Quality Over Quantity: Amici Influence and Judicial Dec

American Political Science Review 107, 446-460

DOI: 10.1017/s000305541300021x

Citation Report

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Assessing the Anecdotes: Amicus Curiae, Legal Rules, and the U.S. Supreme Court. Justice System Journal, 2015, 36, 274-294.	0.4	20
2	The Influence of Amicus Curiae Briefs on U.S. Supreme Court Opinion Content. Law and Society Review, 2015, 49, 917-944.	1.0	60
3	Comparing membership interest group networks across space and time, size, issue and industry. Network Science, 2015, 3, 78-97.	1.0	17
4	Amicus Coalition Heterogeneity and Signaling Credibility in Supreme Court Agenda Setting. Publius, 2015, 45, 99-116.	1.8	10
5	Judges and Friends. American Politics Research, 2015, 43, 255-282.	1.4	8
6	Private and civil society governors of mercury pollution from artisanal and small-scale gold mining: A network analytic approach. The Extractive Industries and Society, 2015, 2, 198-208.	1.2	17
7	A Network Approach to Interest Group Politics. , 2016, , .		4
8	Measurement Error and Attenuation Bias in Exponential Random Graph Models. Statistics, Politics, and Policy, 2016, 7, 29-54.	0.5	1
9	Policy Networks in Complex Governance Subsystems: Observing and Comparing Hyperlink, Media, and Partnership Networks. Policy Studies Journal, 2016, 44, 248-279.	5.1	39
10	Amicus Curiae Briefs and the U.S. Supreme Court: When Liberal and Conservative Groups Support the Same Party. Justice System Journal, 2016, 37, 135-143.	0.4	4
11	Defending the status quo across venues and coalitions: evidence from California interest groups. Journal of Public Policy, 2017, 37, 1-26.	1.3	26
12	Friends You Can Trust: A Signaling Theory of Interest Group Litigation Before the U.S. Supreme Court. Law and Society Review, 2017, 51, 704-734.	1.0	3
13	The Role of Social Science Expertise in Same-Sex Marriage Litigation. Annual Review of Law and Social Science, 2017, 13, 471-491.	1.3	4
14	studying policy advocacy through social network analysis. European Political Science, 2017, 16, 322-336.	1.2	36
15	Intervention unnecessary: bar associations taking sides in regulatory actions. Journal of Public Affairs, 2017, 17, e1622.	3.1	0
17	Interest Groups and the Judiciary. , 2017, , .		O
18	Contributions by Interest Groups to Lobbying Coalitions. Journal of Politics, 2018, 80, 494-509.	2.2	39
19	Assessing the Influence of <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Briefs on the Roberts Court*. Social Science Quarterly, 2018, 99, 1253-1266.	1.6	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
20	Role analysis using the ego-ERGM: A look at environmental interest group coalitions. Social Networks, 2018, 52, 213-227.	2.1	13
21	Analyses of Elite Networks. , 2018, , 135-152.		3
22	The Dynamics of Legal Networks: State Attorney General Amicus Brief Coalition Formation. Justice System Journal, 2018, 39, 253-272.	0.4	4
23	Informational Need, Institutional Capacity, and Court Receptivity: Interest Groups and Amicus Curiae in State High Courts. Political Research Quarterly, 2018, 71, 881-894.	1.7	2
24	Interest groups as multi-venue players. Interest Groups and Advocacy, 2018, 7, 173-195.	0.8	9
25	The Use of Amicus Briefs. Annual Review of Law and Social Science, 2018, 14, 219-237.	1.3	17
26	Why advocacy coalitions matter and practical insights about them. Policy and Politics, 2018, 46, 325-343.	2.4	79
27	Are these friends also "friends of the court�: examining minority legal advocacy organization coalitions in amicus brief filings. Politics, Groups & Identities, 2019, 7, 489-508.	1.8	1
28	Time Chart of the Justices Revisited: An Analysis of the Influences on the Time It Takes to Compose the Majority Opinion on the U.S. Supreme Court1. Justice System Journal, 2019, 40, 54-75.	0.4	2
29	The Influence of Unique Information in Briefs on Supreme Court Opinion Content. Justice System Journal, 2019, 40, 126-157.	0.4	12
30	Take a Stand or Keep Your Seat: Board Turnover after Social Activist Challenges. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
31	When Diversity Works: The Effects of Coalition Composition on the Success of Lobbying Coalitions. American Journal of Political Science, 2019, 63, 660-674.	4.5	49
32	Public Opinion and Morality Policy: Lessons from Canada and the United States. Comparative Sociology, 2019, 18, 1-32.	0.5	6
33	Cueâ€Taking in Congress: Interest Group Signals from Dear Colleague Letters. American Journal of Political Science, 2019, 63, 163-180.	4.5	30
34	The Role of Gender Norms in Judicial Decision-Making at the U.S. Supreme Court: The Case of Male and Female Justices. American Politics Research, 2019, 47, 494-529.	1.4	12
35	The Power of Peers: How Transnational Advocacy Networks Shape NGO Strategies on Climate Change. British Journal of Political Science, 2019, 49, 637-659.	3.1	34
36	Co-operation as currency: how active coalitions affect lobbying success. Journal of European Public Policy, 2020, 27, 873-892.	4.0	18
37	Beyond Mere Presence: Gender Norms in Oral Arguments at the U.S. Supreme Court. Political Research Quarterly, 2020, 73, 596-608.	1.7	27

