## CITATION REPORT List of articles citing

Assessing the varying level of impact measurement accuracy as a function of the citation window length

DOI: 10.1016/j.joi.2011.06.004 Journal of Informetrics, 2011, 5, 659-667.

**Source:** https://exaly.com/paper-pdf/50133343/citation-report.pdf

Version: 2024-04-16

This report has been generated based on the citations recorded by exaly.com for the above article. For the latest version of this publication list, visit the link given above.

The third column is the impact factor (IF) of the journal, and the fourth column is the number of citations of the article.

| #  | Paper   | IF  | Citations |
|----|---|-----|-----------|
| 72 | What is the appropriate length of the publication period over which to assess research performance?. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2012</b> , 93, 1005-1017  | 3   | 46        |
| 71 | A sensitivity analysis of research institutions [productivity rankings to the time of citation observation. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2012</b> , 6, 298-306   | 3.1 | 7         |
| 70 | A sensitivity analysis of researchers[productivity rankings to the time of citation observation.<br>Journal of Informetrics, <b>2012</b> , 6, 192-201   | 3.1 | 15        |
| 69 | The advantage of the use of samples in evaluative bibliometric studies. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2013</b> , 7, 89-90   | 3.1 | 13        |
| 68 | Citation time window choice for research impact evaluation. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2013</b> , 94, 851-872   | 3   | 192       |
| 67 | Career advancement and scientific performance in universities. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2014</b> , 98, 891-907  | 3   | 35        |
| 66 | How to evaluate individual researchers working in the natural and life sciences meaningfully? A proposal of methods based on percentiles of citations. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2014</b> , 98, 487-509                | 3   | 78        |
| 65 | Variation in research collaboration patterns across academic ranks. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2014</b> , 98, 2275-2294   | 3   | 24        |
| 64 | A methodology to compute the territorial productivity of scientists: The case of Italy. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2015</b> , 9, 675-685   | 3.1 | 5         |
| 63 | The determinants of academic career advancement: Evidence from Italy. <i>Science and Public Policy</i> , <b>2015</b> , scu086   | 1.8 | 8         |
| 62 | The impact of hybrid public and market-oriented financing mechanisms on the scientific portfolio and performances of public research labs: a scientometric analysis. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2015</b> , 102, 151-168 | 3   | 51        |
| 61 | Robustness of personal rankings: the Handelsblatt example. <i>Business Research</i> , <b>2015</b> , 8, 189-212  | 3.8 | 5         |
| 60 | The relationship between the number of authors of a publication, its citations and the impact factor of the publishing journal: Evidence from Italy. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2015</b> , 9, 746-761          | 3.1 | 34        |
| 59 | Funnel plots for visualizing uncertainty in the research performance of institutions. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2015</b> , 9, 954-961   | 3.1 | 6         |
| 58 | The Productivity of NHLBI-Funded Obesity Research, 1983-2013. Obesity, 2016, 24, 1356-65  | 8   | 2         |
| 57 | Are there too many uncited articles? Zero inflated variants of the discretised lognormal and hooked power law distributions. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2016</b> , 10, 622-633                                 | 3.1 | 23        |
| 56 | Citation-Capture Rates for Economics Journals: Do they Differ from Other Disciplines and Does it Matter?. <i>Economic Papers</i> , <b>2016</b> , 35, 73-85  | 0.8 | 1         |

