Patient Decision Aids for Prostate Cancer Treatment: A

Ca-A Cancer Journal for Clinicians 59, 379-390

DOI: 10.3322/caac.20039

Citation Report

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	A history of patient education by health professionals in Europe and North America: From authority to shared decision making education. Patient Education and Counseling, 2010, 78, 275-281.	1.0	189
2	Impact of Drug Conjugation on Pharmacokinetics and Tissue Distribution of Anti-STEAP1 Antibody–Drug Conjugates in Rats. Bioconjugate Chemistry, 2011, 22, 1994-2004.	1.8	177
3	Searching Robotic Prostatectomy Online: What Information Is Available?. Urology, 2011, 77, 941-945.	0.5	11
4	Treatment Decision Regret and Related Factors Following Radical Prostatectomy. Cancer Nursing, 2011, 34, 417-422.	0.7	28
5	Treatment decisionâ€making in localized prostate cancer: why patients chose either radical prostatectomy or external beam radiation therapy. BJU International, 2011, 108, 1274-1278.	1.3	37
6	Social and clinical predictors of prostate cancer treatment decisions among men in South Carolina. Cancer Causes and Control, 2011, 22, 1597-1606.	0.8	12
7	Patient preferences and urologist recommendations among local-stage prostate cancer patients who present for initial consultation and second opinions. World Journal of Urology, 2011, 29, 3-9.	1,2	36
8	Role of 11C-choline positron emission tomography/computed tomography in evaluating patients affected by prostate cancer with suspected relapse due to prostate-specific antigen elevation. Japanese Journal of Radiology, 2011, 29, 394-404.	1.0	30
9	Disparities at presentation, diagnosis, treatment, and survival in African American men, affected by prostate cancer. Prostate, 2011, 71, 985-997.	1.2	273
10	Tackling overtreatment of prostate cancer. Cancer, 2011, 117, 4576-4578.	2.0	O
11	Hospital racial composition and the treatment of localized prostate cancer. Cancer, 2011, 117, 5569-5578.	2.0	19
12	Long-Term Disease-Specific Functioning Among Prostate Cancer Survivors and Noncancer Controls in the Prostate, Lung, Colorectal, and Ovarian Cancer Screening Trial. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2012, 30, 2768-2775.	0.8	67
13	Outcomes in Transplant Patients Undergoing Brachytherapy for Prostate Cancer. American Journal of Clinical Oncology: Cancer Clinical Trials, 2012, 35, 40-44.	0.6	26
14	Active Surveillance in Men With Localized Prostate Cancer. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2012, 156, 582.	2.0	71
15	Improving the Communication of Benefits and Harms of Treatment Strategies: Decision Aids for Localized Prostate Cancer Treatment Decisions. Journal of the National Cancer Institute Monographs, 2012, 2012, 197-201.	0.9	15
16	Shared Decision Making in Oncology Practice: What Do Oncologists Need to Know?. Oncologist, 2012, 17, 91-100.	1.9	101
17	Changes in incidence, survival and mortality of prostate cancer in Europe and the United States in the PSA era: additional diagnoses and avoided deaths. Annals of Oncology, 2012, 23, 1325-1334.	0.6	90
18	Decision preparation, satisfaction and regret in a multi-center sample of men with newly diagnosed localized prostate cancer. Patient Education and Counseling, 2012, 88, 262-267.	1.0	66

