

CITATION REPORT

List of articles citing

Further steps towards an ideal method of measuring citation performance: The avoidance of citation (ratio) averages in field-normalization

DOI: 10.1016/j.joi.2010.10.009

Journal of Informetrics, 2011, 5, 228-230.

Source: <https://exaly.com/paper-pdf/51599394/citation-report.pdf>

Version: 2022-10-03

This report has been generated based on the citations recorded by exaly.com for the above article. For the latest version of this publication list, visit the link given above.

The third column is the impact factor (IF) of the journal, and the fourth column is the number of citations of the article.

#	Paper	IF	Citations
101	Mapping excellence in the geography of science: An approach based on Scopus data. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2011 , 5, 537-546	3	56
100	Evaluation of research in context: an approach and two cases. 2011 , 20, 61-72		48
99	Towards a new crown indicator: an empirical analysis. 2011 , 87, 467-481		151
98	Turning the tables on citation analysis one more time: Principles for comparing sets of documents. 2011 , 62, 1370-1381		119
97	Application of the distribution of citations among publications in scientometric evaluations. 2011 , 62, 1963-1978		23
96	Integrated impact indicators compared with impact factors: An alternative research design with policy implications. 2011 , 62, 2133-2146		99
95	Chapter 5. Journal Citations. 2012 , 223-300		
94	Universality of performance indicators based on citation and reference counts. 2012 , 93, 473-495		31
93	Accounting for the uncertainty in the evaluation of percentile ranks. 2012 , 63, 2349-2350		11
92	How important is choice of the scaling factor in standardizing citations?. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2012 , 6, 645-654	3	13
91	McCall's area transformation versus the integrated impact indicator (I3). <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2012 , 6, 513-514	3	0
90	The citation-based indicator and combined impact indicator: New options for measuring impact. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2012 , 6, 631-638	3	7
89	An Integrated Impact Indicator: A new definition of 'Impact' with policy relevance. 2012 , 21, 183-188		14
88	Inconsistencies of recently proposed citation impact indicators and how to avoid them. 2012 , 63, 2062-2073		12
87	Which are the best performing regions in information science in terms of highly cited papers? Some improvements of our previous mapping approaches. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2012 , 6, 336-345	3	20
86	The new Excellence Indicator in the World Report of the SClmago Institutions Rankings 2011. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2012 , 6, 333-335	3	106
85	The case of scientometricians with the Absolute relative Impact indicator. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2012 , 6, 254-264	3	28

84	Skewed citation distributions and bias factors: Solutions to two core problems with the journal impact factor. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2012 , 6, 169-176	3	26
83	Mapping (USPTO) patent data using overlays to Google Maps. 2012 , 63, 1442-1458		44
82	Sub-field normalization in the multiplicative case: High- and low-impact citation indicators. 2012 , 21, 113-125		12
81	The quality-quantity-quasity and energy-exergy-entropy exegesis of expected value calculation of citation performance. 2012 , 91, 269-275		7
80	An impact-citations-exergy (iCX) trajectory analysis of leading research institutions in India. 2012 , 91, 245-251		9
79	Energy indicators and percentile ranking normalization. 2012 , 91, 997-1003		5
78	Alternatives to the journal impact factor: I3 and the top-10% (or top-25%?) of the most-highly cited papers. 2012 , 92, 355-365		56
77	Basic properties of both percentile rank scores and the I3 indicator. 2012 , 63, 416-420		39
76	A rejoinder on energy versus impact indicators. 2012 , 90, 745-748		2
75	Field-normalized impact factors (IFs): A comparison of rescaling and fractionally counted IFs. 2013 , 64, 2299-2309		22
74	Statistics for the dynamic analysis of scientometric data: the evolution of the sciences in terms of trajectories and regimes. 2013 , 96, 731-741		8
73	The use of percentiles and percentile rank classes in the analysis of bibliometric data: Opportunities and limits. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2013 , 7, 158-165	3	119
72	An evaluation of impacts in "Nanoscience & nanotechnology": steps towards standards for citation analysis. 2013 , 94, 35-55		12
71	Empirical evidence for the relevance of fractional scoring in the calculation of percentile rank scores. 2013 , 64, 861-867		2
70	How can journal impact factors be normalized across fields of science? An assessment in terms of percentile ranks and fractional counts. 2013 , 64, 96-107		22
69	On the calculation of percentile-based bibliometric indicators. 2013 , 64, 372-379		91
68	Which percentile-based approach should be preferred for calculating normalized citation impact values? An empirical comparison of five approaches including a newly developed citation-rank approach (P100). <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2013 , 7, 933-944	3	36
67	Evaluating a department's research: Testing the Leiden methodology in business and management. 2013 , 49, 587-595		9

