

CITATION REPORT

List of articles citing

Ethical and practical guidelines for reporting genetic research results to study participants: updated guidelines from a National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute working group

DOI: 10.1161/circgenetics.110.958827

Circulation: Cardiovascular Genetics, 2010, 3, 574-80.

Source: <https://exaly.com/paper-pdf/49810836/citation-report.pdf>

Version: 2024-04-17

This report has been generated based on the citations recorded by exaly.com for the above article. For the latest version of this publication list, visit the link given above.

The third column is the impact factor (IF) of the journal, and the fourth column is the number of citations of the article.

#	Paper	IF	Citations
317	Impact on parents of receiving individualized feedback of psychological testing conducted with children as part of a research study. 2011 , 18, 342-56		3
316	Disclosure of individualized research results: a precautionary approach. 2011 , 18, 382-97		11
315	Introduction: return of research results: how should research results be handled?. 2011 , 39, 574-6		8
314	Pediatric research and the return of individual research results. 2011 , 39, 593-604		32
313	Biobanks and the return of research results: out with the old and in with the new?. 2011 , 39, 614-20		10
312	Exome sequencing as a tool for Mendelian disease gene discovery. 2011 , 12, 745-55		1265
311	Qualitative assessment of study materials and communication strategies used in studies that include DNA collection. 2011 , 155A, 2721-31		8
310	Genomics really gets personal: how exome and whole genome sequencing challenge the ethical framework of human genetics research. 2011 , 155A, 2916-24		108
309	Feedback of individual genetic results to research participants: in favor of a qualified disclosure policy. 2011 , 32, 861-7		111
308	Opinions and intentions of parents of an autistic child toward genetic research results: two typical profiles. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2011 , 19, 1127-32	5.3	20
307	Comment on "Multidimensional results reporting to participants in genomic studies: getting it right". 2011 , 3, 70le1		1
306	Research participants' perspectives on genotype-driven research recruitment. <i>Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics</i> , 2011 , 6, 3-20	1.6	21
305	What research participants want to know about genetic research results: the impact of "genetic exceptionalism". <i>Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics</i> , 2011 , 6, 39-46	1.6	22
304	Epilepsy patient-participants and genetic research results as "answers". <i>Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics</i> , 2011 , 6, 21-9	1.6	14
303	Parent perspectives on pediatric genetic research and implications for genotype-driven research recruitment. <i>Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics</i> , 2011 , 6, 41-52	1.6	42
302	The meaning of genetic research results: reflections from individuals with and without a known genetic disorder. <i>Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics</i> , 2011 , 6, 30-40	1.6	22
301	Informed consent and genomic incidental findings: IRB chair perspectives. <i>Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics</i> , 2011 , 6, 53-67	1.6	39

300	Ethics and neuropsychiatric genetics: a review of major issues. 2012 , 15, 1547-57		27
299	Researchers' opinions towards the communication of results of biobank research: a survey study. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2012 , 20, 258-62	53	15
298	Critical social theory approach to disclosure of genomic incidental findings. 2012 , 19, 819-28		9
297	Disclosing pathogenic genetic variants to research participants: quantifying an emerging ethical responsibility. 2012 , 22, 421-8		73
296	Individual genetic and genomic research results and the tradition of informed consent: exploring US review board guidance. 2012 , 38, 417-22		19
295	Reporting actionable research results: shared secrets can save lives. 2012 , 4, 143cm8		7
294	Offering aggregate results to participants in genomic research: opportunities and challenges. 2012 , 14, 490-6		41
293	Public preferences regarding the return of individual genetic research results: findings from a qualitative focus group study. 2012 , 14, 451-7		152
292	Secondary researchers' duties to return incidental findings and individual research results: a partial-entrustment account. 2012 , 14, 467-72		21
291	Mapping the inputs, analyses, and outputs of biobank research systems to identify sources of incidental findings and individual research results for potential return to participants. 2012 , 14, 385-92		9
290	The beliefs, motivations, and expectations of parents who have enrolled their children in a genetic biorepository. 2012 , 14, 330-7		43
289	Genes and plays: bringing ELSI issues to life. 2012 , 14, 274-7		3
288	Return of research results from pharmacogenomic versus disease susceptibility studies: what do drugs got to do with it?. 2012 , 13, 935-49		6
287	Practical implementation issues and challenges for biobanks in the return of individual research results. 2012 , 14, 478-83		53
286	Dialogues, dilemmas, and disclosures: genomic research and incidental findings. 2012 , 14, 293-5		8
285	Intersection of biobanking and clinical care: should discrepant diagnoses and pathological findings be returned to research participants?. 2012 , 14, 417-23		10
284	IRB perspectives on the return of individual results from genomic research. 2012 , 14, 215-22		53
283	Implications of Internet availability of genomic information for public health practice. 2012 , 15, 201-8		12

