Digital vs. conventional implant impressions: efficiency outcomes

DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0501.2012.02430.x
Clinical Oral Implants Research, 2013, 24, 111-5.

#	Paper	IF	Citations
189	Use of implant-supported interim restorations to transfer periimplant soft tissue profiles to a milled polyurethane definitive cast. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2013**, 109, 333-7	4	26
188	An evaluation of student and clinician perception of digital and conventional implant impressions. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2013**, 110, 420-3	4	53
187	Digitale Zahnmedizin und innovative CAD/CAM-Materialien. **2013**, 110, 15-20		1
186	The use of a scannable impression coping and digital impression technique to fabricate a customized anatomic abutment and zirconia restoration in the esthetic zone. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2013**, 109, 187-91	4	37
185	Patients' preferences when comparing analogue implant impressions using a polyether impression material versus digital impressions (Intraoral Scan) of dental implants. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, **2014**, 25, 1113-8	4.8	99
184	Comparison between clinical and digital soft tissue measurements. *Journal of Esthetic and Restorative Dentistry*, **2014**, 26, 191-9	3.5	25
183	Use of digital data acquisition and CAD/CAM technology for the fabrication of a fixed complete dental prosthesis on dental implants. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2014**, 111, 1-5	4	28
182	Comparison of digital and conventional impression techniques: evaluation of patients' perception, treatment comfort, effectiveness and clinical outcomes. *BMC Oral Health*, **2014**, 14, 10	3.7	206
181	Accuracy of computer-aided design/computer-aided manufacturing-generated dental casts based on intraoral scanner data. **2014**, 145, 1133-40		95
180	Accelerated techniques for a post and core and a crown restoration with intraoral digital scanners and CAD/CAM and rapid prototyping. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2014**, 112, 1024-9	4	9
179	Using stereophotogrammetric technology for obtaining intraoral digital impressions of implants. **2014**, 145, 338-44		30
178	Complete digital workflow for the production of implant-supported single-unit monolithic crowns. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, **2014**, 25, 1304-1306	4.8	58
177	The time efficiency of intraoral scanners: an in vitro comparative study. **2014**, 145, 542-51		98
176	Application of digital diagnostic impression, virtual planning, and computer-guided implant surgery for a CAD/CAM-fabricated, implant-supported fixed dental prosthesis: a clinical report. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2014**, 112, 402-8	4	36
175	Applicability and accuracy of an intraoral scanner for scanning multiple implants in edentulous mandibles: a pilot study. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2014**, 111, 186-94	4	130
174	Changes in views on digital intraoral scanners among dental hygienists after training in digital impression taking. *BMC Oral Health*, **2015**, 15, 151	3.7	22
173	A Comparison of Different Methods to Generate Tooth Surface Models Without Applying Ionizing Radiation for Digital 3-Dimensional Image Fusion With Magnetic Resonance Imaging-Based Data of the Head and Neck Region. **2015**, 39, 882-9		6

172	Accuracy of Digital Impressions and Fitness of Single Crowns Based on Digital Impressions. *Materials*, **2015**, 8, 3945-3957	3.5	22
171	A case report of a surgical guide fabricated via intraoral scanning-based implant planning and wax-based rapid prototyping. *The Journal of Korean Academy of Prosthodontics*, **2015**, 53, 244	0.2	
170	Digital impressions for fabrication of definitive "all-on-four" restorations. **2015**, 24, 125-9		33
169	Orthodontic scanners: what's available?. **2015**, 42, 136-43		48
168	Digital versus analog complete-arch impressions for single-unit premolar implant crowns: Operating time and patient preference. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2015**, 114, 403-6.e1	4	87
167	Digital process for an implant-supported fixed dental prosthesis: A clinical report. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2015**, 114, 469-73	4	18
166	Clinical efficacy of polyvinyl siloxane impression materials using the one-step two-viscosity impression technique. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2015**, 114, 217-22	4	8
165	Critical appraisal of implant impression accuracies: A systematic review. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2015**, 114, 185-92.e1	4	30
164	Digital Impressions. **2015**, 27-40		
163	Assessment of the Internal Fit of Lithium Disilicate Crowns Using Micro-CT. *Journal of Prosthodontics*, **2015**, 24, 381-6	3.9	38
