

# CITATION REPORT

List of articles citing

The connecticut experiment: the role of ultrasound in the screening of women with dense breasts

DOI: 10.1111/tbj.12003

Breast Journal, 2012, 18, 517-22.

**Source:** <https://exaly.com/paper-pdf/53689933/citation-report.pdf>

**Version:** 2024-04-28

This report has been generated based on the citations recorded by exaly.com for the above article. For the latest version of this publication list, visit the link given above.

The third column is the impact factor (IF) of the journal, and the fourth column is the number of citations of the article.

#	Paper	IF	Citations
132	Decade of Normal Mammography reports--the happygram. <b>2013</b> , 10, 903-8		33
131	The California breast density information group: a collaborative response to the issues of breast density, breast cancer risk, and breast density notification legislation. <i>Radiology</i> , <b>2013</b> , 269, 887-92	20.5	80
130	Current breast imaging modalities, advances, and impact on breast care. <b>2013</b> , 40, 429-57		12
129	ACR Appropriateness Criteria Breast Cancer Screening. <b>2013</b> , 24, 233-234		
128	Alternate Imaging Studies to CT. <b>2013</b> , 36-63		
127	Tailored breast cancer screening program with microdose mammography, US, and MR Imaging: short-term results of a pilot study in 40-49-year-old women. <i>Radiology</i> , <b>2013</b> , 268, 347-55	20.5	23
126	Automated breast ultrasound: a novel approach to screening women with dense breasts. <b>2013</b> , 5, 139-145		2
125	How does semi-automated computer-derived CT measure of breast density compare with subjective assessments to assess mean glandular breast density, in patients with breast cancer?. <b>2014</b> , 87, 20140530		2
124	How should screening breast US be audited? The patient perspective. <i>Radiology</i> , <b>2014</b> , 272, 309-15	20.5	5
123	Breast density: comparison of chest CT with mammography. <i>Radiology</i> , <b>2014</b> , 270, 67-73	20.5	25
122	Early discussion of breast density and supplemental breast cancer screening: is it possible?. <i>Breast Journal</i> , <b>2014</b> , 20, 229-34	1.2	7
121	The value of substratified combined imaging assessment with mammography and ultrasonography for Chinese women with palpable breast masses. <b>2014</b> , 144, 391-6		2
120	Radiologic Screening for Breast Cancer: Current Controversies. <b>2014</b> , 2, 1		1
119	Update on screening breast ultrasonography. <i>Radiologic Clinics of North America</i> , <b>2014</b> , 52, 527-37	2.3	18
118	The evolution of breast imaging: past to present. <i>Radiology</i> , <b>2014</b> , 273, S23-44	20.5	39
117	Breast Density Notification Legislation: Pros and Cons of a New Paradigm. <b>2014</b> , 25, 201-203		
116	How should screening breast US be audited? The BI-RADS perspective. <i>Radiology</i> , <b>2014</b> , 272, 316-20	20.5	7

