Measuring Quality of Life in Oncologic Breast Surgery: A Patient-Reported Outcome Measures

Breast Journal 16, 587-597 DOI: 10.1111/j.1524-4741.2010.00983.x

Citation Report

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Two-year quality of life after breast cancer surgery: A comparison of three surgical procedures. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2011, 37, 695-702.	0.5	42
2	Quality of life after immediate breast reconstruction and skin-sparing mastectomy – A comparison with patients undergoing breast conserving surgery. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2011, 37, 937-943.	0.5	84
3	The problem with health measurement. Patient Preference and Adherence, 2011, 5, 279.	0.8	113
4	Re: Measuring Surgery-Specific Aspects of Quality of Life in Oncologic Breast Surgery-Measuring Aesthetic and Functional Outcome Using the BCTOS (Breast Cancer Treatment Outcome Scale). Breast Journal, 2011, 17, 441-442.	0.4	3
6	Patient-Reported Outcomes in Breast Oncology: A Review of Validated Outcome Instruments. Tumori, 2012, 98, 678-688.	0.6	52
7	Outcomes of Breast Conserving Surgery With Immediate Vicryl-Mesh Insertion: Is It Safe And Effective?. Breast Journal, 2012, 18, 334-338.	0.4	5
8	The role of fibrin glue instillation under skin flaps in the prevention of seroma formation and related morbidities following breast and axillary surgery for breast cancer: A metaâ€analysis. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2012, 106, 783-795.	0.8	20
9	A prospective model of care for breast cancer rehabilitation: Function. Cancer, 2012, 118, 2300-2311.	2.0	82
10	Who Benefits from Oncoplastic Surgical Techniques?. Current Breast Cancer Reports, 2012, 4, 132-138.	0.5	0
11	Breast surgeons performing immediate breast reconstruction with implants $\hat{a} \in Assessment$ of resource-use and patient-reported outcome measures. Breast, 2012, 21, 590-596.	0.9	25
12	Fibrin glue instillation under skin flaps to prevent seroma-related morbidity following breast and axillary surgery. The Cochrane Library, 2021, 2021, CD009557.	1.5	28
13	A Closer Look at the BREAST-Q©. Clinics in Plastic Surgery, 2013, 40, 287-296.	0.7	90
14	Measuring Outcomes in Breast Surgery. Clinics in Plastic Surgery, 2013, 40, 331-339.	0.7	1
15	The effect of breast reconstruction surgery on body image among women after mastectomy: a meta-analysis. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2013, 137, 13-21.	1.1	95
16	Radiofrequency ablation for early-stage breast cancer: Treatment outcomes and practical considerations. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2013, 39, 1317-1324.	0.5	23
17	Effects of a cost-effective surgical workflow on cosmesis and patient's satisfaction in open thyroid surgery. International Journal of Surgery, 2013, 11, 31-36.	1.1	8
18	Developing a theoretical framework to illustrate associations among patient satisfaction, body image and quality of life for women undergoing breast reconstruction. Cancer Treatment Reviews, 2013, 39, 673-681.	3.4	54
19	An evaluation of patient reported outcomes following breast reconstruction utilizing Breast Q. Breast, 2013, 22, 158-161.	0.9	39

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
20	The early development phases of a European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) module to assess patient reported outcomes (PROs) in women undergoing breast reconstruction. European Journal of Cancer, 2013, 49, 1018-1026.	1.3	22
21	The option of delayed reconstructive surgery following mastectomy for invasive breast cancer: Why do so few patients embrace this offer?. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2013, 39, 36-43.	0.5	18
22	Cancer patients' information needs the first nine months after diagnosis. Patient Education and Counseling, 2013, 90, 96-102.	1.0	132
23	Single Dualâ€Trained Surgeon for Breast Care Leads to Higher Reconstruction Rates After Mastectomy. World Journal of Surgery, 2013, 37, 2600-2606.	0.8	11
24	Evidence-Based Medicine. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2013, 132, 1658-1669.	0.7	21
