

Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection During Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy: Indications, Limitations, and Complicationsâ€”A Systematic Review

European Urology

65, 7-16

DOI: [10.1016/j.eururo.2013.03.057](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2013.03.057)

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	External Validation of the European Association of Urology Recommendations for Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection in Patients Treated with Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. Journal of Endourology, 2014, 28, 416-423.	1.1	33
2	Editorial Comment to Contemporary minimallyâ€invasive extended pelvic lymph node dissection for prostate cancer before curative radiotherapy: Prospective standardized analysis of complications. International Journal of Urology, 2014, 21, 1144-1144.	0.5	0
3	Forgive Them for They Know What Not They Do! The Importance of the Extent of Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection at Radical Prostatectomy. European Urology, 2014, 66, 644-645.	0.9	0
4	Comment je r�alise un curage ilio-obturateur par voie câlioscopique robot-assist�e. Progr�s En Urologie - FMC, 2014, 24, F36-F41.	0.2	0
5	Indication for and Extension of Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection During Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy: An Analysis of Five European Institutions. European Urology, 2014, 66, 635-643.	0.9	51
6	How to Minimize Lymphoceles and Treat Clinically Symptomatic Lymphoceles After Radical Prostatectomy. Current Urology Reports, 2014, 15, 445.	1.0	38
7	Sentinel Node Biopsy for Prostate Cancer: A Useless Surgical Exercise?. European Urology, 2014, 66, 999-1000.	0.9	0
8	Best Evidence Regarding the Superiority or Inferiority of Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. Urologic Clinics of North America, 2014, 41, 493-502.	0.8	9
9	Is Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy Safe in Men with High-Risk Prostate Cancer? Assessment of Perioperative Outcomes, Positive Surgical Margins, and Use of Additional Cancer Treatments. Journal of Endourology, 2014, 28, 784-791.	1.1	39
11	Robotic-assisted Fluorescence Sentinel Lymph Node Mapping Using Multimodal Image Guidance in an Animal Model. Urology, 2014, 84, 982.e9-982.e14.	0.5	18
12	The impact of robot-assisted radical prostatectomy on the use and extent of pelvic lymph node dissection in the â€post-disseminationâ€period. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2014, 40, 1080-1086.	0.5	46
13	The Robotic Approach Does Not Change the Current Paradigms of Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection for Prostate Cancer. European Urology, 2014, 65, 17-19.	0.9	0
14	Extended pelvic lymph node dissection in patients with prostate cancer previously treated with surgery for lower urinary tract symptoms. BJU International, 2015, 116, 366-372.	1.3	4
15	Robot-Assisted Extended Pelvic Lymph Nodes Dissection for Prostate Cancer: Personal Surgical Technique and Outcomes. International Braz J Urol: Official Journal of the Brazilian Society of Urology, 2015, 41, 1209-1219.	0.7	13
16	Extended pelvic lymph node dissection at the time of robot-assisted radical prostatectomy: impact of surgical volume on efficacy and complications in a single-surgeon series.. Canadian Urological Association Journal, 2015, 9, 107.	0.3	8
17	The role of extended pelvic lymphadenectomy with radical prostatectomy for high-risk prostate cancer. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2015, 33, 208-216.	0.8	22
18	Association between Surgeon and Hospital Characteristics and Lymph Node Counts From Radical Prostatectomy and Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection. Urology, 2015, 85, 890-895.	0.5	11
19	Adherence of the indication to European Association of Urology guideline recommended pelvic lymph node dissection at a high-volume center: Differences between open and robot-assisted radical prostatectomy. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2015, 41, 1547-1553.	0.5	13

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
20	Robot-assisted radical prostatectomy in prostate cancer. <i>Future Oncology</i> , 2015, 11, 2767-2773.	1.1	12
22	Radiotherapy in Prostate Cancer Patients With Pelvic Lymphocele After Surgery: Clinical and Dosimetric Data of 30 Patients. <i>Clinical Genitourinary Cancer</i> , 2015, 13, e223-e228.	0.9	6