162	Intraoral Digital Impression Technique: A Review. *Journal of Prosthodontics*, **2015**, 24, 313-21	3.9	163
161	Accuracy of digital versus conventional implant impressions. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, **2015**, 26, 715-9	4.8	90
160	Comparison of patient satisfaction with digital and conventional impression for prosthodontic treatment. *The Journal of Korean Academy of Prosthodontics*, **2016**, 54, 379	0.2	2
159	The Prosthetic Workflow in the Digital Era. *International Journal of Dentistry*, **2016**, 2016, 9823025	1.9	6
158	Examination of the Position Accuracy of Implant Abutments Reproduced by Intra-Oral Optical Impression. **2016**, 11, e0164048		24
157	Digital implant impressions by cone-beam computerized tomography: a pilot study. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, **2016**, 27, 1407-1413	4.8	3
156	Clinical Fitting and Adjustment Time for Implant-Supported Crowns Comparing Digital and Conventional Workflows. **2016**, 18, 946-954		40
155	Intraoral Digital Impression Technique Compared to Conventional Impression Technique. A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Journal of Prosthodontics*, **2016**, 25, 282-7	3.9	91

154	Comparison of experience curves between two 3-dimensional intraoral scanners. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2016**, 116, 221-30	4	79
153	Ulteriori applicazioni degli scanner intraorali: duplicazione di corone e ponti provvisori funzionalizzati. **2016**, 84, 388-394		
152	Randomized controlled within-subject evaluation of digital and conventional workflows for the fabrication of lithium disilicate single crowns. Part I: digital versus conventional unilateral impressions. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2016**, 116, 777-782	4	38
151	Factors affecting the complexity of dental implant restoration - what is the current evidence and guidance?. **2016**, 221, 615-622		5
150	Patient-centered outcomes comparing digital and conventional implant impression procedures: a randomized crossover trial. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, **2016**, 27, e185-e189	4.8	102
149	Il workflow estetico funzionale. Il successo tra tradizione e innovazione. **2016**, 84, 292-301		
148	Intraoral 3D Scanning or Dental Impressions for the Assessment of Dental Arch Relationships in Cleft Care: Which is Superior?. **2016**, 53, 568-77		21
147	Evaluation of fit and efficiency of CAD/CAM fabricated all-ceramic restorations based on direct and indirect digitalization: a double-blinded, randomized clinical trial. *Clinical Oral Investigations*, **2016**, 20, 291-300	4.2	70
146	Accuracy of digital impressions of multiple dental implants: an in vitro study. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, **2017**, 28, 648-653	4.8	103
145	Comparison of digital scanning and polyvinyl siloxane impression techniques by dental students: instructional efficiency and attitudes towards technology. *European Journal of Dental Education*, **2017**, 21, 200-205	2.5	26
144	Non-Radiological Method for Fabrication of a Screw-Channel Drilling Guide in Cement-Retained Implant Restorations Using Intraoral Digital Scanning and Imaging Superimposition: A Clinical Report. *Journal of Prosthodontics*, **2017**, 26, 88-92	3.9	3
143	Fit of CAD/CAM Tooth-supported Single Crowns and Fixed Dental Prostheses. **2017**, 4, 142-150		3
142	The Role of CAD/CAM in Modern Dentistry. **2017**, 188-193		
141	Virtual Reality-Based Technologies in Dental Medicine: Knowledge, Attitudes and Practice Among Students and Practitioners. **2017**, 22, 199-207		10
140	A combined digital and stereophotogrammetric technique for rehabilitation with immediate loading of complete-arch, implant-supported prostheses: A randomized controlled pilot clinical trial. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2017**, 118, 596-603	4	6
139	Efficient digitalization method for dental restorations using micro-CT data. **2017**, 7, 44577		1
138	Randomized controlled within-subject evaluation of digital and conventional workflows for the fabrication of lithium disilicate single crowns. Part II: CAD-CAM versus conventional laboratory procedures. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2017**, 118, 43-48	4	31
137	Digital technology in fixed implant prosthodontics. **2017**, 73, 178-192		88

136	Dental Students' Perceptions of Digital and Conventional Impression Techniques: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Journal of Dental Education*, **2017**, 81, 1227-1232	1.6	21
135	The reliability and validity of measurements of human dental casts made by an intra-oral 3D scanner, with conventional hand-held digital callipers as the comparison measure. **2017**, 278, 198-204		10
134	Integration of Digital Dentistry into a Predoctoral Implant Program: Program Description, Rationale, and Utilization Trends. *Journal of Dental Education*, **2017**, 81, 986-994	1.6	6
