

Comparative Effectiveness of Robot-Assisted and Open Postdissemination Era

Journal of Clinical Oncology

32, 1419-1426

DOI: [10.1200/jco.2013.53.5096](https://doi.org/10.1200/jco.2013.53.5096)

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	L'invasion robotique au Canada. Canadian Urological Association Journal, 2014, 8, 466.	0.3	3
2	Good servants, poor masters. Canadian Urological Association Journal, 2014, 8, 163.	0.3	1
3	The robotic invasion in Canada. Canadian Urological Association Journal, 2014, 8, 151.	0.3	3
5	Approaches to radical prostatectomy. Journal of Comparative Effectiveness Research, 2014, 3, 451-453.	0.6	1
6	Health technology assessment in evolution – focal therapy in localised prostate cancer. Expert Review of Anticancer Therapy, 2014, 14, 1359-1367.	1.1	7
7	Looking forward, looking back – 10 years in urology. Nature Reviews Urology, 2014, 11, 649-655.	1.9	4
8	Focal Therapy Will Become a Standard Option for Selected Men With Localized Prostate Cancer. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2014, 32, 3680-3681.	0.8	15
9	Re: Georgios Gakis, Stephen A. Boorjian, Alberto Briganti, et al. The Role of Radical Prostatectomy and Lymph Node Dissection in Lymph Node – Positive Prostate Cancer: A Systematic Review of the Literature. Eur Urol 2014;66:191 – 9. European Urology, 2014, 66, e107-e108.	0.9	2
10	Models of Assessment of Comparative Outcomes of Robot-Assisted Surgery. Urologic Clinics of North America, 2014, 41, 597-606.	0.8	6
11	Best Evidence Regarding the Superiority or Inferiority of Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. Urologic Clinics of North America, 2014, 41, 493-502.	0.8	9
12	Limitations of Assessing Value in Robotic Surgery for Prostate Cancer: What Data Should Patients and Physicians Use to Make the Best Decision?. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2014, 32, 1394-1395.	0.8	5
13	A decade of progress in detection and treatment. Nature Reviews Urology, 2014, 11, 618-620.	1.9	1
14	Comparative Effectiveness of Robot-assisted Versus Open Radical Prostatectomy Cancer Control. European Urology, 2014, 66, 666-672.	0.9	97
15	Long-term outcomes of robot-assisted radical prostatectomy: Where do we stand?. BJU International, 2015, 116, 845-846.	1.3	0
16	Predicting pathological outcomes in patients undergoing robot-assisted radical prostatectomy for high-risk prostate cancer: a preoperative nomogram. BJU International, 2015, 116, 703-712.	1.3	11
17	The Power and the Peril of Large Administrative Databases. Journal of Urology, 2015, 194, 10-11.	0.2	18
18	Disparities in the receipt of robot-assisted radical prostatectomy: between-hospital and within-hospital analysis using 2009-2011 California inpatient data. BMJ Open, 2015, 5, e007409-e007409.	0.8	22
19	Robotic surgery in urological oncology: patient care or market share?. Nature Reviews Urology, 2015, 12, 55-60.	1.9	24

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
20	Variation in Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection among Patients Undergoing Radical Prostatectomy by Hospital Characteristics and Surgical Approach: Results from the National Cancer Database. Journal of Urology, 2015, 193, 820-825.	0.2	40
21	Quality of life and satisfaction among prostate cancer patients followed in a dedicated survivorship clinic. Cancer, 2015, 121, 1484-1491.	2.0	27
22	Perioperative outcomes and hospital reimbursement by type of radical prostatectomy: results from a privately insured patient population. Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases, 2015, 18, 13-17.	2.0	8
23	The impact of robotic surgery on the surgical management of prostate cancer in the <scp>USA</scp>. BJU International, 2015, 115, 929-936.	1.3	78
24	The growth of computer-assisted (robotic) surgery in urology 2000â€“2014: The role of Asian surgeons. Asian Journal of Urology, 2015, 2, 1-10.	0.5	2