#	Article	IF	Citations
38	Shaping Educational Policy Through the Courts: The Use of Social Science Research in <i>Amicus Briefs in Fisher I</i> Leducational Policy, 2020, 34, 449-476.	2.0	9
39	Collaboration Networks in Conference Diplomacy: The Case of the Nonproliferation Regime. International Studies Review, 2020, 22, 739-757.	1.4	4
40	Take a Stand or Keep Your Seat: Board Turnover after Social Movement Boycotts. Academy of Management Journal, 2020, 63, 1028-1053.	6.3	44
42	Synergies in lobbying? Conceptualising and measuring lobbying coalitions to study interest group strategies, access, and influence. Interest Groups and Advocacy, 2020, 9, 21-37.	0.8	6
43	The Amicus Game. Journal of Politics, 2020, 82, 1113-1126.	2.2	2
44	State Coalitions, Informational Signals, and Success as Amicus Curiae at the U.S. Supreme Court. State Politics and Policy Quarterly, 2020, 20, 108-130.	0.8	1
45	Old and new data sources and methods for interest group research. Interest Groups and Advocacy, 2020, 9, 436-450.	0.8	6
46	Making (and Sometimes Taking) a Difference: The Dynamic Career of Janet M. Box-Steffensmeier. PS - Political Science and Politics, 2020, 53, 827-833.	0.5	0
47	Beyond Journalism about Journalism: Amicus Briefs as Metajournalistic Discourse. Journalism Practice, 2021, 15, 937-954.	2.2	8
48	TRENDS: Creating Parties in Congress: The Emergence of a Social Network. Political Research Quarterly, 2020, 73, 759-773.	1.7	0
49	Large-N bill positions data from MapLight.org: What can we learn from interest groups' publicly observable legislative positions?. Interest Groups and Advocacy, 2020, 9, 342-360.	0.8	6
50	The internationalization of European financial networks: a quantitative text analysis of EU consultation responses. Review of International Political Economy, 2021, 28, 898-925.	4.7	2
51	Power and the Money, Money and the Power: A Network Analysis of Donations from American Corporate to Political Leaders. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
52	As She Was Saying: The Role of Gender and Narratives in Oral Argument Amicus Success. Justice System Journal, 2021, 42, 416-433.	0.4	3
53	"She Blinded Me with Science― The Use of Science Frames in Abortion Litigation before the Supreme Court. Justice System Journal, 0, , 1-27.	0.4	3
54	Introducing the Endowment-Practice-Institutions (EPI) framework for studying agency in the institutional contestation of socio-technical regimes. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2021, 296, 126396.	9.3	8
55	The Supreme Court, Ideology, and the Decision to Cite or Borrow from Amicus Curiae Briefs. American Politics Research, 0, , 1532673X2110321.	1.4	2
56	Nuclear Ban Treaty: Sand or Grease for the NPT?. , 2020, , 131-148.		1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
57	Adaptive Fuzzy String Matching: How to Merge Datasets with Only One (Messy) Identifying Field. Political Analysis, 2022, 30, 590-596.	3.3	5
