Abluminal biodegradable polymer biolimus-eluting ster everolimus-eluting stent (COMPARE II): a randomised,

Lancet, The 381, 651-660 DOI: 10.1016/s0140-6736(12)61852-2

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Targeting the Unstable Plaque in Acute Coronary Syndromes. Clinical Therapeutics, 2013, 35, 1099-1107.	1.1	20
2	Avoiding stent thrombosis: advances in technique, antiplatelet pharmacotherapy and stent design. Interventional Cardiology, 2013, 5, 179-201.	0.0	0
3	Safety and efficacy of drug-eluting stents in women: a patient-level pooled analysis of randomised trials. Lancet, The, 2013, 382, 1879-1888.	6.3	127
4	Biodegradable Polymer Biolimus-Eluting Stent Versus Durable Polymer Everolimus-Eluting Stent. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2013, 62, 181-190.	1.2	194
5	Biolimus-eluting biodegradable polymer-coated stent versus durable polymer-coated sirolimus-eluting stent in unselected patients receiving percutaneous coronary intervention (SORT OUT V): a randomised non-inferiority trial. Lancet, The, 2013, 381, 661-669.	6.3	173
6	Degradable polymer drug-eluting stents: a durable benefit?. Lancet, The, 2013, 381, 607-609.	6.3	0
7	SORT OUT V: a new episode in the DES wars. Lancet, The, 2013, 381, 609-611.	6.3	4
8	Key Advances in Clinical Cardiology. Advances in Therapy, 2013, 30, 369-386.	1.3	4
9	Biolimus-eluting stent with biodegradable polymer (Nobori®): an overview of recent clinical results, SORT OUT V and COMPARE II trials. Expert Review of Cardiovascular Therapy, 2013, 11, 1293-1296.	0.6	4
10	Risk Factors for Coronary Drug-Eluting Stent Thrombosis: Influence of Procedural, Patient, Lesion, and Stent Related Factors and Dual Antiplatelet Therapy. ISRN Cardiology, 2013, 2013, 1-8.	1.6	18
11	Safety and efficacy outcomes of first and second generation durable polymer drug eluting stents and biodegradable polymer biolimus eluting stents in clinical practice: comprehensive network meta-analysis. BMJ, The, 2013, 347, f6530-f6530.	3.0	194
12	Sorting out and comparing stents. Nature Reviews Cardiology, 2013, 10, 181-181.	6.1	0
13	Longâ€ <scp>T</scp> erm Followâ€ <scp>U</scp> p of Coronary Venous Bypass Graft Lesions Treated with a New Generation Drugâ€ <scp>E</scp> luting Stent with Bioabsorbable Polymer. Journal of Interventional Cardiology, 2013, 26, 425-433.	0.5	3
14	A Bumpy and Winding but Right Path to Domestic Drug-Eluting Coronary Stents. Korean Circulation Journal, 2013, 43, 645.	0.7	4
15	Histopathological Comparison among Biolimus, Zotarolimus and Everolimus-Eluting Stents in Porcine Coronary Restenosis Model. Korean Circulation Journal, 2013, 43, 744.	0.7	10
16	GW24-e3006â€Impact of the drug-eluting stents with different coating strategies on stent thrombosis: A meta-analysis of 18 randomised trials. Heart, 2013, 99, A163.1-A163.	1.2	0
17	Efficacy and Safety of Biodegradable Polymer Biolimus-Eluting Stents versus Durable Polymer Drug-Eluting Stents: A Meta-Analysis. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e78667.	1.1	10
18	Safety and Efficacy of Biodegradable Drug-Eluting vs. Bare Metal Stents: A Meta-Analysis from Randomized Trials. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e99648.	1.1	5

ı D

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Efficacy and safety of biodegradable polymer biolimus A9-eluting stent versus durable polymer everolimus-eluting stent in diabetic patients: a prospective non-randomized single-centre long-term comparison. Acta Cardiologica, 2014, 69, 523-531.	0.3	3
20	Stent Thrombosis in Patients With Coronary Artery Disease Treated With Biodegradable Polymer Drug-Eluting Stents. International Heart Journal, 2014, 55, 213-218.	0.5	11
21	Advances in stent technologies and their effect on clinical efficacy and safety. Medical Devices: Evidence and Research, 2014, 7, 165.	0.4	13
22	Comparison of Biolimus A9–Eluting (Nobori) and Everolimus-Eluting (Promus Element) Stents in Patients With De Novo Native Long Coronary Artery Lesions. Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2014, 7, 322-329.	1.4	32
23	The Year in Cardiology 2013: coronary intervention. European Heart Journal, 2014, 35, 313-320.	1.0	12
24	The Nobori biolimus-eluting stent: update of available evidence. Expert Review of Medical Devices, 2014, 11, 275-282.	1.4	9
25	A Randomized Comparison of Novel Biodegradable Polymer- and Durable Polymer–Coated Cobalt-Chromium Sirolimus-Eluting Stents. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2014, 7, 1352-1360.	1.1	39
26	Metaâ€analysis of bioabsorbable versus durable polymer drugâ€eluting stents in 20,005 patients with coronary artery disease: An update. Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions, 2014, 83, E193-206.	0.7	31
27	Comparison of the Safety and Efficacy of Biodegradable Polymer Biolimusâ€Eluting Stents and Durable Polymer Everolimusâ€Eluting Stents: Propensity Scoreâ€Matched Analysis. Journal of Interventional Cardiology, 2014, 27, 399-407.	0.5	2
28	Impact of stent strut design in metallic stents and biodegradable scaffolds. International Journal of Cardiology, 2014, 177, 800-808.	0.8	136
29	Clinical Outcomes of Biodegradable Polymer Drugâ€Eluting Stents for Percutaneous Coronary Intervention: An Updated Metaâ€analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. Clinical Cardiology, 2014, 37, 440-453.	0.7	5
30	Incidence and Clinical Impact of Stent Fracture After the Nobori Biolimusâ€Eluting Stent Implantation. Journal of the American Heart Association, 2014, 3, e000703.	1.6	27
31	Stent selection to minimize the risk of stent thrombosis. Current Opinion in Cardiology, 2014, 29, 578-585.	0.8	12
32	Biodegradable polymer Biolimus-eluting stent (Nobori®) for the treatment of coronary artery lesions: review of concept and clinical results. Medical Devices: Evidence and Research, 2014, 7, 35.	0.4	9
33	The impact of everolimus versus other rapamycin derivative-eluting stents on clinical outcomes in patients with coronary artery disease: A meta-analysis of 16 randomized trials. Journal of Cardiology, 2014, 64, 185-193.	0.8	15
34	Differential clinical outcomes after 1 year versus 5 years in a randomised comparison of zotarolimus-eluting and sirolimus-eluting coronary stents (the SORT OUT III study): a multicentre, open-label, randomised superiority trial. Lancet, The, 2014, 383, 2047-2056.	6.3	96
35	Safety and efficacy of degradable vs. permanent polymer drug-eluting stents: A meta-analysis of 18,395 patients from randomized trials. International Journal of Cardiology, 2014, 173, 100-109.	0.8	8
36	Comparison of 3 biodegradable polymer and durable polymer-based drug-eluting stents in all-comers (BIO-RESORT): Rationale and study design of the randomized TWENTE III multicenter trial. American Heart Journal, 2014, 167, 445-451.	1.2	29

