

Dynamic Bayesian Forecasting of Presidential Elections

Journal of the American Statistical Association

108, 124-134

DOI: [10.1080/01621459.2012.737735](https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.2012.737735)

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Dynamic Representation in the American States, 196002012. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	4
2	Using a Gaming Site to Teach Campaign Strategies in the 2012 US Presidential Election. PS - Political Science and Politics, 2014, 47, 502-508.	0.5	0
3	The Predictive Power of Uniform Swing. PS - Political Science and Politics, 2014, 47, 317-321.	0.5	13
4	Weather, Elections, Forecasts: After Richardson. PS - Political Science and Politics, 2014, 47, 322-325.	0.5	3
5	The Future of Election Forecasting: More Data, Better Technology. PS - Political Science and Politics, 2014, 47, 326-328.	0.5	4
6	Four Suggestions for Making Election Forecasts Better, and Better Known. PS - Political Science and Politics, 2014, 47, 339-341.	0.5	0
7	Election Fundamentals and Polls Favor the Republicans. PS - Political Science and Politics, 2014, 47, 786-788.	0.5	3
8	Comparative election forecasting: Further insights from synthetic models. Electoral Studies, 2015, 39, 275-283.	1.7	13
9	Dynamic Estimation of Latent Opinion Using a Hierarchical Group-Level IRT Model. Political Analysis, 2015, 23, 197-211.	3.3	67
10	Forecasting elections in Europe: Synthetic models. Research and Politics, 2015, 2, 205316801456512.	1.1	25
11	Examining Variation in Surveying Attitudes on Same-Sex Marriage: A Meta-Analysis. Public Opinion Quarterly, 2015, 79, 580-593.	1.6	26
12	Analysis of Battleground State Presidential Polling Performances, 2004â€“2012. Statistics and Public Policy (Philadelphia, Pa), 2015, 2, 1-10.	1.6	0
13	No! Formal Theory, Causal Inference, and Big Data Are Not Contradictory Trends in Political Science. PS - Political Science and Politics, 2015, 48, 71-74.	0.5	31
14	Calibrating ensemble forecasting models with sparse data in the social sciences. International Journal of Forecasting, 2015, 31, 930-942.	6.5	14
15	Origins of Presidential poll aggregation: A perspective from 2004 to 2012. International Journal of Forecasting, 2015, 31, 898-909.	6.5	8
16	Combining forecasts for elections: Accurate, relevant, and timely. International Journal of Forecasting, 2015, 31, 952-964.	6.5	34
17	The wisdom of crowds: Applying Condorcetâ€™s jury theorem to forecasting US presidential elections. International Journal of Forecasting, 2015, 31, 916-929.	6.5	37
18	A simple approach to projecting the electoral college. International Journal of Forecasting, 2015, 31, 910-915.	6.5	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
20	Under-performing, over-performing, or just performing? The limitations of fundamentals-based presidential election forecasting. <i>International Journal of Forecasting</i> , 2015, 31, 965-979.	6.5	16
21	Picking the winner(s): Forecasting elections in multiparty systems. <i>Electoral Studies</i> , 2015, 40, 1-13.	1.7	26
22	Predicting Elections: Considering Tools to Pool the Polls. <i>Public Opinion Quarterly</i> , 2015, 79, 594-619.	1.6	17
23	A predictable outcome. <i>Significance</i> , 2015, 12, 11-13.	0.4	1
24	Forecasting the 2012 and 2014 Elections Using Bayesian Prediction and Optimization. <i>SAGE Open</i> , 2015, 5, 215824401557972.	1.7	1
25	Election Forecasting. , 2016, , .		1
26	The Application of Big Data in Surveys to the Study of Elections, Public Opinion, and Representation. , 0, , 27-50.		4
27	The Utility of Unpacking Survey Bias in Multiparty Elections: Mexican Polling Firms in the 2006 and 2012 Presidential Elections. <i>International Journal of Public Opinion Research</i> , 2016, 28, 96-116.	1.3	8
28	A Predictive Test of Voters' Economic Benchmarking: The 2013 German Bundestag Election. <i>German Politics</i> , 2016, 25, 106-130.	1.3	12
29	Improving election prediction internationally. <i>Science</i> , 2017, 355, 515-520.	12.6	35
30	Forecasting elections at the constituency level: A correctionâ€“combination procedure. <i>International Journal of Forecasting</i> , 2017, 33, 467-481.	6.5	5
31	Integrated facies modeling in unconventional reservoirs using a frequentist approach: Example from a South Texas field. <i>Geophysics</i> , 2017, 82, B219-B230.	2.6	2
32	Forecasting Dutch elections: An initial model from the March 2017 legislative contests. <i>Research and Politics</i> , 2017, 4, 205316801772002.	1.1	6
33	Using Wikipedia to Predict Election Outcomes. <i>Public Opinion Quarterly</i> , 2017, 81, 714-735.	1.6	9
34	Tracking the Impact of Media on Voter Choice in Real Time: A Bayesian Dynamic Joint Model. <i>Journal of the American Statistical Association</i> , 2018, 113, 1457-1475.	3.1	0
35	Using Data Combination of Fundamental Variable-Based Forecasts and Poll-Based Forecasts to Predict the 2013 German Election. <i>German Politics</i> , 2018, 27, 25-43.	1.3	7
36	Probability Forecasts Made at Multiple Lead Times. <i>Management Science</i> , 2018, 64, 2407-2426.	4.1	11
37	Collective failure? Lessons from combining forecasts for the UK's referendum on EU membership. <i>Journal of Elections, Public Opinion and Parties</i> , 2018, 28, 59-77.	2.0	8

