

The Legacy of the Hwang Case: Research Misconduct in

Science and Engineering Ethics

15, 545-562

DOI: [10.1007/s11948-009-9121-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-009-9121-x)

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Judge the Article, Not the Author. Croatian Medical Journal, 2010, 51, 357-358.	0.7	8
4	The Ethics of Clinical Research. Journal of Hand Surgery, 2011, 36, 308-315.	1.6	3
5	International perspectives on plagiarism and considerations for teaching international trainees. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2011, 29, 104-108.	1.6	68
6	A Retrospective Analysis of the Trend of Retracted Publications in the Field of Biomedical and Life Sciences. Science and Engineering Ethics, 2011, 17, 459-468.	2.9	38
7	The Global Administrative Law of Science. Beiträge Zum Ausländischen Öffentlichen Recht Und Völkerrecht, 2011, , .	0.1	10
8	An Analysis on the Research Ethics Cases Managed by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) Between 1997 and 2010. Science and Engineering Ethics, 2012, 18, 621-631.	2.9	10
9	Ethics for Biomedical Engineers. , 2013, , .		2
10	Ethical Modernization: Research Misconduct and Research Ethics Reforms in Korea Following the Hwang Affair. Science and Engineering Ethics, 2013, 19, 355-380.	2.9	19
11	Mapping Science. , 2013, , 259-320.		1
12	Mapping Scientific Frontiers. , 2013, , .		59
13	A visual analytic study of retracted articles in scientific literature. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2013, 64, 234-253.	2.6	44
14	Hype and Public Trust in Science. Science and Engineering Ethics, 2013, 19, 321-335.	2.9	77
15	Implications of a Single Highly Cited Article on a Journal and Its Citation Indexes: A Tale of Two Journals. Accountability in Research, 2013, 20, 93-106.	2.4	7
16	Tips for Avoiding Ethical Problems in Scientific Publication. DYNA (Colombia), 2014, 81, 11-20.	0.4	3
17	Why Correcting the Literature with Errata and Retractions is Good Medical Practice?. Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology of India, 2014, 64, 377-380.	0.9	3
18	Analysis and Implications of Retraction Period and Coauthorship of Fraudulent Publications. Accountability in Research, 2014, 21, 198-210.	2.4	9
19	The ethics of stem cells revisited. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, 2015, 82-83, 176-180.	13.7	50
20	Quantifying Research Integrity. Synthesis Lectures on Information Concepts, Retrieval, and Services, 2016, 8, 1-141.	0.7	3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
21	Replication Research and Special Education. Remedial and Special Education, 2016, 37, 195-204.	2.3	89
22	Gossip as Social Control: Informal Sanctions on Ethical Violations in Scientific Workplaces. Social Problems, 2016, 63, 554-572.	2.9	18
23	Towards a Systematic Screening Tool for Quality Assurance and Semiautomatic Fraud Detection for Images in the Life Sciences. Science and Engineering Ethics, 2017, 23, 1113-1128.	2.9	17
25	Representing Scientific Knowledge. , 2017, , .		33
26	In Their Own Words: Research Misconduct from the Perspective of Researchers in Malaysian Universities. Science and Engineering Ethics, 2018, 24, 1755-1776.	2.9	12
27	Academic Misconduct, Misrepresentation and Gaming: A Reassessment. SSRN Electronic Journal, 2018, , .	0.4	2
28	Research Misconduct as White-Collar Crime. , 2018, , .		14
29	Reproducibility in Biomedical Research. , 2018, , 1-66.		1
30	Stewardship of Integrity in Scientific Communication. Anatomical Record, 2018, 301, 1481-1487.	1.4	0
31	Scholarsâ€™ preferred solutions for research misconduct: results from a survey of faculty members at Americaâ€™s top 100 research universities. Ethics and Behavior, 2019, 29, 510-530.	1.8	8
33	Academic misconduct, misrepresentation and gaming: A reassessment. Research Policy, 2019, 48, 401-413.	6.4	55
34	Research Integrity Among PhD Students at the Faculty of Medicine: A Comparison of Three Scandinavian Universities. Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics, 2020, 15, 320-329.	1.3	14
35	Perceptions of occurrence of research misconduct and related factors among Kenyan investigators engaged in HIV research. Accountability in Research, 2020, 27, 372-389.	2.4	9
36	Nanocharacterization, Materials Modeling, and Research Integrity as Enablers of Sound Risk Assessment: Designing Responsible Nanotechnology. Small, 2020, 16, 2001590.	10.0	8
37	Survey on the Research Misconduct and Questionable Research Practices of Medical Students, PhD Students, and Supervisors at the Zagreb School of Medicine in Croatia. Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics, 2021, 16, 435-449.	1.3	6
38	A dramatized method for teaching undergraduate students responsible research conduct. Accountability in Research, 2023, 30, 176-198.	2.4	1
39	Research Integrity and Misconduct in the Academic Profession. Higher Education, 2013, , 217-261.	1.2	35
40	Why Has the Number of Scientific Retractions Increased?. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e68397.	2.5	266

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
41	Scientific misconduct: how organizational culture plays its part. Tijdschrift Over Cultuur & Criminaliteit, 2015, 5, 38-54.	0.1	8
45	Whistle-Blowing: An Option or an Obligation?. , 2013, , 99-115.		0
46	Authorship, Another Research Misconduct. Journal of the Korean Fracture Society, 2014, 27, 332.	0.1	0
47	Case Studies of Global Governance for Health Research. Advancing Global Bioethics, 2019, , 75-128.	1.2	0
49	An Exploratory Analysis of 4844 Withdrawn Articles and Their Retraction Notes. Open Journal of Social Sciences, 2021, 09, 415-447.	0.3	2
50	Creative regulatory practices to develop stem-cell technology: the way forward for Malaysia. Regenerative Medicine, 2022, 17, 91-105.	1.7	1
51	Research done wrong: A comprehensive investigation of retracted publications in COVID-19. Accountability in Research, 2023, 30, 393-406.	2.4	15
52	The Embassy of Good Science â€” a community driven initiative to promote ethics and integrity in research. Open Research Europe, 0, 2, 27.	2.0	1
54	Stakeholdersâ€™ Experiences of Research Integrity Support in Universities: A Qualitative Study in Three European Countries. Science and Engineering Ethics, 2022, 28, .	2.9	1
55	The Embassy of Good Science â€” a community driven initiative to promote ethics and integrity in research. Open Research Europe, 0, 2, 27.	2.0	0
56	Institutional capacity to prevent and manage research misconduct: perspectives from Kenyan research regulators. Research Integrity and Peer Review, 2023, 8, .	5.2	0
58	Assessing the Self-Efficacy Level of Freshmen on Ethical Research and Practices in Engineering. , 2023, , .		0