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Comparison of abluminal biodegradable polymer biolimusâ€eluting stents and durable polymer everolimusâ€eluting stents in the treatment of coronary bifurcations. Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions, 2014, 83, 889-895.	0.7	8
38	Risk-adapted targeted intraoperative radiotherapy versus whole-breast radiotherapy for breast cancer: 5-year results for local control and overall survival from the TARGIT-A randomised trial. Lancet, The, 2014, 383, 603-613.	6.3	740
39	Clinical Outcomes With Bioabsorbable Polymer- Versus Durable Polymer-Based Drug-Eluting and Bare-Metal Stents. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2014, 63, 299-307.	1.2	269
40	Coronary stents: novel developments. Heart, 2014, 100, 1051-1061.	1.2	65
41	Ultrathin strut biodegradable polymer sirolimus-eluting stent versus durable polymer everolimus-eluting stent for percutaneous coronary revascularisation (BIOSCIENCE): a randomised, single-blind, non-inferiority trial. Lancet, The, 2014, 384, 2111-2122.	6.3	224
42	ST elevation myocardial infarction: recent advances and updates. Future Cardiology, 2014, 10, 633-666.	0.5	1
43	One-year outcome of biolimus eluting stent with biodegradable polymer in all comers: The Italian Nobori Stent Prospective Registry. International Journal of Cardiology, 2014, 177, 11-16.	0.8	8
44	2014 ESC/EACTS Guidelines on myocardial revascularization. European Heart Journal, 2014, 35, 2541-2619.	1.0	4,141
45	Clinical outcomes of patients treated with Nobori biolimus-eluting stent: Meta-analysis of randomized trials. International Journal of Cardiology, 2014, 175, 484-491.	0.8	10
46	Drug-eluting stent technology: progress beyond the polymer. European Heart Journal, 2014, 35, 1991-1995.	1.0	10
47	A randomized, prospective, intercontinental evaluation of a bioresorbable polymer sirolimus-eluting coronary stent system: the CENTURY II (Clinical Evaluation of New Terumo Drug-Eluting Coronary) Tj ETQq0 0 0	rgBT /Over 1.0	rlock 10 Tf 50
48	2014, 35, 2021-2031. Biodegradable Polymer Drug-Eluting Stents Versus Second-Generation Drug-Eluting Stents for Patients With Coronary Artery Disease: An Update Meta-Analysis. Cardiovascular Drugs and Therapy, 2014, 28, 379-385.	1.3	9
50	Long-term coronary arterial response to biodegradable polymer biolimus-eluting stents in comparison with durable polymer sirolimus-eluting stents and bare-metal stents: Five-year follow-up optical coherence tomography study. Atherosclerosis, 2014, 237, 23-29.	0.4	18
51	NOBORIâ,,¢ biodegradable-polymer biolimus-eluting stent versus durable-polymer drug-eluting stents: A meta-analysis. International Journal of Cardiology, 2014, 174, 151-153.	0.8	2
52	Third-generation zotarolimus-eluting and everolimus-eluting stents in all-comer patients requiring a percutaneous coronary intervention (DUTCH PEERS): a randomised, single-blind, multicentre, non-inferiority trial. Lancet, The, 2014, 383, 413-423.	6.3	171
53	Evidence from the Resorbable-polymer stent versus Unresorbable-polymer stent Deployment for coronary Intervention: (RUDI-2) registry. International Journal of Cardiology, 2014, 172, 472-475.	0.8	5
54	Clinical outcomes with 6 months dual antiplatelet therapy after implantation of Biolimus-A9 drug eluting coronary stents. International Journal of Cardiology, 2014, 172, 185-189.	0.8	5
55	Biodegradable Polymer DES Versus Durable Polymer Everolimus-eluting Stents for Patients Undergoing PCI: A Meta-analysis. Heart Lung and Circulation, 2014, 23, 496-502.	0.2	3