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	MRI of prostate stem cell antigen expression in prostate tumors. Nanomedicine, 2012, 7, 691-703.	1.7	15
20	The language of prostate cancer treatments and implications for informed decision making by patients. European Journal of Cancer Care, 2012, 21, 766-775.	0.7	16
21	Opinions from the Experts: Exploring What Prostate Cancer Patients Should Know About Post-Operative Radiotherapy. Journal of Cancer Education, 2013, 28, 509-515.	0.6	3
22	A preliminary exploration of the feasibility of offering men information about potential prostate cancer treatment options before they know their biopsy results. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 2013, 13, 19.	1.5	10
23	An integrated approach to identify normal tissue expression of targets for antibodyâ€drug conjugates: case study of <scp>TENB2</scp> . British Journal of Pharmacology, 2013, 168, 445-457.	2.7	35
24	The Personal Patient Profile-Prostate decision support for men with localized prostate cancer: A multi-center randomized trial. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2013, 31, 1012-1021.	0.8	95
25	Comparative effectiveness research in localized prostate cancer treatment. Journal of Comparative Effectiveness Research, 2013, 2, 583-593.	0.6	8
26	Bridging the Gap at the Center of Patient Centeredness: Individual Patient Preferences in Health Care Decision Making. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2013, 173, 369.	2.6	8
27	A Qualitative Identification of Categories of Patient Participation in Decision-Making by Health Care Professionals and Patients During Surgical Treatment. Clinical Nursing Research, 2013, 22, 206-227.	0.7	9
28	The value of personalised risk information: a qualitative study of the perceptions of patients with prostate cancer: TableÂ1. BMJ Open, 2013, 3, e003226.	0.8	35
30	Prostate Cancer Treatment and Survival. Medical Care, 2014, 52, 482-489.	1.1	37
31	Prostate Cancer Screening. Primary Care - Clinics in Office Practice, 2014, 41, 355-370.	0.7	7
32	Patient Opinions on Prostate Cancer Screening Are Swayed by the United States Preventative Services Task Force Recommendations. Urology, 2014, 84, 295-299.	0.5	8
34	Implementing and evaluating shared decision making in oncology practice. Ca-A Cancer Journal for Clinicians, 2014, 64, 377-388.	157.7	206
35	Treatment preference and patient centered prostate cancer care: Design and rationale. Contemporary Clinical Trials, 2015, 45, 296-301.	0.8	16
36	Expectant management for men with early stage prostate cancer. Ca-A Cancer Journal for Clinicians, 2015, 65, 264-282.	157.7	59
37	Treatment decisions for localized prostate cancer: a concept mapping approach. Health Expectations, 2015, 18, 2079-2090.	1.1	12
38	Effect of a Decision Aid on Decision Making for the Treatment of Pelvic Organ Prolapse. Female Pelvic Medicine and Reconstructive Surgery, 2015, 21, 231-235.	0.6	25

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
39	MP42-19 DECISION AIDS FOR LOCALIZED PROSTATE CANCER TREATMENT CHOICE: SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND META-ANALYSIS. Journal of Urology, 2015, 193, .	0.2	1
40	Why do patients regret their prostate cancer treatment? A systematic review of regret after treatment for localized prostate cancer. Psycho-Oncology, 2015, 24, 1002-1011.	1.0	79
41	Impact of a web-based treatment decision aid for early-stage prostate cancer on shared decision-making and health outcomes: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials, 2015, 16, 231.	0.7	22
42	Involvement in Decision Making and Satisfaction With Treatment Among Partners of Patients With Newly Diagnosed Localized Prostate Cancer. Oncology Nursing Forum, 2015, 42, 672-679.	0.5	9
43	Differences in practice patterns between urologists and radiation oncologists in the management of localized prostate cancer: a cross-sectional survey. World Journal of Urology, 2015, 33, 1741-1747.	1.2	6
44	Systematic Review of Decision Aids for Newly Diagnosed Patients with Prostate Cancer Making Treatment Decisions. Journal of Urology, 2015, 194, 1247-1252.	0.2	42
45	Shared Decision Making and Use of Decision Aids for Localized Prostate Cancer. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2015, 175, 792.	2.6	43
46	Diagnoses, Decisions, and Outcomes. , 2015, , .		15
47	Informed Decision Making. Medical Decision Making, 2015, 35, 999-1009.	1.2	64
49	Decision aids for localized prostate cancer treatment choice: Systematic review and metaâ€analysis. Ca-A Cancer Journal for Clinicians, 2015, 65, 239-251.	157.7	115
50	In vivo targeted imaging of early stage prostate cancer using a transferrin based near-infrared fluorescence probe. RSC Advances, 2015, 5, 64076-64082.	1.7	4
51	Multicriteria decision analysis in oncology. Health Expectations, 2015, 18, 1812-1826.	1.1	32
52	Surgery Provides Better Oncologic Outcomes than Radiation for the Treatment of Prostate Cancer. Journal of Urology, 2016, 196, 309-311.	0.2	2
53	AUA White Paper on Implementation of Shared Decision Making into Urological Practice. Urology Practice, 2016, 3, 355-363.	0.2	38
56	Predicting prostate cancer treatment choices: The role of numeracy, time discounting, and risk attitudes. Journal of Health Psychology, 2017, 22, 788-797.	1.3	13
57	Patient Decision Making Prior to Radical Prostatectomy. American Journal of Men's Health, 2017, 11, 108-115.	0.7	2
58	Perceptions of Urologists About the Conversational Elements Leading to Treatment Decision-Making Among Newly Diagnosed Prostate Cancer Patients. Journal of Cancer Education, 2017, 32, 580-588.	0.6	10
59	Impact of a decision aid on newly diagnosed prostate cancer patients' understanding of the rationale for active surveillance. Patient Education and Counseling, 2017, 100, 812-817.	1.0	17