25	Long-term Satisfaction After Open Radical Prostatectomy. Urology, 2015, 85, 1130-1136.	0.5	3
27	Risk factors for biochemical recurrence after robotic assisted radical prostatectomy: a single surgeon experience. BMC Urology, 2015, 15, 27.	0.6	14
28	Regional Cost Variations of Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy Compared With Open Radical Prostatectomy. Clinical Genitourinary Cancer, 2015, 13, 447-452.	0.9	23
30	Short-term Results after Robot-assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy Compared to Open Radical Prostatectomy. European Urology, 2015, 67, 660-670.	0.9	84
31	Radical prostatectomy in high-risk and locally advanced prostate cancer: Mayo Clinic perspective. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2015, 33, 235-244.	0.8	27
32	Robotic assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy following transrectal compared to transperineal prostate biopsy: surgical, oncological and functional outcomes. Minerva Urology and Nephrology, 2016, 69, 85-92.	1.3	1
33	Radical Retropubic Prostatectomy. , 2016, , 265-273.		0
34	Patternsâ€“ofâ€“care and health economic analysis of robotâ€“assisted radical prostatectomy in the Australian public health system. BJU International, 2016, 117, 930-939.	1.3	55
35	Postoperative mortality 90 days after robotâ€“assisted laparoscopic prostatectomy and retropubic radical prostatectomy: a nationwide populationâ€“based study. BJU International, 2016, 118, 302-306.	1.3	14
36	Functional Quality-of-Life Outcomes Reported by Men Treated for Localized Prostate Cancer: A Systematic Literature Review. Oncology Nursing Forum, 2016, 43, 199-218.	0.5	30
37	The Devastated Bladder Outlet in Cancer Survivors After Local Therapy for Prostate Cancer. Current Bladder Dysfunction Reports, 2016, 11, 79-87.	0.2	4
39	Patient-reported Functional Outcomes Following Open, Laparoscopic, and Robotic Assisted Radical Prostatectomy Performed by High-volume Surgeons at High-volume Hospitals. European Urology Focus, 2016, 2, 172-179.	1.6	25
40	Editorial Comment. Urology, 2016, 91, 116-117.	0.5	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
41	Initiation of robot-assisted radical prostatectomies in Finland: Impact on centralization and quality of care. <i>Scandinavian Journal of Urology</i> , 2016, 50, 149-154.	0.6	16
42	Perioperative and oncologic outcomes of robot-assisted vs. open radical cystectomy in bladder cancer patients: A comparison of two high-volume referral centers. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2016, 42, 1736-1743.	0.5	49
43	Using big data for quality assessment in oncology. <i>Journal of Comparative Effectiveness Research</i> , 2016, 5, 309-319.	0.6	8
44	Sequencing robot-assisted extended pelvic lymph node dissection prior to radical prostatectomy: a step-by-step guide to exposure and efficiency. <i>BJU International</i> , 2016, 117, 192-198.	1.3	7
45	Risk of Small Bowel Obstruction After Robot-Assisted vs Open Radical Prostatectomy. <i>Journal of Endourology</i> , 2016, 30, 1291-1295.	1.1	4
46	Robot-assisted laparoscopic prostatectomy versus open radical retropubic prostatectomy: early outcomes from a randomised controlled phase 3 study. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2016, 388, 1057-1066.	6.3	539
48	Robotic Surgery of the Kidney, Bladder, and Prostate. <i>Surgical Clinics of North America</i> , 2016, 96, 615-636.	0.5	34
49	The European Association of Urology Robotic Training Curriculum: An Update. <i>European Urology Focus</i> , 2016, 2, 105-108.	1.6	21
50	How can we optimize the use of prostate cancer registries?. <i>Future Oncology</i> , 2016, 12, 1093-1095.	1.1	0
51	Prostate Cancer Registries: Current Status and Future Directions. <i>European Urology</i> , 2016, 69, 998-1012.	0.9	56
52	How Will Big Data Impact Clinical Decision Making and Precision Medicine in Radiation Therapy?. <i>International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics</i> , 2016, 95, 880-884.	0.4	22
