

Comparative Effectiveness of Robot-Assisted and Open Postdissemination Era

Journal of Clinical Oncology

32, 1419-1426

DOI: 10.1200/jco.2013.53.5096

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	L'invasion robotique au Canada. Canadian Urological Association Journal, 2014, 8, 466.	0.6	3
2	Good servants, poor masters. Canadian Urological Association Journal, 2014, 8, 163.	0.6	1
3	The robotic invasion in Canada. Canadian Urological Association Journal, 2014, 8, 151.	0.6	3
5	Approaches to radical prostatectomy. Journal of Comparative Effectiveness Research, 2014, 3, 451-453.	1.4	1
6	Health technology assessment in evolution – focal therapy in localised prostate cancer. Expert Review of Anticancer Therapy, 2014, 14, 1359-1367.	2.4	7
7	Looking forward, looking back – 10 years in urology. Nature Reviews Urology, 2014, 11, 649-655.	3.8	4
8	Focal Therapy Will Become a Standard Option for Selected Men With Localized Prostate Cancer. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2014, 32, 3680-3681.	1.6	15
9	Re: Georgios Gakis, Stephen A. Boorjian, Alberto Briganti, et al. The Role of Radical Prostatectomy and Lymph Node Dissection in Lymph Node – Positive Prostate Cancer: A Systematic Review of the Literature. Eur Urol 2014;66:191 – 9. European Urology, 2014, 66, e107-e108.	1.9	2
10	Models of Assessment of Comparative Outcomes of Robot-Assisted Surgery. Urologic Clinics of North America, 2014, 41, 597-606.	1.8	6
11	Best Evidence Regarding the Superiority or Inferiority of Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. Urologic Clinics of North America, 2014, 41, 493-502.	1.8	9
12	Limitations of Assessing Value in Robotic Surgery for Prostate Cancer: What Data Should Patients and Physicians Use to Make the Best Decision?. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2014, 32, 1394-1395.	1.6	5
13	A decade of progress in detection and treatment. Nature Reviews Urology, 2014, 11, 618-620.	3.8	1
14	Comparative Effectiveness of Robot-assisted Versus Open Radical Prostatectomy Cancer Control. European Urology, 2014, 66, 666-672.	1.9	97
15	Long-term outcomes of robot-assisted radical prostatectomy: Where do we stand?. BJU International, 2015, 116, 845-846.	2.5	0
16	Predicting pathological outcomes in patients undergoing robot-assisted radical prostatectomy for high-risk prostate cancer: a preoperative nomogram. BJU International, 2015, 116, 703-712.	2.5	11
17	The Power and the Peril of Large Administrative Databases. Journal of Urology, 2015, 194, 10-11.	0.4	18
18	Disparities in the receipt of robot-assisted radical prostatectomy: between-hospital and within-hospital analysis using 2009-2011 California inpatient data. BMJ Open, 2015, 5, e007409-e007409.	1.9	22
19	Robotic surgery in urological oncology: patient care or market share?. Nature Reviews Urology, 2015, 12, 55-60.	3.8	24

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
20	Variation in Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection among Patients Undergoing Radical Prostatectomy by Hospital Characteristics and Surgical Approach: Results from the National Cancer Database. Journal of Urology, 2015, 193, 820-825.	0.4	40
21	Quality of life and satisfaction among prostate cancer patients followed in a dedicated survivorship clinic. Cancer, 2015, 121, 1484-1491.	4.1	27
22	Perioperative outcomes and hospital reimbursement by type of radical prostatectomy: results from a privately insured patient population. Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases, 2015, 18, 13-17.	3.9	8
23	The impact of robotic surgery on the surgical management of prostate cancer in the <scp>USA</scp>. BJU International, 2015, 115, 929-936.	2.5	78
24	The growth of computer-assisted (robotic) surgery in urology 2000â€“2014: The role of Asian surgeons. Asian Journal of Urology, 2015, 2, 1-10.	1.2	2
25	Long-term Satisfaction After Open Radical Prostatectomy. Urology, 2015, 85, 1130-1136.	1.0	3