282	The legal risks of returning results of genomics research. 2012 , 14, 473-7	96
281	Clinically significant? Depends on whom you ask. 2012 , 12, 18-20	3
280	Sharing individual research results with biospecimen contributors: point. 2012 , 21, 256-9	5
279	Return of individual research results from genome-wide association studies: experience of the Electronic Medical Records and Genomics (eMERGE) Network. 2012 , 14, 424-31	85
278	Incidental medical information in whole-exome sequencing. 2012 , 129, e1605-11	34
277	An empirical examination of the management of return of individual research results and incidental findings in genomic biobanks. 2012 , 14, 444-50	30
276	Whole-genome and whole-exome sequencing in neurological diseases. 2012 , 8, 508-17	79
275	Recommendations for ethical approaches to genotype-driven research recruitment. 2012 , 131, 1423-31	22
274	Disclosing individual genetic research results to deceased participants' relatives by means of a qualified disclosure policy. 2012 , 12, 10-2	15
273	Attitudes of African-American parents about biobank participation and return of results for themselves and their children. 2012 , 38, 561-6	31
272	Beneficence, clinical urgency, and the return of individual research results to relatives. 2012 , 12, 9-10	8
271	Genomic inheritances: disclosing individual research results from whole-exome sequencing to deceased participants' relatives. 2012 , 12, 1-8	50
270	Managing incidental findings and research results in genomic research involving biobanks and archived data sets. 2012 , 14, 361-84	365
269	Next steps in cardiovascular disease genomic research--sequencing, epigenetics, and transcriptomics. 2012 , 58, 113-26	49
268	The evolution of biobanking best practices. 2012 , 413, 1569-75	83
267	Approaches to informed consent for hypothesis-testing and hypothesis-generating clinical genomics research. 2012 , 5, 45	13
266	Perspectives on human microbiome research ethics. <i>Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics</i> , 2012 , 7, 1-14	1.6 39
265	Researcher and institutional review board chair perspectives on incidental findings in genomic research. 2012 , 16, 508-13	28

264	Opportunities and challenges for the integration of massively parallel genomic sequencing into clinical practice: lessons from the ClinSeq project. 2012 , 14, 393-8	132
263	Disclosure and management of research findings in stem cell research and banking: policy statement. 2012 , 7, 439-48	27
262	Genetics and genomics. 111-124	
261	The Role of Law in the Debate over Return of Research Results and Incidental Findings: The Challenge of Developing Law for Translational Science. 2012 , 13,	9
260	Cancer genomics: technology, discovery, and translation. 2012 , 30, 647-60	134
259	Legal liability and research ethics boards: the case of neuroimaging and incidental findings. 2012 , 35, 137-45	3
258	Teaching health law. 2012 , 40, 147-53	3
257	Does a duty of disclosure foster special treatment of genetic research participants?. 2013 , 22, 654-61	6
256	A closer look at the recommended criteria for disclosing genetic results: perspectives of medical genetic specialists, genomic researchers, and institutional review board chairs. 2013 , 22, 544-53	26
255	Return of genetic results in the familial dilated cardiomyopathy research project. 2013 , 22, 164-74	11
254	Incidental genetic findings in randomized clinical trials: recommendations from the Genomics and Randomized Trials Network (GARNET). 2013 , 5, 7	11
253	Perspectives of clinical genetics professionals toward genome sequencing and incidental findings: a survey study. 2013 , 84, 230-6	67
252	The Electronic Medical Records and Genomics (eMERGE) Network: past, present, and future. 2013 , 15, 761-71	484
251	The disclosure of incidental genomic findings: an "ethically important moment" in pediatric research and practice. 2013 , 4, 435-44	23
250	Ethical and legal implications of whole genome and whole exome sequencing in African populations. <i>BMC Medical Ethics</i> , 2013 , 14, 21	2.9 45
249	Genotype-driven recruitment: a strategy whose time has come?. 2013 , 6, 19	11
248	Information is information: a public perspective on incidental findings in clinical and research genome-based testing. 2013 , 84, 11-8	60
247	Incidental variants are critical for genomics. 2013 , 92, 648-51	33

