## CITATION REPORT List of articles citing

The application of citation-based performance classes to the disciplinary and multidisciplinary assessment in national comparison and institutional research assessment

DOI: 10.1007/s11192-014-1247-1 Scientometrics, 2014, 101, 939-952.

Source: https://exaly.com/paper-pdf/58840917/citation-report.pdf

Version: 2024-04-19

This report has been generated based on the citations recorded by exaly.com for the above article. For the latest version of this publication list, visit the link given above.

The third column is the impact factor (IF) of the journal, and the fourth column is the number of citations of the article.

#	Paper	IF	Citations
31	The challenges to expand bibliometric studies from periodical literature to monographic literature with a new data source: the book citation index. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2016</b> , 109, 2165-2179	3	29
30	Mapping cross-border collaboration and communication in cardiovascular research from 1992 to 2012. <i>European Heart Journal</i> , <b>2017</b> , 38, 1249-1258	9.5	24
29	A farewell to the MNCS and like size-independent indicators. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2016</b> , 10, 646-651	3.1	51
28	Mapping and classification of agriculture in Web of Science: other subject categories and research fields may benefit. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2016</b> , 109, 979-996	3	4
27	A review of the literature on citation impact indicators. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2016</b> , 10, 365-391	3.1	476
26	A triangular model for publication and citation statistics of individual authors. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2016</b> , 107, 857-872	3	7
25	Lexical analysis of scientific publications for nano-level scientometrics. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2017</b> , 111, 1897-	·1 <del>9</del> 06	2
24	Improved author profiling through the use of citation classes. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2017</b> , 111, 829-839	3	5
23	The Herrero-Villar approach to citation impact. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2017</b> , 11, 625-640	3.1	7
22	An empirical investigation of the associations among usage, scientific collaboration and citation impact. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2017</b> , 112, 403-412	3	25
21	On the quest for currencies of science. Aslib Journal of Information Management, 2017, 69, 557-575	1.5	5
20	Disaggregated research evaluation through median-based characteristic scores and scales: a comparison with the mean-based approach. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2017</b> , 11, 748-765	3.1	4
19	Explaining the transatlantic gap in research excellence. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2017</b> , 110, 217-241	3	20
18	Rainbow ranking: an adaptable, multidimensional ranking method for publication sets. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2018</b> , 116, 147-160	3	6
17	Scientometric research assessment in the developing world: A tribute to Michael J. Moravcsik from the perspective of the twenty-first century. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2018</b> , 115, 1517-1532	3	10
16	The role of baseline granularity for benchmarking citation impact. The case of CSS profiles. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2018</b> , 116, 521-536	3	3
15	The lognormal distribution explains the remarkable pattern documented by characteristic scores and scales in scientometrics. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2018</b> , 12, 401-415	3.1	7

## CITATION REPORT

14	Comparison of citation and usage indicators in research assessment in scientific disciplines and journals. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2018</b> , 116, 537-554	3	15
13	Research assessment by percentile-based double rank analysis. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2018</b> , 12, 315-32	.9 <u>.</u> 1	12
12	The characteristics of highly cited researchers in Africa. Research Evaluation, 2018, 27, 222-237	1.7	8
11	How well does I3 perform for impact measurement compared to other bibliometric indicators? The convergent validity of several (field-normalized) indicators. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2019</b> , 119, 1187-1205	3	4
10	Comparing capture, usage and citation indicators: an altmetric analysis of journal papers in chemistry disciplines. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2019</b> , 120, 1461-1473	3	10
9	Which can better predict the future success of articles? Bibliometric indices or alternative metrics. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2019</b> , 119, 1575-1595	3	21
8	The impact of preprints in Library and Information Science: an analysis of citations, usage and social attention indicators. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2020</b> , 125, 1403-1423	3	12
7	Important citation identification by exploiting the syntactic and contextual information of citations. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2020</b> , 125, 2109-2129	3	8
6	Identification High Influential Articles by Considering the Topic Characteristics of Articles. <i>IEEE Access</i> , <b>2020</b> , 8, 107887-107899	3.5	1
5	Field Normalization of Scientometric Indicators. Springer Handbooks, 2019, 281-300	1.3	13
4	Citation Classes: A Distribution-based Approach for Evaluative Purposes. Springer Handbooks, 2019, 335	5-B <b>6</b> 0	2
3	Research Evaluation: Mapping the Field Structure. <i>Avalid</i> <b>®</b> : <i>Revista Da Avalid</i> <b>®</b> <i>Da Educd</i> <b>®</b> <i>Superior</i> , <b>2020</b> , 25, 546-574	0.4	2
2	Scientometrics Shaping Science Policy and vice versa, the ECOOM Case. Springer Handbooks, 2019, 447-	46 <del>4</del>	О
1	Funding as a determinant of Citation Impact in Scientific Papers in different countries. <b>2023</b> , 95,		Ο