23	More Extensive Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection Improves Survival in Patients with Node-positive Prostate Cancer. <i>European Urology</i> , 2015, 67, 212-219.	0.9	178
24	Thromboembolic Complications in 3,544 Patients Undergoing Radical Prostatectomy with or without Lymph Node Dissection. <i>Journal of Urology</i> , 2015, 193, 117-125.	0.2	58
25	A Clinician's Guide to Avoiding and Managing Common Complications During and After Robot-assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy. <i>European Urology Focus</i> , 2016, 2, 30-48.	1.6	14
26	Complications of Minimally Invasive Surgery and Their Management. <i>Current Urology Reports</i> , 2016, 17, 47.	1.0	16
28	Differences in Patient Characteristics Among Men Choosing Open or Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy in Contemporary Practice at a European High-Volume Center. <i>Urologia Internationalis</i> , 2016, 97, 8-15.	0.6	5
29	Robotic-Assisted Transperitoneal Pelvic Lymphadenectomy for Metastatic Melanoma: Early Outcomes Compared with Open Pelvic Lymphadenectomy. <i>Journal of the American College of Surgeons</i> , 2016, 222, 702-709.	0.2	12
32	Update on histopathological evaluation of lymphadenectomy specimens from prostate cancer patients. <i>World Journal of Urology</i> , 2017, 35, 517-526.	1.2	16
33	An unusual sign for a pelvic lymphocele following radical prostatectomy. <i>Journal of Clinical Urology</i> , 2017, 10, 191-192.	0.1	0
34	North American Population-Based Validation of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network Practice Guideline Recommendation of Pelvic Lymphadenectomy in Contemporary Prostate Cancer. <i>Prostate</i> , 2017, 77, 542-548.	1.2	15
35	The Role of Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy in High-Risk Prostate Cancer. <i>Journal of Endourology</i> , 2017, 31, 229-237.	1.1	12
36	The landscape of systematic reviews in urology (1998 to 2015): an assessment of methodological quality. <i>BJU International</i> , 2017, 119, 638-649.	1.3	30
37	Fluorescence-Based Molecular Imaging of Porcine Urinary Bladder Sentinel Lymph Nodes. <i>Journal of Nuclear Medicine</i> , 2017, 58, 547-553.	2.8	24
38	Differences in Patient Characteristics among Men Choosing Open or Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy in Contemporary Practice - Analysis of Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Database. <i>Urologia Internationalis</i> , 2017, 98, 40-48.	0.6	15
39	Development and Internal Validation of a Novel Model to Identify the Candidates for Extended Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection in Prostate Cancer. <i>European Urology</i> , 2017, 72, 632-640.	0.9	165
40	Microbiological evaluation of infected pelvic lymphocele after robotic prostatectomy: potential predictors for culture positivity and selection of the best empirical antimicrobial therapy. <i>International Urology and Nephrology</i> , 2017, 49, 1183-1191.	0.6	10
41	The impact of extended lymph node dissection versus neoadjuvant therapy with limited lymph node dissection on biochemical recurrence in high-risk prostate cancer patients treated with radical prostatectomy: a multi-institutional analysis. <i>Medical Oncology</i> , 2017, 34, 1.	1.2	55

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
43	P.L.E.A.T.â€”Preventing Lymphocele Ensuring Absorption Transperitoneally: A Robotic Technique. Urology, 2017, 110, 244-247.	0.5	26
44	Impact of Surgeon and Hospital Volume on the Safety of Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy: A Multi-Institutional Study Based on a National Database. Urologia Internationalis, 2017, 98, 334-342.	0.6	14
45	Clinical Factors Predicting and Stratifying the Risk of Lymph Node Invasion in Localized Prostate Cancer. Urologia Internationalis, 2017, 99, 207-214.	0.6	21
47	Predictors of operative time during radical retropubic prostatectomy and robotâ€”assisted laparoscopic prostatectomy. International Journal of Urology, 2017, 24, 618-623.	0.5	16
48	Rate of Symptomatic Lymphocele Formation After Extraperitoneal <i>vs</i> Transperitoneal Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy and Bilateral Pelvic Lymphadenectomy. Journal of Endourology, 2017, 31, 1037-1043.	1.1	35
