The Effect of Provider Case Volume on Cancer Mortality Meta-Analysis

Ca-A Cancer Journal for Clinicians 59, 192-211 DOI: 10.3322/caac.20018

Citation Report

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	A 37-Year-Old Man Trying to Choose a High-Quality Hospital. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2009, 302, 2353.	3.8	9
2	In-hospital mortality after stomach cancer surgery in Spain and relationship with hospital volume of interventions. BMC Public Health, 2009, 9, 312.	1.2	25
3	Providing Specialist Services in Australia Across Barriers of Distance and Culture. World Journal of Surgery, 2009, 33, 1562-1567.	0.8	13
4	Interval Between Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy and Surgery for Squamous Cell Carcinoma of the Thoracic Esophagus. Annals of Surgery, 2010, 252, 788-796.	2.1	66
5	The Effect of Surgeon Volume on Outcomes and Resource Use for Vaginal Hysterectomy. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2010, 116, 1341-1347.	1.2	84
6	Trends in Centralization of Cancer Surgery. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2010, 17, 2824-2831.	0.7	125
7	Integrated Prostate Cancer Centers and Over-Utilization of IMRT: AÂClose Look at Fee-for-Service Medicine in Radiation Oncology. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2010, 76, 1285-1288.	0.4	37
8	Regionalization of Care for Obstetric Hemorrhage and Its Effect on Maternal Mortality. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2010, 115, 1194-1200.	1.2	99
9	Quality Improvement for Pancreatic Cancer Care: Is Regionalization a Feasible and Effective Mechanism?. Surgical Oncology Clinics of North America, 2010, 19, 371-390.	0.6	25
10	Impact of surgeon volume on outcomes of rectal cancer surgery: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of the Royal College of Surgeons of Edinburgh, 2010, 8, 341-352.	0.8	50
12	Upregulation of p53 Expression in Patients with Colorectal Cancer by Administration of Curcumin. Cancer Investigation, 2011, 29, 208-213.	0.6	223
13	Minimally Invasive Esophagectomy in the Community Hospital Setting. Surgical Oncology Clinics of North America, 2011, 20, 521-530.	0.6	7
14	The management of rectal cancer in Ireland in 2007 – room forÂimprovement?. Journal of the Royal College of Surgeons of Edinburgh, 2011, 9, 179-186.	0.8	9
15	Low-volume centre vs high-volume: the role of a quality assurance programme in colon cancer surgery. Colorectal Disease, 2011, 13, e276-e283.	0.7	22
16	The influence of surgical volume on morbidity and mortality of radical hysterectomy for cervical cancer. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2011, 205, 225.e1-225.e7.	0.7	44
17	Standardization of Surgical and Pathologic Variables is Needed in Multicenter Trials of Adjuvant Therapy for Pancreatic Cancer: Results from the ACOSOG Z5031 Trial. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2011, 18, 337-344.	0.7	72
18	The impact of provider surgical volumes on survival in children with primary tumors of the central nervous system—a population-based study. Acta Neurochirurgica, 2011, 153, 1219-1229.	0.9	15
19	Subspecialisation in neurosurgery—does size matter?. Acta Neurochirurgica, 2011, 153, 1231-1236.	0.9	9