27	Risk factors for biochemical recurrence after robotic assisted radical prostatectomy: a single surgeon experience. BMC Urology, 2015, 15, 27.	1.4	14
28	Regional Cost Variations of Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy Compared With Open Radical Prostatectomy. Clinical Genitourinary Cancer, 2015, 13, 447-452.	1.9	23
30	Short-term Results after Robot-assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy Compared to Open Radical Prostatectomy. European Urology, 2015, 67, 660-670.	1.9	84
31	Radical prostatectomy in high-risk and locally advanced prostate cancer: Mayo Clinic perspective. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2015, 33, 235-244.	1.6	27
32	Robotic assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy following transrectal compared to transperineal prostate biopsy: surgical, oncological and functional outcomes. Minerva Urology and Nephrology, 2016, 69, 85-92.	2.5	1
33	Radical Retropubic Prostatectomy. , 2016, , 265-273.		0
34	Patterns of care and health economic analysis of robot-assisted radical prostatectomy in the Australian public health system. BJU International, 2016, 117, 930-939.	2.5	55
35	Postoperative mortality 90 days after robot-assisted laparoscopic prostatectomy and retropubic radical prostatectomy: a nationwide population-based study. BJU International, 2016, 118, 302-306.	2.5	14
36	Functional Quality-of-Life Outcomes Reported by Men Treated for Localized Prostate Cancer: A Systematic Literature Review. Oncology Nursing Forum, 2016, 43, 199-218.	1.2	30
37	The Devastated Bladder Outlet in Cancer Survivors After Local Therapy for Prostate Cancer. Current Bladder Dysfunction Reports, 2016, 11, 79-87.	0.5	4
39	Patient-reported Functional Outcomes Following Open, Laparoscopic, and Robotic Assisted Radical Prostatectomy Performed by High-volume Surgeons at High-volume Hospitals. European Urology Focus, 2016, 2, 172-179.	3.1	25
40	Editorial Comment. Urology, 2016, 91, 116-117.	1.0	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
41	Initiation of robot-assisted radical prostatectomies in Finland: Impact on centralization and quality of care. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2016, 50, 149-154.	1.0	16
42	Perioperative and oncologic outcomes of robot-assisted vs. open radical cystectomy in bladder cancer patients: A comparison of two high-volume referral centers. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 42, 1736-1743.	1.0	49
43	Using big data for quality assessment in oncology. Journal of Comparative Effectiveness Research, 2016, 5, 309-319.	1.4	8
44	Sequencing robot-assisted extended pelvic lymph node dissection prior to radical prostatectomy: a step-by-step guide to exposure and efficiency. BJU International, 2016, 117, 192-198.	2.5	7
45	Risk of Small Bowel Obstruction After Robot-Assisted <i>vs</i> Open Radical Prostatectomy. Journal of Endourology, 2016, 30, 1291-1295.	2.1	4
46	Robot-assisted laparoscopic prostatectomy versus open radical retropubic prostatectomy: early outcomes from a randomised controlled phase 3 study. Lancet, The, 2016, 388, 1057-1066.	13.7	539
48	Robotic Surgery of the Kidney, Bladder, and Prostate. Surgical Clinics of North America, 2016, 96, 615-636.	1.5	34
49	The European Association of Urology Robotic Training Curriculum: An Update. European Urology Focus, 2016, 2, 105-108.	3.1	21
50	How can we optimize the use of prostate cancer registries?. Future Oncology, 2016, 12, 1093-1095.	2.4	0
51	Prostate Cancer Registries: Current Status and Future Directions. European Urology, 2016, 69, 998-1012.	1.9	56
52	How Will Big Data Impact Clinical Decision Making and Precision Medicine in Radiation Therapy?. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2016, 95, 880-884.	0.8	22
53	Erection rehabilitation following prostatectomy – current strategies and future directions. Nature Reviews Urology, 2016, 13, 216-225.	3.8	27