66	Would it be possible to increase the Hirsch-index, Eindex or CDS-index by increasing the number of publications or citations only by unity?. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2013 , 7, 72-83	3	8
65	The problem of citation impact assessments for recent publication years in institutional evaluations. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2013 , 7, 722-729	3	19
64	Comparative rank assessment of journal articles. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2013 , 7, 712-717	3	8
63	Does the specification of uncertainty hurt the progress of scientometrics?. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2013 , 7, 292-293	3	2
62	Uncertainties and ambiguities in percentiles and how to avoid them. 2013 , 64, 640-643		9
61	How to analyze percentile citation impact data meaningfully in bibliometrics: The statistical analysis of distributions, percentile rank classes, and top-cited papers. 2013 , 64, 587-595		51
60	Modelling Continuous Percentile Rank Scores and Integrated Impact Indicators (I3) / Une modélisation des notations continues de classement par pourcentage et des indicateurs intégrés d'impact (I3). 2013 , 37, 201-206		1
59	From P100 to P100': A new citation-rank approach. 2014 , 65, 1939-1943		7
58	The Academic trace of the performance matrix: A mathematical synthesis of the h-index and the integrated impact indicator (I3). 2014 , 65, 742-750		9
57	Group-based trajectory modeling (GBTM) of citations in scholarly literature: Dynamic qualities of transient and sticky knowledge claims. 2014 , 65, 797-811		36
56	Academic research resources and academic quality: a cross-country analysis. 2014 , 101, 109-123		4
55	The use of the Percentage Rank Position index for comparative evaluation of journals. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2014 , 8, 340-348	3	3
54	The European Union, China, and the United States in the top-1% and top-10% layers of most-frequently cited publications: Competition and collaborations. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2014 , 8, 606-617	3	66
53	Interdisciplinarity patterns of highly-cited papers: A cross-disciplinary analysis. 2014 , 51, 1-4		2
52	Interrater reliability and convergent validity of F1000Prime peer review. 2015 , 66, 2415-2426		17
51	The temporal change of citation practice and its influence on the Journal Impact Factor.. 2015 ,		
50	The temporal change of citation practice and its influence on the Journal Impact Factor. . 2015 , 25, 243-266		
49	Is It a Home Run? Measuring Relative Citation Rates in Accounting Research. 2015 ,		

48	The Use of Bibliometrics for Assessing Research: Possibilities, Limitations and Adverse Effects. 2015 , 121-139		39
47	A review of theory and practice in scientometrics. 2015 , 246, 1-19		290
46	Unsupervised characterization of research institutions with task-force estimation. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2015 , 9, 59-68	3	4
45	Challenges for regional innovation policies in Central and Eastern Europe: Spatial concentration and foreign control of US patenting. 2015 , 42, 1-14		21
44	BIBLIOGRAPHY. 2016 , 407-484		
43	Proposal of a minimum constraint for indicators based on means or averages. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2016 , 10, 485-486	3	5
42	Does the average JIF percentile make a difference?. 2016 , 109, 1979-1987		5
41	Global neuroscience and mental health research: a bibliometrics case study. 2016 , 109, 515-531		6
40	Professional and citizen bibliometrics: complementarities and ambivalences in the development and use of indicators-a state-of-the-art report. 2016 , 109, 2129-2150		69
39	Benchmarking scientific performance by decomposing leadership of Cuban and Latin American institutions in Public Health. 2016 , 106, 1239-1264		9
38	A review of the literature on citation impact indicators. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2016 , 10, 365-391	3	439
37	Ranking authors using fractional counting of citations: An axiomatic approach. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2016 , 10, 183-199	3	22
36	The solitude of stars. An analysis of the distributed excellence model of European universities. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2017 , 11, 435-454	3	14
35	The Herrero-Villar approach to citation impact. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2017 , 11, 625-640	3	7
34	Matched control groups for modeling events in citation data: An illustration of nobel prize effects in citation networks. 2017 , 68, 2201-2210		5
33	h-based I3-type multivariate vectors: multidimensional indicators of publication and citation scores. 2017 , 11, 153-171		4
32	Explaining the transatlantic gap in research excellence. 2017 , 110, 217-241		20
31	Double rank analysis for research assessment. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2018 , 12, 31-41	3	10