| 55 | Properties of an index of citation durability of an article. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2016</b> , 10, 981-1004  | 3.1 | 6   |
|----|---|-----|-----|
| 54 | The relationship between network ranking and research performance: an application to the Italian national council of research. <i>International Journal of Computational Economics and Econometrics</i> , <b>2016</b> , 6, 276                        | 0.4 |     |
| 53 | From rankings to funnel plots: The question of accounting for uncertainty when assessing university research performance. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2016</b> , 10, 854-862  | 3.1 | 5   |
| 52 | Drivers of academic performance in a Brazilian university under a government-restructuring program. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2016</b> , 10, 151-161  | 3.1 | 11  |
| 51 | A review of the literature on citation impact indicators. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2016</b> , 10, 365-391  | 3.1 | 476 |
| 50 | Gender bias in academic recruitment. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2016</b> , 106, 119-141   | 3   | 15  |
| 49 | Fishing for complementarities: Research grants and research productivity. <i>International Journal of Industrial Organization</i> , <b>2017</b> , 51, 1-38  | 1.4 | 32  |
| 48 | Are Mendeley reader counts useful impact indicators in all fields?. Scientometrics, 2017, 113, 1721-1731  | 3   | 37  |
| 47 | The Changing Face of Epidemiology: Gender Disparities in Citations?. <i>Epidemiology</i> , <b>2017</b> , 28, 159-168  | 3.1 | 38  |
| 46 | Researcher rank stability across alternative output measurement schemes in the context of a time limited research evaluation: the New Zealand case. <i>Applied Economics</i> , <b>2017</b> , 49, 4542-4553  | 1.6 | 1   |
| 45 | How long do top scientists maintain their stardom? An analysis by region, gender and discipline: evidence from Italy. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2017</b> , 110, 867-877  | 3   | 10  |
| 44 | Is Scientific Performance a Function of Funds?. SSRN Electronic Journal, 2017,  | 1   | 4   |
| 43 | Potential implementation of subject areas in Malaysia's research assessment Co-word analysis study. <b>2017</b> ,   |     |     |
| 42 | Differences between journals and years in the proportions of students, researchers and faculty registering Mendeley articles. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2018</b> , 115, 717-729  | 3   | 3   |
| 41 | Who benefits from a country⊠ scientific research?. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2018</b> , 12, 249-258   | 3.1 | 8   |
| 40 | Do females create higher impact research? Scopus citations and Mendeley readers for articles from five countries. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2018</b> , 12, 1031-1041  | 3.1 | 29  |
| 39 | Predicting research excellence at the individual level: The importance of publication rate, top journal publications, and top 10% publications in the case of early career mathematicians. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2018</b> , 12, 518-533 | 3.1 | 12  |
| 38 | Revisiting the scientometric conceptualization of impact and its measurement. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2018</b> , 12, 590-597  | 3.1 | 35  |

| 37 | Diversification versus specialization in scientific research: Which strategy pays off?. <i>Technovation</i> , <b>2019</b> , 82-83, 51-57   | 7.9 | 9  |
|----|--|-----|----|
| 36 | On an approach to boosting a journal citation potential. Scientometrics, 2019, 120, 1387-1409  | 3   | 1  |
| 35 | Peer review versus bibliometrics: Which method better predicts the scholarly impact of publications?. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2019</b> , 121, 537-554   | 3   | 16 |
| 34 | Normalisation of citation impact in economics. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2019</b> , 120, 841-884  | 3   | 24 |
| 33 | Are all citations worth the same? Valuing citations by the value of the citing items. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2019</b> , 13, 500-514   | 3.1 | 10 |
| 32 | A nation foreign and domestic professors: which have better research performance? (the Italian case). <i>Higher Education</i> , <b>2019</b> , 77, 917-930  | 3   | 9  |
| 31 | Predicting publication long-term impact through a combination of early citations and journal impact factor. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2019</b> , 13, 32-49   | 3.1 | 44 |
| 30 | The collaboration behavior of top scientists. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2019</b> , 118, 215-232   | 3   | 29 |
| 29 | Can we predict ESI highly cited publications?. Scientometrics, 2019, 118, 109-125  | 3   | 7  |
| 28 | Academic collaboration rates and citation associations vary substantially between countries and fields. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , <b>2020</b> , 71, 968-978 | 2.7 | 8  |
| 27 | Author gender differences in psychology citation impact 1996-2018. <i>International Journal of Psychology</i> , <b>2020</b> , 55, 684-694  | 1.9 | 1  |
| 26 | Remote Sensing of Agricultural Greenhouses and Plastic-Mulched Farmland: An Analysis of Worldwide Research. <i>Remote Sensing</i> , <b>2020</b> , 12, 2649   | 5   | 12 |
| 25 | Should citations be field-normalized in evaluative bibliometrics? An empirical analysis based on propensity score matching. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , <b>2020</b> , 14, 101098                        | 3.1 | 3  |
| 24 | Gender differences in citation impact for 27 fields and six English-speaking countries 1996 <b>2</b> 014. <i>Quantitative Science Studies</i> , <b>2020</b> , 1-19   | 3.8 | 2  |
| 23 | Effect of Research Impact on Emerging Camel Husbandry, Welfare and Social-Related Awareness. <i>Animals</i> , <b>2020</b> , 10,  | 3.1 | 10 |
| 22 | Greater female first author citation advantages do not associate with reduced or reducing gender disparities in academia. <i>Quantitative Science Studies</i> , <b>2020</b> , 1, 1283-1297                   | 3.8 | 2  |
| 21 | Studying the accumulation velocity of altmetric data tracked by Altmetric.com. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2020</b> , 123, 1077-1101  | 3   | 25 |
| 20 | Mendeley reader counts for US computer science conference papers and journal articles. <i>Quantitative Science Studies</i> , <b>2020</b> , 1, 347-359  | 3.8 | 6  |