133	A Simple and Automatic Method for Locating Surgical Guide Hole. **2017**, 8, 1		
132	Digital Impressions for Implant-Supported Fixed Dental Prostheses. **2017**, 4, 136-141		2
131	3D RECONSTRUCTION AND SLM SURVEY FOR DENTAL IMPLANTS. **2017**, 17, 1750084		5
130	Facially generated and cephalometric guided 3D digital design for complete mouth implant rehabilitation: A clinical report. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2017**, 117, 577-586	4	38
129	Accuracy of impression scanning compared with stone casts of implant impressions. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2017**, 117, 507-512	4	22
128	Comparison of the accuracy of direct and indirect three-dimensional digitizing processes for CAD/CAM systems - An in vitro study. *Journal of Prosthodontic Research*, **2017**, 61, 177-184	4.3	47
127	Time efficiency, difficulty, and operator's preference comparing digital and conventional implant impressions: a randomized controlled trial. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, **2017**, 28, 1318-1323	4.8	63
126	Intraoral scanners in dentistry: a review of the current literature. *BMC Oral Health*, **2017**, 17, 149	3.7	206
125	A Novel Approach to Determine the Prevalence of Type of Soft Palate Using Digital Intraoral Impression. *International Journal of Dentistry*, **2017**, 2017, 3268064	1.9	3
124	Intraoral Scanner Technologies: A Review to Make a Successful Impression. **2017**, 2017, 8427595		89
123	Evaluating the influence of ambient light on scanning trueness, precision, and time of intra oral scanner. *Journal of Prosthodontic Research*, **2018**, 62, 324-329	4.3	35
122	Comparison of two intraoral scanners based on three-dimensional surface analysis. *Progress in Orthodontics*, **2018**, 19, 6	3.4	19
121	A Comparative Study of the Accuracy of Dies Made from Digital Intraoral Scanning vs. Elastic Impressions: An In Vitro Study. *Journal of Prosthodontics*, **2018**, 27, 88-93	3.9	10
120	Comparison of digital intraoral scanner reproducibility and image trueness considering repetitive experience. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2018**, 119, 225-232	4	106
119	Accuracy of multi-unit implant impression: traditional techniques versus a digital procedure. *Clinical Oral Investigations*, **2018**, 22, 1253-1262	4.2	42

118	Digital versus conventional implant impressions for partially edentulous arches: An evaluation of accuracy. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2018**, 119, 574-579	4	51
117	Accuracy of stereolithography additive casts used in a digital workflow. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2018**, 119, 580-585	4	27
116	We're Going Digital: The Current State of CAD/CAM Dentistry in Prosthodontics. **2018**, 7, 30-5		8
115	A Comparative Study of the Validity and Reproducibility of Mesiodistal Tooth Size and Dental Arch with iTeroTM Intraoral Scanner and the Traditional Method. **2018**,		0
114	Is the use of digital technologies for the fabrication of implant-supported reconstructions more efficient and/or more effective than conventional techniques: A systematic review. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, **2018**, 29 Suppl 18, 184-195	4.8	32
113	Number of implants placed for complete-arch fixed prostheses: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, **2018**, 29 Suppl 16, 154-183	4.8	21
112	Conformity, reliability and validity of digital dental models created by clinical intraoral scanning and extraoral plaster model digitization workflows. **2018**, 100, 114-122		9
111	Accuracy of 3-dimensional computer-aided manufactured single-tooth implant definitive casts. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2018**, 120, 913-918	4	25
110	Accuracy of Implant Casts Generated with Conventional and Digital Impressions-An In Vitro Study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, **2018**, 15,	4.6	15
109	Digital tools and 3D printing technologies integrated into the workflow of restorative treatment: A clinical report. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2019**, 121, 3-8	4	32
108	Accuracy of digital impressions for implant-supported complete-arch prosthesis, using an auxiliary geometry part-An in vitro study. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, **2019**, 30, 1250-1258	4.8	13
107	Integrated Digital and Conventional Treatment Workflow in Guided Complete Mouth Implant Rehabilitation: A Clinical Case Report. *Dentistry Journal*, **2019**, 7,	3.1	1
106	Digital Implant Surgery. **2019**, 181-205		
105	Intrasubject comparison of digital vs. conventional workflow for screw-retained single-implant crowns: Prosthodontic and patient-centered outcomes. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, **2019**, 30, 892-902	4.8	16