25	Quality-of-Life Outcomes between Mastectomy Alone and Breast Reconstruction. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2013, 132, 201 <i>e</i> -209e.	0.7	247
26	Simultaneous Contralateral Reduction Mammoplasty or Mastopexy During Unilateral Free Flap Breast Reconstruction. Annals of Plastic Surgery, 2013, 71, 144-148.	0.5	16
27	Phase III development of the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire module for women undergoing breast reconstruction. British Journal of Surgery, 2014, 101, 371-382.	0.1	24
28	Achieving Patient Satisfaction in Abdominally Based Free Flap Breast Reconstruction. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2014, 133, 763-773.	0.7	16
29	Assessment of the measurement properties of quality of life questionnaires in Brazilian women with breast cancer. Brazilian Journal of Physical Therapy, 2014, 18, 372-383.	1.1	32
30	Monitoring patient-centered outcomes through the progression of breast reconstruction: a multicentered prospective longitudinal evaluation. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2014, 146, 299-308.	1.1	63
32	Predictors of early poor aesthetic outcome after breast-conserving surgery in patients with breast cancer: Initial results of a prospective cohort study at a single institution. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2014, 110, 801-806.	0.8	34
33	Feasibility and cosmetic outcome of oncoplastic surgery in breast cancer treatment. CirugÃa Y Cirujanos (English Edition), 2015, 83, 199-205.	0.0	3
34	ls there a Rationale for Autologous Breast Reconstruction in Older Patients? A Retrospective Single Center Analysis of Quality of life, Complications and Comorbidities after DIEP or ms-TRAM Flap Using the BREAST-Q. Breast Journal, 2015, 21, 588-595.	0.4	31
35	Quality of Life and Volume Reduction in Women with Secondary Lymphoedema Related to Breast Cancer. International Journal of Breast Cancer, 2015, 2015, 1-6.	0.6	11
36	Development of a core outcome set for research and audit studies in reconstructive breast surgery. British Journal of Surgery, 2015, 102, 1360-1371.	0.1	118
37	Health related quality of life improvement in breast cancer patients: Secondary outcome from a simple blinded, randomised clinical trial. Breast, 2015, 24, 75-81.	0.9	18
38	EORTC QLQ-BR23 and FACT-B for the assessment of quality of life in patients with breast cancer: a literature review. Journal of Comparative Effectiveness Research, 2015, 4, 157-166.	0.6	79

СІТАТ	D	
(T A 7	חבעו	$\cap DT$
CITAI	ILL P	OKI

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
39	Patient-Reported Outcome Measures in Reconstructive Breast Surgery. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2015, 135, 479e-490e.	0.7	6
40	Toolbox to Reduce Lumpectomy Reoperations and Improve Cosmetic Outcome in Breast Cancer Patients: The American Society of Breast Surgeons Consensus Conference. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2015, 22, 3174-3183.	0.7	116
42	The Use of the Latissimus dorsi Flap in Breast Reconstruction of Post- Mastectomy Patients: Is Superior to the Use of Expander / Prosthesis?. Journal of Aesthetic & Reconstructive Surgery, 2016, 2, .	0.1	0
43	Adaptation of the Body Image after Breast Cancer Questionnaire in the Polish context: factorial structure and validity of the scale. Health Psychology Report, 2016, 4, 170-187.	0.5	6
44	Current status of ultrasound-guided surgery in the treatment of breast cancer. World Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2016, 7, 44.	0.9	29
45	Different types of implants for reconstructive breast surgery. The Cochrane Library, 2016, 2016, CD010895.	1.5	34
46	Same Quality of Life for Polish Breast Cancer Patients Treated with Mastectomy and Breast Reconstruction or Breast-Conserving Therapy. Polski Przeglad Chirurgiczny, 2016, 88, 264-269.	0.2	8
47	Two-Stage Implant-Based Breast Reconstruction: An Evolution of the Conceptual and Technical Approach over a Two-Decade Period. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2016, 138, 1-11.	0.7	66
48	Satisfacción de la reconstrucción mamaria tras mastectomÃa: diferencias entre la colocación de expansor-prótesis y uso del colgajo de dorsal ancho. Revista De Senologia Y Patologia Mamaria, 2016, 29, 19-25.	0.0	1