246	Biobanking: The Melding of Research with Clinical Care. 2013 , 1, 122-128		13
245	Return of individual research results and incidental findings: facing the challenges of translational science. 2013 , 14, 557-77		95
244	Managing incidental genomic findings: legal obligations of clinicians. 2013 , 15, 624-9		52
243	Use of human specimens in research: the evolving United States regulatory, policy, and scientific landscape. 2013 , 19, 322-330		16
242	Second-hand tobacco smoke in prison: tackling a public health matter through research. 2013 , 127, 119-24		11
241	Genetic susceptibility testing for neurodegenerative diseases: ethical and practice issues. 2013 , 110, 89-101		35
240	Interpreting secondary cardiac disease variants in an exome cohort. <i>Circulation: Cardiovascular Genetics</i> , 2013 , 6, 337-46		59
239	Consulting communities on feedback of genetic findings in international health research: sharing sickle cell disease and carrier information in coastal Kenya. <i>BMC Medical Ethics</i> , 2013 , 14, 41	2.9	31
238	Actionable, pathogenic incidental findings in 1,000 participants' exomes. 2013 , 93, 631-40		302
237	Intentions to receive individual results from whole-genome sequencing among participants in the ClinSeq study. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2013 , 21, 261-5	5.3	136
236	Feedback of research findings for vaccine trials: experiences from two malaria vaccine trials involving healthy children on the Kenyan Coast. 2013 , 13, 48-56		13
235	Return of results in translational iPS cell research: considerations for donor informed consent. 2013 , 4, 6		10
234	Clinical Genome Sequencing. 2013 , 102-122		24
233	Maternal perspectives on the return of genetic results: context matters. 2013 , 161A, 38-47		20
232	Ethical, legal, and counseling challenges surrounding the return of genetic results in oncology. 2013 , 31, 1842-8		71
231	Best ethical practices for clinicians and laboratories in the provision of noninvasive prenatal testing. 2013 , 33, 656-61		42
230	Self-guided management of exome and whole-genome sequencing results: changing the results return model. 2013 , 15, 684-90		60
229	Next-generation sequencing diagnostics for neurological diseases/disorders: from a clinical perspective. 2013 , 132, 721-34		8

228	Overcoming the obstacles to returning genomic research results. 2013 , 95, 45-50	3
227	Large numbers of genetic variants considered to be pathogenic are common in asymptomatic individuals. 2013 , 34, 1216-20	61
226	Genetics specialists' perspectives on disclosure of genomic incidental findings in the clinical setting. 2013 , 90, 133-8	46
225	Priorities for autism spectrum disorder risk communication and ethics. 2013 , 17, 701-22	21
224	Do researchers have an obligation to actively look for genetic incidental findings?. 2013 , 13, 32-42	73
223	Relationships matter: ethical considerations for returning results to family members of deceased subjects. 2013 , 13, 66-7	11
222	Next generation sequencing in psychiatric research: what study participants need to know about research findings. 2013 , 16, 2119-27	11
221	Experiences and attitudes of genome investigators regarding return of individual genetic test results. 2013 , 15, 882-7	39
220	Let us ask better questions. 2013 , 15, 871-2	2
219	Researchers' views on return of incidental genomic research results: qualitative and quantitative findings. 2013 , 15, 888-95	94
218	How could disclosing incidental information from whole-genome sequencing affect patient behavior?. 2013 , 10,	14
217	Using AD biomarker research results for clinical care: a survey of ADNI investigators. 2013 , 81, 1114-21	33
216	Stakeholder views on returning research results. 2013 , 84, 41-81	15
215	Disclosure of incidental findings from next-generation sequencing in pediatric genomic research. 2013 , 131, 564-71	70
214	Translation of genetics research to clinical medicine: the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute perspective. <i>Circulation: Cardiovascular Genetics</i> , 2013 , 6, 634-9	5
213	Incidental findings in the era of whole genome sequencing?. 2013 , 43, 16-9	24
212	Funding considerations for the disclosure of genetic incidental findings in biobank research. 2013 , 84, 397-406	22
211	Attitudes of African Americans toward return of results from exome and whole genome sequencing. 2013 , 161A, 1064-72	58

210	Incidental copy-number variants identified by routine genome testing in a clinical population. 2013 , 15, 45-54	32
209	Motivation in the age of genomics: why genetic findings of disease susceptibility might not motivate behavior change. 2013 , 9,	6
208	Attitudes of Canadian researchers toward the return to participants of incidental and targeted genomic findings obtained in a pediatric research setting. 2013 , 15, 558-64	41
207	The Congenital Heart Disease Genetic Network Study: rationale, design, and early results. 2013 , 112, 698-706	104
206	Pediatric research @personalized@International perspectives on the return of results. 2013 , 10, 89-95	7
205	Returning genetic research results: study type matters. 2013 , 10, 27-34	17
204	Disclosure of individual pharmacogenomic results in research projects: when and what kind of information to return to research participants. 2013 , 14, 675-88	5
203	Disclosure of incidental genomic findings involving children: are we making progress?. 2013 , 10, 519-521	2
202	Genetics professionals@perspectives on reporting incidental findings from clinical genome-wide sequencing. 2013 , 161A, 542-9	51
201	Overview of Policy, Ethical and Social Considerations in Genomic and Personalized Medicine. 2013 , 392-404	2
200	Copy number variation analysis in the context of electronic medical records and large-scale genomics consortium efforts. <i>Frontiers in Genetics</i> , 2014 , 5, 51	4-5 9
199	Practical barriers and ethical challenges in genetic data sharing. 2014 , 11, 8383-98	16
198	Public preferences for the return of research results in genetic research: a conjoint analysis. 2014 , 16, 932-9	37
197	Guidelines for return of research results from pediatric genomic studies: deliberations of the Boston Children@Hospital Gene Partnership Informed Cohort Oversight Board. 2014 , 16, 547-52	43
196	Informed consent for return of incidental findings in genomic research. 2014 , 16, 367-73	53
195	The unintended implications of blurring the line between research and clinical care in a genomic age. 2014 , 11, 285-295	28
194	Patient decisions for disclosure of secondary findings among the first 200 individuals undergoing clinical diagnostic exome sequencing. 2014 , 16, 395-9	84
193	Attitudes of parents toward the return of targeted and incidental genomic research findings in children. 2014 , 16, 633-40	67