115	Mammographic density-a review on the current understanding of its association with breast cancer. <b>2014</b> , 144, 479-502		130
114	Improving breast cancer detection using ultrasonography in asymptomatic women with non-fatty breast density. <b>2014</b> , 55, 903-8		12
113	Breast density and breast cancer risk: a practical review. <i>Mayo Clinic Proceedings</i> , <b>2014</b> , 89, 548-57	6.4	69
112	Breast cancer detected with screening US: reasons for nondetection at mammography. <i>Radiology</i> , <b>2014</b> , 270, 369-77	20.5	110
111	Technologist-performed handheld screening breast US imaging: how is it performed and what are the outcomes to date?. <i>Radiology</i> , <b>2014</b> , 272, 12-27	20.5	38
110	Diagnostic workup and costs of a single supplemental molecular breast imaging screen of mammographically dense breasts. <b>2015</b> , 204, 1345-53		28
109	Breast density legislation: mandatory disclosure to patients, alternative screening, billing, reimbursement. <b>2015</b> , 204, 257-60		27
108	Mammographic breast density: impact on breast cancer risk and implications for screening. <b>2015</b> , 35, 302-15		157
107	Identifying women with dense breasts at high risk for interval cancer: a cohort study. <b>2015</b> , 162, 673-81		160
106	Screening breast ultrasound: past, present, and future. <b>2015</b> , 204, 234-40		126
105	Assessment of BI-RADS category 4 lesions detected with screening mammography and screening US: utility of MR imaging. <i>Radiology</i> , <b>2015</b> , 274, 343-51	20.5	53
104	Assessing improvement in detection of breast cancer with three-dimensional automated breast US in women with dense breast tissue: the SomInsight Study. <i>Radiology</i> , <b>2015</b> , 274, 663-73	20.5	201
103	Whole Breast Ultrasound: Comparison of the Visibility of Suspicious Lesions with Automated Breast Volumetric Scanning Versus Hand-Held Breast Ultrasound. <b>2015</b> , 22, 870-9		14
102	MR Imaging as an Additional Screening Modality for the Detection of Breast Cancer in Women Aged 50-75 Years with Extremely Dense Breasts: The DENSE Trial Study Design. <i>Radiology</i> , <b>2015</b> , 277, 527-37	20.5	69
101	Limitations of mammography in the diagnosis of breast diseases compared with ultrasonography: a single-center retrospective analysis of 274 cases. <b>2015</b> , 20, 49		17
100	Benefits, harms, and cost-effectiveness of supplemental ultrasonography screening for women with dense breasts. <b>2015</b> , 162, 157-66		135
99	The general radiologist's role in breast cancer risk assessment: breast density measurement on chest CT. <b>2015</b> , 39, 979-82		7
98	The connecticut experiments second year: ultrasound in the screening of women with dense breasts. <i>Breast Journal</i> , <b>2015</b> , 21, 175-80	1.2	43

97	Screening ultrasound as an adjunct to mammography in women with mammographically dense breasts. <b>2015</b> , 212, 9-17		76
96	Screening for Breast Cancer in Women With Dense Breasts. <b>2016</b> , 241-263		
95	Benefits and Harms of Breast Screening: Focused on Updated Korean Guideline for Breast Cancer Screening. <i>Journal of the Korean Society of Radiology</i> , <b>2016</b> , 74, 147	0.2	3
94	Mammographic Breast Density and Breast Cancer Risk: Implications of the Breast Density Legislation for Health Care Practitioners. <b>2016</b> , 59, 419-38		9
93	Impact of Breast Density Legislation on Breast Cancer Risk Assessment and Supplemental Screening: A Survey of 110 Radiology Facilities. <i>Breast Journal</i> , <b>2016</b> , 22, 493-500	1.2	14
92	A Review of Supplemental Screening Ultrasound for Breast Cancer: Certain Populations of Women with Dense Breast Tissue May Benefit. <b>2016</b> , 23, 1604-1609		31
91	Supplemental Breast Cancer Screening With Molecular Breast Imaging for Women With Dense Breast Tissue. <b>2016</b> , 207, 450-7		54
90	Automated Breast Ultrasound in Breast Cancer Screening of Women With Dense Breasts: Reader Study of Mammography-Negative and Mammography-Positive Cancers. <b>2016</b> , 206, 1341-50		66
89	The Use of Ultrasound in Breast Cancer Screening of Asymptomatic Women with Dense Breast Tissue: A Narrative Review. <i>Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Sciences</i> , <b>2016</b> , 47, S21-S28	1.4	5
88	Breast Density Legislation: More Evidence is Needed. <i>Breast Journal</i> , <b>2016</b> , 22, 491-2	1.2	1
87	Supplemental Screening for Breast Cancer in Women With Dense Breasts: A Systematic Review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. <b>2016</b> , 164, 268-78		193
86	Influences of race and breast density on related cognitive and emotion outcomes before mandated breast density notification. <b>2016</b> , 169, 171-179		13
85	Application of the downgrade criteria to supplemental screening ultrasound for women with negative mammography but dense breasts. <b>2016</b> , 95, e5279		10
84	Breast cancer biology varies by method of detection and may contribute to overdiagnosis. <b>2016</b> , 160, 454-62		10
83	The inter-observer variability of breast density scoring between mammography technologists and breast radiologists and its effect on the rate of adjuvant ultrasound. <b>2016</b> , 85, 957-62		2
82	Between-race differences in the effects of breast density information and information about new imaging technology on breast-health decision-making. <b>2016</b> , 99, 1002-10		13
81	Breast-Specific Imaging for the Detection of Mammographically Occult Breast Cancer in Women at Increased Risk. <b>2016</b> , 57, 678-84		23
80	Ultrasound as the Primary Screening Test for Breast Cancer: Analysis From ACRIN 6666. <b>2016</b> , 108,		123