49	Health-related quality of life among women with ductal carcinoma <i>in situ</i> or early invasive breast cancer: validation of the FACT-B (version 4). Expert Review of Quality of Life in Cancer Care, 2016, 1, 99-109.	0.6	17
50	Effect of complete decongestive therapy and home program on health- related quality of life in post mastectomy lymphedema patients. BMC Women's Health, 2016, 16, 23.	0.8	40
51	Prevalence and risk factors associated with pain 21Âmonths following surgery for breast cancer. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2016, 24, 4533-4539.	1.0	23
52	Advanced Age Does Not Worsen Recovery or Long-Term Morbidity After Postmastectomy Breast Reconstruction. Annals of Plastic Surgery, 2016, 76, 164-169.	0.5	16
53	Patient Perceived Benefit in Facial Aesthetic Procedures: FACE-Q as a Tool to Study Botulinum Toxin Injection Outcomes. Aesthetic Surgery Journal, 2016, 36, 810-820.	0.9	45
54	Quality of life among patients after bilateral prophylactic mastectomy: a systematic review of patient-reported outcomes. Quality of Life Research, 2016, 25, 1409-1421.	1.5	82
55	Systematic review of the effectiveness of polyurethane-coated compared with textured silicone implants in breast surgery. Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery, 2016, 69, 452-460.	0.5	39
56	Assessment of lymphatic impairment and interstitial protein accumulation in patients with breast cancer treatmentâ€related lymphedema using CEST MRI. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine, 2016, 75, 345-355.	1.9	43
57	Oncoplastic breast surgery: Achieving oncological and aesthetic outcomes. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 116, 195-202.	0.8	35

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
58	The potential role of three-dimensional surface imaging as a tool to evaluate aesthetic outcome after Breast Conserving Therapy (BCT). Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2017, 164, 385-393.	1.1	17
59	Prioritizing Patient-Reported Outcomes in Breast Cancer Surgery Quality Improvement. Breast Journal, 2017, 23, 127-137.	0.4	27
60	Cosmetic outcome and quality of life are inextricably linked in breastâ€conserving therapy. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 115, 941-948.	0.8	33
61	Patient-Reported Outcomes of Aesthetics and Satisfaction in Immediate Breast Reconstruction After Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy With Implants and Fat Grafting. Aesthetic Surgery Journal, 2017, 37, 999-1008.	0.9	51
62	Aesthetic and functional outcome after breast conserving surgery – Comparison between conventional and oncoplastic resection. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 43, 658-664.	0.5	42
63	Quality-of-Life Outcomes Improve with Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy and Breast Reconstruction. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2017, 140, 219-226.	0.7	114
64	Comparison of Patient-reported Outcomes after Implant Versus Autologous Tissue Breast Reconstruction Using the BREAST-Q. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open, 2017, 5, e1217.	0.3	73
65	The Telemark Breast Score. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open, 2017, 5, e1240.	0.3	3
66	Aesthetic result after breast-conserving therapy is associated with quality of life several years after treatment. Swedish women evaluated with BCCT.core and BREAST-Qâ,,¢. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2017, 164, 679-687.	1.1	32
67	Comparing oncoplastic breast conserving surgery with mastectomy and immediate breast reconstruction: Case-matched patient reported outcomes. Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery, 2017, 70, 1377-1385.	0.5	69
68	Psychosocial Aspects of Immediate versus Delayed Breast Reconstruction. Breast Care, 2017, 12, 374-377.	0.8	36
69	Is Mastectomy Oncologically Safer than Breast-Conserving Treatment in Early Breast Cancer. Breast Care, 2017, 12, 385-390.	0.8	19