54	Comparison of Perioperative and Early Oncologic Outcomes between Open and Robotic Assisted Laparoscopic Prostatectomy in a Contemporary Population Based Cohort. Journal of Urology, 2016, 196, 76-81.	0.4	43
55	Robot-assisted Versus Open Radical Prostatectomy: A Contemporary Analysis of an All-payer Discharge Database. European Urology, 2016, 70, 837-845.	1.9	178
56	Experienced Open vs Early Robotic-assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy: A 10-year Prospective and Retrospective Comparison. Urology, 2016, 91, 111-118.	1.0	20
57	Novel Technologies in Urologic Surgery: a Rapidly Changing Scenario. Current Urology Reports, 2016, 17, 19.	2.2	13
58	Neoadjuvant Systemic Therapy Before Radical Prostatectomy in High-Risk Prostate Cancer Does Not Increase Surgical Morbidity: Contemporary Results Using the Clavien System. Clinical Genitourinary Cancer, 2016, 14, 130-138.	1.9	14
59	Comparison of Gonadotropin-Releasing Hormone Agonists and Orchiectomy. JAMA Oncology, 2016, 2, 500.	7.1	94

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
60	The Comparative Harms of Open and Robotic Prostatectomy in Population Based Samples. Journal of Urology, 2016, 195, 321-329.	0.4	50
61	Teaching Hospitals and the Disconnect Between Technology Adoption and Comparative Effectiveness Research: The Case of the Surgical Robot. Medical Care Research and Review, 2017, 74, 369-376.	2.1	8
62	Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy and Extended Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection in Patients with Locally-advanced Prostate Cancer. European Urology, 2017, 71, 249-256.	1.9	73
63	Urethral fixation technique improves early urinary continence recovery in patients who undergo retropubic radical prostatectomy. BJU International, 2017, 119, 245-253.	2.5	9
64	Re: Robotic versus Open Prostatectomy: End of the Controversy. Journal of Urology, 2017, 197, 820-821.	0.4	0
65	Early clinical experience with the da Vinci Xi Surgical System in general surgery. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 2017, 11, 347-353.	1.8	23
66	Redefining and Contextualizing the Hospital Volume-Outcome Relationship for Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy: Implications for Centralization of Care. Journal of Urology, 2017, 198, 92-99.	0.4	55
67	Trends of lymphadenectomy in upper tract urothelial carcinoma (UTUC) patients treated with radical nephroureterectomy. World Journal of Urology, 2017, 35, 1541-1547.	2.2	41
68	Comparative effectiveness of prostate cancer treatments for patient-centered outcomes. Medicine (United States), 2017, 96, e6790.	1.0	18
69	Strategies to minimize readmission rates following major urologic surgery. Therapeutic Advances in Urology, 2017, 9, 111-119.	2.0	11
70	Minimally invasive surgery and its impact on 30-day postoperative complications, unplanned readmissions and mortality. British Journal of Surgery, 2017, 104, 1372-1381.	0.3	44
71	Cost of New Technologies in Prostate Cancer Treatment: Systematic Review of Costs and Cost Effectiveness of Robotic-assisted Laparoscopic Prostatectomy, Intensity-modulated Radiotherapy, and Proton Beam Therapy. European Urology, 2017, 72, 712-735.	1.9	79
72	Assessing robot-assisted laparoscopic prostatectomy. Lancet, The, 2017, 389, 799.	13.7	5
74	A systematic review of instrumental variable analyses using geographic region as an instrument. Cancer Epidemiology, 2017, 51, 49-55.	1.9	4
75	New surgical approaches for clinically high-risk or metastatic prostate cancer. Expert Review of Anticancer Therapy, 2017, 17, 1013-1031.	2.4	9
76	Laparoscopic and robotic-assisted versus open radical prostatectomy for the treatment of localised prostate cancer. The Cochrane Library, 2017, 2017, CD009625.	2.8	103
77	Adoption of Robot-Assisted Partial Nephrectomies: A Population-Based Analysis of U.S. Surgeons from 2004 to 2013. Journal of Endourology, 2017, 31, 886-892.	2.1	47
78	Can Anterior Prostatic Fat Harbor Prostate Cancer Metastasis? A Prospective Cohort Study. Current Urology, 2017, 10, 182-185.	0.6	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