104	Is It Cost Effective to Add an Intraoral Scanner to an Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Practice?. **2019**, 77, 1687-1694		11
103	Accuracy of 3D digital modeling of dental arches. **2019**, 24, 38e1-37e7		13
102	Digital Workflow of Auricular Rehabilitation: A Technical Report Using an Intraoral Scanner. *Journal of Prosthodontics*, **2019**, 28, 596-600	3.9	15
101	Implant impression accuracy of parallel and non-parallel implants: a comparative in-vitro analysis of open and closed tray techniques. *International Journal of Implant Dentistry*, **2019**, 5, 4	2.8	5

100	Workflow description of additively manufactured clear silicone indexes for injected provisional restorations: A novel technique. *Journal of Esthetic and Restorative Dentistry*, **2019**, 31, 213-221	3.5	12
99	Dynamic changes of peri-implant soft tissue after interim restoration removal during a digital intraoral scan. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2019**, 122, 288-294	4	9
98	Digital Workflows in the Management of the Esthetically Discriminating Patient. **2019**, 63, 331-344		9
97	A prospective clinical study on implant impression accuracy. *International Journal of Implant Dentistry*, **2019**, 5, 38	2.8	0
96	Accuracy of Intra-Oral Scans Compared to Conventional Impression in Vitro. **2019**, 8, 34-39		3
95	A new method to measure the accuracy of intraoral scanners along the complete dental arch: A pilot study. *Journal of Advanced Prosthodontics*, **2019**, 11, 331-340	2.2	4
94	Trueness of CAD/CAM digitization with a desktop scanner - an in vitro study. *BMC Oral Health*, **2019** , 19, 280	3.7	7
93	Comparative study of all-ceramic crowns obtained from conventional and digital impressions: clinical findings. *Clinical Oral Investigations*, **2019**, 23, 1745-1751	4.2	11
92	Facially generated and additively manufactured baseplate and occlusion rim for treatment planning a complete-arch rehabilitation: A dental technique. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2019**, 121, 741-745	4	16
91	An implant impression technique involving abutment transition from interim prostheses to definitive restorations in the esthetic zone. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2019**, 121, 561-565	4	
90	The effect of conventional, half-digital, and full-digital fabrication techniques on the retention and apical gap of post and core restorations. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2019**, 121, 364.e1-364.e6	4	4
89	Performance and perception of dental students using three intraoral CAD/CAM scanners for full-arch scanning. *Journal of Prosthodontic Research*, **2019**, 63, 167-172	4.3	12
88	Dimensional Accuracy of Surgical Guides Fabricated from Different Materials Using 3D Printer. *Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems*, **2019**, 805-813	0.5	
87	Accuracy of 3-unit fixed dental prostheses fabricated on 3D-printed casts. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2020**, 123, 135-142	4	18
86	Prosthodontics dental materials: From conventional to unconventional. *Materials Science and Engineering C*, **2020**, 106, 110167	8.3	15
85	Accuracy of 3D Printed Models Created by Two Technologies of Printers with Different Designs of Model Base. *Journal of Prosthodontics*, **2020**, 29, 124-128	3.9	39
84	A clinical comparison of digital and conventional impression techniques regarding finish line locations and impression time. *Journal of Esthetic and Restorative Dentistry*, **2020**, 32, 236-243	3.5	7
83	Guided implant scanning: A procedure for improving the accuracy of implant-supported complete-arch fixed dental prostheses. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2020**, 124, 135-139	4	5

82	In‐vitro evaluation of the accuracy and precision of intraoral and extraoral complete-arch scans. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2021**, 126, 665-670	4	8
81	Dimensional accuracy and surface characteristics of 3D-printed dental casts. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2021**, 126, 427-437	4	11
80	Clinical Evaluation of Time Efficiency and Fit Accuracy of Lithium Disilicate Single Crowns between Conventional and Digital Impression. *Materials*, **2020**, 13,	3.5	4
79	Comparative Evaluation of Digitization of Diagnostic Dental Cast (Plaster) Models Using Different Scanning Technologies. *Dentistry Journal*, **2020**, 8,	3.1	3
78	Comparison of different intraoral scanning techniques on the completely edentulous maxilla: An in‐vitro 3-dimensional comparative analysis. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2020**, 124, 762.e1-762.e8	4	5
77	Immediate Implant Placement and Provisionalization in the Esthetic Zone Revisited: The Marginal Migration Concept (MMC). *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*, **2020**, 10, 8944	2.6	
