An evaluation of organic light emitting diode monitors timing, but luminance artifacts

Medical Physics 40, 092701 DOI: 10.1118/1.4818056

Citation Report

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	What monitor can replace the cathode-ray tube for visual stimulation to elicit multifocal electroretinograms?. Journal of Vision, 2014, 14, 2-2.	0.3	6
2	Motion artifacts on 240-Hz OLED stereoscopic 3D displays. Journal of the Society for Information Display, 2014, 22, 393-403.	2.1	8
3	Pattern Visual Evoked Potentials Elicited by Organic Electroluminescence Screen. BioMed Research International, 2014, 2014, 1-6.	1.9	4
4	Humans perceive flicker artifacts at 500â€Hz. Scientific Reports, 2015, 5, 7861.	3.3	85
5	A timely reminder about stimulus display times and other presentation parameters on CRTs and newer technologies Canadian Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2015, 69, 264-273.	0.8	7
6	On the number of perceivable blur levels in naturalistic images. Vision Research, 2015, 115, 142-150.	1.4	5
7	Clinical evaluation of a medical high dynamic range display. Medical Physics, 2016, 43, 4023-4031.	3.0	11
9	Display Optimization from a Physics Perspective. , 2018, , 440-451.		0
10	Accuracy and precision of stimulus timing and reaction times with Unreal Engine and SteamVR. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0231152.	2.5	15
11	Failure Mechanisms of Stretchable Perovskite Lightâ€Emitting Devices under Monotonic and Cyclic Deformations. Macromolecular Materials and Engineering, 2021, 306, 2100435.	3.6	1
12	Fullfield and extrafoveal visual evoked potentials in healthy eyes: reference data for a curved OLED display. Documenta Ophthalmologica, 2022, 145, 247-262.	2.2	1