

# CITATION REPORT

List of articles citing

Empirical study of journal impact factors obtained using the classical two-year citation window versus a five-year citation window

DOI: 10.1007/s11192-010-0334-1  
Scientometrics, 2011, 87, 189-204.

**Source:** <https://exaly.com/paper-pdf/51285296/citation-report.pdf>

**Version:** 2024-04-24

This report has been generated based on the citations recorded by exaly.com for the above article. For the latest version of this publication list, visit the link given above.

The third column is the impact factor (IF) of the journal, and the fourth column is the number of citations of the article.

#	Paper	IF	Citations
45	Five-year impact factor data in the Journal Citation Reports. <i>Online Information Review</i> , <b>2009</b> , 33, 603-614	4	33
44	Impact factor: outdated artefact or stepping-stone to journal certification?. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2012</b> , 92, 211-238	3	171
43	Festschriften in the information sciences, with special attention to Eugene Garfield's festschrift 'The Web of Knowledge'. <i>Collnet Journal of Scientometrics and Information Management</i> , <b>2012</b> , 6, 7-16	0.5	
42	Using Google Scholar for journal impact factors and the h-index in nationwide publishing assessments in academia: sirens songs and air-raid sirens. <i>Online Information Review</i> , <b>2012</b> , 36, 462-478	2	29
41	Grim tales about the impact factor and the h-index in the Web of Science and the Journal Citation Reports databases: reflections on Vanclay's criticism. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2012</b> , 92, 325-354	3	21
40	Comments on a critique of the Thomson Reuters journal impact factor. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2012</b> , 92, 395-401	3	29
39	Shaping the landscape of research in information systems from the perspective of editorial boards: A scientometric study of 77 leading journals. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , <b>2012</b> , 63, 977-996		19
38	Some citation-related characteristics of scientific journals published in individual countries. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2013</b> , 97, 719-741	3	12
37	Beware the impact factor. <i>Nature Materials</i> , <b>2013</b> , 12, 89	27	14
36	Citation and impact factor distributions of scientific journals published in individual countries. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2013</b> , 7, 487-504	3.1	9
35	How variable are the journal impact measures?. <i>Online Information Review</i> , <b>2014</b> , 38, 723-737	2	6
34	On the stability of citation-based journal rankings. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2015</b> , 9, 990-1006	3.1	31
33	Providing impact: The distribution of JCR journals according to references they contribute to the 2-year and 5-year journal impact factors. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2015</b> , 9, 398-407	3.1	5
32	A review of theory and practice in scientometrics. <i>European Journal of Operational Research</i> , <b>2015</b> , 246, 1-19	5.6	313
31	Towards Window Analytics over Large-scale Graphs. <b>2015</b> ,		1
30	Historical roles. <b>2016</b> , 15-38		
29	Database Systems for Advanced Applications. <i>Lecture Notes in Computer Science</i> , <b>2016</b> ,	0.9	1

28	Towards Neighborhood Window Analytics over Large-Scale Graphs. <i>Lecture Notes in Computer Science</i> , <b>2016</b> , 201-217	0.9	1
27	A comparative study on three citation windows for detecting research fronts. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2016</b> , 109, 1835-1853	3	4
26	Commonly Used Indexes for Assessment of Research Production. <i>Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Scientific and Scholarly Communication</i> , <b>2016</b> , 55-99		
25	Comprehensive indicator comparisons intelligible to non-experts: the case of two SNIP versions. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2016</b> , 106, 51-65	3	9
24	A review of the literature on citation impact indicators. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2016</b> , 10, 365-391	3.1	476
23	Are Mendeley reader counts useful impact indicators in all fields?. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2017</b> , 113, 1721-1731	3	37
22	JIF-Plots: using plots of citations versus citable items as a tool to study journals and subject categories and discover new scientometric relationships. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2017</b> , 113, 1141-1154	3	1
21	Twenty-five years of research on work and organizational psychology: A bibliometric perspective. <i>Anuario De Psicologia</i> , <b>2017</b> , 47, 32-44	0	4
20	Microsoft Academic: A multidisciplinary comparison of citation counts with Scopus and Mendeley for 29 journals. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2017</b> , 11, 1201-1212	3.1	24
19	Evaluating journal quality: A review of journal citation indicators and ranking in business and management. <i>European Journal of Operational Research</i> , <b>2017</b> , 257, 323-337	5.6	73
18	Are leaders really leading? Journals that are first in Web of Science subject categories in the context of their groups. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2018</b> , 115, 111-130	3	5
17	Bibliometric and Altmetric Analysis of Three Social Science Disciplines. <i>Frontiers in Research Metrics and Analytics</i> , <b>2018</b> , 3,	1.3	10
16	Bibliography. <b>2018</b> , 341-375		
15	Exploring the H <sub>jif</sub> -Index, an Analogue to the H-Like Index for Journal Impact Factors. <i>Publications</i> , <b>2018</b> , 6, 14	1.7	
14	Multichannel integration along the customer journey: a systematic review and research agenda. <i>Service Industries Journal</i> , <b>2020</b> , 40, 1087-1118	5.7	14
13	Is the Emerging Source Citation Index an aid to assess the citation impact in social science and humanities?. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2020</b> , 14, 101088	3.1	2
12	Behavioral Finance Experiments: A Recent Systematic Literature Review. <i>SAGE Open</i> , <b>2020</b> , 10, 215824402096967	2.9	27
11	Applied bibliometrics and information visualization for decision-making processes in higher education institutions. <i>Library Hi Tech</i> , <b>2020</b> , 39, 263-283	1.5	6

10	Toward a classification of Spanish scholarly journals in social sciences and humanities considering their impact and visibility. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2020</b> , 125, 1709-1732	3	2
9	Authorship and citation gender trends in immunology and microbiology. <i>FEMS Microbiology Letters</i> , <b>2020</b> , 367,	2.9	4
8	Sources without a CiteScore value: more clarity is required. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2020</b> , 122, 1801-1812	3	5
7	Analysis of journal evaluation indicators: an experimental study based on unsupervised Laplacian score. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2020</b> , 124, 233-254	3	3
6	A comparison among citation-based journal indicators and their relative changes with time. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2020</b> , 14, 101007	3.1	5
5	An Analysis of Peer-Reviewed Scores and Impact Factors with Different Citation Time Windows: A Case Study of 28 Ophthalmologic Journals. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , <b>2015</b> , 10, e0135583	3.7	9
4	Impact and visibility of Norwegian, Finnish and Spanish journals in the fields of humanities. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2021</b> , 126, 9031-9049	3	0
3	A new index to evaluate the academic impact of journals: RAQ index. <i>Performance Measurement and Metrics</i> , <b>2021</b> , ahead-of-print,	0.9	
2	Superior identification index: Quantifying the capability of academic journals to recognize good research. <i>Scientometrics</i> ,	3	0
1	Research on the spatiotemporal distribution and evolution of remote sensing: A data-driven analysis. 10,		