53	Erection rehabilitation following prostatectomy – current strategies and future directions. <i>Nature Reviews Urology</i> , 2016, 13, 216-225.	1.9	27
54	Comparison of Perioperative and Early Oncologic Outcomes between Open and Robotic Assisted Laparoscopic Prostatectomy in a Contemporary Population Based Cohort. <i>Journal of Urology</i> , 2016, 196, 76-81.	0.2	43
55	Robot-assisted Versus Open Radical Prostatectomy: A Contemporary Analysis of an All-payer Discharge Database. <i>European Urology</i> , 2016, 70, 837-845.	0.9	178
56	Experienced Open vs Early Robotic-assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy: A 10-year Prospective and Retrospective Comparison. <i>Urology</i> , 2016, 91, 111-118.	0.5	20
57	Novel Technologies in Urologic Surgery: a Rapidly Changing Scenario. <i>Current Urology Reports</i> , 2016, 17, 19.	1.0	13
58	Neoadjuvant Systemic Therapy Before Radical Prostatectomy in High-Risk Prostate Cancer Does Not Increase Surgical Morbidity: Contemporary Results Using the Clavien System. <i>Clinical Genitourinary Cancer</i> , 2016, 14, 130-138.	0.9	14
59	Comparison of Gonadotropin-Releasing Hormone Agonists and Orchiectomy. <i>JAMA Oncology</i> , 2016, 2, 500.	3.4	94

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
60	The Comparative Harms of Open and Robotic Prostatectomy in Population Based Samples. <i>Journal of Urology</i> , 2016, 195, 321-329.	0.2	50
61	Teaching Hospitals and the Disconnect Between Technology Adoption and Comparative Effectiveness Research: The Case of the Surgical Robot. <i>Medical Care Research and Review</i> , 2017, 74, 369-376.	1.0	8
62	Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy and Extended Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection in Patients with Locally-advanced Prostate Cancer. <i>European Urology</i> , 2017, 71, 249-256.	0.9	73
63	Urethral fixation technique improves early urinary continence recovery in patients who undergo retropubic radical prostatectomy. <i>BJU International</i> , 2017, 119, 245-253.	1.3	9
64	Re: Robotic versus Open Prostatectomy: End of the Controversy. <i>Journal of Urology</i> , 2017, 197, 820-821.	0.2	0
65	Early clinical experience with the da Vinci Xi Surgical System in general surgery. <i>Journal of Robotic Surgery</i> , 2017, 11, 347-353.	1.0	23
66	Redefining and Contextualizing the Hospital Volume-Outcome Relationship for Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy: Implications for Centralization of Care. <i>Journal of Urology</i> , 2017, 198, 92-99.	0.2	55
67	Trends of lymphadenectomy in upper tract urothelial carcinoma (UTUC) patients treated with radical nephroureterectomy. <i>World Journal of Urology</i> , 2017, 35, 1541-1547.	1.2	41
68	Comparative effectiveness of prostate cancer treatments for patient-centered outcomes. <i>Medicine (United States)</i> , 2017, 96, e6790.	0.4	18
69	Strategies to minimize readmission rates following major urologic surgery. <i>Therapeutic Advances in Urology</i> , 2017, 9, 111-119.	0.9	11
70	Minimally invasive surgery and its impact on 30-day postoperative complications, unplanned readmissions and mortality. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2017, 104, 1372-1381.	0.1	44
71	Cost of New Technologies in Prostate Cancer Treatment: Systematic Review of Costs and Cost Effectiveness of Robotic-assisted Laparoscopic Prostatectomy, Intensity-modulated Radiotherapy, and Proton Beam Therapy. <i>European Urology</i> , 2017, 72, 712-735.	0.9	79
72	Assessing robot-assisted laparoscopic prostatectomy. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2017, 389, 799.	6.3	5
74	A systematic review of instrumental variable analyses using geographic region as an instrument. <i>Cancer Epidemiology</i> , 2017, 51, 49-55.	0.8	4