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
56	Randomized comparison of biodegradable polymer sirolimus-eluting stents versus durable polymer everolimus-eluting stents for percutaneous coronary revascularization: Rationale and design of the BIOSCIENCE trial. American Heart Journal, 2014, 168, 256-261.	1.2	16
57	Rosuvastatin increases myocardial microvessels in SHR rats. Role of thioredoxin-1 and peroxiredoxin-2 expression. International Journal of Cardiology, 2014, 174, 153-155.	0.8	4
59	Nobori Biolimus-Eluting Stent vs. Permanent Polymer Drug-Eluting Stents in Patients Undergoing Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. Circulation Journal, 2014, 78, 1858-1866.	0.7	12
60	Comparison of everolimus-eluting and biolimus-eluting coronary stents with everolimus-eluting bioresorbable scaffold: study protocol of the randomized controlled EVERBIO II trial. Trials, 2014, 15, 9.	0.7	14
61	Vascular response after implantation of biolimus A9-eluting stent with bioabsorbable polymer and everolimus-eluting stents with durable polymer. Results of the optical coherence tomography analysis of the BIOACTIVE randomized trial. Revista Brasileira De Cardiologia Invasiva (English) Tj ETQq0 0 0 rgBT	/Overlock	10 Tf 50 572
63	Threeâ€year clinical outcome after treatment of chronic total occlusions with secondâ€generation drugâ€eluting stents in the <scp>TWENTE</scp> trial. Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions, 2015, 85, E76-82.	0.7	8
64	Harmonizing Optimal Strategy for Treatment of coronary artery diseases – comparison of REDUCtion of prasugrEl dose or POLYmer TECHnology in ACS patients (HOST-REDUCE-POLYTECH-ACS RCT): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials, 2015, 16, 409.	0.7	12
65	Coronary Artery Vessel Healing Pattern, Short and Long Term, After Implantation of the Everolimusâ€Eluting Bioresorbable Vascular Scaffold. Journal of the American Heart Association, 2015, 4, .	1.6	10
66	Oneâ€year followâ€up of patients treated with newâ€generation polymerâ€based 38 mm everolimusâ€eluting stent: The P38 study. Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions, 2015, 85, 218-224.	0.7	11
67	Clinical utility of platinum chromium bare-metal stents in coronary heart disease. Medical Devices: Evidence and Research, 2015, 8, 359.	0.4	14
68	Randomized comparison of a sirolimus-eluting Orsiro stent with a biolimus-eluting Nobori stent in patients treated with percutaneous coronary intervention: Rationale and study design of the Scandinavian Organization for Randomized Trials with Clinical Outcome VII trial. American Heart Journal, 2015, 170, 210-215.	1.2	17
69	Long-Term Safety of Drug-Eluting andÂBare-Metal Stents. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2015, 65, 2496-2507.	1.2	396
70	Long-Term Efficacy and Safety of Biodegradable-Polymer Biolimus-Eluting Stents. Circulation, 2015, 131, 74-81.	1.6	87
71	Vascular response to bioresorbable polymer sirolimus-eluting stent vs. permanent polymer everolimus-eluting stent at 9-month follow-up: an optical coherence tomography sub-study from the CENTURY II trial. European Heart Journal Cardiovascular Imaging, 2015, 17, jev203.	0.5	23
72	Mid-term clinical outcomes of ABSORB bioresorbable vascular scaffold implantation in a real-world population: A single-center experience. Cardiovascular Revascularization Medicine, 2015, 16, 461-464.	0.3	8
73	Biodegradable polymer biolimus-eluting stent versus durable polymer everolimus-eluting stent in patients with acute myocardial infarction. International Journal of Cardiology, 2015, 183, 190-197.	0.8	4
75	Drug-Eluting Stents: the Past, Present, and Future. Current Atherosclerosis Reports, 2015, 17, 485.	2.0	39
76	Hemocompatibility Improvement of Chromium-Bearing Bare-Metal Stent Platform After Magnetoelectropolishing, Journal of Materials Engineering and Performance, 2015, 24, 345-352	1.2	1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
77	Zotarolimus-eluting durable-polymer-coated stent versus a biolimus-eluting biodegradable-polymer-coated stent in unselected patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (SORT OUT VI): a randomised non-inferiority trial. Lancet, The, 2015, 385, 1527-1535.	6.3	107
78	Clinical performance of biodegradable versus permanent polymer drug-eluting stents: A meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials at long-term follow-up. Experimental and Therapeutic Medicine, 2015, 9, 1545-1556.	0.8	3
79	Biodegradable Stent Platforms: Are We Heading in the Right Direction?. Canadian Journal of Cardiology, 2015, 31, 957-959.	0.8	2
80	Event Rates in Randomized Clinical Trials Evaluating Cardiovascular Interventions and Devices. American Journal of Cardiology, 2015, 116, 355-363.	0.7	4
81	Pride, Prejudice, or Science: Attitudes Towards the Results of the TARGIT-A Trial of Targeted Intraoperative Radiation Therapy for Breast Cancer. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2015, 92, 491-497.	0.4	60
	Impact of Clinical Presentation (Stable Angina Pectoris vs Unstable Angina Pectoris or) Tj ETQq1 1 0.784314 rgBT	/Overlock	10 Tf 50 5
82	Outcomes in Women Undergoing Percutaneous Coronary Intervention With Drug-Eluting Stents. American Journal of Cardiology, 2015, 116, 845-852.	0.7	32
83	Comparison of Everolimus- and Biolimus-Eluting Coronary Stents WithÂEverolimus-Eluting Bioresorbable Vascular Scaffolds. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2015, 65, 791-801.	1.2	189
84	Comparison of the safety and efficacy of biodegradable polymer drug-eluting stents versus durable polymer drug-eluting stents: a meta-analysis. European Journal of Medical Research, 2015, 20, 21.	0.9	5
85	Comparison of long-term in-stent vascular response between abluminal groove-filled biodegradable polymer sirolimus-eluting stent and durable polymer everolimus-eluting stent: 3-year OCT follow-up from the TARGET I trial. International Journal of Cardiovascular Imaging, 2015, 31, 1489-1496.	0.7	7
86	Clinical Impact of Intravascular Ultrasound–Guided Chronic Total Occlusion Intervention With Zotarolimus-Eluting Versus Biolimus-Eluting Stent Implantation. Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2015, 8, e002592.	1.4	218
87	A comparison of drug eluting stent biocompatibility between third generation NOBORI biolimus A9-eluting stent and second generation XIENCE V everolimus-eluting stent in a porcine coronary artery model. Cardiovascular Revascularization Medicine, 2015, 16, 351-357.	0.3	9
88	Final 3-Year Outcome of a Randomized Trial Comparing Second-Generation Drug-Eluting Stents Using Either Biodegradable Polymer or Durable Polymer. Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2015, 8, .	1.4	55
89	Reply. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2015, 66, 1089-1090.	1.2	3
90	Percutaneous coronary interventional strategies for treatment of in-stent restenosis: a network meta-analysis. Lancet, The, 2015, 386, 655-664.	6.3	261
91	Controversies in Cardiology. , 2015, , .		0
92	Acute Thrombogenicity of a Durable Polymer Everolimus-Eluting Stent Relative to Contemporary Drug-Eluting Stents With Biodegradable Polymer Coatings Assessed ExÂVivoÂinÂa Swine Shunt Model. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2015, 8, 1248-1260.	1.1	97
93	Neutrophil to Lymphocyte Ratio is Related to Stent Thrombosis and High Mortality in Patients With Acute Myocardial Infarction. Angiology, 2015, 66, 545-552.	0.8	52
94	Biodegradable polymer stents <i>vs</i> second generation drug eluting stents: A meta-analysis and systematic review of randomized controlled trials. World Journal of Cardiology, 2016, 8, 240.	0.5	16