79	Radical Prostatectomy in Men with Oligometastatic Prostate Cancer: Results of a Single-institution Series with Long-term Follow-up. <i>European Urology</i> , 2017, 72, 289-292.	1.9	81
80	Quantifying Discrepancies between the Internet and Academic Literature Regarding Robotic Prostatectomy. <i>Urology Practice</i> , 2017, 4, 99-105.	0.5	0
81	Incidence and Predictors of 30-Day Readmission After Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. <i>Clinical Genitourinary Cancer</i> , 2017, 15, 67-71.	1.9	14
82	The Surgical Management of Prostate Cancer. <i>Seminars in Oncology</i> , 2017, 44, 347-357.	2.2	60
83	Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection in Prostate Cancer: Indications, Extent and Tailored Approaches. <i>Urologia</i> , 2017, 84, 9-19.	0.7	25
84	Robotic <i>vs</i>. Retropubic radical prostatectomy in prostate cancer: A systematic review and a meta-analysis update. <i>Oncotarget</i> , 2017, 8, 32237-32257.	1.8	53
85	Patient driven care in the management of prostate cancer: analysis of the United States military healthcare system. <i>BMC Urology</i> , 2017, 17, 56.	1.4	9
86	Community-based Outcomes of Open versus Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy. <i>European Urology</i> , 2018, 73, 215-223.	1.9	45
87	Laparoscopic and robotâ€assisted vs open radical prostatectomy for the treatment of localized prostate cancer: a Cochrane systematic review. <i>BJU International</i> , 2018, 121, 845-853.	2.5	88
88	National cohort study comparing severe mediumâ€term urinary complications after robotâ€assisted vs laparoscopic vs retropubic open radical prostatectomy. <i>BJU International</i> , 2018, 121, 445-452.	2.5	18
89	Does robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy benefit patients with prostate cancer and bone oligometastases?. <i>BJU International</i> , 2018, 121, 225-231.	2.5	54
90	Secondary data sources for health services research in urologic oncology. <i>Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations</i> , 2018, 36, 165-173.	1.6	48
91	Role of robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy in locally advanced prostate cancer. <i>International Journal of Urology</i> , 2018, 25, 30-35.	1.0	39
92	Robotic surgery in urology. <i>Current Opinion in Urology</i> , 2018, 28, 153-158.	1.8	46
94	Vesicourethral anastomosis including rhabdosphincter in retropubic radical prostatectomy: Technique and results. <i>Archivio Italiano Di Urologia Andrologia</i> , 2018, 90, 249-253.	0.8	2
95	The Past, the Present, and the Future of Robotic Urology: Robot-assisted Surgery and Human-assisted Robots. <i>European Urology Focus</i> , 2018, 4, 629-631.	3.1	12
97	Radical prostatectomy after previous TUR-P: Oncological, surgical, and functional outcomes. <i>Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations</i> , 2018, 36, 527.e21-527.e28.	1.6	16
98	Robotic-assisted vs. open radical prostatectomy: A machine learning framework for intelligent analysis of patient-reported outcomes from online cancer support groups. <i>Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations</i> , 2018, 36, 529.e1-529.e9.	1.6	10

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
99	Health Services Research and Robotic Surgery. , 2018, , 235-252.		0
100	Clinical factors affecting perioperative outcomes in robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy. Molecular and Clinical Oncology, 2018, 9, 575-581.	1.0	5
102	Robotic Urologic Surgery: How to Make an Effective Robotic Programâ€”A European Perspective. , 2018, , 129-140.		0
103	Complications of Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. , 2018, , 493-505.		0
104	Comparison of Perioperative Outcomes Between Cytoreductive Radical Prostatectomy and Radical Prostatectomy for Nonmetastatic Prostate Cancer. European Urology, 2018, 74, 693-696.	1.9	19