49	Can Anterior Prostatic Fat Harbor Prostate Cancer Metastasis? A Prospective Cohort Study. Current Urology, 2017, 10, 182-185.	0.4	6
50	Clinical Factors Predicting Bilateral Lymph Node Invasion in High-Risk Prostate Cancer. Urologia Internationalis, 2017, 99, 392-399.	0.6	20
51	Prospective Randomized Trial Comparing Titanium Clips to Bipolar Coagulation in Sealing Lymphatic Vessels During Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection at the Time of Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy. European Urology, 2017, 71, 155-158.	0.9	55
52	Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection in Prostate Cancer: Indications, Extent and Tailored Approaches. Urologia, 2017, 84, 9-19.	0.3	25
53	Infected Lymphocele Extending to the Leg after Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy and Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection. Urogenital Tract Infection, 2017, 12, 134.	0.1	0
54	Bilateral Lymph Node Micrometastases and Seminal Vesicle Invasion Associated with Same Clinical Predictors in Localized Prostate Cancer. Tumori, 2017, 103, 299-306.	0.6	24
55	Multicenter evaluation of guideline adherence for pelvic lymph node dissection in patients undergoing open retropubic vs. laparoscopic or robot assisted radical prostatectomy according to the recent German S3 guideline on prostate cancer. World Journal of Urology, 2018, 36, 855-861.	1.2	8
56	Anatomical localization and clinical impact of sentinel lymph nodes based on patterns of pelvic lymphatic drainage in clinically localized prostate cancer. Prostate, 2018, 78, 419-425.	1.2	18
57	Preoperative multiparametric MRI of the prostate for the prediction of lymph node metastases in prostate cancer patients treated with extended pelvic lymph node dissection. European Radiology, 2018, 28, 1969-1976.	2.3	37
58	Functional Recovery, Oncologic Outcomes and Postoperative Complications after Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy: An Evidence-Based Analysis Comparing the Retzius Sparing and Standard Approaches. Journal of Urology, 2018, 199, 1210-1217.	0.2	112
59	Lymphocele infection due to <i>Peptoniphilus harei</i> after radical prostatectomy. MÃ©decine Et Maladies Infectieuses, 2018, 48, 154-155.	5.1	3
60	Prostate-specific antigen associates with extensive lymph node invasion in high-risk prostate cancer. Tumori, 2018, 104, 307-311.	0.6	3
61	Community-based Outcomes of Open versus Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy. European Urology, 2018, 73, 215-223.	0.9	45

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
62	Identifying candidates for super-extended staging pelvic lymph node dissection among patients with high-risk prostate cancer. <i>BJU International</i> , 2018, 121, 421-427.	1.3	24
63	Role of robot-assisted radical prostatectomy in locally advanced prostate cancer. <i>International Journal of Urology</i> , 2018, 25, 30-35.	0.5	39
64	Comparison of the diagnostic efficacy and perioperative outcomes of limited versus extended pelvic lymphadenectomy during robot-assisted radical prostatectomy: a multi-institutional retrospective study in Japan. <i>International Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2018, 23, 568-575.	1.0	13
65	Pelvic Lymphadenectomy. , 2018, , 297-303.		0
67	Developing a personalized template for lymph node dissection during radical prostatectomy. <i>Translational Andrology and Urology</i> , 2018, 7, S498-S504.	0.6	7
68	Direct comparison of choline PET/CT and MRI in the diagnosis of lymph node metastases in patients with prostate cancer. <i>Medicine (United States)</i> , 2018, 97, e13344.	0.4	11
69	Current Imaging Techniques for Lymph Node Staging in Prostate Cancer: A Review. <i>Frontiers in Surgery</i> , 2018, 5, 74.	0.6	27
70	Four-point Peritoneal Flap Fixation in Preventing Lymphocele Formation Following Radical Prostatectomy. <i>European Urology Oncology</i> , 2018, 1, 443-448.	2.6	33
71	External Validation of Models Predicting the Probability of Lymph Node Involvement in Prostate Cancer Patients. <i>European Urology Oncology</i> , 2018, 1, 411-417.	2.6	31
72	The age of robotic surgery – Is laparoscopy dead?. <i>Arab Journal of Urology Arab Association of Urology</i> , 2018, 16, 262-269.	0.7	16