ARTICLE IF CITATIONS The Development of Coronary Artery Stents: From Bare-Metal to Bio-Resorbable Types. Metals, 2016, 6, 1.0 32 95 168. Drug Eluting Stents for Malignant Airway Obstruction: A Critical Review of the Literature. Journal of 1.2 Cancer, 2016, 7, 377-390. Favorable outcomes in octogenarians treated with bioresorbable polymer drugâ \in eluting stent. 97 0.7 0 Geriatrics and Gerontology International, 2016, 16, 1246-1253. Longâ€Term Clinical Outcomes of a Biodegradable Polymerâ€Based Biolimusâ€Eluting Stent. Journal of 98 0.5 Interventional Cardiology, 2016, 29, 162-167. Randomized evaluation of short-term dual antiplatelet therapy in patients with acute coronary 101 syndrome treated with the COMBO dual therapy stent: rationale and design of the REDUCE trial. 1.2 20 Ámerican Heart Journal, 2016, 178, 37-44. A randomized comparison of novel bioresorbable polymer sirolimus-eluting stent and durable polymer everolimus-eluting stent in patients with acute coronary syndromes: The CENTURY II high risk ACS substudy. Cardiovascular Revascularization Medicine, 2016, 17, 355-361. 0.3 103 Polymer Biodegradation Kinetics. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2016, 67, 2259-2262. 1.2 2 Stent Polymers. Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2016, 9, . 104 1.4 60 Outcome After Myocardial Infarction Treated With Resolute Integrity and Promus Element Stents: 105 Insights From the DUTCH PEERS (TWENTE II) Randomized Trial. Revista Espanola De Cardiologia (English) Tj ETQq0@0 rgBT Øverlock 1 Angioscopic comparison of arterial repair after second-generation drug-eluting stent implantation 0.8 into vulnerable and stable coronary plaques. International Journal of Cardiology, 2016, 221, 855-858. Twoâ€Year Safety and Efficacy of Biodegradable Polymer Drugâ€Eluting Stent Versus Secondâ€Generation Durable Polymer Drugâ€Eluting Stent in Patients With Acute Myocardial Infarction: Data from the 108 4 0.7 Korea Acuté Myocardial Infarction Registry (<scp>KAMIR</scp>). Clinical Cardiology, 2016, 39, 276-284. The EBC TWO Study (European Bifurcation Coronary TWO). Circulation: Cardiovascular 1.4 Interventions, 2016, 9, . Safety and efficacy profile of <i>bioresorbable</i>â€polylactideâ€polymerâ€biolimusâ€A9â€eluting stents versus 110 <i>durable</i>â€polymerâ€everolimusâ€and zotarolimusâ€eluting stents in patients with acute coronary 0.7 5 syndrome. Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions, 2016, 88, E173-E182. Randomized Comparison of a Biodegradable Polymer Ultrathin Strut Sirolimus-Eluting Stent With a Biodegradable Polymer Biolimus-Eluting Stent in Patients Treated With Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2016, 9, . 1.4 104 Long-term outcomes of biodegradable polymer biolimus-eluting stents versus durable polymer everolimus-eluting stents: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. International Journal of 112 0.8 7

	Cardiology, 2016, 223, 1066-1071.		
113	Effects of Intravascular Ultrasound–GuidedÂVersus Angiography-Guided New-Generation Drug-Eluting Stent Implantation. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2016, 9, 2232-2239.	1.1	82
114	Very thin strut biodegradable polymer everolimus-eluting and sirolimus-eluting stents versus durable polymer zotarolimus-eluting stents in allcomers with coronary artery disease (BIO-RESORT): a three-arm, randomised, non-inferiority trial. Lancet, The, 2016, 388, 2607-2617.	6.3	208
115	Biodegradable polymer drug-eluting stents: non-inferiority waiting for superiority?. Lancet, The, 2016, 388, 2567-2568.	6.3	0

		CHATION REPOR	CI.	
#	Article	IF		CITATIONS
116	6-Month Versus 12-Month Dual-Antiplatelet Therapy FollowingÂLongÂEverolimus-Eluting StentÂImplantation. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2016, 9, 1438-1446.	1.1	L	108
117	COmplex coronary Bifurcation lesions: RAndomized comparison of a strategy using a dedicated self-expanding biolimus-eluting stent versus a culotte strategy using everolimus-eluting stents: primary results of the COBRA trial. EuroIntervention, 2016, 11, 1457-1467.	1.4	4	14
118	Effect of Chronic Kidney Disease in WomenÂUndergoing Percutaneous CoronaryÂIntervention Wi Drug-ElutingÂStents. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2016, 9, 28-38.	ith 1.1	1	31
119	From drug eluting stents to bioresorbable scaffolds; to new horizons in PCI. Expert Review of Medical Devices, 2016, 13, 271-286.	1.4	4	29
120	Safety and Efficacy of New-Generation Drug-Eluting Stents in Women at High Risk for Atherothrombosis. Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2016, 9, e002995.	1.4	1	12
121	Drug-eluting stents to prevent stent thrombosis and restenosis. Expert Review of Cardiovascular Therapy, 2016, 14, 87-104.	0.	6	34
122	Comparison of endothelium-dependent and -independent vasomotor response after abluminal biodegradable polymer biolimus-eluting stent and persistent polymer everolimus-eluting stent implantation (COMPARE-IT). International Journal of Cardiology, 2016, 202, 525-531.	0.	8	17
123	A mixed treatment comparison for short- and long-term outcomes of bare-metal and drug-eluting coronary stents. International Journal of Cardiology, 2016, 202, 448-462.	0.	8	4
124	Comparison of angioscopic findings among second-generation drug-eluting stents. Journal of Cardiology, 2017, 70, 297-302.	0.	8	14
125	Safety and efficacy of a novel abluminal groove-filled biodegradable polymer sirolimus-eluting sten Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine, 2017, 28, 54.	it. 1.7	7	4
126	Bioresorbable Polymers and Stent Devices. Current Treatment Options in Cardiovascular Medicine 2017, 19, 12.	°, 0	4	2
127	A prospective, randomized, open-label trial of 6-month versus 12-month dual antiplatelet therapy a drug-eluting stent implantation in ST-elevation myocardial infarction: Rationale and design of the "DAPT-STEMI trial†American Heart Journal, 2017, 188, 11-17.	after 1.2	2	13
128	Evaluation of the MiStent sustained sirolimus eluting biodegradable polymer coated stent for the treatment of coronary artery disease: does uniform sustained abluminal drug release result in earli strut coverage and better safety profile?. Expert Review of Medical Devices, 2017, 14, 325-334.	er 1.4	4	8
129	Outcomes of Acute Myocardial Infarction Patients Implanted With Biodegradable Polymer Biolimus-Eluting Stents Versus New-Generation Durable Polymer Drug-Eluting Stents: A Retrospec Analysis. Angiology, 2017, 68, 698-706.	tive 0.	8	0
130	Realâ€life clinical outcomes with everolimus eluting platinum chromium stent with an abluminal biodegradable polymer in patients from the Swedish Coronary Angiography and Angioplasty Regis (SCAAR). Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions, 2017, 90, 881-887.	try 0.	7	35
131	Mid-term outcome of biolimus-eluting stents with biodegradable polymer. Coronary Artery Disease 2017, 28, 457-464.	e, 0.	3	2
132	Ultrathin, bioresorbable polymer sirolimus-eluting stents versus thin, durable polymer everolimus-eluting stents in patients undergoing coronary revascularisation (BIOFLOW V): a randomised trial. Lancet, The, 2017, 390, 1843-1852.	6.5	3	214
133	Polymer-free amphilimus-eluting stent versus biodegradable polymer biolimus-eluting stent in pati with and without diabetes mellitus. International Journal of Cardiology, 2017, 245, 69-76.	ents 0.	8	16

