

Synthetic biology and its regulation in the European Union

New Biotechnology

31, 528-531

DOI: [10.1016/j.nbt.2014.02.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbt.2014.02.007)

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Synthetic Biology. , 2016, , 665-685.		0
2	Cibus' herbicide-resistant canola in European limbo. Nature Biotechnology, 2016, 34, 473-474.	9.4	10
3	Synthetic biologyâ€™s multiple dimensions of benefits and risks: implications for governance and policies. , 2016, , 217-232.		6
4	Synthetic Biology. , 2016, , .		7
5	Synthetic biology regulation and governance: Lessons from TAPIC for the United States, European Union, and Singapore. Health Policy, 2017, 121, 1139-1146.	1.4	55
6	Co-evolution of physical and social sciences in synthetic biology. Critical Reviews in Biotechnology, 2019, 39, 351-365.	5.1	27
7	How IoT Can Integrate Biotechnological Approaches for City Applicationsâ€™”Review of Recent Advancements, Issues, and Perspectives. Applied Sciences (Switzerland), 2020, 10, 3990.	1.3	12
8	Advances in Synthetic Biology and Biosafety Governance. Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology, 2021, 9, 598087.	2.0	20
10	Synthetic biology landscape and community in Germany. Biotechnology Notes, 2022, 3, 8-14.	0.7	9
11	Regulation and management of the biosecurity for synthetic biology. Synthetic and Systems Biotechnology, 2022, 7, 784-790.	1.8	5
12	Synthetic Biology: Safety Issues. , 2023, , 71-79.		0