73	The association of lymph node dissection with 30-day perioperative morbidity among men undergoing minimally invasive radical prostatectomy: analysis of the National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (NSQIP). <i>Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases</i> , 2018, 21, 245-251.	2.0	6
74	Pelvic lymph node dissection in prostate cancer staging: evaluation of morbidity and oncological outcomes. <i>Acta Chirurgica Belgica</i> , 2019, 119, 103-109.	0.2	7
75	Body mass index is an independent predictor of Clavien-Dindo grade 3 complications in patients undergoing robot assisted radical prostatectomy with extensive pelvic lymph node dissection. <i>Journal of Robotic Surgery</i> , 2019, 13, 83-89.	1.0	32
76	A machine learning-assisted decision-support model to better identify patients with prostate cancer requiring an extended pelvic lymph node dissection. <i>BJU International</i> , 2019, 124, 972-983.	1.3	19
77	Positive Association between Preoperative Total Testosterone and Lymph Node Invasion in Intermediate Risk Prostate Cancer. <i>Current Urology</i> , 2019, 12, 216-222.	0.4	1
78	Therapeutic approaches for lymph node involvement in prostate, bladder and kidney cancer. <i>Expert Review of Anticancer Therapy</i> , 2019, 19, 739-755.	1.1	8
79	Effect of Autologous Fibrin Glue on Lymphatic Drainage and Lymphocele Formation in Extended Bilateral Pelvic Lymphadenectomy in Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. <i>Journal of Endourology</i> , 2019, 33, 761-766.	1.1	10
80	Development of symptomatic lymphoceles after radical prostatectomy and pelvic lymph node dissection is independent of surgical approach: a single-center analysis. <i>International Urology and Nephrology</i> , 2019, 51, 633-640.	0.6	20

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
81	Lymphangiography and Lymphatic Embolization for the Management of Pelvic Lymphocele After Radical Prostatectomy in Prostatic Cancer. CardioVascular and Interventional Radiology, 2019, 42, 873-879.	0.9	24
82	Risk factors, complications and management of lymphocele formation after radical prostatectomy: A mini-review. International Journal of Urology, 2019, 26, 711-716.	0.5	22
83	Development and internal validation of a novel model and markers to identify the candidates for lymph node metastasis in patients with prostate cancer. Medicine (United States), 2019, 98, e16534.	0.4	7
84	Is a Drain Needed After Robotic Radical Prostatectomy With or Without Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection? Results of a Single-Center Randomized Clinical Trial. Journal of Endourology, 2021, 35, 922-928.	1.1	18
85	Revisiting Prostate Cancer Recurrence with PSMA PET: Atlas of Typical and Atypical Patterns of Spread. Radiographics, 2019, 39, 186-212.	1.4	68
86	Nodal Oligorecurrent Prostate Cancer: Anatomic Pattern of Possible Treatment Failure in Relation to Elective Surgical and Radiotherapy Treatment Templates. European Urology, 2019, 75, 826-833.	0.9	48
87	Comparison between Zumsteg classification and Briganti nomogram for the risk of lymph-node invasion before radical prostatectomy. World Journal of Urology, 2020, 38, 1719-1727.	1.2	1
88	68Ga-PSMA-11 PET has the potential to improve patient selection for extended pelvic lymph node dissection in intermediate to high-risk prostate cancer. European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, 2020, 47, 147-159.	3.3	43
89	Hospital readmissions after limited vs. extended lymph node dissection during open and robot-assisted radical prostatectomy. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2020, 38, 5.e1-5.e8.	0.8	2
90	Surgical Strategies for Lymphocele Prevention in Minimally Invasive Radical Prostatectomy and Lymph Node Dissection: A Systematic Review. Journal of Endourology, 2020, 34, 113-120.	1.1	17
91	The ongoing dilemma in pelvic lymph node dissection during radical prostatectomy: who should decide and in which patients?. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 2020, 14, 549-558.	1.0	4
93	Anterior prostate fat resection during prostatectomy: a histopathologic review. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 2020, 15, 769-772.	1.0	0
94	Pelvic lymph node dissection in prostate cancer: laparoscopy is not dead. Actas Urológicas Españolas (English Edition), 2020, 44, 682-691.	0.2	0
95	<p>Predictors of Prolonged Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy and the Creation of a Scoring System for the Duration</p>. Cancer Management and Research, 2020, Volume 12, 8005-8014.	0.9	0
