Short-term Outcomes of Single-port Versus Multiport Laparoscopic Surgery for Colon Cancer. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2021, 273, 217-223.	2.1	35
491	Laparoscopic abdominoperineal excision with transabdominal individualized levator transection: interim analysis of a randomized controlled trial. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2017, 19, O246-O252.	0.7	4
492	Novelties in treatment of locally advanced rectal cancer. <i>F1000Research</i> , 2018, 7, 1868.	0.8	6
493	Laparoscopic surgery for early gallbladder carcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>World Journal of Clinical Cases</i> , 2020, 8, 1074-1086.	0.3	12
494	Comparison of robotic and laparoscopic colorectal resections with respect to 30-day perioperative morbidity. <i>Canadian Journal of Surgery</i> , 2016, 59, 262-267.	0.5	32
495	LAPAROSCOPIC ABDOMINOPERINEAL RESECTION WITH SACRECTOMY: TECHNICAL DETAILS AND PITFALLS. <i>Arquivos Brasileiros De Cirurgia Digestiva: ABCD = Brazilian Archives of Digestive Surgery</i> , 2017, 30, 290-291.	0.5	2
496	Prognostic significance of survivin in rectal cancer patients treated with surgery and postoperative concurrent chemo-radiation therapy. <i>Oncotarget</i> , 2016, 7, 62676-62686.	0.8	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
497	Long-term outcomes and propensity score matching analysis: rectal cancer resection for patients with elevated preoperative risk. <i>Oncotarget</i> , 2017, 8, 25679-25690.	0.8	1
498	The comprehensive therapeutic effects of rectal surgery are better in laparoscopy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Oncotarget</i> , 2017, 8, 12717-12729.	0.8	24
499	Safety and survival outcomes of transanal natural orifice specimen extraction using prolapsing technique for patients with middle- to low-rectal cancer. <i>Chinese Journal of Cancer Research: Official Journal of China Anti-Cancer Association, Beijing Institute for Cancer Research</i> , 2020, 32, 654-664.	0.7	6
500	The present status and developments of laparoscopic surgery for colorectal cancer. <i>Journal of the Anus, Rectum and Colon</i> , 2017, 1, 1-6.	0.4	7
501	Wasmuth HH, FÅrden AE, Myklebust TÅ., et al (2020) Transanal total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer has been suspended in Norway. <i>Br J Surg</i> 107:121â30. <i>Colon and Rectum</i> , 2020, 14, 155-158.	0.0	1
502	Impact of Patientâs Pain and Fatigue on Decision of Discharge After Laparoscopic Surgery for Colorectal Cancer. <i>Annals of Coloproctology</i> , 2019, 35, 209-215.	0.5	4
503	Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision for Rectal Cancer: Perioperative and Oncological Outcomes. <i>Annals of Coloproctology</i> , 2018, 34, 1-3.	0.5	1
504	Reconsideration of the Safety of Laparoscopic Rectal Surgery for Cancer. <i>Annals of Coloproctology</i> , 2019, 35, 229-237.	0.5	4
505	Surgical Management of Sigmoid Volvulus: A Multicenter Observational Study. <i>Annals of Coloproctology</i> , 2020, 36, 403-408.	0.5	8
506	Real-World Impact of Laparoscopic Surgery for Rectal Cancer: A Population-Based Analysis. <i>Current Oncology</i> , 2020, 27, 251-258.	0.9	4
507	Laparoscopic <i>vs</i> open abdominoperineal resection in the multimodality management of low rectal cancers. <i>World Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2015, 21, 10174-10183.	1.4	26
508	Clinical comparison of laparoscopy <i>vs</i> open surgery in a radical operation for rectal cancer: A retrospective case-control study. <i>World Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2015, 21, 13532.	1.4	12
509	Role of minimally invasive surgery for rectal cancer. <i>World Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2020, 26, 4394-4414.	1.4	13
510	Impact of interval between neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy and surgery in rectal cancer patients. <i>World Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2020, 26, 4624-4638.	1.4	11
511	Natural orifice specimen extraction with single-stapling anastomosis for distal colon resection: Feasibility and outcomes. <i>Formosan Journal of Surgery</i> , 2017, 50, 16.	0.1	2