79	Breast cancer screening controversies: who, when, why, and how?. <b>2016</b> , 40, 279-82		34
78	Breast Density Reporting Laws and Supplemental Screening-A Survey of Referring Providers' Experiences and Understanding. <b>2017</b> , 46, 105-109		25
77	Implementation of Whole-Breast Screening Ultrasonography. <i>Radiologic Clinics of North America</i> , <b>2017</b> , 55, 527-539	2.3	12
76	Dense Breast Notification and Supplemental Screening: A Survey of Current Strategies and Sentiments. <i>Breast Journal</i> , <b>2017</b> , 23, 193-199	1.2	8
75	Screening for Breast Cancer. <i>Radiologic Clinics of North America</i> , <b>2017</b> , 55, 1145-1162	2.3	53
74	Imaging Management of Breast Density, a Controversial Risk Factor for Breast Cancer. <b>2017</b> , 24, 125-136		2
73	Comparison of screening CEDM and MRI for women at increased risk for breast cancer: A pilot study. <b>2017</b> , 97, 37-43		66
72	Molecular Breast Imaging in Breast Cancer Screening and Problem Solving. <b>2017</b> , 37, 1309-1606		18
71	New York State Breast Density Mandate: Follow-up Data With Screening Sonography. <b>2017</b> , 36, 2511-2517		10
70	Explaining between-race differences in African-American and European-American women's responses to breast density notification. <b>2017</b> , 195, 149-158		13
69	The Connecticut Experiment; The Third Installment: 4 Years of Screening Women with Dense Breasts with Bilateral Ultrasound. <i>Breast Journal</i> , <b>2017</b> , 23, 34-39	1.2	48
68	Combined screening with mammography and ultrasound in a population-based screening program. <b>2018</b> , 101, 24-29		25
67	Impact of the California Breast Density Law on Screening Breast MR Utilization, Provider Ordering Practices, and Patient Demographics. <b>2018</b> , 15, 594-600		7
66	Automated Three-dimensional Breast US for Screening: Technique, Artifacts, and Lesion Characterization. <b>2018</b> , 38, 663-683		22
65	BI-RADS 3: Current and Future Use of Probably Benign. <b>2018</b> , 6, 5		29
64	Retroareolar masses and intraductal abnormalities detected on screening ultrasound: can biopsy be avoided?. <b>2018</b> , 91, 20170816		1
63	Breast MRI as a Problem-solving Study in the Evaluation of BI-RADS Categories 3 and 4 Microcalcifications: Is it Worth Performing?. <b>2018</b> , 25, 288-296		6
62	Supplemental Screening With Automated Breast Ultrasound in Women With Dense Breasts: Comparing Notification Methods and Screening Behaviors. <b>2018</b> , 210, W22-W28		11