30	Research assessment by percentile-based double rank analysis. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2018 , 12, 315-329		12
29	Smart girls versus sleeping beauties in the sciences: The identification of instant and delayed recognition by using the citation angle. 2018 , 69, 359-367		16
28	Developing and testing a method to measure academic societal impact. 2018 , 72, 121-140		6
27	The bibliometric quotient (BQ), or how to measure a researcher's performance capacity: A Bayesian Poisson Rasch model. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2018 , 12, 1282-1295	3	1
26	Creativity in science and the link to cited references: Is the creative potential of papers reflected in their cited references?. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2018 , 12, 906-930	3	20
25	How well does I3 perform for impact measurement compared to other bibliometric indicators? The convergent validity of several (field-normalized) indicators. 2019 , 119, 1187-1205		4
24	Lutz Bornmann: Recipient of the 2019 Derek John de Solla Price Medal. 2019 , 121, 1235-1238		2
23	Large enough sample size to rank two groups of data reliably according to their means. 2019 , 118, 653-671		1
22	Comparison of the Impact Factors of the Most-Cited Cardiovascular Journals. 2019 , 124, 1718-1724		4
21	How to consider fractional counting and field normalization in the statistical modeling of bibliometric data: A multilevel Poisson regression approach. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2019 , 13, 643-657	3	5
20	The integrated impact indicator revisited (I3*): a non-parametric alternative to the journal impact factor. 2019 , 119, 1669-1694		11
19	Citations, Citation Indicators, and Research Quality: An Overview of Basic Concepts and Theories. 2019 , 9, 215824401982957		160
18	The HF-rating as a universal complement to the h-index. 2020 , 125, 965-990		
17	Assessing and predicting the quality of research master's theses: an application of scientometrics. 2020 , 124, 953-972		2
16	Impact factor volatility due to a single paper: A comprehensive analysis. 2020 , 1-24		2
15	The inconsistency of h-index: A mathematical analysis. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2021 , 15, 101106	3	4
14	Exploring the interdisciplinarity patterns of highly cited papers. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2021 , 15, 101124		2
13	Are University Rankings Statistically Significant? A Comparison among Chinese Universities and with the USA. 2021 ,		1

12	Where should I publish? Heterogeneous, networks-based prediction of paper's citation success. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2021 , 15, 101200	3	2
11	Total number of papers and in a single percentile fully describes research impact's revisiting concepts and applications. 2021 , 2, 544-559		1
10	A reverse engineering approach to the suppression of citation biases reveals universal properties of citation distributions. 2012 , 7, e33833		60
9	The measurement of the effect on citation inequality of differences in citation practices across scientific fields. 2013 , 8, e58727		29
8	Challenges for Regional Innovation Policies in CEE Countries: Spatial Concentration and Foreign Control of US Patenting.		2
7	Is It a Home Run? Measuring Relative Citation Rates in Accounting Research. 2020 , 34, 67-91		5
6	Un panorama acad�mico de dos caras: retrato de los documentos altamente citados en Google Scholar (1950-2013). 2016 , 39, 149		10
5	An�lisis de la producci�n cient�fica basado en las tendencias en temas de investigaci�n. Un estudio de caso sobre inteligencia artificial. 2019 , 42, 228		0
4	 2021 , 46, 3-22		
3	Metrics and methods in the evaluation of prestige bias in peer review: A case study in computer systems conferences.. 2022 , 17, e0264131		
2	A discussion of measuring the top-1% most-highly cited publications: quality and impact of Chinese papers. 2022 , 127, 1825-1839		0
1	On some properties of medians, percentiles, baselines, and thresholds in empirical bibliometric analysis. 2022 , 16, 101322		