96	External validation of the Briganti nomogram predicting lymph node invasion in patients with intermediate and high-risk prostate cancer diagnosed with magnetic resonance imaging-targeted and systematic biopsies: A European multicenter study. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2020, 38, 847.e9-847.e16.	0.8	11
97	Laparoscopic radical prostatectomy and extended pelvic lymph node dissection: a combined technique. Wideochirurgia I Inne Techniki Maloinwazyjne, 2020, 15, 192-198.	0.3	4
98	Can we predict the development of symptomatic lymphocele following robot-assisted radical prostatectomy and lymph node dissection? Results from a tertiary referral Centre. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2020, 54, 328-333.	0.6	9
99	Considering the role of radical prostatectomy in 21st century prostate cancer care. Nature Reviews Urology, 2020, 17, 177-188.	1.9	80

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
100	Small-volume lymph node involvement and biochemical recurrence after robot-assisted radical prostatectomy with extended lymph node dissection in prostate cancer. <i>International Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2020, 25, 1398-1404.	1.0	5
101	Utilization of a Peritoneal Interposition Flap to Prevent Symptomatic Lymphoceles After Robotic Radical Prostatectomy and Bilateral Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection. <i>Journal of Endourology</i> , 2020, 34, 821-827.	1.1	23
102	Lymphatic embolization for the management of symptomatic pelvic lymphocele after radical prostatectomy with lymph node dissection: Report of two cases. <i>IJU Case Reports</i> , 2021, 4, 5-9.	0.1	1
103	Indications for and complications of pelvic lymph node dissection in prostate cancer: accuracy of available nomograms for the prediction of lymph node invasion. <i>BJU International</i> , 2021, 127, 318-325.	1.3	28
104	High BMI, Aggressive Tumours and Long Console Time Are Independent Predictive Factors for Symptomatic Lymphocele Formation after Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy and Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection. <i>Urologia Internationalis</i> , 2021, 105, 453-459.	0.6	9
105	Rapid recurrence of squamous cell carcinoma at a lymphocele after nephroureterectomy: A rare case report. <i>IJU Case Reports</i> , 2021, 4, 118-121.	0.1	0
106	Clinical Importance of a Peritoneal Interposition Flap to Prevent Symptomatic Lymphoceles after Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy and Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. <i>Urologia Internationalis</i> , 2022, 106, 28-34.	0.6	11
107	Use of intraoperative fluorescence to enhance robot-assisted radical prostatectomy. <i>Future Oncology</i> , 2021, 17, 1083-1095.	1.1	3
108	A Genomic-Clinicopathologic Nomogram for the Prediction of Lymph Node Invasion in Prostate Cancer. <i>Journal of Oncology</i> , 2021, 2021, 1-14.	0.6	4
109	Complex space of Retzius lymphocele resulting in iliac compression and submassive pulmonary embolism after robotic Retzius sparing prostatectomy. <i>Urology Case Reports</i> , 2021, 36, 101598.	0.1	1
110	Reassessment of Prostate Biopsy Specimens for Patients Referred for Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy Rarely Influences Surgical Planning. <i>European Urology Open Science</i> , 2021, 28, 36-42.	0.2	2
111	Significant reduction of lymphoceles after radical prostatectomy and pelvic lymph node dissection. <i>BJU International</i> , 2021, 128, 728-733.	1.3	2
112	Impact of positive surgical margin status in predicting early biochemical recurrence after robot-assisted radical prostatectomy. <i>International Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2021, 26, 1961-1967.	1.0	12
113	Oncologic impact of lateral lymph node metastasis at the distal lateral compartment in locally advanced low rectal cancer after neoadjuvant (chemo)radiotherapy. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2021, 47, 3157-3165.	0.5	6
114	Clinicopathological Analysis of the ISUP Grade Group And Other Parameters in Prostate Cancer: Elucidation of Mutual Impact of the Various Parameters. <i>Frontiers in Oncology</i> , 2021, 11, 695251.	1.3	7