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
38	Congressional Midterm Forecasts: A Trump Economic Difference?. Forum (Germany), 2018, 16, 477-496.	0.5	2
39	The optimal allocation of campaign funds in U.S. House elections. Electoral Studies, 2018, 56, 102-113.	1.7	2
40	How surprising was Trump's victory? Evaluations of the 2016 U.S. presidential election and a new poll aggregation model. Electoral Studies, 2018, 54, 81-89.	1.7	12
41	Autoregressive effects in poll-based election models for the German federal election. Electoral Studies, 2018, 54, 66-80.	1.7	0
42	Estimating Smooth Country-Year Panels of Public Opinion. Political Analysis, 2019, 27, 1-20.	3.3	35
43	Dynamic Risk Profiling Using Serial Tumor Biomarkers for Personalized Outcome Prediction. Cell, 2019, 178, 699-713.e19.	28.9	138
44	Adaptive Polling in Hierarchical Social Networks Using Blackwell Dominance. IEEE Transactions on Signal and Information Processing Over Networks, 2019, 5, 538-553.	2.8	2
45	The verdict in the polls: how government stability is affected by popular support. West European Politics, 2019, 42, 593-617.	4.7	7
46	Accuracy of German federal election forecasts, 2013 & 2017. International Journal of Forecasting, 2019, 35, 868-877.	6.5	12
47	Bayesian forecasting of electoral outcomes with new parties' competition. European Journal of Political Economy, 2019, 59, 52-70.	1.8	3
48	Show me your friends, and I will tell you whom you vote for. , 2019, , .		12
49	Forecasting Elections in Multiparty Systems: A Bayesian Approach Combining Polls and Fundamentals. Political Analysis, 2019, 27, 255-262.	3.3	17
50	Dynamic Bayesian Influenza Forecasting in the United States with Hierarchical Discrepancy (with) Tj ETQq0 0 0 rgBT /Overlock 10 Tf 50	3.0	38
51	Public Opinion in Subnational Politics. Journal of Politics, 2019, 81, 352-363.	2.2	23
52	Hierarchical model for forecasting the outcomes of binary referenda. Computational Statistics and Data Analysis, 2019, 133, 90-103.	1.2	0
53	A Spatiotemporal Bayesian Hierarchical Approach to Investigating Patterns of Confidence in the Police at the Neighborhood Level. Geographical Analysis, 2019, 51, 90-110.	3.5	8
54	Estimating coalition majorities during political campaigns based on pre-election polls. Journal of Elections, Public Opinion and Parties, 2020, 30, 126-137.	2.0	3
55	Model-based pre-election polling for national and sub-national outcomes in the US and UK. International Journal of Forecasting, 2020, 36, 399-413.	6.5	15

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
56	An Introduction to Sequential Monte Carlo. Springer Series in Statistics, 2020, , .	0.9	85
57	Forecasting Elections Using Compartmental Models of Infection. SIAM Review, 2020, 62, 837-865.	9.5	14
58	Whither Presidential Approval?. Presidential Studies Quarterly, 2020, 50, 845-863.	0.6	5
59	Election forecasts: Cracking the Danish case. International Journal of Forecasting, 2020, 36, 892-898.	6.5	5
60	A two-stage model to forecast elections in new democracies. International Journal of Forecasting, 2020, 36, 1407-1419.	6.5	2
61	Noncooperative dynamics in election interference. Physical Review E, 2020, 101, 022307.	2.1	0
62	Modeling undecided voters to forecast elections: From bandwagon behavior and the spiral of silence perspective. International Journal of Forecasting, 2021, 37, 461-483.	6.5	5
63	Models, Markets, and the Forecasting of Elections. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	2
64	Bayesian Hierarchical Stacking: Some Models Are (Somewhere) Useful. Bayesian Analysis, 2022, 17, .	3.0	12
65	Forecasting the 2020 Presidential Election: a Comparison of Methods. , 2021, , .		0
66	Unveiling spatial and temporal patterns of second home dynamics: a Bayesian spatiotemporal analysis for a Mediterranean island. Spatial Economic Analysis, 2022, 17, 10-33.	1.6	5
67	A stochastic differential equation approach to the analysis of the 2017 and 2019 UK general election polls. International Journal of Forecasting, 2021, 37, 1227-1234.	6.5	2
68	The Zweitstimme Model: A Dynamic Forecast of the 2021 German Federal Election. PS - Political Science and Politics, 2022, 55, 85-90.	0.5	3
69	Failure and Success in Political Polling and Election Forecasting. Statistics and Public Policy (Philadelphia, Pa), 2021, 8, 67-72.	1.6	5
70	Forecasting government support in Irish general elections: Opinion polls and structural models. International Journal of Forecasting, 2021, 37, 1654-1665.	6.5	1
71	Towards Principled Unskewing: Viewing 2020 Election Polls Through a Corrective Lens from 2016. , 0, , .		4
72	An Updated Dynamic Bayesian Forecasting Model for the US Presidential Election. , 2020, 2, .		9
73	Aggregating forecasts of multiple respiratory pathogens supports more accurate forecasting of influenza-like illness. PLoS Computational Biology, 2020, 16, e1008301.	3.2	8