75	New surgical approaches for clinically high-risk or metastatic prostate cancer. <i>Expert Review of Anticancer Therapy</i> , 2017, 17, 1013-1031.	1.1	9
76	Laparoscopic and robotic-assisted versus open radical prostatectomy for the treatment of localised prostate cancer. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 2017, 2017, CD009625.	1.5	103
77	Adoption of Robot-Assisted Partial Nephrectomies: A Population-Based Analysis of U.S. Surgeons from 2004 to 2013. <i>Journal of Endourology</i> , 2017, 31, 886-892.	1.1	47
78	Can Anterior Prostatic Fat Harbor Prostate Cancer Metastasis? A Prospective Cohort Study. <i>Current Urology</i> , 2017, 10, 182-185.	0.4	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
79	Radical Prostatectomy in Men with Oligometastatic Prostate Cancer: Results of a Single-institution Series with Long-term Follow-up. <i>European Urology</i> , 2017, 72, 289-292.	0.9	81
80	Quantifying Discrepancies between the Internet and Academic Literature Regarding Robotic Prostatectomy. <i>Urology Practice</i> , 2017, 4, 99-105.	0.2	0
81	Incidence and Predictors of 30-Day Readmission After Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. <i>Clinical Genitourinary Cancer</i> , 2017, 15, 67-71.	0.9	14
82	The Surgical Management of Prostate Cancer. <i>Seminars in Oncology</i> , 2017, 44, 347-357.	0.8	60
83	Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection in Prostate Cancer: Indications, Extent and Tailored Approaches. <i>Urologia</i> , 2017, 84, 9-19.	0.3	25
84	Robotic vs open radical prostatectomy in prostate cancer: A systematic review and a meta-analysis update. <i>Oncotarget</i> , 2017, 8, 32237-32257.	0.8	53
85	Patient driven care in the management of prostate cancer: analysis of the United States military healthcare system. <i>BMC Urology</i> , 2017, 17, 56.	0.6	9
86	Community-based Outcomes of Open versus Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy. <i>European Urology</i> , 2018, 73, 215-223.	0.9	45
87	Laparoscopic and robot-assisted vs open radical prostatectomy for the treatment of localized prostate cancer: a Cochrane systematic review. <i>BJU International</i> , 2018, 121, 845-853.	1.3	88
88	National cohort study comparing severe medium-term urinary complications after robot-assisted vs laparoscopic vs retropubic open radical prostatectomy. <i>BJU International</i> , 2018, 121, 445-452.	1.3	18
89	Does robot-assisted radical prostatectomy benefit patients with prostate cancer and bone oligometastases?. <i>BJU International</i> , 2018, 121, 225-231.	1.3	54
90	Secondary data sources for health services research in urologic oncology. <i>Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations</i> , 2018, 36, 165-173.	0.8	48
91	Role of robot-assisted radical prostatectomy in locally advanced prostate cancer. <i>International Journal of Urology</i> , 2018, 25, 30-35.	0.5	39
92	Robotic surgery in urology. <i>Current Opinion in Urology</i> , 2018, 28, 153-158.	0.9	46
94	Vesicourethral anastomosis including rhabdosphincter in retropubic radical prostatectomy: Technique and results. <i>Archivio Italiano Di Urologia Andrologia</i> , 2018, 90, 249-253.	0.4	2
95	The Past, the Present, and the Future of Robotic Urology: Robot-assisted Surgery and Human-assisted Robots. <i>European Urology Focus</i> , 2018, 4, 629-631.	1.6	12
97	Radical prostatectomy after previous TUR-P: Oncological, surgical, and functional outcomes. <i>Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations</i> , 2018, 36, 527.e21-527.e28.	0.8	16
98	Robotic-assisted vs. open radical prostatectomy: A machine learning framework for intelligent analysis of patient-reported outcomes from online cancer support groups. <i>Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations</i> , 2018, 36, 529.e1-529.e9.	0.8	10

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
99	Health Services Research and Robotic Surgery. , 2018, , 235-252.		0