512	Short- and long-term outcomes of laparoscopic-assisted surgery, mini-laparotomy and conventional laparotomy in patients with Stage I-III colorectal cancer. <i>Journal of Minimal Access Surgery</i> , 2018, 14, 321.	0.4	12
513	Critical appraisal of laparoscopic <i>vs</i> open rectal cancer surgery. <i>World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery</i> , 2016, 8, 452.	0.8	5
514	Minimally Invasive Surgery for Pelvic Exenteration in Primary Colorectal Cancer. <i>Journal of the Society of Laparoendoscopic Surgeons</i> , 2020, 24, e2020.00026.	0.5	18

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
515	Present laparoscopic surgery for colorectal cancer in Japan. World Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2016, 7, 155.	0.9	1
516	Impact of technology on indications and limitations for transanal surgical removal of rectal neoplasms. World Journal of Surgical Procedures, 2015, 5, 1.	0.1	4
517	Laparoscopic Surgery for Colorectal Cancer in Korea: Nationwide Data from 2008~2013. Journal of Minimally Invasive Surgery, 2015, 18, 39-43.	0.2	18
518	Treatment in locally advanced rectal cancer: a machine learning bibliometric analysis. Therapeutic Advances in Gastroenterology, 2021, 14, 175628482110421.	1.4	2
519	Comparison of Short-Term and Long-Term outcomes of Laparoscopy Versus Laparotomy in Rectal Cancer: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. Journal of Medical and Surgical Research, 0, , 948-965.	0.0	0
520	Laparoscopic Versus Robot-Assisted Versus Transanal Low Anterior Resection: 3-Year Oncologic Results for a Population-Based Cohort in Experienced Centers. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 29, 1910-1920.	0.7	8
521	çê...ç™CEã«ã~4ã™ã,è...1è...”é†ä,æ%øèj“ã©ç¾4çŠ¶. Nihon Gekakei Rengo Gakkaishi (Journal of Japanese College of Surgeons), 2014,		
522	Current methods in the treatment of rectal cancer. Endoscopic Surgery, 2016, 22, 49.	0.0	1
524	Combined laparoscopic and transanal total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer: Initial experience and early results. Journal of Minimal Access Surgery, 2016, 13, 113-117.	0.4	6
525	De Prefectos a Mandatarios de la Nación. La violencia en la política peruana (1829-1836). Revista De Indias, 2016, 76, 173-201.	0.2	0
526	TRANSANAL TOTAL MESORECTAL EXCISION FOR RECTAL CANCER (review). Koloproktologia, 2016, , 57-64.	0.1	1
527	NOTES Transanal Colorectal Resection. Clinical Gastroenterology, 2017, , 241-267.	0.0	0
529	HAND-ASSISTED LAPAROSCOPIC SURGERY FOR THE CANCER OF THE LEFT COLON AND RECTUM - AN IDEAL OPTION OF MINIMALLY INVASIVE SURGERY? SINGLE CENTRE EXPERIENCE WITH 459 CASES. Koloproktologia, 2017, , 7-16.	0.1	0
530	Outcomes of Hand-Assisted Laparoscopic Surgery for Colorectal Disease in an Emergency Setting. Journal of Minimally Invasive Surgery, 2017, 20, 123-124.	0.2	0
531	Changes in the Global Strategy and Future Perspectives in Surgical Treatment for Low Rectal Cancer. Nihon Rinsho Geka Gakkai Zasshi (Journal of Japan Surgical Association), 2018, 79, 1583-1596.	0.0	0
532	Laparoscopic Surgery Compared to Open Surgery in Excision of Rectal Cancer : A Systematic Review. The Egyptian Journal of Hospital Medicine, 2018, 70, 414-418.	0.0	0
533	Laparoscopic TME: Is There a Verdict?. , 2018, , 369-378.		0
534	Rektumkarzinom. Evidenzbasierte Chirurgie, 2018, , 223-252.	0.0	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
535	Transanal transabdominal TME: how far can we push it?. <i>Minerva Chirurgica</i> , 2018, 73, 579-591.	0.8	0
536	Laparoscopic treatment of rectal cancer and lateral pelvic lymph node dissection: are they obsolete?. <i>Minerva Chirurgica</i> , 2018, 73, 558-573.	0.8	1
537	Rectal Cancer: Operative Treatment Transabdominal. , 2019, , 419-444.		0
538	Implementation of laparoscopic approach in colorectal cancer surgery â€” a single centerâ€™s experience. <i>OnkologiÄeskaÄ KoloproktologiÄ</i> , 2018, 8, 60-64.	0.1	1
539	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF OPEN AND TRANSANAL TOTAL MESORECTAL EXCISION FOR RECTAL CANCER. <i>Koloproktologia</i> , 2018, , 67-73.	0.1	3