192	Models of consent to return of incidental findings in genomic research. 2014 , 44, 22-32		61
191	Attitudes of non-African American focus group participants toward return of results from exome and whole genome sequencing. 2014 , 164A, 2153-60		39
190	Biobanks and personalized medicine. 2014 , 86, 50-5		49
189	A framework for analyzing the ethics of disclosing genetic research findings. 2014 , 42, 190-207		28
188	An implementation framework for the feedback of individual research results and incidental findings in research. <i>BMC Medical Ethics</i> , 2014 , 15, 88	2.9	31
187	Can I be sued for that? Liability risk and the disclosure of clinically significant genetic research findings. 2014 , 24, 719-23		26
186	Processes and factors involved in decisions regarding return of incidental genomic findings in research. 2014 , 16, 311-7		26
185	UK funders framework for health-related findings in research. 2014 , 383, 1954-5		5
184	Views of genetics health professionals on the return of genomic results. 2014 , 23, 531-8		39
183	Incidental findings from clinical genome-wide sequencing: a review. 2014 , 23, 463-73		38
182	How do researchers manage genetic results in practice? The experience of the multinational Colon Cancer Family Registry. 2014 , 5, 99-108		16
181	Cancer Genomics and Biobanking: Exploring Key Ethical and Legal Issues. 2014 , 417-432		1
180	Return of genomic results to research participants: the floor, the ceiling, and the choices in between. 2014 , 94, 818-26		283
179	Physicians' attitudes about multiplex tumor genomic testing. 2014 , 32, 1317-23		160
178	Utilizing Focus Groups with Potential Participants and Their Parents: An Approach to Inform Study Design in a Large Clinical Trial. <i>AJOB Empirical Bioethics</i> , 2014 , 5, 31-38	3	3
177	Return of results: ethical and legal distinctions between research and clinical care. 2014 , 166C, 105-11		80
176	Pathogenic variants for Mendelian and complex traits in exomes of 6,517 European and African Americans: implications for the return of incidental results. 2014 , 95, 183-93		68
175	Management of familial cancer: sequencing, surveillance and society. 2014 , 11, 723-31		24

174	Returning individual research results for genome sequences of pancreatic cancer. 2014 , 6, 42		18
173	Genetic data and electronic health records: a discussion of ethical, logistical and technological considerations. 2014 , 21, 171-80		51
172	Disclosure of genetic research results to members of a founder population. 2014 , 23, 984-91		4
171	Biobank participants' preferences for disclosure of genetic research results: perspectives from the OurGenes, OurHealth, OurCommunity project. 2014 , 89, 738-46		42
170	Grappling with genomic incidental findings in the clinical realm. 2014 , 145, 226-230		4
169	Patients' views on incidental findings from clinical exome sequencing. 2015 , 4, 38-43		72
168	INTRODUCTION: Return of Research Results: What About the Family?. 2015 , 43, 437-9		6
167	Stability of Attitudes to the Ethical Issues Raised by the Return of Incidental Genomic Research Findings in Children: A Follow-Up Study. 2015 , 18, 299-308		10
166	Citizen Science on Your Smartphone: An ELSI Research Agenda. 2015 , 43, 897-903		45
165	Participant Satisfaction With a Preference-Setting Tool for the Return of Individual Research Results in Pediatric Genomic Research. <i>Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics</i> , 2015 , 10, 414-26	1.6	17
164	Returning a Research Participant's Genomic Results to Relatives: Analysis and Recommendations. 2015 , 43, 440-63		67
163	Return of Results from Research Using Newborn Screening Dried Blood Samples. 2015 , 43, 559-68		2
162	Mapping the Ethics of Translational Genomics: Situating Return of Results and Navigating the Research-Clinical Divide. 2015 , 43, 486-501		36
161	Return of Genetic Research Results to Participants and Families: IRB Perspectives and Roles. 2015 , 43, 502-13		14
160	Canadian Research Ethics Board Leadership Attitudes to the Return of Genetic Research Results to Individuals and Their Families. 2015 , 43, 514-22		8
159	International Policies on Sharing Genomic Research Results with Relatives: Approaches to Balancing Privacy with Access. 2015 , 43, 576-93		13
158	Prioritizing Approaches to Engage Community Members and Build Trust in Biobanks: A Survey of Attitudes and Opinions of Adults within Outpatient Practices at the University of Maryland. 2015 , 5, 264-79		10
157	The development of a preference-setting model for the return of individual genomic research results. <i>Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics</i> , 2015 , 10, 107-20	1.6	21