100	Clinical factors affecting perioperative outcomes in robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy. Molecular and Clinical Oncology, 2018, 9, 575-581.	0.4	5
102	Robotic Urologic Surgery: How to Make an Effective Robotic Programâ€A European Perspective. , 2018, , 129-140.		0
103	Complications of Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. , 2018, , 493-505.		0
104	Comparison of Perioperative Outcomes Between Cytoreductive Radical Prostatectomy and Radical Prostatectomy for Nonmetastatic Prostate Cancer. European Urology, 2018, 74, 693-696.	0.9	19
105	Postoperative complications of contemporary open and robotâ€assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy using standardised reporting systems. BJU International, 2018, 122, 801-807.	1.3	52
106	Comparison of Open Versus Robotically Assisted Cytoreductive Radical Prostatectomy for Metastatic Prostate Cancer. Clinical Genitourinary Cancer, 2019, 17, e939-e945.	0.9	9
107	The LACE+ Index as a Predictor of 30-Day Patient Outcomes in a Urologic Surgery Population: A Coarsened Exact Match Study. Urology, 2019, 134, 109-115.	0.5	7
108	Impact of patient choice and hospital competition on patient outcomes after prostate cancer surgery: A national populationâ€based study. Cancer, 2019, 125, 1898-1907.	2.0	11
109	A comparative study of robotâ€assisted and open radical prostatectomy in 10Â790 men treated by highly trained surgeons for both procedures. BJU International, 2019, 123, 1031-1040.	1.3	76
110	Contemporary National Assessment of Robot-Assisted Surgery Rates and Total Hospital Charges for Major Surgical Uro-Oncological Procedures in the United States. Journal of Endourology, 2019, 33, 438-447.	1.1	41
111	Survival After Robotic-assisted Prostatectomy for Localized Prostate Cancer. Annals of Surgery, 2021, 274, e507-e514.	2.1	5
112	â€Robosurgeons vs. roboscepticsâ€TM: can we afford robotic technology or can we afford not to?. Journal of Clinical Urology, 2019, 12, 285-295.	0.1	4
113	Contemporary Comparison of Open to Robotic Prostatectomy at a Veteranâ€s Affairs Hospital. Military Medicine, 2019, 184, e330-e337.	0.4	7
114	Infectious Complications of Conventional Laparoscopic<i>vs</i>Robotic Laparoscopic Prostatectomy: A Systematic Literature Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of Endourology, 2019, 33, 179-188.	1.1	4
115	Variation in prostate surgery costs and outcomes in the USA: robot-assisted versus open radical prostatectomy. Journal of Comparative Effectiveness Research, 2019, 8, 143-155.	0.6	14
116	Regional differences in total hospital charges between open and robotically assisted radical prostatectomy in the United States. World Journal of Urology, 2019, 37, 1305-1313.	1.2	13
117	Robot-assisted laparoscopic radical cystectomy is a safe and effective procedure for patients with bladder cancer compared to laparoscopic and open surgery: Perioperative outcomes of a single-center experience. Asian Journal of Surgery, 2019, 42, 189-196.	0.2	26

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
118	When the Evidence Basis Breeds Controversies: Exploring the Value Profile of Robotic Surgery Beyond the Early Introduction Phase. <i>Medical Care Research and Review</i> , 2020, 77, 596-608.	1.0	7
119	Laparoscopic radical prostatectomy versus robot-assisted radical prostatectomy: comparison of oncological outcomes at a single center. <i>Prostate International</i> , 2020, 8, 16-21.	1.2	11
120	Robot-assisted radical prostatectomy vs. open radical prostatectomy. <i>Current Opinion in Urology</i> , 2020, 30, 73-78.	0.9	23
121	Laparoscopic radical prostatectomy compared to open radical prostatectomy: Comparison between surgical time, complications and length of hospital stay. <i>Actas Urológicas Españolas (English)</i> Tj ETQq1 1 0.784304rgBT /Overlock 10		