105	Postoperative complications of contemporary open and robotâ€assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy using standardised reporting systems. BJU International, 2018, 122, 801-807.	2.5	52
106	Comparison of Open Versus Robotically Assisted Cytoreductive Radical Prostatectomy for Metastatic Prostate Cancer. Clinical Genitourinary Cancer, 2019, 17, e939-e945.	1.9	9
107	The LACE+ Index as a Predictor of 30-Day Patient Outcomes in a Urologic Surgery Population: A Coarsened Exact Match Study. Urology, 2019, 134, 109-115.	1.0	7
108	Impact of patient choice and hospital competition on patient outcomes after prostate cancer surgery: A national populationâ€based study. Cancer, 2019, 125, 1898-1907.	4.1	11
109	A comparative study of robotâ€assisted and open radical prostatectomy in 10Â790 men treated by highly trained surgeons for both procedures. BJU International, 2019, 123, 1031-1040.	2.5	76
110	Contemporary National Assessment of Robot-Assisted Surgery Rates and Total Hospital Charges for Major Surgical Uro-Oncological Procedures in the United States. Journal of Endourology, 2019, 33, 438-447.	2.1	41
111	Survival After Robotic-assisted Prostatectomy for Localized Prostate Cancer. Annals of Surgery, 2021, 274, e507-e514.	4.2	5
112	â€Robosurgeons vs. roboscepticsâ€™: can we afford robotic technology or can we afford not to?. Journal of Clinical Urology, 2019, 12, 285-295.	0.1	4
113	Contemporary Comparison of Open to Robotic Prostatectomy at a Veteranâ€™s Affairs Hospital. Military Medicine, 2019, 184, e330-e337.	0.8	7
114	Infectious Complications of Conventional Laparoscopic<i>vs</i>Robotic Laparoscopic Prostatectomy: A Systematic Literature Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of Endourology, 2019, 33, 179-188.	2.1	4
115	Variation in prostate surgery costs and outcomes in the USA: robot-assisted versus open radical prostatectomy. Journal of Comparative Effectiveness Research, 2019, 8, 143-155.	1.4	14
116	Regional differences in total hospital charges between open and robotically assisted radical prostatectomy in the United States. World Journal of Urology, 2019, 37, 1305-1313.	2.2	13
117	Robot-assisted laparoscopic radical cystectomy is a safe and effective procedure for patients with bladder cancer compared to laparoscopic and open surgery: Perioperative outcomes of a single-center experience. Asian Journal of Surgery, 2019, 42, 189-196.	0.4	26

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
118	When the Evidence Basis Breeds Controversies: Exploring the Value Profile of Robotic Surgery Beyond the Early Introduction Phase. Medical Care Research and Review, 2020, 77, 596-608.	2.1	7
119	Laparoscopic radical prostatectomy versus robot-assisted radical prostatectomy: comparison of oncological outcomes at a single center. Prostate International, 2020, 8, 16-21.	2.3	11
120	Robot-assisted radical prostatectomy vs. open radical prostatectomy. Current Opinion in Urology, 2020, 30, 73-78.	1.8	23
121	Laparoscopic radical prostatectomy compared to open radical prostatectomy: Comparison between surgical time, complications and length of hospital stay. Actas Urológicas Españolas (English) Tj ETQq1 1 0.784304rgBT /Overlock 10	0.4	10
122	Prostatectomía radical laparoscópica frente a prostatectomía radical abierta: comparación del tiempo quirúrgico, complicaciones y estancia postoperatoria. Actas Urológicas Españolas, 2020, 44, 41-48.	0.7	0
123	Routine Postoperative Hemoglobin Assessment Poorly Predicts Transfusion Requirement among Patients Undergoing Minimally Invasive Radical Prostatectomy. Urology Practice, 2020, 7, 299-304.	0.5	2
124	Assessment of Out-of-Pocket Costs for Robotic Cancer Surgery in US Adults. JAMA Network Open, 2020, 3, e1919185.	5.9	18
125	Urologic Robotic Surgery. Surgical Clinics of North America, 2020, 100, 361-378.	1.5	35
126	Comparative effectiveness of laparoscopic versus open prostatectomy for men with low-risk prostate cancer: a matched case-control study. International Journal of Surgery Oncology, 2021, 2, 13.	0.2	5