156	Sharing the Knowledge: Sharing Aggregate Genomic Findings with Research Participants in Developing Countries. 2015 , 15, 267-74	10
155	Potential research participants support the return of raw sequence data. 2015 , 52, 571-4	24
154	Statement of principles on the return of research results and incidental findings in paediatric research: a multi-site consultative process. 2015 , 58, 541-8	22
153	Reporting incidental findings in genomic scale clinical sequencing--a clinical laboratory perspective: a report of the Association for Molecular Pathology. 2015 , 17, 107-17	43
152	Management and return of incidental genomic findings in clinical trials. 2015 , 15, 1-5	6
151	[Concepts for the return of secondary genetic findings in medical diagnostics and research]. 2015 , 58, 166-73	0
150	Attitudes toward molecular testing for personalized cancer therapy. 2015 , 121, 243-50	38
149	Genetic studies of quantitative MCI and AD phenotypes in ADNI: Progress, opportunities, and plans. 2015 , 11, 792-814	167
148	Postmortem disclosure of genetic information to family members: active or passive?. 2015 , 21, 148-53	14
147	Community dissemination and genetic research: moving beyond results reporting. 2015 , 167, 1542-50	25
146	Association of Researcher Characteristics with Views on Return of Incidental Findings from Genomic Research. 2015 , 24, 833-41	16
145	Living kidney donors and ESRD. 2015 , 66, 23-7	4
144	Communicating with biobank participants: preferences for receiving and providing updates to researchers. 2015 , 24, 708-12	11
143	DataSHIELD: an ethically robust solution to multiple-site individual-level data analysis. 2015 , 18, 87-96	19
142	An update to returning genetic research results to individuals: perspectives of the industry pharmacogenomics working group. 2015 , 29, 82-90	10
141	Researchers' views on informed consent for return of secondary results in genomic research. 2015 , 17, 644-50	15
140	Ethical Challenges to Next-Generation Sequencing. 2015 , 403-434	2
139	Engaging Study Participants in Research Dissemination at a Center for Population Health and Health Disparities. 2016 , 10, 569-576	5

138	Ethical Considerations for the Return of Incidental Findings in Ophthalmic Genomic Research. 2016 , 5, 3		8
137	The Advantages and Challenges of Testing Children for Heritable Predisposition to Cancer. 2016 , 35, 251-69		16
136	Building the Partners HealthCare Biobank at Partners Personalized Medicine: Informed Consent, Return of Research Results, Recruitment Lessons and Operational Considerations. 2016 , 6,		73
135	Preferences for return of incidental findings from genome sequencing among women diagnosed with breast cancer at a young age. 2016 , 89, 378-84		37
134	How, who, and when: preferences for delivery of genome sequencing results among women diagnosed with breast cancer at a young age. 2016 , 4, 684-695		5
133	Communicating BRCA research results to patients enrolled in international clinical trials: lessons learnt from the AGO-OVAR 16 study. <i>BMC Medical Ethics</i> , 2016 , 17, 63	2.9	1
132	Feedback of Individual Genetic Results to Research Participants: Is It Feasible in Europe?. 2016 , 14, 241-8		16
131	Handbook of Health Decision Science. 2016 ,		4
130	Decision-Making in the Age of Whole Genome Sequencing. 2016 , 357-373		
129	Use of metaphors about exome and whole genome sequencing. 2016 , 170A, 1127-33		3
128	Views of Cohort Study Participants about Returning Research Results in the Context of Precision Medicine. 2016 , 19, 269-75		3
127	Return of individual genomic research results: what do consent forms tell participants?. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2016 , 24, 1524-1529	5.3	10
126	Ethical and Legal Challenges Associated with Public Molecular Autopsies. 2016 , 44, 309-18		2
125	Aggregate penetrance of genomic variants for actionable disorders in European and African Americans. 2016 , 8, 364ra151		41
124	The Genetic Counselor's Role in Managing Ethical Dilemmas Arising in the Laboratory Setting. 2016 , 25, 838-54		7
123	Defining Ourselves: Personal Bioinformation as a Tool of Narrative Self-Conception. 2016 , 13, 133-51		9
122	A qualitative analysis of the attitudes of Irish patients towards participation in genetic-based research. 2016 , 185, 825-831		5
121	Defining the Clinical Value of a Genomic Diagnosis in the Era of Next-Generation Sequencing. 2016 , 17, 303-32		32