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
60	A Review of Shared Decision-Making and Patient Decision Aids in Radiation Oncology. Journal of Cancer Education, 2017, 32, 238-245.	0.6	33
61	Physicians' Perceptions of Factors Influencing the Treatment Decision-making Process for Men With Low-risk Prostate Cancer. Urology, 2017, 107, 86-95.	0.5	8
62	When Primary Care Providers (PCPs) Help Patients Choose Prostate Cancer Treatment. Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine, 2017, 30, 298-307.	0.8	9
63	How Men with Prostate Cancer Choose Specialists: A Qualitative Study. Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine, 2017, 30, 220-229.	0.8	7
64	Engaging patients in complex clinical decision-making: Successes, pitfalls, and future directions. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2017, 35, 569-573.	0.8	2
65	Physicians' perspectives on the informational needs of low-risk prostate cancer patients. Health Education Research, 2017, 32, 134-152.	1.0	5
66	Does Patient Preference Measurement in Decision Aids Improve Decisional Conflict? A Randomized Trial in Men with Prostate Cancer. Patient, 2017, 10, 785-798.	1.1	21
67	Incongruence in treatment decision making is associated with lower health-related quality of life among prostate cancer survivors: results from the PiCTure study. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2017, 26, 1645-1654.	1.0	7
68	The decision-making role of the patient in localised prostate cancer treatment. Australasian Journal of Information Systems, $0,21,\ldots$	0.3	1
69	Canadian Men's perspectives about active surveillance in prostate cancer: need for guidance and resources. BMC Urology, 2017, 17, 98.	0.6	7
70	Development of an Online, Patient-Centred Decision Aid for Patients with Oropharyngeal Cancer in the Transoral Robotic Surgery Era. Current Oncology, 2017, 24, 318-323.	0.9	13
71	Treatment Decision Regret Among Long-Term Survivors of Localized Prostate Cancer: Results From the Prostate Cancer Outcomes Study. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2017, 35, 2306-2314.	0.8	81
72	Decision Support and Shared Decision Making About Active Surveillance Versus Active Treatment Among Men Diagnosed with Low-Risk Prostate Cancer: a Pilot Study. Journal of Cancer Education, 2018, 33, 180-185.	0.6	14
73	Prostate Cancer Patient Characteristics Associated With a Strong Preference to Preserve Sexual Function and Receipt of Active Surveillance. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2018, 110, 420-425.	3.0	17
74	Systematic Review of Decision Aids for the Management of Men With Localized Prostate Cancer. Urology, 2018, 114, 1-7.	0.5	14
<b>7</b> 5	Decision aid use during postâ€biopsy consultations for localized prostate cancer. Health Expectations, 2018, 21, 279-287.	1.1	15
76	Evaluation of an Online Education Resource on Radiation Therapy Created for Patients with Postprostatectomy Prostate Cancer and Their Caregivers. Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Sciences, 2018, 49, 365-370.	0.2	0
77	Multi-disciplinary and shared decision-making approach in the management of organ-confined prostate cancer. Arab Journal of Urology Arab Association of Urology, 2018, 16, 367-377.	0.7	10