115	Can Negative Prostate-specific Membrane Antigen Positron Emission Tomography/Computed Tomography Avoid the Need for Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection in Newly Diagnosed Prostate Cancer Patients? A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis with Backup Histology as Reference Standard. <i>European Urology Oncology</i> . 2022. 5. 1-17.	2.6	50
116	Body Mass Index and prostatic-specific antigen are predictors of prostate cancer metastases in patients undergoing robot-assisted radical prostatectomy and extended pelvic lymph node dissection. <i>Minerva Urologica E Nefrologica = the Italian Journal of Urology and Nephrology</i> , 2019, 71, 516-523.	3.9	13
117	Extended pelvic lymph node dissection during radical prostatectomy: comparison between initial robotic experience of a high-volume open surgeon and his contemporary open series. <i>Minerva Urologica E Nefrologica = the Italian Journal of Urology and Nephrology</i> , 2019, 71, 597-604.	3.9	3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
118	Risk stratification for disease progression in pT3 prostate cancer after robot-assisted radical prostatectomy. Asian Journal of Andrology, 2017, 19, 700.	0.8	3
119	Risk of complications and urinary incontinence following cytoreductive prostatectomy: a multi-institutional study. Asian Journal of Andrology, 2018, 20, 9.	0.8	9
120	The role of robot-assisted radical prostatectomy in high-risk organ-confined prostate cancer. Urology Annals, 2020, 12, 1.	0.3	2
121	Metastatic prostate cancer to an ischiorectal fossa lymph node identified on multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging. Urology Annals, 2020, 12, 172.	0.3	1
122	The effect of peritoneal re-approximation on lymphocele formation in transperitoneal robot-assisted radical prostatectomy and extended pelvic lymphadenectomy. Turkish Journal of Urology, 2020, 46, 460-467.	1.3	11
123	Artificial Intelligence Combined With Big Data to Predict Lymph Node Involvement in Prostate Cancer: A Population-Based Study. Frontiers in Oncology, 2021, 11, 763381.	1.3	8
124	Treatment of the Prostate Cancer. , 2015, , 29-55.		0
126	Extended pelvic lymphadenectomy in prostate cancer: Practice makes perfect. Canadian Urological Association Journal, 2015, 9, 232.	0.3	2
127	The "peritoneal scaffold" technique of extended pelvic lymph node dissection during radical prostatectomy: A novel technique. Indian Journal of Urology, 2016, 32, 310.	0.2	0
128	Structured Reporting of RARP Complications: Are We Making Measurable Progress?. , 2016, , 227-246.		0
129	Robot-assisted extended lymphadenectomy in prostate cancer. Minerva Chirurgica, 2019, 74, 88-96.	0.8	2
130	Bilateral hydronephrosis subsequent to a giant lymphocele after robotic radical prostatectomy. Journal of Surgery and Medicine, 0, , .	0.0	0
131	Peritoneal Flap in Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. Deutsches Ärztblatt International, 2020, 117, 243-250.	0.6	11
132	A narrative review of pelvic lymph node dissection in prostate cancer. Translational Andrology and Urology, 2020, 9, 3049-3055.	0.6	9
133	Disecci3n de los ganglios linf3ticos p3lvicos en el c3ncer de pr3stata: la laparoscopia no ha muerto. Actas Urol3gicas Espa3olas, 2020, 44, 682-691.	0.3	0
134	Risk factors and methods for prevention of lymphogenic complications in oncurological operations in pelvic area (systematic review). Onkourologiya, 2020, 16, 144-151.	0.1	0
135	When the limited pelvic lymph node dissection in prostate cancer patients can be justified?. Medicni Perspektivi, 2020, 25, 124-131.	0.1	0
136	Robotic Surgical System for Radical Prostatectomy: A Health Technology Assessment. Ontario Health Technology Assessment Series, 2017, 17, 1-172.	3.0	15

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
137	Analysis of Complications Development Predictors after Radical Prostatectomy. Open Access Macedonian Journal of Medical Sciences, 2020, 9, 1575-1579.	0.1	0
138	Preoperative Predictors of Lymph Node Invasion and Biochemical Recurrence in High-risk Prostate Cancer. Cancer Diagnosis & Prognosis, 2022, 2, 49-54.	0.3	4
139	Pelvic lymph node dissection in high-risk prostate cancer. International Braz J Urol: Official Journal of the Brazilian Society of Urology, 2022, 48, 54-66.	0.7	6
140	Extent of pelvic lymph node dissection improves early oncological outcomes for patients with high-risk prostate cancer without lymph node involvement after robot-assisted radical prostatectomy. International Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2022, 27, 781-789.	1.0	3