540	Treatment of Rectal Cancer. , 2019, , 161-174.		0
541	TaTME for Rectal Cancer. <i>Nihon Daicho Komonbyo Gakkai Zasshi</i> , 2019, 72, 550-558.	0.1	0
542	Comparative Analysis of Surgical and Pathological Outcomes between Laparoscopic and Open Rectal Cancer Surgeries: Single Institution Experience. <i>World Journal of Laparoscopic Surgery</i> , 2019, 12, 19-24.	0.2	0
543	Future Perspectives in Colorectal Cancer Treatments. <i>Hot Topics in Acute Care Surgery and Trauma</i> , 2019, , 267-283.	0.1	0
544	Modern approaches to the diagnosis and surgical treatment of rectal cancer (Review of clinical) <i>Tj ETQq1 1 0.784314 rgBT /Overlock 10</i>	0.1	0
545	The Effectiveness and Safety of Open Versus laparoscopic Surgery for Rectal Cancer after Preoperative Chemo-radiotherapy: A Meta-Analysis. <i>Combinatorial Chemistry and High Throughput Screening</i> , 2019, 22, 153-159.	0.6	1
546	Quelle technique chirurgicale faut-il privilÃ©gier en 2019 ?. <i>Colon and Rectum</i> , 2019, 13, 147-153.	0.0	0
547	The Impact of Patientâ€™s Pain and Fatigue on the Discharge Decision After Laparoscopic Surgery for Colorectal Cancer. <i>Annals of Coloproctology</i> , 2019, 35, 158-159.	0.5	0
548	Laparoscopic Low Anterior Resection for Rectal Cancer: TME Planes and Surgery of theÄUpper and Mid-Rectum. , 2020, , 353-370.		0
549	Minimizing Conversion in Laparoscopic Colorectal Surgery: From Preoperative Risk Assessment to Intraoperative Strategies. , 2020, , 489-508.		0
550	Laparoscopic Colorectal Surgery in the Obese and Morbidly Obese Patient: Preoperative Strategies and Surgical Techniques. , 2020, , 509-529.		0
551	Complications: Early Anastomotic Complicationsâ€™Leak, Abscess, and Bleeding. , 2020, , 667-674.		0
552	Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) in Colorectal Surgery. , 2020, , 163-176.		0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
553	Impact of Prolonged Neoadjuvant Treatmentâ€“surgery Interval on Histopathologic and Operative Outcomes in Patients Undergoing Total Mesorectal Excision for Locally Advanced Rectal Cancer. Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy and Percutaneous Techniques, 2020, 30, 511-517.	0.4	0
555	Mid- and low-rectal cancer: laparoscopic vs open treatmentâ€“short- and long-term results. Meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. International Journal of Colorectal Disease, 2022, 37, 71-99.	1.0	7
556	Prognostic implications of surgical specimen quality on the oncological outcomes of open and laparoscopic surgery in mid and low rectal cancer. Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery, 2021, , 1.	0.8	1
557	Surgical Management of Sigmoid Volvulus: A Multicenter Observational Study. Annals of Coloproctology, 2020, 36, 403-408.	0.5	0
558	Systematic review of singleâ€“port vs. multiâ€“port surgery for rectal cancer. Molecular and Clinical Oncology, 2020, 14, 24.	0.4	6
560	Minimally invasive complete mesocolic excision for right colon cancer. Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery, 2020, 4, 234-242.	1.2	7
561	Institutional Outcomes Should Be a Determinant in Decision to Perform Laparoscopic Proctectomies for Rectal Cancer. Cureus, 2020, 12, e7666.	0.2	0
562	Survival after Laparoscopic Versus Open Curative Excision for Rectal Cancer. Integrative Journal of Medical Sciences, 0, 7, .	0.0	0
563	Robotic-Assisted Laparoscopic Surgery for Rectal Cancer (RALS): A Review of the Literature. Journal of Biomedical and Clinical Research, 2020, 13, 100-109.	0.1	0
564	Long-term Outcomes of Minimally Invasive Versus Open Abdominoperineal Resection for Rectal Cancer: A Single Specialized Center Experience. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2022, 65, 361-372.	0.7	1
565	Functional Outcome of Laparoscopic-Assisted Resection Versus Open Resection of Rectal Cancer: A Secondary Analysis of the Australasian Laparoscopic Cancer of the Rectum Trial. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2022, 65, e698-e706.	0.7	5