76	Learning curve of digital intraoral scanning - an in vivo study. *BMC Oral Health*, **2020**, 20, 287	3.7	11
75	Digital Intraoral Scanners and Alginate Impressions in Reproducing Full Dental Arches: A Comparative 3D Assessment. *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*, **2020**, 10, 7637	2.6	1
74	Evaluation of the accuracy of 2 digital intraoral scanners: A 3D analysis study. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2021**, 126, 787-792	4	3
73	Effect of saliva isolation and intraoral light levels on performance of intraoral scanners. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics*, **2020**, 158, 759-766	2.1	3
72	Graphene oxide-coated porous titanium for pulp sealing: an antibacterial and dentino-inductive restorative material. *Journal of Materials Chemistry B*, **2020**, 8, 5606-5619	7.3	16
71	Digital Undergraduate Education in Dentistry: A Systematic Review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, **2020**, 17,	4.6	38
70	Evaluation of the accuracy of the optical scanners used in the modern dental practice. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, **2020**, 1492, 012017	0.3	0
69	Patient and Operator Centered Outcomes in Implant Dentistry: Comparison between Fully Digital and Conventional Workflow for Single Crown and Three-Unit Fixed-Bridge. *Materials*, **2020**, 13,	3.5	2
68	Marginal fit of zirconia copings fabricated after conventional impression making and digital scanning: An in‐vitro study. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2020**, 124, 223.e1-223.e6	4	7
67	Effect of distance between the abutment and the adjacent teeth on intraoral scanning: An in‐vitro study. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2021**, 125, 911-917	4	4
66	Comparison of conventional, photogrammetry, and intraoral scanning accuracy of complete-arch implant impression procedures evaluated with a coordinate measuring machine. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2021**, 125, 470-478	4	13
65	Volumetric changes at implant sites: A systematic appraisal of traditional methods and optical scanning-based digital technologies. *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*, **2021**, 48, 315-334	7.7	7

64	The dentist will scan you now: The next generation of digital-savvy graduates. *European Journal of Dental Education*, **2021**, 25, 232-237	2.5	2
63	Use of measuring gauges for accuracy analysis of intraoral scanners: a pilot study. *Journal of Advanced Prosthodontics*, **2021**, 13, 191-204	2.2	0
62	Effects of inter-implant distance on the accuracy of intraoral scanner: An study. *Journal of Advanced Prosthodontics*, **2021**, 13, 107-116	2.2	1
61	Accuracy of impressions for multiple implants: A comparative study of digital and conventional techniques. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2021**,	4	0
60	Accuracy and feasibility of 3D-printed custom open trays for impressions of multiple implants: A self-controlled clinical trial. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2021**,	4	
59	A clinical study comparing digital scanning and conventional impression making for implant-supported prostheses: A crossover clinical trial. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2021**,	4	4
58	Complete-Arch Accuracy of Four Intraoral Scanners: An In Vitro Study. *Healthcare (Switzerland)*, **2021**, 9,	3.4	4
57	Effect of Impression Technique and Operator Experience on Impression Time and Operator-Reported Outcomes. *Journal of Prosthodontics*, **2021**, 30, 676-683	3.9	1
56	Students' perceptions and attitudes about digital dental technology is associated with their intention to use it. *Journal of Dental Education*, **2021**, 85, 1427-1434	1.6	0
55	Accuracy of implant impression techniques with a scannable healing abutment. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2021**,	4	0
54	Trueness of a device for intraoral scanning to capture the angle and distance between implants in edentulous mandibular arches. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2021**,	4	2
53	The Effects of Orthodontic Brackets on the Time and Accuracy of Digital Impression Taking. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, **2021**, 18,	4.6	1
52	Clinical Evaluation of Crestal Bone Levels, Peri-Implant Indices, and Mucosal Margin Position of Immediately Impressed Posterior Dental Implants: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Journal of Prosthodontics*, **2021**, 30, 763-768	3.9	0
51	Time Efficiency of Digitally and Conventionally Produced Single-Unit Restorations. *Dentistry Journal* , **2021**, 9,	3.1	
50	Randomized Clinical Trial comparing clinical adjustment times of CAD/CAM screw-retained posterior crowns on ti-base abutments created with digital or conventional impressions. One-year follow-up. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, **2021**, 32, 962-970	4.8	1