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
134	What Is the Optimal Revascularization Strategy for Left Main Coronary Stenosis?. JAMA Cardiology, 2017, 2, 1061.	3.0	7
135	Adverse cardiovascular events associated with biodegradable polymer drug-eluting stents and durable polymer everolimus-eluting stents. Medicine (United States), 2017, 96, e7510.	0.4	1
136	Left main coronary stenosis. Current Opinion in Cardiology, 2017, 32, 590-593.	0.8	2
137	Challenges in the Design and InterpretationÂof Noninferiority Trials. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2017, 70, 894-903.	1.2	28
138	Effect of biodegradable polymer drug-eluting stents versus biocompatible polymer everolimus-eluting stents: a meta-analysis. Acta Cardiologica, 2017, 72, 196-204.	0.3	2
139	7.28 Drug Eluting Stents â [~] †. , 2017, , 548-590.		0
140	Clinical outcomes of biodegradable polymer biolimus-eluting BioMatrix stents versus durable polymer everolimus-eluting Xience stents. PLoS ONE, 2017, 12, e0183079.	1.1	4
141	Current State of Bioabsorbable Polymer-Coated Drug-Eluting Stents. Current Cardiology Reviews, 2017, 13, 139-154.	0.6	22
142	Nobori-Biolimus-Eluting Stents versus Resolute Zotarolimus-Eluting Stents in Patients Undergoing Coronary Intervention: A Propensity Score Matching. Yonsei Medical Journal, 2017, 58, 290.	0.9	3
143	Safety and Effectiveness of Second-Generation Drug-Eluting Stents inÂPatients With Left Main CoronaryÂArteryÂDisease. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2018, 71, 832-841.	1.2	37
144	Effect of Increasing Stent Length on 3-Year Clinical Outcomes in Women Undergoing Percutaneous Coronary Intervention With New-Generation Drug-Eluting Stents. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2018, 11, 53-65.	1.1	22
145	A propensity scoreâ€matched comparison of biodegradable polymer vs secondâ€generation durable polymer drugâ€eluting stents in a realâ€world population. Cardiovascular Therapeutics, 2018, 36, e12319.	1.1	2
146	Bioresorbable Polymer-Coated Orsiro Versus Durable Polymer-Coated Resolute Onyx Stents (BIONYX): Rationale and design of the randomized TWENTE IV multicenter trial. American Heart Journal, 2018, 198, 25-32.	1.2	8
147	Absorb bioresorbable vascular scaffold vs. everolimusâ€eluting metallic stent in small vessel disease: A propensity matched analysis of COMPARE II, RAI, and MAASSTADâ€ABSORB studies. Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions, 2018, 92, E115-E124.	0.7	6
148	Bioresorbable polymer drug-eluting stents. Lancet, The, 2018, 391, 935-936.	6.3	3
149	Rationale and design of amphilimus sirolimusâ€eluting stents versus zotarolimusâ€eluting stents in allâ€eomers requiring percutaneous coronary intervention (ReCre8): A multicenter randomized clinical trial. Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions, 2018, 91, 410-416.	0.7	9
150	Secondâ€generation drugâ€eluting stents versus drugâ€coated balloons for the treatment of coronary inâ€stent restenosis: A systematic review and metaâ€analysis. Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions, 2018, 92, 285-299.	0.7	9
152	From bare metal to barely anything: an update on coronary stenting. Heart, 2018, 104, 533-540.	1.2	11

ARTICLE IF CITATIONS # Safety and efficacy of biodegradable polymer-coated thin strut sirolimus-eluting stent vs. durable polymer-coated everolimus-eluting stent in patients with acute myocardial infarction. Postepy W 153 0.1 4 Kardiologii Interwencyjnej, 2018, 14, 347-355. A rapamycin derivative, biolimus, preferentially activates autophagy in vascular smooth muscle cells. 154 1.6 Scientific Reports, 2018, 8, 16551. Ultrathin Bioresorbable Polymer Sirolimus-Eluting Stents Versus Thin Durable Polymer 155 1.2 73 Everolimus-Eluting Stents. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2018, 72, 3287-3297. Thin composite wire strut, durable polymer-coated (Resolute Onyx) versus ultrathin cobalt–chromium strut, bioresorbable polymer-coated (Orsiro) drug-eluting stents in allcomers with 156 coronary artery disease (BIONYX): an international, single-blind, randomised non-inferiority trial. Lancet, The, 2018, 392, 1235-1245. Subgroup Analysis Comparing Ultrathin, Bioresorbable Polymer Sirolimus-Eluting Stents Versus Thin, Durable Polymer Everolimus-Eluting Stents in Acute Coronary Syndrome Patients. Circulation: 157 1.4 23 Cardiovascular Interventions, 2018, 11, e007331. Effectiveness and Safety of Biolimus A9â,,¢-Eluting stEnt in Patients with AcUTe Coronary sYndrome; A Multicenter, Observational Study (BEAUTY Study). Yonsei Medical Journal, 2018, 59, 72. Cardiovascular and Noncardiovascular Death After Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. 159 1.4 21 Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2018, 11, e006488. Development of drug-eluting stents (DES)., 2018, , 45-56. A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials to compare long-term clinical outcomes of 161 bioabsorbable polymer and durable polymer drug-eluting stents. European Heart Journal Quality of 1.8 15 Care & amp; Clinical Outcomes, 2019, 5, 105-113. 2018 ESC/EACTS Guidelines on myocardial revascularization. European Heart Journal, 2019, 40, 87-165. 1.0 4,537 2018 ESC/EACTS Guidelines on myocardial revascularization. European Journal of Cardio-thoracic 402 163 0.6 Surgery, 2019, 55, 4-90. Clinical outcomes and complications of treatment with supraflex stent in patients with coronary 164 0.8 artery disease: One-year follow-up. European Journal of Translational Myology, 2019, 29, 8231. Major ischaemic and bleeding risks following current drug-eluting stent implantation: Are there 165 differences across current drug-eluting stent types in real life?. Archives of Cardiovascular Diseases, 0.7 5 2019, 112, 469-484. Real-World Use Of Ultrathin-Strut Biodegradable Polymer–Coated Sirolimus-Eluting Stents In Patients With Coronary Artery Disease: 6-Month Clinical Outcomes. Vascular Health and Risk Management, 2019, Volume 15, 439-447. 1.0 167 The Smoker's Paradox Revisited. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2019, 12, 1941-1950. 20 1.1 Safety and efficacy of the COMBO bio-engineered stent in an all-comer PCI cohort: 1-Year final clinical outcomes from the MASCOT post-marketing registry. International Journal of Cardiology, 2019, 283, 19 67-72. Biodegradable Polymers and Stents: the Next Generation?. Current Cardiovascular Risk Reports, 2019, 169 0.8 6 13, 1. Incidence and predictors of target lesion failure in patients undergoing contemporary DES 170 implantation—Individual patient data pooled analysis from 6 randomized controlled trials. American 1.2 Heart Journal, 2019, 213, 105-111.