70	The Future of Quality Improvement in Breast Cancer: Patient-Reported Outcomes. American Journal of Medical Quality, 2017, 32, 469-471.	0.2	6
71	Quality-of-life results for accelerated partial breast irradiation with interstitial brachytherapy versus whole-breast irradiation in early breast cancer after breast-conserving surgery (GEC-ESTRO): 5-year results of a randomised, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncology, The, 2018, 19, 834-844.	5.1	102
72	Patient reported outcome measures in breast cancer patients. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 44, 963-968.	0.5	67
73	Biomedical applications of polyurethane materials and coatings. Transactions of the Institute of Metal Finishing, 2018, 96, 121-129.	0.6	113
74	Measuring Patient-Reported Outcomes: Key Metrics in Reconstructive Surgery. Annual Review of Medicine, 2018, 69, 467-479.	5.0	38
75	Outcomes in Deep Inferior Epigastric Perforator Flap and Implant-Based Reconstruction. Cancer Control, 2018, 25, 107327481774460.	0.7	5

# 76	ARTICLE Immediate Reconstruction: General and Oncological Considerations. , 2018, , 315-323.	IF	CITATIONS 0
77	Beyond 30 Days. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open, 2018, 6, e2065.	0.3	17
78	Measurements of selfâ€efficacy in musculoskeletal rehabilitation: A systematic review. Musculoskeletal Care, 2018, 16, 471-488.	0.6	23
79	Translation and cultural adaptation of the Breast Cancer Treatment Outcome Scale (BCTOS) into Brazilian Portuguese. Revista Da Associação Médica Brasileira, 2018, 64, 627-634.	0.3	8
80	Aesthetic outcome following breast-conserving surgery assessed by three evaluation modalities in relation to health-related quality of life. British Journal of Surgery, 2018, 106, 90-99.	0.1	16
81	Evaluating Mastectomy Skin Flap Necrosis in the Extended Breast Reconstruction Risk Assessment Score for 1-Year Prediction of Prosthetic Reconstruction Outcomes. Journal of the American College of Surgeons, 2018, 227, 96-104.	0.2	14
82	Patient-Reported Outcomes for Breast Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 25, 2839-2845.	0.7	39
83	Comments on the Clinical Validation of the BREAST-Q Breast-Conserving Therapy Module. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 26, 855-856.	0.7	0
84	Optimal assessment tools in assessing breast surgery: patient reported outcome measures (PROMs) vs. objective measures. Gland Surgery, 2019, 8, 416-424.	0.5	12
85	Psychosocial Functioning in Women with Early Breast Cancer Treated with Breast Surgery With or Without Immediate Breast Reconstruction. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 26, 2444-2451.	0.7	30
86	PROMs following breast-conserving therapy for breast cancer: results from a prospective longitudinal monocentric study. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2019, 27, 4123-4132.	1.0	9
87	Quality of life after oncoplastic breast onserving surgery: a systematic review. ANZ Journal of Surgery, 2019, 89, 639-646.	0.3	21
88	Comparison between traditional saline versus air expanders: a patient's perspective. ANZ Journal of Surgery, 2019, 89, 282-283.	0.3	1
89	Late locoregional complications associated with adjuvant radiotherapy in the treatment of breast cancer: Systematic review and metaâ€analysis. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 121, 766-776.	0.8	11
90	Development and Validation of a Nipple-Specific Scale for the BREAST-Q to Assess Patient-Reported Outcomes following Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2019, 143, 1010-1017.	0.7	23
91	Comparison of brachytherapy and external beam radiotherapy boost in breast-conserving therapy: Patient-reported outcome measures and aesthetic outcome. Strahlentherapie Und Onkologie, 2019, 195, 21-31.	1.0	10
92	"The validity of the EQ-5D-5L in measuring quality of life benefits of breast reconstruction― Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery, 2019, 72, 52-61.	0.5	16
93	Comprehensive Validation Study of Quality-of-Life Questionnaire Using Objective Clinical Measures: Breast Cancer Treatment Outcome Scale (BCTOS), Brazilian Portuguese Version. Clinical Breast Cancer, 2019, 19, e85-e100.	1.1	16