566	Laparoscopic Versus Open Surgery for Locally Advanced Rectal Cancer: Five-Year Survival Outcomes in a Large, Multicenter, Propensity Score-Matched Cohort Study. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2022, 65, 1005-1014.	0.7	4
567	Risk factors for anastomotic leakage after anterior resection for rectal cancer (RALAR study): A nationwide retrospective study of the Italian Society of Surgical Oncology Colorectal Cancer Network Collaborative Group. Colorectal Disease, 2022, 24, 264-276.	0.7	33
568	The Safety and Feasibility of Laparoscopic Surgery for Very Low Rectal Cancer: A Retrospective Analysis Based on a Single Centerâ€™s Experience. Biomedicines, 2021, 9, 1720.	1.4	0
569	A Prognostic Nomogram for T3N0 Rectal Cancer After Total Mesorectal Excision to Help Select Patients for Adjuvant Therapy. Frontiers in Oncology, 2021, 11, 698866.	1.3	1
570	Colorectal Cancer: Minimally Invasive Surgery. , 2022, , 619-642.		1
571	Robotic versus laparoscopic surgery for rectal cancer after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy: A propensity-score matching analysis. Journal of the Formosan Medical Association, 2022, 121, 1532-1540.	0.8	3
572	Robotic versus laparoscopic low anterior resection following neoadjuvant chemoradiation therapy for stage IIâ€“III locally advanced rectal cancer: a single-centre cohort study. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 2022, 16, 1133-1141.	1.0	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
573	Robotic or laparoscopic surgery for rectal cancer - which is the best answer? A comprehensive review of oncological outcomes. <i>Mini-invasive Surgery</i> , 0, , .	0.2	0
574	Open Versus Minimally Invasive Sphincter-Sparing Surgery for Rectal Cancer: A Latin American Single-Centre Retrospective Cohort Study. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	0
575	Total mesorectal excision laparoscopic versus transanal approach for rectal cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Annals of Medicine and Surgery</i> , 2022, 74, 103260.	0.5	3
576	Effects of surgical approach on short- and long-term outcomes in early-stage rectal cancer: a multicenter, propensity score-weighted cohort study. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2022, , 1.	1.3	1
577	Advances in Rectal Cancer Surgery. <i>Clinical Colorectal Cancer</i> , 2022, 21, 55-62.	1.0	5
578	Perineal hernia repair after extralevator abdominoperineal excision, how we do it (PERineal) Tj ETQq1 1 0.784314 rgBT /Overlock 10 T 5	0.8	1
579	Robotic vs. TaTME Rectal Surgery (ROTA STUDY) Matched Cohort Trial for Mid to Low Rectal Cancer Surgery Evaluation Trial in the Hands of an Experienced Surgeon. <i>International Journal of Surgery Protocols</i> , 2022, 26, 7-13.	0.5	2
580	Functional results after mesorectal excision for rectal cancer: comparative study among surgical approaches. <i>Minerva Surgery</i> , 2022, 77, .	0.1	1
581	The impact of robotic surgery on a tertiary care colorectal surgery program, an assessment of costs and short term outcomes: A Canadian perspective. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2022, 36, 6084-6094.	1.3	3
582	Limitations and Concerns with Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision for Rectal Cancer. <i>Clinics in Colon and Rectal Surgery</i> , 2022, 35, 141-145.	0.5	7
583	Transanal versus Laparoscopic Total Mesorectal Excision in Male Patients with Low Tumor Location after Neoadjuvant Therapy: A Propensity Score-Matched Cohort Study. <i>Gastroenterology Research and Practice</i> , 2022, 2022, 1-10.	0.7	5
584	Laparoscopic vs open restorative proctectomy after total abdominal colectomy for ulcerative colitis or familial adenomatous polyposis. <i>Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery</i> , 2022, 407, 1605-1612.	0.8	4
585	National differences in implementation of minimally invasive surgery for colorectal cancer and the influence on short-term outcomes. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2022, 36, 5986-6001.	1.3	7
586	Technological Advances in the Surgical Treatment of Colorectal Cancer. <i>Surgical Oncology Clinics of North America</i> , 2022, 31, 183-218.	0.6	6