61	Supplemental Screening Breast US in Women with Negative Mammographic Findings: Effect of Routine Axillary Scanning. <i>Radiology</i> , <b>2018</b> , 286, 830-837	20.5	11
60	The Role of Ultrasound in Breast Cancer Screening: The Case for and Against Ultrasound. <b>2018</b> , 39, 25-34		40
59	Follow-up interval for probably benign breast lesions on screening ultrasound in women at average risk for breast cancer with dense breasts. <b>2018</b> , 59, 1045-1050		7
58	The chest radiologist's role in invasive breast cancer detection. <b>2018</b> , 50, 13-19		6
57	Visibility of mammographically occult breast cancer on diffusion-weighted MRI versus ultrasound. <b>2018</b> , 49, 37-43		12
56	Breast Ultrasound Past, Present, and Future. <b>2018</b> ,		2
55	Advances in Breast MRI in the Setting of Ductal Carcinoma In Situ. <b>2018</b> , 53, 261-269		1
54	Interpretation Time Using a Concurrent-Read Computer-Aided Detection System for Automated Breast Ultrasound in Breast Cancer Screening of Women With Dense Breast Tissue. <b>2018</b> , 211, 452-461		24
53	The Role of Ultrasound in Screening Dense Breasts-A Review of the Literature and Practical Solutions for Implementation. <i>Diagnostics</i> , <b>2018</b> , 8,	3.8	35
52	Addition of ultrasound to mammography in the case of dense breast tissue: systematic review and meta-analysis. <b>2018</b> , 118, 1559-1570		50
51	Role of elastography for downgrading BI-RADS category 4a breast lesions according to risk factors. <b>2019</b> , 60, 278-285		7
50	The efficacy of added shear wave elastography (SWE) in breast screening for women with inconsistent mammography and conventional ultrasounds (US). <b>2019</b> , 71, 83-94		10
49	Patient-Reported Breast Density Awareness and Knowledge after Breast Density Legislation Passage. <b>2019</b> , 26, 726-731		20
48	Contrast-enhanced Mammography: Current Applications and Future Directions. <b>2019</b> , 39, 1907-1920		33
47	Dense Breast Ultrasound Screening After Digital Mammography Versus After Digital Breast Tomosynthesis. <b>2019</b> , 213, 1397-1402		13
46	Long-term excess risk of breast cancer after a single breast density measurement. <b>2019</b> , 117, 41-47		3
45	Use of Contrast-Enhanced Mammography in Breast Cancer Screening. <b>2019</b> , 115-132		
44	The Impact of Breast Density Notification Laws on Supplemental Breast Imaging and Breast Biopsy. <b>2019</b> , 34, 1441-1451		10

43	Physician Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices Regarding Breast Density. <b>2019</b> , 28, 1193-1199		13
42	Diagnostic performance of machine learning applied to texture analysis-derived features for breast lesion characterisation at automated breast ultrasound: a pilot study. <b>2019</b> , 3, 44		5
41	A Deep Learning-Based Decision Support Tool for Precision Risk Assessment of Breast Cancer. <b>2019</b> , 3, 1-12		14
40	Comparison of Clinical and Pathologic Characteristics of Ductal Carcinoma in Situ Detected on Mammography versus Ultrasound Only in Asymptomatic Patients. <b>2019</b> , 45, 68-77		12
39	Between-Race Differences in Supplemental Breast Cancer Screening Before and After Breast Density Notification Law. <b>2019</b> , 16, 797-803		6
38	Update on Breast Density, Risk Estimation, and Supplemental Screening. <b>2020</b> , 214, 296-305		14
37	A Call to Action: Now Is the Time to Screen Elderly and Treat Osteosarcopenia, a Position Paper of the Italian College of Academic Nutritionists MED/49 (ICAN-49). <b>2020</b> , 12,		5
36	Supplemental breast cancer-screening ultrasonography in women with dense breasts: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <b>2020</b> , 123, 673-688		13
35	Automated Breast Ultrasound Screening for Dense Breasts. <b>2020</b> , 21, 15-24		16
34	Breast mass assessment on chest CT: Axial, sagittal, coronal or maximal intensity projection?. <b>2020</b> , 63, 60-64		1
33	Screening Breast Ultrasound: Update After 10 Years of Breast Density Notification Laws. <b>2020</b> , 214, 1424-14358		
32	Supplemental Breast US Screening in Women with a Personal History of Breast Cancer: A Matched Cohort Study. <i>Radiology</i> , <b>2020</b> , 295, 54-63	20.5	2
31	False-negative results on computer-aided detection software in preoperative automated breast ultrasonography of breast cancer patients. <i>Ultrasonography</i> , <b>2021</b> , 40, 83-92	4.3	2
30	A Comprehensive Review of CAD Systems in Ultrasound and Elastography for Breast Cancer Diagnosis. <b>2021</b> , 61-79		
29	Aktueller Stand der Mammasonografie. <i>Radiologie Up2date</i> , <b>2021</b> , 21, 59-75	0.1	
28	Physicians' perceptions of breast density notification laws and appropriate patient follow-up. <i>Breast Journal</i> , <b>2021</b> , 27, 586-594	1.2	0
27	ABUS examination time: An observational study of operators' experience. <i>Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Sciences</i> , <b>2021</b> , 52, 374-378	1.4	
26	The Added Value of Supplemental Breast Ultrasound Screening for Women With Dense Breasts: A Single Center Canadian Experience. <i>Canadian Association of Radiologists Journal</i> , <b>2021</b> , 8465371211011707	3.9	4