120	Participant use and communication of findings from exome sequencing: a mixed-methods study. 2016 , 18, 577-83		49
119	A Clinical Service to Support the Return of Secondary Genomic Findings in Human Research. 2016 , 98, 435-441		20
118	Institutional review board perspectives on obligations to disclose genetic incidental findings to research participants. 2016 , 18, 705-11		19
117	Family decision maker perspectives on the return of genetic results in biobanking research. 2016 , 18, 82-8		14
116	Variability in assigning pathogenicity to incidental findings: insights from LDLR sequence linked to the electronic health record in 1013 individuals. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2017 , 25, 410-415	5.3	7
115	Pediatric Issues in Return of Results and Incidental Findings: Weighing Autonomy and Best Interests. 2017 , 21, 155-158		7
114	Defining categories of actionability for secondary findings in next-generation sequencing. 2017 , 43, 346-349		10
113	Concordance between Research Sequencing and Clinical Pharmacogenetic Genotyping in the eMERGE-PGx Study. 2017 , 19, 561-566		14
112	Engaging Hmong adults in genomic and pharmacogenomic research: Toward reducing health disparities in genomic knowledge using a community-based participatory research approach. 2017 , 8, 117-125		19
111	Inversion 3 Cytogenetic Abnormality in an Allogeneic Hematopoietic Cell Transplant Recipient Representative of a Donor-Derived Constitutional Abnormality. 2017 , 23, 1582-1587		1
110	Overview of Policy, Ethical, and Social Considerations in Genomic and Personalized Medicine. 2017 , 19-43		
109	From Sequence Data to Returnable Results: Ethical Issues in Variant Calling and Interpretation. 2017 , 21, 178-183		5
108	A RaDiCAL gene hunt. 2017 , 12, 194-198		
107	Public Views toward Return of Secondary Results in Genomic Sequencing: It (Almost) All about the Choice. 2017 , 26, 1197-1212		13
106	Choices for return of primary and secondary genomic research results of 790 members of families with Mendelian disease. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2017 , 25, 530-537	5.3	23
105	Return and Disclosure of Research Results: Parental Attitudes and Needs Over Time in Pediatric Oncology. 2017 , 191, 232-237		2
104	Preferences for the Return of Individual Results From Research on Pediatric Biobank Samples. <i>Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics</i> , 2017 , 12, 97-106	1.6	11
103	Clinical Genetic Testing for APOL1: Are we There Yet?. 2017 , 37, 552-557		23

102	Clinical verification of genetic results returned to research participants: findings from a Colon Cancer Family Registry. 2017 , 5, 700-708	1
101	The Continuing Evolution of Ethical Standards for Genomic Sequencing in Clinical Care: Restoring Patient Choice. 2017 , 45, 333-340	5
100	Lost in translation: returning germline genetic results in genome-scale cancer research. 2017 , 9, 41	18
99	Genomic Data Commons. 2017 , 74-101	9
98	An Ethical Framework for Disclosing Individual Genetic Findings to Patients, Research Participants, and Relatives. 2017 , 275-296	1
97	Informed Consent for Research Using Biospecimens, Genetic Information and Other Personal Data. 2017 ,	
96	Precision Medicine and Challenges in Research and Clinical Implementation. 2017 , 717-732	1
95	Reconsidering the duty to warn genetically at-risk relatives. 2018 , 20, 285-290	27
94	A literature review at genome scale: improving clinical variant assessment. 2018 , 20, 936-941	1
93	Shifting sands: the complexities and uncertainties of the evolving US regulatory, policy, and scientific landscape for biospecimen research. 2018 , 24, 136-148	4
92	HIPAA@ Individual Right of Access to Genomic Data: Reconciling Safety and Civil Rights. 2018 , 102, 5-10	18
91	The Lifespan of Genetic Testing. 2018 , 131, 991-992	
90	Informed Consent in Translational Genomics: Insufficient Without Trustworthy Governance. 2018 , 46, 79-86	15
89	Towards precision nephrology: the opportunities and challenges of genomic medicine. 2018 , 31, 47-60	8
88	Cancer patients' intentions towards receiving unsolicited genetic information obtained using next-generation sequencing. 2018 , 17, 309-316	16
87	Improved ethical guidance for the return of results from psychiatric genomics research. 2018 , 23, 15-23	23
86	Oral Cancer: Genetics and the Role of Precision Medicine. 2018 , 62, 29-46	25
85	Psychological Impact of Learning CDKN2A Variant Status as a Genetic Research Result. 2018 , 21, 154-163	4

84	Editorial Commentary on "Psychological Impact of Learning CDKN2A Variant Status as a Genetic Research Result" by Zhu et al. 2018 , 21, 164-168		
83	Reconceptualizing harms and benefits in the genomic age. 2018 , 15, 419-428		7
82	Thought leader perspectives on benefits and harms in precision medicine research. 2018 , 13, e0207842		8
81	What information and the extent of information research participants need in informed consent forms: a multi-country survey. <i>BMC Medical Ethics</i> , 2018 , 19, 79	2.9	10
80	Return of results and data to study participants. 2018 , 362, 159-160		23
79	Research use of electronic health records: patients' perspectives on contact by researchers. 2018 , 25, 1122-1129		9
78	Controversies among Cancer Registry Participants, Genomic Researchers, and Institutional Review Boards about Returning Participants' Genomic Results. 2018 , 21, 18-26		7
77	Approaches to carrier testing and results disclosure in translational genomics research: The clinical sequencing exploratory research consortium experience. 2018 , 6, 898-909		10
76	Ethical Issues in Contemporary Clinical Genetics. 2018 , 2, 81-90		11
75	The Need for National Guidance Around Informed Consent About GBCA Safety. 2019 , 19, 75-77		
74	A framework for tiered informed consent for health genomic research in Africa. 2019 , 51, 1566-1571		19
73	Integrating Genomics into Psychiatric Practice: Ethical and Legal Challenges for Clinicians. 2019 , 27, 53-64		8
72	Beyond medical actionability: Public perceptions of important actions in response to hypothetical genetic testing results. 2019 , 28, 355-366		2
71	Ethical and Policy Considerations for Genomic Testing in Pediatric Research: The Path Toward Disclosing Individual Research Results. 2019 , 73, 837-845		
70	Pancreatic cancer and melanoma related perceptions and behaviors following disclosure of CDKN2A variant status as a research result. 2019 , 21, 2468-2477		5
69	Secondary findings: How did we get here, and where are we going?. 2019 , 28, 326-333		17
68	The Responsibility to Recontact Research Participants after Reinterpretation of Genetic and Genomic Research Results. 2019 , 104, 578-595		50
67	Cases in Precision Medicine: Should You Participate in a Study Involving Genomic Sequencing of Your Patients?. 2019 , 171, 568-572		