587	Feasibility of the semi-opened method of specimen resection for a circumferential resection margin in rectal cancer surgery: a multicenter study. <i>Surgery Today</i> , 2022, 52, 1275-1283.	0.7	2
588	Surgical Principles of Rectal Cancer. <i>Surgical Oncology Clinics of North America</i> , 2022, 31, 239-253.	0.6	2
589	Propensity Score-Matched Analysis of Laparoscopic versus Open Surgery for Non-Metastatic Rectal Cancer. <i>Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention</i> , 2021, 22, 3967-3975.	0.5	0
590	Short and long-term outcomes of elderly patients undergoing left-sided colorectal resection with primary anastomosis for cancer. <i>BMC Geriatrics</i> , 2021, 21, 682.	1.1	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
591	Changes in rectal cancer treatment after the introduction of a national screening program; Increasing use of less invasive strategies within a national cohort. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2021, , .	0.5	0
592	Decreasing Postoperative Pulmonary Complication Following Laparoscopic Surgery in Elderly Individuals with Colorectal Cancer: A Competing Risk Analysis in a Propensity Scoreâ€œWeighted Cohort Study. <i>Cancers</i> , 2022, 14, 131.	1.7	5
593	Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision for Malignant Rectal Lesion: A Prospective Cohort Study. <i>Open Access Macedonian Journal of Medical Sciences</i> , 2022, 10, 764-772.	0.1	1
594	Surgical Technique and Safety of TaTME for Rectal Cancer. <i>Nihon Daicho Komonbyo Gakkai Zasshi</i> , 2022, 75, 232-236.	0.1	0
595	The Role of Indocyanine Green Fluorescence in Rectal Cancer Robotic Surgery: A Narrative Review. <i>Cancers</i> , 2022, 14, 2411.	1.7	7
596	Comparison of shortâ€œterm outcomes of roboticâ€œassisted and conventional laparoscopic surgery for rectal cancer: A propensity scoreâ€œmatched analysis. <i>Asian Journal of Endoscopic Surgery</i> , 2022, 15, 753-764.	0.4	8
597	Male urogenital function after robot-assisted and laparoscopic total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer: a prospective cohort study. <i>BMC Surgery</i> , 2022, 22, 185.	0.6	2
598	Comparison of clinical outcomes of single-incision versus multi-port laparoscopic surgery for rectosigmoid or upper rectal cancer. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2022, 37, 1553-1560.	1.0	1
599	Analysis of bowel function, urogenital function, and long-term follow-up outcomes associated with robotic and laparoscopic sphincter-preserving surgical approaches to total mesorectal excision in low rectal cancer: a retrospective cohort study. <i>World Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2022, 20, .	0.8	1
600	Preliminary results of a program for the implementation of laparoscopic colorectal surgery in an Italian comprehensive cancer center during the COVID-19 pandemic. <i>Updates in Surgery</i> , 2022, 74, 1271-1279.	0.9	1
601	Comparison of robotic vs laparoscopic left-sided colorectal cancer resections. <i>Journal of Robotic Surgery</i> , 0, , .	1.0	4
602	Short-term and oncological outcomes of natural orifice specimen extraction surgery (NOSES) for colorectal cancer in China: a national database study of 5055 patients. <i>Science Bulletin</i> , 2022, 67, 1331-1334.	4.3	18
603	Comparison of tumor regression grade and clinical stage based on MRI image as a selection criterion for non-radical management after concurrent chemoradiotherapy in locally advanced rectal cancer: a multicenter, retrospective, cross-sectional study. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 0, , .	1.0	1
604	Long term oncological outcomes for laparoscopic versus open surgery for rectal cancer â€œ A populationâ€œbased nationwide noninferiority study. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2022, 24, 1308-1317.	0.7	8
605	Urogenital dysfunction after laparoscopic surgery for sigmoid colon or rectal cancer. <i>Asian Journal of Surgery</i> , 2022, , .	0.2	0
606	Local recurrence of robot-assisted total mesorectal excision: a multicentre cohort study evaluating the initial cases. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2022, 37, 1635-1645.	1.0	1