49	Concepts and Clinical Applications of Intraoral 3D Scanning in the Management of Patients with Orofacial Clefts.		
48	Effect of training on time efficiency and marginal adaptation of computer-aided design/computer-aided manufacturing crowns among dental students. *European Journal of Dental Education*, **2021**,	2.5	0
47	Dental students' preference and perception on intraoral scanning and impression making. *BMC Medical Education*, **2021**, 21, 501	3.3	1

46	Intraoral scanning reduces procedure time and improves patient comfort in fixed prosthodontics and implant dentistry: a systematic review. *Clinical Oral Investigations*, **2021**, 25, 6517-6531	4.2	2
45	Investigation of the deviation during the information transfer from the prosthetic field to the laboratory scanners. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, **2021**, 1056, 012010	0.4	
44	A completely digital workflow for the transition from a failed dentition to interim complete-arch fixed implant-supported prostheses: A clinical report. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2020**,	4	0
43	Comparison of the accuracy of intraoral scans between complete-arch scan and quadrant scan. *Progress in Orthodontics*, **2020**, 21, 36	3.4	15
42	The impact of impression coping geometrical design on accuracy of implant impressions: an experimental study. *International Journal of Implant Dentistry*, **2020**, 6, 54	2.8	1
41	Accuracy comparison of buccal bite scans by five intra-oral scanners. *Journal of Dental Rehabilitation and Applied Science*, **2018**, 34, 17-31	0.2	4
40	Comparison of Intraoral and Extraoral Digital Scanners: Evaluation of Surface Topography and Precision. *Dentistry Journal*, **2020**, 8,	3.1	6
39	Clinical accuracy of impression technique using digital superimposition of customized abutment with subgingival margin: A case report. *The Journal of Korean Academy of Prosthodontics*, **2020**, 58, 169	0.2	1
38	Comparison of Digital and Conventional Impression Methods by Preclinical Students: Efficiency and Future Expectations. *Journal of International Society of Preventive and Community Dentistry*, **2020**, 10, 402-409	1.1	4
37	Making Teeth Models using 3-aixs CNC Milling. *Transactions of Materials Processing*, **2014**, 23, 16-22		
36	Digital Implant Abutment and Crowns in the Aesthetic Zone. **2017**, 369-382		
35	Implant Digital Impression with Intraoral Scanners: A Literature Review. *The Korean Academy of Oral and Maxillofacial Implantology*, **2017**, 21, 2-13	0.3	3
34	3D scanners in orthodontics. *Czech Stomatology and Practical Dentistry*, **2018**, 118, 13-24	0	
33	The Effect of the Scanning Number on Accuracy of Digital Impression. **2019**, 38-41		0
32	A study on the accuracy evaluation of dental die models manufactured by 3D printing method. *Journal of Korean Acedemy of Dental Technology*, **2019**, 41, 287-293	0.3	
31	Patient-related outcomes of conventional impression making versus intraoral scanning for prosthetic rehabilitation: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2021**,	4	0
30	Implant Digital Impression with Intraoral Scanners: A Literature Review Implant Digital Impression with Intraoral Scanners: A Literature Review. *The Korean Academy of Oral and Maxillofacial Implantology*, **2017**, 21, 2-13	0.3	
29	Current Digital Workflow for Implant Therapy: Advantages and Limitations. **2021**, 79-113		

28	[Precise tooth preparation technique guided by 3D printing guide plate with quantitative hole]. *Hua Xi Kou Qiang Yi Xue Za Zhi = Huaxi Kouqiang Yixue Zazhi = West China Journal of Stomatology*, **2020**, 38, 350-355		1
27	Pink Esthetic Score Immediate Impressed ImplantsClinical Evaluation of Pink Esthetic Score of Immediately Impressed Posterior Dental Implants.. *Journal of Prosthodontics*, **2022**,	3.9	