66	Preferences for Return of Genetic Results Among Participants in the Jackson Heart Study and Framingham Heart Study. 2019 , 12, e002632		8
65	Country Reports. 2019 , 47, 582-704		3
64	Ethical Issues in Newborn Sequencing Research: The Case Study of BabySeq. 2019 , 144,		19
63	Return of genetic and genomic research findings: experience of a pediatric biorepository. 2019 , 12, 173		10
62	Improving dissemination of study results: perspectives of individuals with cystic fibrosis. 2019 , 15, 1-14		4
61	Return of Value in the New Era of Biomedical Research-One Size Will Not Fit All. <i>AJOB Empirical Bioethics</i> , 2019 , 10, 265-275	3	9
60	"Stop Talking to People; Talk with Them": A Qualitative Study of Information Needs and Experiences Among Genetic Research Participants in Pakistan and Denmark. <i>Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics</i> , 2019 , 14, 3-14	1.6	4
59	Experiences and perspectives on the return of secondary findings among genetic epidemiologists. 2019 , 21, 1541-1547		4
58	Psychiatric genetics researchers' Views on offering return of results to individual participants. 2019 , 180, 589-600		11
57	Psychiatric genomics researchers' Perspectives on best practices for returning results to individual participants. 2020 , 22, 345-352		6
56	Oral Cancer: Genetics and the Role of Precision Medicine. 2020 , 29, 127-144		13
55	At the Research-Clinical Interface: Returning Individual Genetic Results to Research Participants. 2020 , 15, 1181-1189		4
54	Perspectives regarding family disclosure of genetic research results in three racial and ethnic minority populations. 2020 , 11, 433-443		3
53	Returning genomic results in a Federally Qualified Health Center: the intersection of precision medicine and social determinants of health. 2020 , 22, 1552-1559		13
52	Reporting Genetic Findings to Individual Research Participants: Guidelines From the Swiss Personalized Health Network. <i>Frontiers in Genetics</i> , 2020 , 11, 585820	4.5	0
51	and Long QT Syndrome in 1/45 Amish: The Road From Identification to Implementation of Culturally Appropriate Precision Medicine. 2020 , 13, e003133		2
50	How Can Law and Policy Advance Quality in Genomic Analysis and Interpretation for Clinical Care?. 2020 , 48, 44-68		6
49	At a Moment of Notice: Community Advisory Board Perspectives on Biobank Communication to Supplement Broad Consent. 2020 , 23, 77-89		1

48	From Genetics to Genomics: Facing the Liability Implications in Clinical Care. 2020 , 48, 11-43		18
47	Pathogenic and Uncertain Genetic Variants Have Clinical Cardiac Correlates in Diverse Biobank Participants. 2020 , 9, e013808		10
46	Why genomics researchers are sometimes morally required to hunt for secondary findings. <i>BMC Medical Ethics</i> , 2020 , 21, 11	2.9	3
45	Toward dynamic phenotypes and the scalable measurement of human behavior. 2021 , 46, 209-216		6
44	Return of results in a global survey of psychiatric genetics researchers: practices, attitudes, and knowledge. 2021 , 23, 298-305		1
43	Perceptions of best practices for return of results in an international survey of psychiatric genetics researchers. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2021 , 29, 231-240	5.3	1
42	Communicating unexpected pharmacogenomic results to biobank contributors: A focus group study. 2021 , 104, 242-249		1
41	Respect for Human Subjects: Ethics in Research Design. 2021 , 433-443		
40	Principles of ethical consideration required for clinical research involving children. 2021 , 63, 248-259		0
39	Content and Method of Information for Participants in Clinical Studies With Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells (iPSCs). 2021 , 9, 627816		
38	Patient recommendations for content and design for electronic return of genetic test results: An interview study among patients who accessed their genetic test results online (Preprint).		
37	Enhancing the Impact of Genomics Research in Autism through Integration of Research Results into Routine Care Pathways-A Case Series. 2021 , 11,		
36	To disclose, or not to disclose? Perspectives of clinical genomics professionals toward returning incidental findings from genomic research. <i>BMC Medical Ethics</i> , 2021 , 22, 101	2.9	0
35	Considerations for Cardiovascular Genetic and Genomic Research With Marginalized Racial and Ethnic Groups and Indigenous Peoples: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. 2021 , 14, e000084		4
34	Ethics: Informed Consent, Patient Privacy. 2021 , 39-44		
33	A survey of aortic disease biorepository participants' preferences for return of research genetic results. 2021 , 30, 645-655		4
32	Ethics in Genetic and Genomic Research. 2020 , 91-110		4
31	Automatic Placement of Genomic Research Results in Medical Records: Do Researchers Have a Duty? Should Participants Have a Choice?. 2015 , 43, 827-42		10