122	Prostatectomía radical laparoscópica frente a prostatectomía radical abierta: comparación del tiempo quirúrgico, complicaciones y estancia postoperatoria. <i>Actas Urológicas Españolas</i> , 2020, 44, 41-48.	0.3	0
123	Routine Postoperative Hemoglobin Assessment Poorly Predicts Transfusion Requirement among Patients Undergoing Minimally Invasive Radical Prostatectomy. <i>Urology Practice</i> , 2020, 7, 299-304.	0.2	2
124	Assessment of Out-of-Pocket Costs for Robotic Cancer Surgery in US Adults. <i>JAMA Network Open</i> , 2020, 3, e1919185.	2.8	18
125	Urologic Robotic Surgery. <i>Surgical Clinics of North America</i> , 2020, 100, 361-378.	0.5	35
126	Comparative effectiveness of laparoscopic versus open prostatectomy for men with low-risk prostate cancer: a matched case-control study. <i>International Journal of Surgery Oncology</i> , 2021, 2, 13.	0.2	5
127	Workplace absenteeism amongst patients undergoing open vs. robotic radical prostatectomy, hysterectomy, and partial colectomy. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2021, 35, 1644-1650.	1.3	2
128	Readmission and complications after robotic surgery: experience of 10,000 operations at a comprehensive cancer center. <i>Journal of Robotic Surgery</i> , 2021, 15, 37-44.	1.0	3
129	External evaluation of the Briganti nomogram to predict lymph node metastases in intermediate-risk prostate cancer patients. <i>World Journal of Urology</i> , 2021, 39, 1489-1497.	1.2	5
130	Comparison of Perioperative and Pathologic Outcomes Between Single-port and Standard Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy: An Analysis of a High-volume Center and the Pooled World Experience. <i>Urology</i> , 2021, 147, 223-229.	0.5	20
131	Technical Refinements in Superextended Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy for Locally Advanced Prostate Cancer Patients at Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging. <i>European Urology</i> , 2021, 80, 104-112.	0.9	22
132	A Comparative Analysis of Surgical Scar Cosmesis Based on Operative Approach for Radical Prostatectomy. <i>Journal of Endourology</i> , 2021, 35, 138-143.	1.1	17
133	Comparison of 1-Year Health Care Costs and Use Associated With Open vs Robotic-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. <i>JAMA Network Open</i> , 2021, 4, e212265.	2.8	18
134	A systematic review and meta-analysis of unplanned hospital visits and re-admissions following radical prostatectomy for prostate cancer. <i>Canadian Urological Association Journal</i> , 2021, 15, E531-E544.	0.3	5
135	Clinical predictors for biochemical failure in patients with positive surgical margin after robotic-assisted radical prostatectomy. <i>Tumori</i> , 2021, , 030089162110079.	0.6	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
136	Comparative effectiveness of robotic and open radical prostatectomy. <i>Translational Andrology and Urology</i> , 2021, 10, 2158-2170.	0.6	3
137	Diffusion and adoption of the surgical robot in urology. <i>Translational Andrology and Urology</i> , 2021, 10, 2151-2157.	0.6	14
138	Use of video education in post-operative patient counselling: A quality improvement initiative. <i>Canadian Urological Association Journal</i> , 2021, 15, E658-E663.	0.3	1
139	Patterns of adoption of robotic radical prostatectomy in the United States and England. <i>Health Services Research</i> , 2021, 56, 1441-1461.	1.0	14
140	Extended robot-assisted laparoscopic prostatectomy and extended pelvic lymph node dissection as a monotherapy in patients with very high-risk prostate cancer Patients. <i>Cancer Medicine</i> , 2021, 10, 7968-7976.	1.3	3
142	Comparison and trend of perioperative outcomes between robot-assisted radical prostatectomy and open radical prostatectomy: nationwide inpatient sample 2009-2014. <i>International Braz J Urol: Official Journal of the Brazilian Society of Urology</i> , 2020, 46, 754-771.	0.7	7
143	Understanding the roles of randomized trials for robotic prostatectomy. <i>Annals of Translational Medicine</i> , 2016, 4, 467-467.	0.7	1