58	Intervention Unnecessary: Bar Associations Taking Sides in Regulatory Actions. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
59	Why Amicus Curiae Cosigners Come and Go: A Dynamic Model of Interest Group Networks. Studies in Computational Intelligence, 2017, , 349-360.	0.9	3
60	Argumentación como determinante de las decisiones judiciales: evidencia empÃrica del control abstracto de constitucionalidad en Ecuador. Revista Derecho Del Estado, 2018, , 37-65.	0.2	0
61	Social Jurisprudence. Advances in Public Policy and Administration, 2019, , 1-26.	0.1	0
62	Lobbying Coalitions. , 2020, , 1-9.		0
63	It Takes a Coalition: The Community Impacts of Collaboration. Legislative Studies Quarterly, 2021, 46, 11-48.	1.2	1
64	A Survey of Stakeholders' Views and Practices. , 2022, , 369-394.		2
65	Feminist Friends of the Court: Amicus Curiae, Social Movement Institutional Activism, and the U.S. Supreme Court's Women's Rights Cases. Sociological Focus, 2022, 55, 1-26.	0.4	0
66	IOsâ \in ™ selective adoption of NGO information: Evidence from the Universal Periodic Review. Review of International Organizations, 0, , 1.	3.4	0
67	The Revolving Door in Judicial Politics: Former Clerks and Agenda Setting on the U.S. Supreme Court. American Politics Research, 0, , 1532673X2110708.	1.4	0
68	Engaged Pluralism: The Importance of Commitment. Perspectives on Politics, 2022, 20, 9-21.	0.3	1
69	Lobbying Coalitions., 2022,, 837-845.		1
70	Amicus Curiae Briefs and the Competing Legal Agendas of White Protestants in the United States, 1969â \in 2020. Politics and Religion, 0, , 1-29.	0.8	0
71	Estimating the Ideal Points of Organized Interests in Legal Policy Space. Justice System Journal, 0, , 1-12.	0.4	0
72	Challenging the insider outsider approach to advocacy: how collaboration networks and belief similarities shape strategy choices. Policy and Politics, 2023, 51, 47-70.	2.4	0
73	The Ideologies of Organized Interests and Amicus Curiae Briefs: Large-Scale, Social Network Imputation of Ideal Points. Political Analysis, 2023, 31, 396-413.	3.3	0
74	Pursuing Change or Pursuing Credit? Litigation and Credit Claiming on Social Media. Journal of Law and Courts, 0, , 1-23.	0.6	1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
75	The online affiliations of interest groups. Interest Groups and Advocacy, 2023, 12, 413-434.	0.8	1
76	Searching for avenues of influence: multi-branch and multi-level lobbying in Washington, D.C. and the States. Interest Groups and Advocacy, 2024, 13, 20-42.	0.8	0
77	I Can't See You; Can You Hear Me? Gender Norms and Context During In-Person and Teleconference U.S. Supreme Court Oral Arguments. Politics and Gender, 0, , 1-28.	1.4	0
78	U.S. immigration policy at the court: organized interests & amp; asymmetric decisions. Politics, Groups & Identities, 0, , 1-22.	1.8	0
79	Lobbying venue selection under separation of powers and resource constraints. Interest Groups and Advocacy, 0 , , .	0.8	0