607	Shortâ€œand longâ€œterm outcomes of roboticâ€œassisted laparoscopic surgery for rectal cancer: A singleâ€œcenter retrospective cohort study. <i>Asian Journal of Endoscopic Surgery</i> , 0, , .	0.4	2
608	The learning curve of laparoscopic, robot-assisted and transanal total mesorectal excisions: a systematic review. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2022, 36, 6337-6360.	1.3	11

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
609	Prognosis of laparoscopic surgery for colorectal cancer in middle-aged patients. <i>Journal of Minimal Access Surgery</i> , 2023, 19, 263.	0.4	0
610	Single-incision versus conventional laparoscopic surgery for rectal cancer: a meta-analysis of clinical and pathological outcomes. <i>Wideochirurgia I Inne Techniki Maloinwazyjne</i> , 2022, 17, 387-405.	0.3	1
611	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF CIRCUMFERENTIAL RESECTION MARGIN CONDITION DURING THE LAPAROSCOPIC AND OPEN TOTAL MESORECTAL EXCISION. <i>World of Medicine and Biology</i> , 2022, 18, 7.	0.1	0
613	Need for nursing care after laparoscopic and open colorectal cancer surgery: a claims data analysis in German primary care. <i>Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery</i> , 2022, 407, 2937-2944.	0.8	2
614	Japanese multicenter prospective study investigating laparoscopic surgery for locally advanced rectal cancer with evaluation of CRM and TME quality (PRODUCT trial). <i>Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery</i> , 0, , .	1.2	2
615	Laparoscopic versus open resection for stage <sc>II</sc> <sc>III</sc> rectal cancer in obese patients: A multicenter propensity score-based analysis of short-term and long-term outcomes. <i>Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery</i> , 2023, 7, 71-80.	1.2	3
616	Multimodal treatment of rectal cancer. <i>Deutsches A&#x0308;rztblatt International</i> , 0, , .	0.6	2
617	Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision (TaTME) versus Laparoscopic Total Mesorectal Excision for Lower Rectal Cancer: A Propensity Score-Matched Analysis. <i>Cancers</i> , 2022, 14, 4098.	1.7	4
618	Efficacy of staple line reinforcement by barbed suture for preventing anastomotic leakage in laparoscopic rectal cancer surgery. <i>World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery</i> , 2022, 14, 821-832.	0.8	5
619	Optimizing the Personalized Care for the Management of Rectal Cancer: A Consensus Statement. , 2022, 33, 627-663.		0
620	Robotic Rectal Cancer Surgery: Current Practice, Recent Developments, and Future Directions. <i>Current Surgery Reports</i> , 0, , .	0.4	0
621	A Case of Advanced Rectal Cancer in a 17-year-old Woman with High Micro-satellite Instability. <i>Nihon Rinsho Geka Gakkai Zasshi (Journal of Japan Surgical Association)</i> , 2022, 83, 550-556.	0.0	0
622	Advances in surgery for locally advanced rectal cancer. <i>Annals of Coloproctology</i> , 2022, 38, 279-280.	0.5	4
623	Robotic approach may be associated with a lower risk of lung metastases compared to laparoscopic approach for mid-low rectal cancer after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy: a multivariate analysis on long-term recurrence patterns. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2022, 37, 2085-2098.	1.0	3
624	Clinical Safety and Effectiveness of Robotic-Assisted Surgery in Patients with Rectal Cancer: Real-World Experience over 8 Years of Multiple Institutions with High-Volume Robotic-Assisted Surgery. <i>Cancers</i> , 2022, 14, 4175.	1.7	6
626	Minimally invasive vs. open segmental resection of the splenic flexure for cancer: a nationwide study of the Italian Society of Surgical Oncology-Colorectal Cancer Network (SICO-CNN). <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2023, 37, 977-988.	1.3	3
627	Clinical Robotic Surgery Association (India Chapter) and Indian rectal cancer expert group's practical consensus statements for surgical management of localized and locally advanced rectal cancer. <i>Frontiers in Oncology</i> , 0, 12, .	1.3	0