144	Penile rehabilitation after radical prostatectomy: does it work?. <i>Translational Andrology and Urology</i> , 2015, 4, 110-23.	0.6	26
145	Biochemical recurrence after radical prostatectomy: Current status of its use as a treatment endpoint and early management strategies. <i>Indian Journal of Urology</i> , 2019, 35, 6.	0.2	23
146	Visible Angle on Magnetic Resonance Imaging Can Be Considered Indicator of Postoperative Outcome in Retropubic Radical Prostatectomy. <i>The Korean Journal of Urological Oncology</i> , 2017, 15, 38-43.	0.1	0
147	Technical Features of Robot-Assisted Prostatectomy in Patients with Very Enlarged Prostates. <i>Kreativna Ć Hirurĳi Ć I Onkologi Ć</i> , 2018, 8, 33-40.	0.1	3
148	Radikal Prostatektomide Eksize Edilen Anterior Prostatik Ya Ć Dokuda Lenf Nodu Metastaz Ćn Ć Predikte Eden Fakt Ćrler. <i>Harran Ćeniversitesi T Ćp Fak Ćltesi Dergisi</i> , 0, , 289-293.	0.1	0
149	Safety and Efficacy of Using Tranexamic Acid at the Beginning of Robotic-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy in a Double-Blind Prospective Randomized Pilot Study. <i>Acta Medica (Hradec Kralove)</i> , 2020, 63, 176-182.	0.2	4
150	Prostate volume as an independent predictor of results robot-assisted prostatectomy. <i>Onkourologiya</i> , 2020, 15, 73-83.	0.1	0
151	The efficiency of robot-assisted radical prostatectomy in patients with varying prostate volumes. <i>Onkologiya Zhurnal Imeni P A Gertsena</i> , 2020, 9, 23.	0.0	0
152	Robotic Surgical System for Radical Prostatectomy: A Health Technology Assessment. <i>Ontario Health Technology Assessment Series</i> , 2017, 17, 1-172.	3.0	15
153	Blood transfusion had no influence on the 5-year biochemical recurrence after robot-assisted radical prostatectomy: a retrospective study. <i>BMC Urology</i> , 2021, 21, 160.	0.6	1
154	Impact of obesity on perioperative, functional and oncological outcomes after robotic-assisted radical prostatectomy in a high-volume center. <i>World Journal of Urology</i> , 2022, 40, 1419-1425.	1.2	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
155	Feasibility of robot-assisted radical prostatectomy in men at senior age ≥75 years: perioperative, functional, and oncological outcomes of a high-volume center. <i>Aging Male</i> , 2022, 25, 8-16.	0.9	10
156	Influence of steep Trendelenburg position on postoperative complications: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Journal of Robotic Surgery</i> , 2022, 16, 1233-1247.	1.0	6
157	Can the prophylactic administration of tranexamic acid reduce the blood loss after robotic-assisted radical prostatectomy? Robotic Assisted Radical Prostatectomy with tranEXamic acid (RARPEX): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. <i>Trials</i> , 2022, 23, .	0.7	0
159	Impact of patient choice and hospital competition on patient outcomes after rectal cancer surgery: A national population-based study. <i>Cancer</i> , 2023, 129, 130-141.	2.0	3
160	Super-Extended Robot Assisted Radical Prostatectomy in Locally Advanced Prostate Cancer. , 2022, , 351-358.		0
161	Use of Instrumental Variable Analyses for Evaluating Comparative Effectiveness in Empirical Applications of Oncology: A Systematic Review. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2023, 41, 2362-2371.	0.8	2
162	Pentafecta outcomes of robotic laparoscopically assisted radical prostatectomy during the initial experience in a university hospital. <i>African Journal of Urology</i> , 2023, 29, .	0.1	1
163	Disparities in access to robotic technology and perioperative outcomes among patients treated with radical prostatectomy. <i>Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2023, 128, 375-384.	0.8	4
164	Prospective cohort study investigating quality of life outcomes following multi-speciality robotic-assisted surgery. <i>Journal of Minimal Access Surgery</i> , 2024, 20, 37-46.	0.4	0