127	Workplace absenteeism amongst patients undergoing open vs. robotic radical prostatectomy, hysterectomy, and partial colectomy. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2021, 35, 1644-1650.	2.4	2
128	Readmission and complications after robotic surgery: experience of 10,000 operations at a comprehensive cancer center. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 2021, 15, 37-44.	1.8	3
129	External evaluation of the Briganti nomogram to predict lymph node metastases in intermediate-risk prostate cancer patients. World Journal of Urology, 2021, 39, 1489-1497.	2.2	5
130	Comparison of Perioperative and Pathologic Outcomes Between Single-port and Standard Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy: An Analysis of a High-volume Center and the Pooled World Experience. Urology, 2021, 147, 223-229.	1.0	20
131	Technical Refinements in Superextended Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy for Locally Advanced Prostate Cancer Patients at Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging. European Urology, 2021, 80, 104-112.	1.9	22
132	A Comparative Analysis of Surgical Scar Cosmesis Based on Operative Approach for Radical Prostatectomy. Journal of Endourology, 2021, 35, 138-143.	2.1	17
133	Comparison of 1-Year Health Care Costs and Use Associated With Open vs Robotic-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. JAMA Network Open, 2021, 4, e212265.	5.9	18
134	A systematic review and meta-analysis of unplanned hospital visits and re-admissions following radical prostatectomy for prostate cancer. Canadian Urological Association Journal, 2021, 15, E531-E544.	0.6	5
135	Clinical predictors for biochemical failure in patients with positive surgical margin after robotic-assisted radical prostatectomy. Tumori, 2021, , 030089162110079.	1.1	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
136	Comparative effectiveness of robotic and open radical prostatectomy. Translational Andrology and Urology, 2021, 10, 2158-2170.	1.4	3
137	Diffusion and adoption of the surgical robot in urology. Translational Andrology and Urology, 2021, 10, 2151-2157.	1.4	14
138	Use of video education in post-operative patient counselling: A quality improvement initiative. Canadian Urological Association Journal, 2021, 15, E658-E663.	0.6	1
139	Patterns of adoption of robotic radical prostatectomy in the United States and England. Health Services Research, 2021, 56, 1441-1461.	2.0	14
140	Extended robotâ€assisted laparoscopic prostatectomy and extended pelvic lymph node dissection as a monotherapy in patients with very highâ€risk prostate cancer Patients. Cancer Medicine, 2021, 10, 7968-7976.	2.8	3
142	Comparison and trend of perioperative outcomes between robot-assisted radical prostatectomy and open radical prostatectomy: nationwide inpatient sample 2009-2014. International Braz J Urol: Official Journal of the Brazilian Society of Urology, 2020, 46, 754-771.	1.5	7
143	Understanding the roles of randomized trials for robotic prostatectomy. Annals of Translational Medicine, 2016, 4, 467-467.	1.7	1
144	Penile rehabilitation after radical prostatectomy: does it work?. Translational Andrology and Urology, 2015, 4, 110-23.	1.4	26
145	Biochemical recurrence after radical prostatectomy: Current status of its use as a treatment endpoint and early management strategies. Indian Journal of Urology, 2019, 35, 6.	0.6	23
146	Visible Angle on Magnetic Resonance Imaging Can Be Considered Indicator of Postoperative Outcome in Retropubic Radical Prostatectomy. The Korean Journal of Urological Oncology, 2017, 15, 38-43.	0.1	0
147	Technical Features of Robot-Assisted Prostatectomy in Patients with Very Enlarged Prostates. Kreativnaâ€ Hirurgiâ€ I Onkologiâ€, 2018, 8, 33-40.	0.3	3
148	Radikal Prostatektomide Eksize Edilen Anterior Prostatik Yaâ€ Dokuda Lenf Nodu Metastazâ€nâ€ Predikte Eden Faktâ€rler. Harran â€eniversitesi Tâ€p Fakâ€ltesi Dergisi, 0, , 289-293.	0.3	0