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
74	Review of modern models and methods of analysis of time series of dynamics of processes in social, economic and socio-technical systems. Russian Technological Journal, 2020, 8, 7-45.	1.0	20
75	Argentinian Elections. Revista Latinoamericana De Opini3n P3blica, 0, , .	0.4	1
76	M3todos alternativos para la estimaci3n de resultados electorales. Estudios Publicos, 2015, , .	0.1	1
77	Estimating Smooth Country-Year Panels of Public Opinion. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
80	Die Nutzung von Webdaten in den Sozialwissenschaften. Springer Reference Sozialwissenschaften, 2019, , 1-25.	0.2	0
81	Why Did Polls or OPOs Get Forecast Wrong? Perceived Bias and Inference of Bias Impact. , 2019, , .		0
82	Predictive Model for Brazilian Presidential Election Based on Analysis of Social Media. Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing, 2020, , 46-53.	0.6	1
83	Die Nutzung von Webdaten in den Sozialwissenschaften. , 2020, , 373-397.		1
85	Introduction to State-Space Models. Springer Series in Statistics, 2020, , 11-25.	0.9	0
86	Polls, Context, and Time: A Dynamic Hierarchical Bayesian Forecasting Model for US Senate Elections. Political Analysis, 2023, 31, 113-133.	3.3	0
87	Fast and accurate influenza forecasting in the United States with Inferno. PLoS Computational Biology, 2022, 18, e1008651.	3.2	5
88	Preelectoral polls variability: A hierarchical Bayesian model to assess the role of house effects with application to Italian elections. Annals of Applied Statistics, 2022, 16, .	1.1	2
89	Predicting competitions by combining conditional logistic regression and subjective Bayes: An Academy Awards case study. Annals of Applied Statistics, 2021, 15, .	1.1	1
90	Can We Algorithmize Politics? The Promise and Perils of Computerized Text Analysis in Political Research. PS - Political Science and Politics, 2022, 55, 809-814.	0.5	1
91	Forecasting two-horse races in new democracies: Accuracy, precision and error. Revista Latinoamericana De Opini3n P3blica, 2022, 11, .	0.4	0
92	Building insights on true positives vs. false positives: Bayes's rule. Decision Sciences Journal of Innovative Education, 2022, 20, 224-234.	0.8	0
93	Using polls to forecast popular vote share for US presidential elections 2016 and 2020: An optimal forecast combination based on ensemble empirical model. Journal of the Operational Research Society, 0, , 1-7.	3.4	0
94	Information, incentives, and goals in election forecasts. Judgment and Decision Making, 2020, 15, 863-880.	1.4	12

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
95	Forecasting South Korea's presidential election via multiparty dynamic Bayesian modeling. <i>International Journal of Forecasting</i> , 2024, 40, 124-141.	6.5	0
96	Toward a taxonomy of trust for probabilistic machine learning. <i>Science Advances</i> , 2023, 9, .	10.3	1
97	Subjective Probability Correction for Uncertainty Representations. , 2023, , .		2
98	What Can Bayesian Inference Do for Accounting Research?. <i>Journal of Financial Reporting</i> , 2023, , 1-18.	1.5	2
99	Precarity and populism: explaining populist outlook and populist voting in Europe through subjective financial and work-related insecurity. <i>European Sociological Review</i> , 0, , .	2.3	0
100	Postelection analysis of presidential election/poll data. <i>Annals of Applied Statistics</i> , 2023, 17, .	1.1	0
101	Swaying the Public? Impacts of Election Forecast Visualizations on Emotion, Trust, and Intention in the 2022 U.S. Midterms. <i>IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics</i> , 2023, , 1-11.	4.4	0
102	Bias and Variance in Multiparty Election Polls. <i>Public Opinion Quarterly</i> , 2023, 87, 1025-1037.	1.6	0
103	A Hybrid Method of Sentiment Analysis and Machine Learning Algorithm for the U.S. Presidential Election Forecasting. , 2023, , .		0