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
94	Patient reported outcomes associated with surgical intervention for breast cancer. American Journal of Surgery, 2020, 219, 816-822.	0.9	14
95	PROMs in post-mastectomy care: Patient self-reports (BREAST-Qâ,,¢) as a powerful instrument to personalize medical services. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 46, 1034-1040.	0.5	15
96	The Effect of Surgical Treatment on the Quality of Life of Young Women with Breast Asymmetry: A Longitudinal, Cohort Study. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2020, 146, 400e-408e.	0.7	4
97	The Role of Oncoplastic Surgery for Breast Cancer. Current Treatment Options in Oncology, 2020, 21, 94.	1.3	10
98	Health-related quality of life in breast cancer patients: review of reviews from 2008 to 2018. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2020, 18, 338.	1.0	157
99	Oncoplastic Surgery: Does Patient and Medical Specialty Influences the Evaluation of Cosmetic Results?. Clinical Breast Cancer, 2021, 21, 247-255.e3.	1.1	5
100	Knowledge gaps in oncoplastic breast surgery. Lancet Oncology, The, 2020, 21, e375-e385.	5.1	34
101	Quality of Life Indicators in Patients Operated on for Breast Cancer in Relation to the Type of Surgery—A Retrospective Cohort Study of Women in Serbia. Medicina (Lithuania), 2020, 56, 402.	0.8	8
102	Clinician Assessment Tools for Patients with Diabetic Foot Disease: A Systematic Review. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2020, 9, 1487.	1.0	18
103	Quality-of-Life and Surgical Outcomes for Breast Cancer Patients Treated with Therapeutic Reduction Mammoplasty Versus Mastectomy with Immediate Reconstruction. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 27, 4502-4512.	0.7	20
104	Evaluation of the Preoperative Perception of Quality of Life and Satisfaction of Women With Breast Cancer Using the BREAST-Qâ"¢ Questionnaire. CirugÃa Española (English Edition), 2020, 98, 212-218.	0.1	2
105	Health-related quality of life following breast reconstruction compared to total mastectomy and breast-conserving surgery among breast cancer survivors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Breast Cancer, 2020, 27, 534-566.	1.3	74
106	Quantitative 3-Dimensional Photographic Assessment of Breast Cosmesis After Whole Breast Irradiation for Early Stage Breast Cancer: A Secondary Analysis of a Randomized Clinical Trial. Advances in Radiation Oncology, 2020, 5, 824-833.	0.6	7
107	Development and Validation of the BREAST-Q Breast-Conserving Therapy Module. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 27, 2238-2247.	0.7	22
108	Patient-Reported Outcomes and Aesthetic Results after Immediate Breast Reconstruction Using Human Acellular Dermal Matrices: Results of a Multicenter, Prospective, Observational NOGGO-AWOGyn Study. Breast Care, 2021, 16, 335-342.	0.8	1
109	Oncoplastic Surgery in Breast-Conserving Treatment: Patient Profile and Impact on Quality of Life. Breast Care, 2021, 16, 243-253.	0.8	10
110	The safety and efficacy of autologous fat grafting during second stage breast reconstruction. Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery, 2021, 74, 792-799.	0.5	5
111	The impact of scars on health-related quality of life after breast surgery: a qualitative exploration. Journal of Cancer Survivorship, 2021, 15, 224-233.	1.5	12

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
112	Breast-conserving treatment in oncoplastic times: indications, cosmesis, and quality of life. Mastology, 0, 31, .	0.1	3
113	Systematic and continuous collection of patient-reported outcomes and experience in women with cancer undergoing mastectomy and immediate breast reconstruction: a study protocol for the Tuscany Region (Italy). BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e042235.	0.8	6
114	The impact of age on patient-reported outcomes after oncoplastic versus conventional breast cancer surgery. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2021, 187, 437-446.	1.1	11