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
78	Examining the impact of a multimedia intervention on treatment decision-making among newly diagnosed prostate cancer patients: results from a nationwide RCT. Translational Behavioral Medicine, 2018, 8, 876-886.	1.2	20
79	Communicative aspects of decision aids for localized prostate cancer treatment – A systematic review. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2019, 37, 409-429.	0.8	23
80	A National Survey of Radiation Oncologists and Urologists on Perceived Attitudes and Recommendations of Active Surveillance for Low-Risk Prostate Cancer. Clinical Genitourinary Cancer, 2019, 17, e472-e481.	0.9	5
81	â€`Very difficult for an ordinary guy': Factors influencing the quality of treatment decision-making amongst men diagnosed with localised and locally advanced prostate cancer: Findings from a UK-wide mixed methods study. Patient Education and Counseling, 2019, 102, 797-803.	1.0	12
82	Understanding patients' values and preferences regarding early stage lung cancer treatment decision making. Lung Cancer, 2019, 131, 47-57.	0.9	31
83	Individual prognosis at diagnosis in nonmetastatic prostate cancer: Development and external validation of the PREDICT Prostate multivariable model. PLoS Medicine, 2019, 16, e1002758.	3.9	56
84	The impact of decision aids in patients with colorectal cancer: a systematic review. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e028379.	0.8	17
85	Quality of Life and Decision Regret After Postoperative Radiation Therapy to the Prostatic Bed Region With or Without Elective Pelvic Nodal Radiation Therapy. Practical Radiation Oncology, 2019, 9, e516-e527.	1.1	1
86	Perceptions of Barriers Towards Active Surveillance for Low-Risk Prostate Cancer: Results From a National Survey of Radiation Oncologists and Urologists. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 26, 660-668.	0.7	10
87	askMUSIC: Leveraging a Clinical Registry to Develop a New Machine Learning Model to Inform Patients of Prostate Cancer Treatments Chosen by Similar Men. European Urology, 2019, 75, 901-907.	0.9	32
88	Implementation of a decision aid for localized prostate cancer in routine care: A successful implementation strategy. Health Informatics Journal, 2020, 26, 1194-1207.	1.1	9
89	A core competency framework for prostate cancer peer navigation. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2020, 28, 2605-2614.	1.0	7
90	Comparing Perspectives of Canadian Men Diagnosed With Prostate Cancer and Health Care Professionals About Active Surveillance. Journal of Patient Experience, 2020, 7, 1122-1129.	0.4	3
91	Advances in the diagnostic options for prostate cancer. Postgraduate Medicine, 2020, 132, 52-62.	0.9	1
92	Evaluation of Patient-Reported Outcomes in Burn Survivors Undergoing Reconstructive Surgery in the Rehabilitative Period. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2020, 146, 171-182.	0.7	10
93	A systematic review of the factors associated with regret post-cancer treatment. Journal of Psychosocial Oncology, 2022, 40, 1-25.	0.6	10
94	Comparative performance and external validation of the multivariable PREDICT Prostate tool for non-metastatic prostate cancer: a study in 69,206 men from Prostate Cancer data Base Sweden (PCBaSe). BMC Medicine, 2020, 18, 139.	2.3	10
95	Effectiveness of Decision Aid in Men with Localized Prostate Cancer: a Multicenter Randomized Controlled Trial at Tertiary Referral Hospitals in an Asia Pacific Country. Journal of Cancer Education, 2022, 37, 169-178.	0.6	3

