

What is lacking in current decision aids on cancer scree

Ca-A Cancer Journal for Clinicians

63, 193-214

DOI: [10.3322/caac.21180](https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21180)

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Effects of different information brochures on women's decision-making regarding mammography screening: study protocol for a randomized controlled questionnaire study. <i>Trials</i> , 2013, 14, 319.	0.7	11
2	Decision Aid to Technologically Enhance Shared decision making (DATES): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. <i>Trials</i> , 2013, 14, 381.	0.7	9
3	Shared decision-making and comparative effectiveness research for patients with chronic conditions: an urgent synergy for better health. <i>Journal of Comparative Effectiveness Research</i> , 2013, 2, 595-603.	0.6	19
4	Factors Associated with Informed Decisions and Participation in Bowel Cancer Screening among Adults with Lower Education and Literacy. <i>Medical Decision Making</i> , 2014, 34, 756-772.	1.2	35
5	Screening Strategies for Colorectal Cancer in Asymptomatic Adults. <i>Primary Care - Clinics in Office Practice</i> , 2014, 41, 331-353.	0.7	1
6	Decision Making and Prostate Cancer Screening. <i>Urologic Clinics of North America</i> , 2014, 41, 257-266.	0.8	14
7	A classification model of patient engagement methods and assessment of their feasibility in real-world settings. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2014, 95, 281-287.	1.0	83
8	Evaluation of a Personalized, Web-Based Decision Aid for Lung Cancer Screening. <i>American Journal of Preventive Medicine</i> , 2015, 49, e125-e129.	1.6	70
9	Informed Decision Making. <i>Medical Decision Making</i> , 2015, 35, 999-1009.	1.2	64
10	Multicriteria decision analysis in oncology. <i>Health Expectations</i> , 2015, 18, 1812-1826.	1.1	32
12	An overview and discussion of the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute's decision aid portfolio. <i>Journal of Comparative Effectiveness Research</i> , 2016, 5, 407-415.	0.6	4
13	Impact of provider-patient communication on cancer screening adherence: A systematic review. <i>Preventive Medicine</i> , 2016, 93, 96-105.	1.6	177
14	Are cancer-related decision aids appropriate for socially disadvantaged patients? A systematic review of US randomized controlled trials. <i>BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making</i> , 2016, 16, 64.	1.5	14
15	Deintensification of Routine Medical Services. <i>JAMA Internal Medicine</i> , 2016, 176, 978.	2.6	17
16	Randomized Trial of a Computerized Touch Screen Decision Aid to Increase Acceptance of Colonoscopy Screening in an African American Population with Limited Literacy. <i>Health Communication</i> , 2016, 31, 1291-1300.	1.8	6
17	Time to Colonoscopy after Positive Fecal Blood Test in Four U.S. Health Care Systems. <i>Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention</i> , 2016, 25, 344-350.	1.1	106
18	Correlates of Patient Intent and Preference on Colorectal Cancer Screening. <i>American Journal of Preventive Medicine</i> , 2017, 52, 443-450.	1.6	8
19	Harnessing Information Technology to Inform Patients Facing Routine Decisions: Cancer Screening as a Test Case. <i>Annals of Family Medicine</i> , 2017, 15, 217-224.	0.9	28

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
20	Design of a randomized clinical trial of a colorectal cancer screening decision aid to promote appropriate screening in community-dwelling older adults. <i>Clinical Trials</i> , 2017, 14, 648-658.	0.7	6
21	Primary Care Physiciansâ€™ Support of Shared Decision Making for Different Cancer Screening Decisions. <i>Medical Decision Making</i> , 2017, 37, 70-78.	1.2	16
22	Menâ€™s perspectives of prostate cancer screening: A systematic review of qualitative studies. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2017, 12, e0188258.	1.1	46
23	Engaging patients in decision-making and behavior change to promote prevention. <i>Information Services and Use</i> , 2017, 37, 105-122.	0.1	26
24	Engaging Patients in Decisions About Cancer Screening: Exploring the Decision Journey Through the Use of a Patient Portal. <i>American Journal of Preventive Medicine</i> , 2018, 54, 237-247.	1.6	18
25	Understanding Advanced Prostate Cancer Decision Making Utilizing an Interactive Decision Aid. <i>Cancer Nursing</i> , 2018, 41, 2-10.	0.7	19
26	â€œThey Should Be Asking Usâ€: A Qualitative Decisional Needs Assessment for Women Considering Cervical Cancer Screening. <i>Global Qualitative Nursing Research</i> , 2018, 5, 233339361878363.	0.7	7
27	A Decision Aid to Promote Appropriate Colorectal Cancer Screening among Older Adults: A Randomized Controlled Trial. <i>Medical Decision Making</i> , 2018, 38, 614-624.	1.2	14
28	Evaluating Shared Decision Making for Lung Cancer Screening. <i>JAMA Internal Medicine</i> , 2018, 178, 1311.	2.6	142
29	How a deliberative approach includes women in the decisions of screening mammography: a citizens' jury feasibility study in Andalusia, Spain. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2018, 8, e019852.	0.8	6
30	Are decision aids leading to shared prostate cancer screening decisions among African-American men?: iDecide. <i>Cancer Causes and Control</i> , 2019, 30, 713-719.	0.8	7
31	A systems approach for modeling health information complexity. <i>International Journal of Information Management</i> , 2019, 49, 343-354.	10.5	21
32	Designing a Web-based Decision Aid for Individuals to Consider Lung Cancer Screening. , 2019, , .		3
33	Interactivity in a Decision Aid: Findings From a Decision Aid to Technologically Enhance Shared Decision Making RCT. <i>American Journal of Preventive Medicine</i> , 2019, 57, 77-86.	1.6	6
34	Prostate cancer awareness, case-finding, and early diagnosis: Interviews with undiagnosed men in Australia. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2019, 14, e0211539.	1.1	6
35	Review of Medical Decision Support and Machine-Learning Methods. <i>Veterinary Pathology</i> , 2019, 56, 512-525.	0.8	56
36	A Review of the Presentation of Overdiagnosis in Cancer Screening Patient Decision Aids. <i>MDM Policy and Practice</i> , 2019, 4, 238146831988144.	0.5	7
37	Randomised trial to evaluate the effectiveness and impact of offering postvisit decision support and assistance in obtaining physician-recommended colorectal cancer screening: the e-assist: Colon Health studyâ€”a protocol study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2019, 9, e023986.	0.8	4