26	Effect of Implant Angulation on the Rotational Displacement of a 3-Unit Bridge after Digital Impression.. *International Journal of Dentistry*, **2022**, 2022, 8634091	1.9	
25	An In-Vitro Evaluation of Articulation Accuracy for Digitally Milled Models vs. Conventional Gypsum Casts.. *Dentistry Journal*, **2022**, 10,	3.1	0
24	Cone-Beam Angle Dependency of 3D Models Computed from Cone-Beam CT Images.. *Sensors*, **2022**, 22,	3.8	1
23	Accuracy of Digital Dental Implants Impression Taking with Intraoral Scanners Compared with Conventional Impression Techniques: A Systematic Review of In Vitro Studies.. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, **2022**, 19,	4.6	1
22	Influence of customized over-scan body rings on the intraoral scanning effectiveness of a multiple implant edentulous mandibular model.. *Journal of Dentistry*, **2022**, 104095	4.8	0
21	Effect of the implant-supported provisional restoration on the accuracy of digital peri-implant mucosa replication - a clinical study.. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, **2022**,	4.8	0
20	Impact of orthodontic brackets on intraoral and extraoral scans.. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics*, **2022**,	2.1	0
19	Positional trueness of abutments by using a digital die-merging protocol compared with complete arch direct digital scans and conventional dental impressions.. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2022**,	4	0
18	Biomedical Devices: Materials, Fabrication and Control. *Intelligent Systems, Control and Automation: Science and Engineering*, **2022**, 195-219	0.6	
17	Digital intraoral scanner devices: a validation study based on common evaluation criteria.. *BMC Oral Health*, **2022**, 22, 140	3.7	1
16	Effect of custom abutment data superimposition on the accuracy of implant abutment level scanning: An in vitro study. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2022**,	4	
15	Effect of scanning speed, scanning pattern, and tip size on the accuracy of intraoral digital scans. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2022**,	4	0
14	Accuracy between intraoral and extraoral scanning: Three-dimensional deviation and effect of distance between implants from two scanning methods. *Journal of Indian Prosthodontic Society, The*, **2022**, 22, 279	1.2	
13	The Effect of Scanning Strategy on Intraoral Scanner Accuracy. *Dentistry Journal*, **2022**, 10, 123	3.1	0
12	Experience of Saudi Dental Practitioners with Intraoral Scanners.		
11	The Potential of Digital Impression in Orthodontics. **2022**, 10, 147		0

10	Miths and solutions in digital dental impression. **2022**, 9, 025-027	0
9	Comparative analysis of intaglio surface trueness of cement-retained implant-supported prostheses generated by a cast-free digital workflow and a three-dimensionally printed cast workflow. **2022**,	0
8	Influence of digital implant analog design on the positional trueness of an analog in additively manufactured models: An in-vitro study.	0
7	Procedure Time and Students Perception Comparing Full Arch Digital Scans with Conventional Impressions: A Cross-Over Randomized Experimental Trial. **2022**, 2022, 1-7	1
6	In Vivo Analysis of Intraoral Scanner Precision Using Open-Source 3D Software. **2022**, 4, 554-563	0
5	Occlusal adjustment of 3-unit tooth-supported fixed dental prostheses fabricated with complete-digital and -analog workflows: a non-randomized crossover clinical trial. **2022**, 104365	0
4	Effect of finish line location and saliva contamination on the accuracy of crown finish line scanning.	0
3	A Prospective Randomized Controlled Study of Indirect Fiber-reinforced Composite Resin-bonded Bridges with New Clinical Techniques. **2023**, 12, 54-58	0
2	Full mouth implant-supported fixed prosthesis restoration of an edentulous maxillary patient using computer-guided implant surgery. **2023**, 61, 63	0
1	Accuracy of tooth-implant impressions: Comparison of five different techniques.	0