#	Article	lF	CITATIONS
96	Evaluating Patients' Perception of the Risk of Acute Care Visits During Systemic Therapy for Cancer. JCO Oncology Practice, 2020, 16, e622-e629.	1.4	3
97	Clinical Decision Support Systems in Breast Cancer: A Systematic Review. Cancers, 2020, 12, 369.	1.7	50
98	Treatment decision satisfaction and regret after focal HIFU for localized prostate cancer. World Journal of Urology, 2021, 39, 1121-1129.	1.2	13
99	Development of three different decision support tools to support shared decision-making in vascular surgery. Patient Education and Counseling, 2021, 104, 282-289.	1.0	7
100	Do radiation oncologists and urologists endorse decision aids for active surveillance of lowâ€risk prostate cancer: Results from a national survey. European Journal of Cancer Care, 2021, 30, e13301.	0.7	0
101	Predictors of Women's Awareness of the Benefits and Harms of Mammography Screening and Associations with Confusion, Ambivalence, and Information Seeking. Health Communication, 2021, 36, 303-314.	1.8	11
102	Patient Satisfaction and Regret After Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy: A Decision Regret Analysis. Urology, 2021, 149, 122-128.	0.5	17
103	Individual risk prediction of urinary incontinence after prostatectomy and impact on treatment choice in patients with localized prostate cancer. Neurourology and Urodynamics, 2021, 40, 1550-1558.	0.8	7
104	One-year urinary and sexual outcome trajectories among prostate cancer patients treated by radical prostatectomy: a prospective study. BMC Urology, 2021, 21, 81.	0.6	1
106	The Visual Design and Implementation of an Embodied Conversational Agent in a Shared Decision-Making Context (eCoach). Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2015, , 427-437.	1.0	10
108	A Randomized Controlled Trial of a CPR Decision Support Video for Patients Admitted to the General Medicine Service. Journal of Hospital Medicine, 2017, 12, 700-704.	0.7	14
109	An approach to designing interactive decision aid for cardiac patients. , 2011, , .		2
110	Assessing the Acceptability and Usability of an Interactive Serious Game in Aiding Treatment Decisions for Patients with Localized Prostate Cancer. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2011, 13, e4.	2.1	72
111	Perceived Barriers and Facilitators of Using a Web-Based Interactive Decision Aid for Colorectal Cancer Screening in Community Practice Settings: Findings From Focus Groups With Primary Care Clinicians and Medical Office Staff. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2013, 15, e286.	2.1	25
112	Preparing Patients with Early Stage Prostate Cancer to Participate in Clinical Appointments Using a Shared Decision Making Training Video. Medical Decision Making, 2022, 42, 364-374.	1.2	5
113	Future Directions in Prostate Cancer Diagnosis. , 2013, , 363-372.		0
114	Decision Aids in the United States: the Patient Response. International Journal of Person Centered Medicine, 2015, 5, 105-111.	0.2	3
115	Better-Informed Decision-Making to Optimize Patient Selection. Current Clinical Urology, 2018, , 149-167.	0.0	2

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
116	Cancer, Prostate., 2020,, 354-356.		O
117	Treatment options for localized prostate cancer. Canadian Family Physician, 2013, 59, 1269-74.	0.1	37
118	Using clinical decision support systems in breast cancer treatment: a critical review. Cancer Nursing Practice, 2022, 21, 27-34.	0.2	0
119	Overall survival and prognostic factors prostate cancer in Kurdistan Province-Iran: a population-based study (2011-2018). BMC Cancer, 2021, 21, 1314.	1.1	2
120	Examining the effectiveness and implementation of patient treatment decisionâ€aid tools for men with localised prostate cancer: A systematic review. Psycho-Oncology, 2023, 32, 469-491.	1.0	4
121	The Impact of Within-Consultation and Preconsultation Decision Aids for Localized Prostate Cancer on Patient Knowledge: Results of a Patient-Level Randomized Trial. Urology, 2023, 175, 90-95.	0.5	1
122	Taking shared decision making for prostate cancer to the next level: Requirements for a Dutch treatment decision aid with personalized risks on side effects. Internet Interventions, 2023, 31, 100606.	1.4	1