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
171	Comparison of the polymer-free biolimus-coated BioFreedom stent with the thin-strut biodegradable polymer sirolimus-eluting Orsiro stent in an all-comers population treated with percutaneous coronary intervention: Rationale and design of the randomized SORT OUT IX trial. American Heart Journal, 2019, 213, 1-7.	1.2	10
172	Abluminal biodegradable polymer biolimus-eluting versus durable polymer everolimus-eluting stent in patients with diabetes mellitus. International Journal of Cardiology, 2019, 290, 40-44.	0.8	8
173	Clinical and angiographic outcomes of bioabsorbable vs. permanent polymer drug-eluting stents in Sweden: a report from the Swedish Coronary and Angioplasty Registry (SCAAR). European Heart Journal, 2019, 40, 2607-2615.	1.0	17
175	One-year clinical outcomes between biodegradable-polymer-coated biolimus-eluting stent and durable-polymer-coated drug-eluting stents in STEMI patients with multivessel coronary artery disease undergoing culprit-only or multivessel PCI. Atherosclerosis, 2019, 284, 102-109.	0.4	15
176	Everolimus-Eluting Versus Biolimus-Eluting Stents With Biodegradable Polymers in UnselectedÂPatients Undergoing Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2019, 12, 624-633.	1.1	27
177	Use of Mortality as an Endpoint in Noninferiority Trials May Lead to Ethically Problematic Conclusions. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2019, 34, 618-623.	1.3	7
178	Efficacy and Safety of Stents in ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2019, 74, 2572-2584.	1.2	31
179	Comparative effectiveness of different contemporary drug-eluting stents in routine clinical practice. Coronary Artery Disease, 2019, 30, 255-262.	0.3	7
180	Two-year clinical outcomes of zotarolimus- and everolimus-eluting durable-polymer-coated stents versus biolimus-eluting biodegradable-polymer-coated stent in patients with acute myocardial infarction with dyslipidemia after percutaneous coronary intervention: data from the KAMIR. Heart and Vessels, 2019, 34, 237-250.	0.5	5
181	Threeâ€year clinical outcomes after dualâ€therapy COMBO stent placement: Insights from the REMEDEE registry. Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions, 2019, 94, 342-347.	0.7	8
182	The EluNIR TM Ridaforolimus Eluting Coronary Stent System. Expert Review of Medical Devices, 2019, 16, 71-76.	1.4	7
183	Safety and Efficacy of Biodegradable Polymer-biolimus-eluting Stents (BP-BES) Compared with Durable Polymer-everolimus-eluting Stents (DP-EES) in Patients Undergoing Complex Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. Korean Circulation Journal, 2019, 49, 69.	0.7	7
184	Randomized All-Comers Evaluation of a Permanent Polymer Zotarolimus-Eluting Stent Versus a Polymer-Free Amphilimus-Eluting Stent. Circulation, 2019, 139, 67-77.	1.6	33
185	Five-Year Results of the Bioflow-III Registry: Real-World Experience with a Biodegradable Polymer Sirolimus-Eluting Stent. Cardiovascular Revascularization Medicine, 2020, 21, 63-69.	0.3	12
187	Impact of stent generation on 2â€year clinical outcomes in STâ€segment elevation myocardial infarction patients with multivessel disease who underwent culpritâ€only or multivessel percutaneous coronary intervention. Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions, 2020, 95, E40-E55.	0.7	16
188	The 2010s in clinical drug-eluting stent and bioresorbable scaffold research: aÂDutch perspective. Netherlands Heart Journal, 2020, 28, 78-87.	0.3	4
189	Long term survival and local control outcomes from single dose targeted intraoperative radiotherapy during lumpectomy (TARGIT-IORT) for early breast cancer: TARGIT-A randomised clinical trial. BMJ, The, 2020, 370, m2836.	3.0	165
190	Randomized Comparison of the Polymer-Free Biolimus-Coated BioFreedom Stent With the Ultrathin Strut Biodegradable Polymer Sirolimus-Eluting Orsiro Stent in an All-Comers Population Treated With Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. Circulation, 2020, 141, 2052-2063.	1.6	48

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
191	Safety and Efficacy of Second-Generation Drug-Eluting Stents in Real-World Practice: Insights from the Multicenter Grand-DES Registry. Journal of Interventional Cardiology, 2020, 2020, 1-9.	0.5	7
192	Effect of Delayed Targeted Intraoperative Radiotherapy vs Whole-Breast Radiotherapy on Local Recurrence and Survival. JAMA Oncology, 2020, 6, e200249.	3.4	83
193	Threeâ€year follow up of biodegradable polymer cobaltâ€chromium sirolimusâ€eluting stent (EXCROSSAL) in treating de novo coronary artery disease: Pooled analysis of CREDIT II and CREDIT III trials. Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions, 2020, 95, 565-571.	0.7	2
194	Safety and Performance of the Orsiro Sirolimus-Eluting Stent in the Treatment of All-Comers Patient Population in Daily Clinical Practice. Cardiovascular Revascularization Medicine, 2020, 21, 1348-1354.	0.3	6
195	Durable Polymer Versus Biodegradable Polymer Drug-Eluting Stents After Percutaneous Coronary Intervention in Patients with Acute Coronary Syndrome. Circulation, 2021, 143, 1081-1091.	1.6	33
196	Safety of 3â€Month Dual Antiplatelet Therapy After Implantation of Ultrathin Sirolimusâ€Eluting Stents With Biodegradable Polymer (Orsiro): Results From the SMART HOICE Trial. Journal of the American Heart Association, 2021, 10, e018366.	1.6	8
197	Second generation drug-eluting stents: a focus on safety and efficacy of current devices. Expert Review of Cardiovascular Therapy, 2021, 19, 107-127.	0.6	4
198	Parametric Optimization of 3D Printed Hydrogel-Based Cardiovascular Stent. Pharmaceutical Research, 2021, 38, 885-900.	1.7	11
199	Thin- versus thick-strut polymer-free biolimus-eluting stents: the BioFreedom QCA randomised trial. EuroIntervention, 2021, 17, 233-239.	1.4	4
200	A randomised comparison of coronary stents according to short or prolonged durations of dual antiplatelet therapy in patients with acute coronary syndromes: a pre-specified analysis of the SMART-DATE trial. EuroIntervention, 2021, 17, e411-e417.	1.4	2
201	The Use of Bioactive Polymers for Intervention and Tissue Engineering: The New Frontier for Cardiovascular Therapy. Polymers, 2021, 13, 446.	2.0	9
202	Biodegradable Polymer Biolimus-Eluting Stents Versus Durable Polymer Everolimus-Eluting Stents in Patients WithÂCoronary Artery Disease. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2017, 10, 1215-1221.	1.1	85
203	Comparison of Contemporary Drug-eluting Coronary Stents – Is Any Stent Better than the Others?. Heart International, 2020, 14, 34.	0.4	3
204	Ideal coronary stent: development, characteristics, and vessel size impact. Annales Academiae Medicae Silesiensis, 2020, 74, 191-197.	0.1	1
205	The Synergy stent in high-bleeding risk patients: why design matters. Minerva Cardioangiologica, 2018, 66, 646-658.	1.2	3
206	An international randomised controlled trial to compare TARGeted Intraoperative radioTherapy (TARGIT) with conventional postoperative radiotherapy after breast-conserving surgery for women with early-stage breast cancer (the TARGIT-A trial). Health Technology Assessment, 2016, 20, 1-188.	1.3	51
207	A new generation of drug-eluting stents: Indications and outcomes of bioresorbable vascular scaffolds. Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine, 2017, 84, e20-e24.	0.6	6
208	Preclinical evaluation of a novel abluminal surface coated sirolimus eluting stent with biodegradable polymer matrix. Cardiovascular Diagnosis and Therapy, 2015, 5, 254-63.	0.7	10