628	Robotic versus laparoscopic surgery for middle and low rectal cancer (REAL): short-term outcomes of a multicentre randomised controlled trial. <i>The Lancet Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2022, 7, 991-1004.	3.7	101

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
629	Robotic surgery for colorectal cancer. Journal of the Korean Medical Association, 2022, 65, 577-585.	0.1	1
630	Implementation of a standardized surgical technique in robot-assisted restorative rectal cancer resection: a single center cohort study. BMC Surgery, 2022, 22, .	0.6	0
631	Interischial Spine Distance Is a Simple Index of the Narrow Pelvis That Can Predict Difficulty During Laparoscopic Low Anterior Resection. Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy and Percutaneous Techniques, 0, Publish Ahead of Print, .	0.4	0
632	Patterns and predictors of recurrence after laparoscopic resection of rectal cancer. Frontiers in Oncology, 0, 12, .	1.3	2
633	Robot-Assisted Colorectal Surgery. The Ewha Medical Journal, 2022, 45, .	0.1	0
634	COMPARISON OF PATIENTS TREATED WITH LAPAROSCOPIC AND OPEN COLORECTAL SURGERY. SÃ¼leyman Demirel Ãœniversitesi TÃ¼p FakÃ¼ltesi Dergisi, 0, , .	0.0	0
635	Efficacy of transperineal minimally invasive surgery with laparoscopic abdominoperineal excision for lower rectal cancer. Surgery Today, 0, , .	0.7	0
636	Challenges and Learning Curves in Adopting TaTME and Robotic Surgery for Rectal Cancer: A Cusum Analysis. Cancers, 2022, 14, 5089.	1.7	4
637	International survey among surgeons on the perioperative management of rectal cancer. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 0, , .	1.3	2
639	Current Status and Future of Robotic Surgery for Colorectal Cancer-An English Version. Journal of the Anus, Rectum and Colon, 2022, 6, 221-230.	0.4	1
641	Laparoscopic vs. open colectomy for T4 colon cancer: A meta-analysis and trial sequential analysis of prospective observational studies. Frontiers in Surgery, 0, 9, .	0.6	1
643	Global trends and hotspots in research of robotic surgery in oncology: A bibliometric and visual analysis from 2002 to 2021. Frontiers in Oncology, 0, 12, .	1.3	14
644	Current status of transanal total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer and the expanding indications of the transanal approach for extended pelvic surgeries. Digestive Endoscopy, 2023, 35, 243-254.	1.3	1
645	Faster postoperative recovery by robotic-assisted surgery in elderly patients with sigmoid colon and rectal cancer. , 2022, 1, 100007.		0
646	Short-term outcomes of robot-assisted versus conventional laparoscopic surgery for mid and low rectal cancer after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy: a propensity score-matched analysis. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 2023, 17, 959-969.	1.0	4
647	Trends of sphincter-preserving surgeries for low lying rectal cancer: A 20-year experience in China. Frontiers in Oncology, 0, 12, .	1.3	1
648	Outcomes of open vs laparoscopic vs robotic vs transanal total mesorectal excision (TME) for rectal cancer: a network meta-analysis. Techniques in Coloproctology, 2023, 27, 345-360.	0.8	9
649	Lymph node yield less than 12 is not a poor predictor of survival in locally advanced rectal cancer after laparoscopic TME following neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy. Frontiers in Oncology, 0, 12, .	1.3	3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
650	EVALUATION OF COLORECTAL CANCER SURGERIES PERFORMED IN THREE YEARS. Ankara EÄÝitim Ve AraÄÝtÄ±rma Hastanesi TÄ±p Dergisi, 0, , .	0.1	0
651	Minimally invasive surgery for maximally invasive tumors: pelvic exenterations for rectal cancers. Journal of Minimally Invasive Surgery, 2022, 25, 131-138.	0.2	5
652	Does the mesorectal fat area impact the histopathology metrics of the specimen in males undergoing TME for distal rectal cancer?. Updates in Surgery, 2023, 75, 581-588.	0.9	4
653	Principles and Practice of Surgery in Gynaecological Cancer. , 2022, , 69-76.		0
654	Magnetic resonance imaging-based deep learning model to predict multiple firings in double-stapled colorectal anastomosis. World Journal of Gastroenterology, 0, 29, 536-548.	1.4	3