149	Safety and Efficacy of Using Tranexamic Acid at the Beginning of Robotic-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy in a Double-Blind Prospective Randomized Pilot Study. Acta Medica (Hradec Kralove), 2020, 63, 176-182.	0.5	4
150	Prostate volume as an independent predictor of results robot-assisted prostatectomy. Onkourologiya, 2020, 15, 73-83.	0.3	0
151	The efficiency of robot-assisted radical prostatectomy in patients with varying prostate volumes. Onkologiya Zhurnal Imeni P A Gertsena, 2020, 9, 23.	0.2	0
152	Robotic Surgical System for Radical Prostatectomy: A Health Technology Assessment. Ontario Health Technology Assessment Series, 2017, 17, 1-172.	1.8	15
153	Blood transfusion had no influence on the 5-year biochemical recurrence after robot-assisted radical prostatectomy: a retrospective study. BMC Urology, 2021, 21, 160.	1.4	1
154	Impact of obesity on perioperative, functional and oncological outcomes after robotic-assisted radical prostatectomy in a high-volume center. World Journal of Urology, 2022, 40, 1419-1425.	2.2	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
155	Feasibility of robot-assisted radical prostatectomy in men at senior age ≥75 years: perioperative, functional, and oncological outcomes of a high-volume center. <i>Aging Male</i> , 2022, 25, 8-16.	1.9	10
156	Influence of steep Trendelenburg position on postoperative complications: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Journal of Robotic Surgery</i> , 2022, 16, 1233-1247.	1.8	6
157	Can the prophylactic administration of tranexamic acid reduce the blood loss after robotic-assisted radical prostatectomy? Robotic Assisted Radical Prostatectomy with tranEXamic acid (RARPEX): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. <i>Trials</i> , 2022, 23, .	1.6	0
159	Impact of patient choice and hospital competition on patient outcomes after rectal cancer surgery: A national population-based study. <i>Cancer</i> , 2023, 129, 130-141.	4.1	3
160	Super-Extended Robot Assisted Radical Prostatectomy in Locally Advanced Prostate Cancer. , 2022, , 351-358.		0
161	Use of Instrumental Variable Analyses for Evaluating Comparative Effectiveness in Empirical Applications of Oncology: A Systematic Review. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2023, 41, 2362-2371.	1.6	2
162	Pentafecta outcomes of robotic laparoscopically assisted radical prostatectomy during the initial experience in a university hospital. <i>African Journal of Urology</i> , 2023, 29, .	0.4	1
163	Disparities in access to robotic technology and perioperative outcomes among patients treated with radical prostatectomy. <i>Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2023, 128, 375-384.	1.7	4
164	Prospective cohort study investigating quality of life outcomes following multi-speciality robotic-assisted surgery. <i>Journal of Minimal Access Surgery</i> , 2024, 20, 37-46.	0.7	0
165	The predictive value of perioperative circulating markers on surgical complications in patients undergoing robotic-assisted radical prostatectomy. <i>World Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2023, 21, .	1.9	0
166	Impact of open and minimally invasive surgery on postoperative wound complications in patients undergoing prostate surgery: A meta-analysis. <i>International Wound Journal</i> , 2024, 21, .	2.9	0
167	Quality of life after non-nerve-sparing, robot-assisted radical prostatectomy. <i>Asia-Pacific Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2024, 20, 93-100.	1.1	0
168	Robot-Assisted Surgery and Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Post-Prostatectomy Outcomes Among Prostate Cancer Patients. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 0, , .	1.5	0
169	Robot-assisted vs open retropubic radical prostatectomy: a propensity score-matched comparative analysis based on 15 years and 18,805 patients. <i>World Journal of Urology</i> , 2024, 42, .	2.2	0