141	Validation of models predicting lymph node involvement probability in patients with prostate cancer. International Journal of Urology, 2022, 29, 428-434.	0.5	6
142	External Validation and Comparison of Two Nomograms Predicting the Probability of Lymph Node Involvement in Patients subjected to Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy and Concomitant Lymph Node Dissection: A Single Tertiary Center Experience in the MRI-Era. Frontiers in Surgery, 2022, 9, 829515.	0.6	2
143	Predictive ability of prebiopsy magnetic resonance imaging and biopsy for side-specific negative lymph node metastasis at radical prostatectomy. Prostate, 2022, , .	1.2	1
144	External validation of Pentafecta in patients undergoing laparoscopic radical cystectomy: results from a high-volume center. BMC Urology, 2022, 22, 41.	0.6	2
145	The role of pelvic lymphadenectomy in the treatment of prostate cancer. Urologie Pro Praxi, 2021, 22, 133-138.	0.0	0
146	Factors Affecting Transperitoneal Robot-Assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy. Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A, 2022, 32, 781-786.	0.5	2
147	Influence of Biopsy Gleason Score on the Risk of Lymph Node Invasion in Patients With Intermediate-Risk Prostate Cancer Undergoing Radical Prostatectomy. Frontiers in Surgery, 2021, 8, 759070.	0.6	1
148	Bilateral Peritoneal Flaps Reduce Incidence and Complications of Lymphoceles after Robotic Radical Prostatectomy with Pelvic Lymph Node Dissectionâ€”Results of the Prospective Randomized Multicenter Trial ProLy. Journal of Urology, 2022, 208, 333-340.	0.2	17
149	THE ROLE OF LYMPHADENECTOMY IN PROSTATE CANCER PATIENTS.. Acta Clinica Croatica, 2019, 58, 24-35.	0.1	0
150	Accuracy of 68Ga-PSMA PET-CT and PET-MRI in lymph node staging for localized prostate cancer. Einstein (Sao Paulo, Brazil), 2022, 20, eAO6599.	0.3	4
151	Preprostatic lymph nodes in prostate cancer hot or not? Impact on oncological outcome after radical prostatectomy. World Journal of Urology, 2022, 40, 2231-2237.	1.2	1
152	Pelvic lymphadenectomy for radical prostatectomy: perioperative and oncological results. Onkourologiya, 2022, 18, 76-87.	0.1	0
153	Differences in rates of pelvic lymph node dissection in National Comprehensive Cancer Network favorable, unfavorable intermediate- and high-risk prostate cancer across United States SEER registries. Current Urology, 2022, 16, 191-196.	0.4	0
154	Effect of Peritoneal Fixation (PerFix) on Lymphocele Formation in Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy with Pelvic Lymphadenectomy: Results of a Randomized Prospective Trial. European Urology, 2023, 83, 154-162.	0.9	12

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
155	Pelvic lymph node dissection in prostate and bladder cancers: a narrative review. Minerva Urology and Nephrology, 0, , .	1.3	0
156	Complications of Extended Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection in Patients Undergoing Minimally Invasive Radical Prostatectomy: Analysis and Risk Factors. Prostate Cancer, 2022, 2022, 1-7.	0.4	0
157	PLEAT: A New Technique for Preventing Lymphoceles After Robotic Prostatectomy and Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection. , 2022, , 259-262.		0
158	Four Point Peritoneal Flap Fixation. , 2022, , 257-258.		0
159	Transperitoneal Robot-Assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy Retzius-Sparing Approach: Yonsei Technique. , 2022, , 169-177.		0
160	Extended Lymphadenectomy Technique. , 2022, , 227-233.		0
161	The Effect of a Peritoneal Iliac Flap on Lymphocele Formation After Robotic Radical Prostatectomy: Results From the PLUS Trial. Urology, 2023, 173, 104-110.	0.5	8
162	Recent Developments in Minimally Invasive Radical Prostatectomy. European Medical Journal Urology, 0, , 12-18.	0.0	0
163	Risk factors for lymphorrhea and lymphocele after radical prostatectomy: a retrospective caseâ€“control study. World Journal of Urology, 0, , .	1.2	0
164	Complications of extraperitoneal robot-assisted radical prostatectomy in high-risk prostate cancer: A single high-volume center experience. Frontiers in Surgery, 0, 10, .	0.6	6
165	The effect of the da VinciÂ® Vessel Sealer on robot-assisted laparoscopic prostatectomy complications. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 0, , .	1.0	0