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
209	Clinical performance of a novel ultrathin strut, low-dose, sirolimus-eluting stent with abluminal-only biodegradable polymeric coating for patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention in the daily practice. Cardiovascular Diagnosis and Therapy, 2015, 5, 414-9.	0.7	6
210	Learning from the Cardiologists and Developing Eluting Stents Targeting the Mtor Pathway for Pulmonary Application; A Future Concept for Tracheal Stenosis. Journal of Molecular and Genetic Medicine: an International Journal of Biomedical Research, 2013, 07, 65.	0.1	14
211	Reduction in the Corrosion Rate of Magnesium and Magnesium Alloy Specimens and Implications for Plain Fully Bioresorbable Coronary Artery Stents: A Review. World Journal of Engineering and Technology, 2016, 04, 597-597.	0.3	4
212	Final five-year outcomes after implantation of biodegradable polymer-coated biolimus-eluting stents versus durable polymer-coated sirolimus-eluting stents. EuroIntervention, 2017, 13, 1336-1344.	1.4	11
213	Long-term safety of bioresorbable scaffolds: insights from a network meta-analysis including 91 trials. EuroIntervention, 2018, 13, 1904-1913.	1.4	18
214	Two-year outcome after biodegradable polymer sirolimus- and biolimus-eluting coronary stents (from) Tj ETQq1	1 0,784314 1.4	4 rgBT /Ove
215	A comparison of an ultrathin-strut biodegradable polymer sirolimus-eluting stent with a durable polymer everolimus-eluting stent for patients with acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention: rationale and design of the BIOSTEMI trial. EuroIntervention, 2018, 14, 692-699.	1.4	11
216	A prospective randomised trial comparing the novel ridaforolimus-eluting BioNIR stent to the zotarolimus-eluting Resolute stent: six-month angiographic and one-year clinical results of the NIREUS trial. EuroIntervention, 2018, 14, 86-93.	1.4	11
217	Randomised evaluation of a novel biodegradable polymer-based sirolimus-eluting stent in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: the MASTER study. EuroIntervention, 2019, 14, e1836-e1842.	1.4	14
218	Long-term clinical outcomes after bioresorbable and permanent polymer drug-eluting stent implantation: final five-year results of the CENTURY II randomised clinical trial. EuroIntervention, 2018, 14, e343-e351.	1.4	21
219	Comparison of vascular response between durable and biodegradable polymer-based drug-eluting stents in a porcine coronary artery model. EuroIntervention, 2014, 10, 717-723.	1.4	27
220	Long-term clinical outcomes of biodegradable polymer biolimus-eluting stents versus durable polymer everolimus-eluting stents in patients with coronary artery disease: three-year follow-up of the COMPARE II (Abluminal biodegradable polymer biolimus-eluting stent versus durable polymer) Tj ETQq1 1 0.7	7843 ⁴ 14 rg	BT ³ /Overloc
221	The EXCEL and NOBLE trials: similarities, contrasts and future perspectives for left main revascularisation. EuroIntervention, 2015, 11, V115-V119.	1.4	16
222	Two-year clinical outcomes of Absorb bioresorbable vascular scaffold implantation in complex coronary artery disease patients stratified by SYNTAX score and ABSORB II study enrolment criteria. EuroIntervention, 2016, 12, e557-e565.	1.4	11
223	Periprocedural myocardial infarction in stent trials: how universal is the third universal definition?. EuroIntervention, 2016, 12, 813-817.	1.4	4
224	A randomised comparison of a novel abluminal groove-filled biodegradable polymer sirolimus-eluting stent with a durable polymer everolimus-eluting stent: clinical and angiographic follow-up of the TARGET I trial. EuroIntervention, 2013, 9, 75-83.	1.4	60
225	Polymer coatings on drug-eluting stents: Samson's hair and Achilles' heel?. EuroIntervention, 2013, 9, 302-305.	1.4	19
226	Six-month IVUS and two-year clinical outcomes in the EVOLVE FHU trial: a randomised evaluation of a novel bioabsorbable polymer-coated, everolimus-eluting stent. EuroIntervention, 2013, 9, 308-315.	1.4	38