25	Molecular Breast Imaging: A Scientific Review. <i>Journal of Breast Imaging</i> , <b>2021</b> , 3, 416-426	1	1
24	Chronological Trends of Breast Ductal Carcinoma In Situ: Clinical, Radiologic, and Pathologic Perspectives. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , <b>2021</b> , 28, 8699-8709	3.1	2
23	Diagnostic Performance of Adjunctive Imaging Modalities Compared to Mammography Alone in Women with Non-Dense and Dense Breasts: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. <i>Clinical Breast Cancer</i> , <b>2021</b> , 21, 278-291	3	3
22	Supplemental Screening for Patients at Intermediate and High Risk for Breast Cancer. <i>Radiologic Clinics of North America</i> , <b>2021</b> , 59, 67-83	2.3	2
21	Characteristics of breast cancer detected by supplementary screening ultrasonography. <i>Ultrasonography</i> , <b>2015</b> , 34, 153-6	4.3	6
20	Utility of supplemental screening with breast ultrasound in asymptomatic women with dense breast tissue who are not at high risk for breast cancer. <i>Indian Journal of Radiology and Imaging</i> , <b>2017</b> , 27, 52-58	0.8	4
19	3D Supine Automated Ultrasound (SAUS, ABUS, ABVS) for Supplemental Screening Women with Dense Breasts. <i>Journal of Breast Health</i> , <b>2016</b> , 12, 52-55		10
18	Which Modality Should Be Integrated to Increase the Diagnostic Efficiency of BI-RADS 0, 3, and 4 Lesions? Ultrasonography or Digital Breast Tomosynthesis?. <i>Iranian Journal of Radiology</i> , <b>2021</b> , In Press,	1.4	
17	Supplemental Cancer Screening for Women With Dense Breasts: Guidance for Health Care Professionals. <i>Mayo Clinic Proceedings</i> , <b>2021</b> , 96, 2891-2904	6.4	1
16	Multicentric study to evaluate the effectiveness of Thermalytix as compared with standard screening modalities in subjects who show possible symptoms of suspected breast cancer. <i>BMJ Open</i> , <b>2021</b> , 11, e052098	3	1
15	Measuring and Managing Breast Cancer Risk. <b>2013</b> , 350-373		
14	Challenges in Breast Imaging. <b>2015</b> , 291-299		
13	Screening for Breast Cancer. <b>2015</b> , 23-36		
12	Mammographic Density. <b>2015</b> , 163-167		
11	Screening Ultrasound for Women with Dense Breasts in the Age of Patient-centered Care. <i>American Journal of Sonography</i> , 1, 14		
10	A Systematic Review on RadiologistsTKnowledge of Breast Cancer Screening. <i>Journal of the Korean Society of Radiology</i> , <b>2019</b> , 80, 8	0.2	2
9	Use of Low-dose Chest CT Scan in the Evaluation of Breast Composition According to the Recommendations of BI-RADS Atlas-Fifth Edition. <i>Iranian Journal of Radiology</i> , <b>2020</b> , 17,	1.4	
8	Associating Automated Breast Ultrasound (ABUS) and Digital Breast Tomosynthesis (DBT) with Full-Field Digital Mammography (FFDM) in Clinical Practice in Cases of Women with Dense Breast Tissue.. <i>Diagnostics</i> , <b>2022</b> , 12,	3.8	



7 Breast screening tools step it up. 35-38

6 Invasive breast cancer: Digital breast tomosynthesis versus full-field digital mammography. 32-34

5 The Impact of Dense Breasts on the Stage of Breast Cancer at Diagnosis: A Review and Options for Supplemental Screening. *Current Oncology*, **2022**, 29, 3595-3636 2.8 1

4 Addressing Misinformation About the Canadian Breast Screening Guidelines. 084653712211207 1

3 Mammographic Density. **2022**, 41-49 0

2 Integration of Handheld Ultrasound or Automated Breast Ultrasound among Women with Negative Mammographic Screening Findings: A Multi-center Population-based Study in China. **2023**, 0

1 Performance of supplemental imaging modalities for breast cancer in women with dense breasts: findings from an umbrella review and primary studies analysis. **2023**, 0