655	Laparoscopic and robotic multivisceral resection in colorectal cancer: A case series and systematic review. Asian Journal of Endoscopic Surgery, 2023, 16, 343-353.	0.4	1
656	Double-stapled anastomosis without âœœdog-earsâœœ reduces the anastomotic leakage in laparoscopic anterior resection of rectal cancer: A prospective, randomized, controlled study. Frontiers in Surgery, 0, 9, .	0.6	1
657	Past and Current Status of Colorectal Cancer Surgery. Journal of the Nihon University Medical Association, 2022, 81, 255-265.	0.0	0
658	Essential updates 2020/2021: Advancing precision medicine for comprehensive rectal cancer treatment. Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery, 2023, 7, 198-215.	1.2	5
659	Outcomes of Robot-Assisted Surgery in Rectal Cancer Compared with Open and Laparoscopic Surgery. Cancers, 2023, 15, 839.	1.7	10
660	Implications of the new MRI-based rectum definition according to the sigmoid take-off: multicentre cohort study. BJS Open, 2023, 7, .	0.7	4
661	Robotic surgery for locally advanced T4 rectal cancer: feasibility and oncological quality. Updates in Surgery, 2023, 75, 589-597.	0.9	2
662	Recommendations for modern perioperative care for elective surgery: consensus of panel of experts. Polski PrzeglÄd Chirurgiczny, 2023, 95, 1-5.	0.2	2
663	Overall survival comparing laparoscopic to open surgery for rightâ€sided colon cancer: propensity score inverse probability weighting population study. ANZ Journal of Surgery, 2023, 93, 1638-1645.	0.3	0
665	Rektumkarzinom: Radikale operative Therapie. Springer Reference Medizin, 2023, , 1-12.	0.0	0
666	Global survey on the surgical management of patients affected by colorectal cancer with synchronous liver metastases: impact of surgical specialty and geographic region. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2023, 37, 4658-4672.	1.3	1
667	Development of artificial blood loss and duration of excision score to evaluate surgical difficulty of total laparoscopic anterior resection in rectal cancer. Frontiers in Oncology, 0, 13, .	1.3	1
668	Single-center comparative study of short-term outcomes of transanal and laparoscopic total mesorectal excisions for low and middle rectal cancers. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 0, , .	1.3	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
670	A comparison of the efficacy and safety of natural orifice specimen extraction and conventional laparoscopic surgery in patients with sigmoid colon/high rectal cancer. <i>Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 0, , .	0.8	0
671	Prospective analysis of impact of learning curve in robotic-assisted rectal surgery in the high-volume Indian tertiary care centre. <i>Journal of Minimal Access Surgery</i> , 2023, .	0.4	0
672	The Effects of Hospital Volume on Short-Term Outcomes of Laparoscopic Surgery for Rectal Cancer: A Large-Scale Analysis of 37,821 Cases on a Nationwide Administrative Database. <i>Digestive Surgery</i> , 2023, 40, 39-47.	0.6	0
674	Randomized controlled trial evaluating the effect of the use of a laparoscopic lens-cleaning device during laparoscopic colorectal surgery on the multidimensional workload (YCOG1903). <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 0, , .	1.3	0
675	Impact of the approach on conversion to open surgery during minimally invasive restorative total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer. <i>International Journal of Colorectal Disease</i> , 2023, 38, .	1.0	1
676	Feasibility and safety of robotic surgery for low rectal cancer combined with transanal total mesorectal excision. <i>Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery</i> , 2023, 408, .	0.8	1
677	Comparison of specimen extraction site and another site for protective loop ileostomy in laparoscopic low anterior rectal resection: a retrospective comparative study. <i>Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery</i> , 2023, 408, .	0.8	2
707	ERAS Protocols and Multimodal Pain Management in Surgery. , 0, , .		1