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
38	Does Animation Improve Comprehension of Risk Information in Patients with Low Health Literacy? A Randomized Trial. <i>Medical Decision Making</i> , 2020, 40, 17-28.	1.2	25
39	Logic model framework for considering the inputs, processes and outcomes of a healthcare organisationâ€™research partnership. <i>BMJ Quality and Safety</i> , 2020, 29, 746-755.	1.8	12
40	Quality of decision aids developed for women at average risk of breast cancer eligible for mammographic screening: Systematic review and assessment according to the International Patient Decision Aid Standards instrument. <i>Cancer</i> , 2020, 126, 2765-2774.	2.0	10
41	Lung Cancer Screening Knowledge, Perceptions, and Decision Making Among African Americans in Detroit, Michigan. <i>American Journal of Preventive Medicine</i> , 2021, 60, e1-e8.	1.6	9
42	Making decisions on your own: Self-administered decision aids about colorectal cancer screening â€™ A systematic review and meta-analyses. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2022, 105, 534-546.	1.0	6
43	Cervical cancer screening: A never-ending developing program. <i>World Journal of Clinical Cases</i> , 2015, 3, 614.	0.3	19
44	Preparing African American Men to Make Informed Prostate Cancer Screening Decisions: Development and Pilot Testing of an Interactive Online Decision Aid. <i>JMIR MHealth and UHealth</i> , 2020, 8, e15502.	1.8	8
45	Development, Feasibility, and Small-Scale Implementation of a Web-Based Prognostic Toolâ€™Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Cancer Survival Calculator. <i>JMIR Cancer</i> , 2017, 3, e9.	0.9	10
46	Perceived Barriers and Facilitators of Using a Web-Based Interactive Decision Aid for Colorectal Cancer Screening in Community Practice Settings: Findings From Focus Groups With Primary Care Clinicians and Medical Office Staff. <i>Journal of Medical Internet Research</i> , 2013, 15, e286.	2.1	25
47	Development and Validation of a Personalized, Web-Based Decision Aid for Lung Cancer Screening Using Mixed Methods: A Study Protocol. <i>JMIR Research Protocols</i> , 2014, 3, e78.	0.5	37
48	Providing Preventive Services to Men: A Substantial Challenge?. , 2016, , 45-55.		0
49	Electronic Risk Assessment System as an Appropriate Tool for the Prevention of Cancer: a Qualitative Study. <i>Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention</i> , 2016, 16, 8595-8598.	0.5	2
52	Prueba de cribado mamogrÃ¡fico: cÃ³mo reciben la informaciÃ³n y cÃ³mo quieren ser informadas las usuarias. <i>International Journal of Developmental and Educational Psychology Revista INFAD De PsicologÃa</i> , 2019, 5, 145.	0.0	0
53	Designing clinical and genetic guidelines of colorectal cancer screening as an effective roadmap for risk management. <i>Gastroenterology and Hepatology From Bed To Bench</i> , 2016, 9, S53-S61.	0.6	3
54	Engaging Patients in Decision-Making and Behavior Change to Promote Prevention. <i>Studies in Health Technology and Informatics</i> , 2017, 240, 284-302.	0.2	20
55	Opportunities and Challenges When Using the Electronic Health Record for Practice-Integrated Patient-Facing Interventions: The e-Assist Colon Health Randomized Trial. <i>Medical Decision Making</i> , 2022, 42, 985-998.	1.2	2
56	Use of a Linguistically Appropriate Decision Aid for Cervical Cancer Screening of South Asian Ethnic Minority Women in Hong Kong: A Pilot Randomised Controlled Trial. <i>International Journal of Behavioral Medicine</i> , 0, , .	0.8	2
58	Lung Cancer Screening in Health Systems: Needs, Challenges, and Opportunities. , 2023, , 339-352.		0