30	Do participants in genome sequencing studies of psychiatric disorders wish to be informed of their results? A survey study. 2014 , 9, e101111	28
29	Impact of Next Generation Sequencing on the Organization and Funding of Returning Research Results: Survey of Canadian Research Ethics Boards Members. 2016 , 11, e0154965	3
28	Management of Incidental Findings in the Era of Next-generation Sequencing. 2015 , 16, 159-74	35
27	Ethical, Legal, and Social Issues Related to the Inclusion of Individuals With Intellectual Disabilities in Electronic Health Record Research: Scoping Review. 2020 , 22, e16734	2
26	Data Donation as a Model for Citizen Science Health Research. 2019 , 4,	4
25	Encyclopedia of Metagenomics. 2012 , 1-9	
24	Ethics in Pediatric Oncology. 2016 , 307-326	1
23	A literature review at genome scale: improving clinical variant assessment.	
22	Informed Consent for Research Using Biospecimens, Genetic Information and Other Personal Data. 1-10	
21	Benefits. 2018 , 193-213	
20	Pathogenic and uncertain genetic variants have clinical cardiac correlates in diverse biobank participants.	
19	Return of Results in a Global Sample of Psychiatric Genetics Researchers: Practices, Attitudes, and Knowledge.	
18	IRB chairs' perspectives on genotype-driven research recruitment. <i>IRB: Ethics & Human Research</i> , 2012 , 34, 1-10	8
17	The Informed Cohort Oversight Board: From Values to Architecture. 2012 , 13, 669-690	11
16	Finding Fault? Exploring Legal Duties to Return Incidental Findings in Genomic Research. <i>Georgetown Law Journal</i> , 2014 , 102, 795-843	11
15	The First Amendment Right to Speak About the Human Genome. 2014 , 16, 549-636	11
14	Managing the Ethical Issues of Genomic Research using Pathology Specimens. <i>Clinical Biochemist Reviews</i> , 2015 , 36, 21-7	7-3 3
13	Informational risk, institutional review, and autonomy in the proposed changes to the common rule. <i>IRB: Ethics & Human Research</i> , 2012 , 34, 17-9	5

12	A FAUSTIAN BARGAIN THAT UNDERMINES RESEARCH PARTICIPANTS' PRIVACY RIGHTS AND RETURN OF RESULTS. <i>Florida Law Review</i> , 2019 , 71, 1281-1345	1	7
11	Guideline for feedback of individual genetic research findings for genomics research in Africa.. <i>BMJ Global Health</i> , 2022 , 7,	6.6	1
10	Title: Patient recommendations for content and design for electronic return of genetic test results: An interview study among patients who accessed their genetic test results online (Preprint). <i>Jmirx Med</i> ,	0.2	
9	Ethical problems of genetic testing for hereditary cardiovascular diseases. <i>Sibirskij Ūrnl Kliničskoj IŪsperimental'noj Mediciny</i> , 2022 , 36, 29-36	0.3	
8	Preferences for return of germline genome sequencing results for cancer patients and their genetic relatives in a research setting.. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2022 ,	5.3	0
7	Perspectives on returning individual and aggregate genomic research results to study participants and communities in Kenya: a qualitative study.. <i>BMC Medical Ethics</i> , 2022 , 23, 27	2.9	0
6	Stakeholder Perspectives on Returning Nonactionable Apolipoprotein L1 (APO L1) Genetic Results to African American Research Participants. <i>Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics</i> , 2021 , 15562646211063267	1.6	0
5	Managing Pandora's Box: Familial Expectations around the Return of (Future) Germline Results.. <i>AJOB Empirical Bioethics</i> , 2022 , 1-14	3	1
4	Whole Exome Sequencing in South Africa: Stakeholder Views on Return of Individual Research Results and Incidental Findings. <i>Frontiers in Genetics</i> , 13,	4.5	0
3	GBA/GBN-position on the feedback of incidental findings in biobank-based research: consensus-based workflow development and pilot implementation.		0
2	Attitudes and beliefs regarding race-targeted genetic testing of Black people: A systematic review.		0
1	Return of Participants' Incidental Genetic Research Findings: Experience from a Case-Control Study of Asthma in an American Indian Community.		0