115	Immediate Prosthetic Breast Reconstruction after Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy: Traditional Subpectoral Technique versus Direct-to-Implant Prepectoral Reconstruction without Acellular Dermal Matrix. Journal of Personalized Medicine, 2021, 11, 153.	1.1	25
116	A comprehensive literature review of patientâ€reported outcome measures (PROMs) among common breast reconstruction options: What types of breast reconstruction score well?. Breast Journal, 2021, 27, 322-329.	0.4	31
117	Longitudinal Study of Psychosocial Outcomes Following Surgery in Women with Unilateral Nonhereditary Breast Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 5985-5998.	0.7	12
118	Patient-reported outcomes in breast conserving treatment. Information given by breast surgeon. What to improve. Revista De Senologia Y Patologia Mamaria, 2021, 34, 122-124.	0.0	0
119	Long-Term Quality of Life (BREAST-Q) in Patients with Mastectomy and Breast Reconstruction. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2021, 18, 9707.	1.2	11
120	Measurement and Optimizing Cosmetic Outcomes for Breast Excisions/Factors Influencing Aesthetic Outcomes of Breast Conservation Surgery. , 2020, , 93-106.		1
121	Bakas Caregiving Outcomes Scale. , 2014, , 319-321.		5
122	Evaluación de la percepción preoperatoria de la calidad de vida y satisfacción de la mujer con cáncer de mama mediante el cuestionario BREAST-Qâ"¢. CirugÃa Española, 2020, 98, 212-218.	0.1	5
122 123	EvaluaciÃ ³ n de la percepciÃ ³ n preoperatoria de la calidad de vida y satisfacciÃ ³ n de la mujer con cÃ;ncer de mama mediante el cuestionario BREAST-Qâ,,¢. CirugÃa Española, 2020, 98, 212-218. Evaluation of Patient Knowledge, Desire, and Psychosocial Background regarding Postmastectomy Breast Reconstruction in Hungary: A Questionnaire Study of 500 Cases. Medical Science Monitor, 2014, 20, 2633-2642.	0.1 0.5	5 7
	de mama mediante el cuestionario BREAST-Qâ,,¢. CirugÃa Española, 2020, 98, 212-218. Evaluation of Patient Knowledge, Desire, and Psychosocial Background regarding Postmastectomy Breast Reconstruction in Hungary: A Questionnaire Study of 500 Cases. Medical Science Monitor, 2014,		
123	de mama mediante el cuestionario BREAST-Qâ,,¢. CirugÃa Española, 2020, 98, 212-218. Evaluation of Patient Knowledge, Desire, and Psychosocial Background regarding Postmastectomy Breast Reconstruction in Hungary: A Questionnaire Study of 500 Cases. Medical Science Monitor, 2014, 20, 2633-2642. BREAST RECONSTRUCTION FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF WOMEN SUBMITTED TO MASTECTOMY: A	0.5	7
123 124	 de mama mediante el cuestionario BREAST-Qâ,,¢. CirugÃa Española, 2020, 98, 212-218. Evaluation of Patient Knowledge, Desire, and Psychosocial Background regarding Postmastectomy Breast Reconstruction in Hungary: A Questionnaire Study of 500 Cases. Medical Science Monitor, 2014, 20, 2633-2642. BREAST RECONSTRUCTION FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF WOMEN SUBMITTED TO MASTECTOMY: A META-ETNOGRAPHY. Texto E Contexto Enfermagem, 0, 28, . Calidad de vida según el estadio del cÃ;ncer de seno en mujeres: anÃ;lisis desde el Fact-B y SF-36. 	0.5	7 3
123 124 125	 de mama mediante el cuestionario BREAST-Qâ,, ¢. CirugÃa Española, 2020, 98, 212-218. Evaluation of Patient Knowledge, Desire, and Psychosocial Background regarding Postmastectomy Breast Reconstruction in Hungary: A Questionnaire Study of 500 Cases. Medical Science Monitor, 2014, 20, 2633-2642. BREAST RECONSTRUCTION FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF WOMEN SUBMITTED TO MASTECTOMY: A META-ETNOGRAPHY. Texto E Contexto Enfermagem, 0, 28, . Calidad de vida según el estadio del cÃ;ncer de seno en mujeres: anÃ;lisis desde el Fact-B y SF-36. Psychologia, 2017, 11, 109-120. Elevated magnetic resonance imaging measures of adipose tissue deposition in women with breast 	0.5 0.4 0.2 1.1	7 3 8