| 19 | Traditional indicators inflate some countries is cientific impact over 10 times. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2020</b> , 123, 337-356  | 3   | 1 |
|----|--|-----|---|
| 18 | Predicting the future success of scientific publications through social network and semantic analysis. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2020</b> , 124, 357-377  | 3   | 3 |
| 17 | INVESTIGATING ALTMETRIC INFORMATION FOR THE TOP 1000 JOURNALS FROM HANDELSBLATT RANKING IN ECONOMIC AND BUSINESS STUDIES. <i>Journal of Economic Surveys</i> , <b>2021</b> , 35, 1315              | 3.8 | 1 |
| 16 | The correlation between scientific collaboration and citation count at the paper level: a meta-analysis. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2021</b> , 126, 3443-3470                                      | 3   | 2 |
| 15 | Obsolescence of the literature: A study of included studies in Cochrane reviews. <i>Journal of Information Science</i> , 016555152110065   | 2   |   |
| 14 | Informed peer review for publication assessments: Are improved impact measures worth the hassle?. <i>Quantitative Science Studies</i> , <b>2020</b> , 1, 1321-1333                                 | 3.8 | 2 |
| 13 | Readership Data and Research Impact. Springer Handbooks, 2019, 761-779   | 1.3 | 3 |
| 12 | Does the citation period have any effect on the informative value of selected citation indicators in research evaluations?. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2021</b> , 126, 1019-1047                   | 3   | 5 |
| 11 | Improving Metrics to Rank the Research Performance. 2019,  |     |   |
| 10 | Briefing: US environmental science women are high-impact team players. <i>Journal of Environmental Engineering and Science</i> , <b>2020</b> , 15, 1-5   | 0.8 |   |
| 9  | Small female citation advantages for US journal articles in medicine. <i>Journal of Information Science</i> , <b>2022</b> , 48, 106-117  | 2   | О |
| 8  | Immunotherapy-Related Publications in Colorectal Cancer: A Bibliometric Analysis <i>Healthcare</i> (Switzerland), <b>2021</b> , 10,  | 3.4 | 1 |
| 7  | Anllsis de la produccili y redes de colaboracili en los programas de doctorado en psicologii en<br>Colombia. <i>Acta Colombiana De Psicologia</i> , <b>2021</b> , 25, 151-182                      | 0.5 | О |
| 6  | Trends in groundwater research development in the South and Southeast Asian Countries: a 50-year bibliometric analysis (1970\( \textit{1970}\) (20). Environmental Science and Pollution Research, | 5.1 | O |
| 5  | Influence of Interdisciplinarity on Scientific Impact: Case of Climate Change Field. SSRN Electronic Journal,  | 1   |   |
| 4  | Drivers of Academic Engagement and University <b>I</b> hdustry Collaboration in Conditions of Slovakia. <b>2022</b> , 12, 128  |     | O |
| 3  | Data-driven support for policy and decision-making in university research management: A case study from Germany. <b>2022</b> ,   |     | O |
| 2  | B <b>R</b> SOSYAL B <b>IIM</b> LER ARAIIIRMA YNTEMIOLARAK B <b>B</b> LNOMETRIIAKADEM <b>R GRI</b> MCIIR<br>RNEII   |     | O |

Correlates of time to first citation in ecology and taxonomy.

О