	CITATIC	on Report	
#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
227	2014 ESC/EACTS Guidelines on myocardial revascularization. EuroIntervention, 2015, 10, 1024-1094.	1.4	251
228	Five-year outcomes from a trial of three limus-eluting stents with different polymer coatings in patients with coronary artery disease: final results from the ISAR-TEST 4 randomised trial. EuroIntervention, 2016, 11, 1372-137.	1.4	60
229	STACCATO (Assessment of Stent sTrut Apposition and Coverage in Coronary ArTeries with Optical) Tj ETQq	0 0 0 rgBT /Ove 1.4	erlock 10 Tf 5 19
	EuroIntervention, 2016, 11, e1619-e1626.		
230	Real-world experience with a novel biodegradable polymer sirolimus-eluting stent: twelve-month results of the BIOFLOW-III registry. EuroIntervention, 2016, 11, 1106-1110.	1.4	35
231	2018 ESC/EACTS Guidelines on myocardial revascularization. EuroIntervention, 2019, 14, 1435-1534.	1.4	367
233	Outcomes of biodegradable polymer sirolimus-eluting PROLIM stent in patients with coronary artery disease. Results of 12-month follow-up of prospective registry. Kardiologia Polska, 2016, 74, 411-417.	0.3	1
234	Comparação de Stents Farmacológicos com PolÃmero Durável ou BiorreabsorvÃvel: Resultados do Ultrassom Intracoronário do Estudo BIOACTIVE. Revista Brasileira De Cardiologia Invasiva, 2014, 22, 245-251.	0.1	1
236	Drug-Eluting Stents and Coronary Artery Disease. , 2015, , 495-524.		0
237	Clinical Outcome Comparison of Everolimus- and Biolimus-eluting Stents in Patients with Acute Myocardial Infarction. Korean Journal of Medicine, 2015, 89, 418-427.	0.1	0
238	Devices: Safety Assurance. , 0, , 2620-2628.		0
239	Can Platforms Affect the Safety and Efficacy of Drug-Eluting Stents in the Era of Biodegradable Polymers?: A Meta-Analysis of 34,850 Randomized Individuals. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0151259.	1.1	0
240	Biodegradable-Polymer Biolimus-Eluting Stents versus Durable-Polymer Everolimus-Eluting Stents at One-Year Follow-Up: A Registry-Based Cohort Study. Texas Heart Institute Journal, 2016, 43, 126-130.	0.1	5
241	The principles and practice of audit in coronary intervention. , 2017, , 639-646.		0
242	Stent Technology. , 2018, , 137-159.		0
243	Safety and Efficacy of Ultra-Thin, Biodegradable Polymer Coated Sirolimus-Eluting Supralimus-Core Stents in Real-World Patients: Outcomes at 24-Month Follow-Up. World Journal of Cardiovascular Diseases, 2018, 08, 523-532.	0.0	0
244	Plaque Erosion. , 2020, , 79-89.		0
245	Emerging therapies in coronary balloon angioplasty, stenting, and bioabsorbable scaffolds. , 2020, , 527-557.		0
246	Stent Selection for Primary Angioplasty and Outcomes in the Era of Potent Antiplatelets. Data from the Multicenter Randomized Prague-18 Trial. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2021, 10, 5103.	1.0	0

#	Article	IF	Citations
247	Ultra-thin (60 µm), Ultra-long (≥40 mm) Sirolimus Eluting Stent - Study of clinical and safety profile among real-world patients. Anatolian Journal of Cardiology, 2020, 25, 111-119.	0.5	1
248	Twelve-month clinical outcomes of sirolimus-eluting stent in coronary artery disease: an experience in real-world Indian patients. Anatolian Journal of Cardiology, 2020, 24, 364-369.	0.5	2
249	Serial Angioscopic Evaluation of Arterial Repair After the Implantation of Drug-Coated Stent at the Culprit of Acute Coronary Syndrome. Journal of Coronary Artery Disease, 2020, 26, 9-11.	0.1	0
250	Clinical safety and efficacy of World's thinnest (50 μm), very long (>40 mm) Everolimus Eluting Stent (SES) among real world patients. American Journal of Cardiovascular Disease, 2020, 10, 317-328.	0.5	0
251	DEVELOPMENT OF SIROLIMUS COATED ELUTING STENT USING ABLUMINAL COATING TECHNOLOGY. Indian Drugs, 2018, 55, 56-62.	0.1	0
252	Outcomes of the Novel Supreme Drug-Eluting Stent in Complex Coronary Lesions: A PIONEER III Substudy. , 2022, 1, 100004.		2
253	Current status and future direction of metallic and polymeric materials for advanced vascular stents. Progress in Materials Science, 2022, 126, 100922.	16.0	19
254	A Comparative Analysis of Two Biolimus-Eluting Biodegradable Stents: Evaluation of the Equivalence of 6 Months Versus 12 Months of DAPT Following Biolimus-Eluting Stent Implantation in Acute Coronary Syndrome (EQUUS). , 2022, 1, 61.		0
255	Drug-eluting stents for the treatment of coronary artery disease: A review of recent advances. Expert Opinion on Drug Delivery, 2022, 19, 269-280.	2.4	12
256	Temporal trends in clinical outcomes after percutaneous coronary intervention: a systematic review of 66,327 patients from 25 all-comers trials. EuroIntervention, 2022, 17, 1318-1329.	1.4	10
257	Real-World Long-Term Clinical Outcomes of Ultrathin Strut Biodegradable Polymer Drug-Eluting Stents in Korean ST-Segment-Elevation Myocardial Infarction (STEMI) Patients with or without Acute Heart Failure Undergoing Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2021, 10, 5898.	1.0	2
258	Long-Term Clinical Outcomes Between Biodegradable and Durable Polymer Drug-Eluting Stents: A Nationwide Cohort Study. Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine, 2022, 9, 873114.	1.1	2
261	Safety and efficacy of 48 mm Xience Xpedition everolimusâ€eluting stent for the treatment of long coronary lesions. Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions, 2022, 100, 179-187.	0.7	4
262	Comparison of biodegradable and durable polymer drug-eluting stents in acute coronary syndrome: a meta-analysis. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e058075.	0.8	5
263	Long-term clinical outcomes with biodegradable polymer sirolimus-eluting stents versus durable polymer sirolimus-eluting stents. AsiaIntervention, 2018, 4, 77-86.	0.1	1
264	Three-Year Clinical Outcomes of a Thin-Strut Biodegradable-Polymer Everolimus-Eluting Stent in Patients With Acute Coronary Syndrome. , 0, 2, .		0
265	A Randomized Controlled Trial of a Biodegradable Polymer, Microcrystalline Sirolimus-Eluting Stent (MiStent) versus Another Biodegradable Polymer Sirolimus-Eluting Stent (TIVOLI): The DESSOLVE-C Trial. , 2023, 3, 1-8.		0
266	Utility of the ACC/AHA Lesion Classification to Predict Outcomes After Contemporary DES Treatment: Individual Patient Data Pooled Analysis From 7 Randomized Trials. Journal of the American Heart Association, 2022, 11, .	1.6	2

		CITATION REPORT		
щ		15	CITATIONS	
#	Article	IF	CITATIONS	
267	Complementary evidence on the performance of coronary stents generated by a randomized controlled trial and a worldwide registry. American Heart Journal, 2023, 261, 35-44.	1.2	0	