123 124 125 126	 de mama mediante el cuestionario BREAST-Qâ,,¢. CirugÃa Española, 2020, 98, 212-218. Evaluation of Patient Knowledge, Desire, and Psychosocial Background regarding Postmastectomy Breast Reconstruction in Hungary: A Questionnaire Study of 500 Cases. Medical Science Monitor, 2014, 20, 2633-2642. BREAST RECONSTRUCTION FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF WOMEN SUBMITTED TO MASTECTOMY: A META-ETNOGRAPHY. Texto E Contexto Enfermagem, 0, 28, . Calidad de vida segên el estadio del cÃ;ncer de seno en mujeres: anÃ;lisis desde el Fact-B y SF-36. Psychologia, 2017, 11, 109-120. Elevated magnetic resonance imaging measures of adipose tissue deposition in women with breast cancer treatment-related lymphedema. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2022, 191, 115-124. Quality of Life in Nurses Working in Neyshabur Hospitals. â€â€<aê√aêthrita 1,<="" 2012,="" journal="" li="" medical="" of="" sciences,=""> </aê√aêthrita>	0.5 0.4 0.2 1.1	7 3 8 3

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
130	Quality of life and aesthetic results after mastectomy and mammary reconstruction. Revista Brasileira De Cirurgia Plastica, 2019, 34, 45-57.	0.0	0
131	Skin-Sparing Mastectomy. , 2019, , 257-263.		1
132	Biofilm Formation on Breast Implant Surfaces by Major Gram-Positive Bacterial Pathogens. Aesthetic Surgery Journal, 2021, 41, 1144-1151.	0.9	3
133	Crescent Mastopexy. , 2020, , 149-158.		Ο
134	Satisfacción con los resultados estéticos de la reconstrucción mamaria posmastectomÃa, en el Instituto Nacional de OncologÃa y RadiobiologÃa (INOR) de Cuba, mediante el cuestionario BREAST-Q. Revista De Senologia Y Patologia Mamaria, 2020, 33, 145-150.	0.0	1
135	Breast Reconstruction Practices and Barriers in West Africa: A Survey of Surgeons. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open, 2020, 8, e3259.	0.3	7
136	Quality of life, religious attitude and cancer coping in a sample of Iranian patients with cancer. Journal of Research in Medical Sciences, 2011, 16, 928-37.	0.4	14
137	Application of WHOQOL-BREF in Measuring Quality of Life in Health-Care Staff. International Journal of Preventive Medicine, 2013, 4, 809-17.	0.2	60
138	Risk-reducing, conservative mastectomy-analysis of surgical outcome and quality of life in 272 implant-based reconstructions using TiLoop(®) Bra versus autologous corial flaps. Gland Surgery, 2016, 5, 1-8.	0.5	36
139	Confiabilidad del WHOQOL-BREF en estudios realizados en mujeres con cáncer de mama: revisión integrativa. Sanus, 0, 7, e206.	0.3	1
140	Systematic Review of Breast-Q: A Tool to Evaluate Post-Mastectomy Breast Reconstruction. Breast Cancer: Targets and Therapy, 2021, Volume 13, 711-724.	1.0	10
141	Nipple sparing mastectomy with prepectoral immediate prosthetic reconstruction without acellular dermal matrices: a single center experience. Minerva Surgery, 2021, 76, 498-505.	0.1	6
143	A Response and Discussion Respect to Gulcelik's Study in Oncoplastic Level II Surgical Techniques for Breast Cancer Treatment. Breast Care, 0, , .	0.8	0
144	Polyurethanes for Biomedical Applications. ACS Symposium Series, 0, , 363-392.	0.5	1
145	Prospective feasibility study of a mindfulness-based program for breast cancer patients in the southeastern US. Complementary Therapies in Clinical Practice, 2022, 49, 101639.	0.7	0
146	How does oncoplastic surgery compare with standard partial mastectomy? A systematic review of patient reported outcomes Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 0, Publish Ahead of Print, .	0.7	2
147	Direct-to-Implant Breast Reconstruction After Unilateral and Bilateral Mastectomy: Cross-Sectional Study of Patient Satisfaction and Quality of Life with BREAST-Q. Aesthetic Plastic Surgery, 2023, 47, 43-49.	0.5	5
148	Who Are We Missing: Does Engagement in Patient-Reported Outcome Measures for Breast Cancer Vary by Age, Race, or Disease Stage?. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 29, 7964-7973.	0.7	4

	CITATION REPORT		
#	ARTICLE	lF	CITATIONS
149	Does breast oncoplastic surgery improve quality of life?. Frontiers in Oncology, 0, 12, .	1.3	1
150	The Impact of Lymphedema on Patient-Reported Outcomes After Breast Reconstruction: A Preliminary Propensity Score-Matched Analysis. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2023, 30, 3061-3071.	0.7	2
153	Breast Cancer Patients, Surgery, and Quality of Life. , 2023, , 1-10.		0
158	Breast Cancer Patients, Surgery, and Quality of Life. , 2023, , 508-516.		Ο