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
20	The relationship between hospital volume and outcome of gastrointestinal cancer surgery in Korea. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2011, 104, 116-123.	0.8	8
21	Surgeon volumes in oesophagogastric and hepatopancreatobiliary resectional surgery. British Journal of Surgery, 2011, 98, 891-893.	0.1	9
22	Factors associated with adherence to prophylactic antibiotic therapy for elective general surgeries in Japan. International Journal for Quality in Health Care, 2011, 23, 167-172.	0.9	19
23	How may clinical research improve healthcare outcomes?. Annals of Oncology, 2011, 22, vii10-vii15.	0.6	69
24	Surgeons' Volume-Outcome Relationship for Lobectomies and Wedge Resections for Cancer Using Video-Assisted Thoracoscopic Techniques. Minimally Invasive Surgery, 2012, 2012, 1-12.	0.1	16
25	Assessing the relationship between volume and outcome in hospital services: implications for service centralization. Health Services Management Research, 2012, 25, 1-6.	1.0	22
26	Incidence and causes of perioperative mortality after primary surgery for intracranial tumors: a national, population-based study. Journal of Neurosurgery, 2012, 116, 825-834.	0.9	45
27	Editorial. Journal of Neurosurgery, 2012, 116, 821-824.	0.9	0
28	Centralization of Highly Complex Low-Volume Procedures in Upper Gastrointestinal Surgery. A Summary of Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses. Digestive Surgery, 2012, 29, 374-383.	0.6	67
29	Socio-demographic and other patient characteristics associated with time between colonoscopy and surgery, and choice of treatment centre for colorectal cancer: a retrospective cohort study. BMJ Open, 2012, 2, e001070.	0.8	12
30	The Impact of Provider Volume on the Outcomes After Surgery for Lumbar Spinal Stenosis. Neurosurgery, 2012, 70, 1346-1354.	0.6	70
31	Workload and surgeon´s specialty for outcome after colorectal cancer surgery. The Cochrane Library, 2012, , CD005391.	1.5	171
32	Survival from breast cancer: an analysis of Australian data by surgeon case load, treatment centre location, and health insurance status. Australian Health Review, 2012, 36, 342.	0.5	12
33	Effect of Surgical Volume on Outcomes for Laparoscopic Hysterectomy for Benign Indications. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2012, 119, 709-716.	1.2	118
34	Quality of rectal cancer surgery and its relationship to surgeon and hospital caseload: a populationâ€based study. Colorectal Disease, 2012, 14, e692-700.	0.7	17
35	Differences in outcomes of oesophageal and gastric cancer surgery across Europe. British Journal of Surgery, 2012, 100, 83-94.	0.1	135
36	Effect of hospital volume on postoperative mortality and survival after oesophageal and gastric cancer surgery in the Netherlands between 1989 and 2009. European Journal of Cancer, 2012, 48, 1004-1013.	1.3	134
37	Hospital factors and patient characteristics in the treatment of colorectal cancer: a population based study. BMC Public Health, 2012, 12, 775.	1.2	16

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
38	Cross-sectional Study of Variables Associated with Length of Stay and ICU Need in Open Roux-En-Y Gastric Bypass Surgery for Morbid Obese Patients: An Exploratory Analysis Based on the Public Health System Administrative Database (Datasus) in Brazil. Obesity Surgery, 2012, 22, 1810-1817.	1.1	5
39	Multivariate Analyses to Assess the Effects of Surgeon and Hospital Volume on Cancer Survival Rates: A Nationwide Population-Based Study in Taiwan. PLoS ONE, 2012, 7, e40590.	1.1	43
40	Influence of Surgical Volume on Outcome for Laparoscopic Hysterectomy for Endometrial Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2012, 19, 948-958.	0.7	50
41	Influence of hospital type on outcomes after oesophageal and gastric cancer surgery. British Journal of Surgery, 2012, 99, 954-963.	0.1	33
42	The volumeâ€outcome relation in the surgical treatment of esophageal cancer. Cancer, 2012, 118, 1754-1763.	2.0	139
43	A Systematic Review of the Effect of Institution and Surgeon Factors on Surgical Outcomes for Gastric Cancer. Journal of the American College of Surgeons, 2012, 214, 860-868e12.	0.2	24
44	Randomized trials and quality assurance in gastric cancer surgery. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2013, 107, 298-305.	0.8	8
45	No difference between lowest and highest volume hospitals in outcome after colorectal cancer surgery in the southern Netherlands. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2013, 39, 1199-1206.	0.5	25
46	ESSO Core Curriculum 2013. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2013, 39, S1-S31.	0.5	4
47	Impacto del tipo de hospital en la supervivencia de pacientes con mieloma múltiple: estudio MICORE. Revista Clinica Espanola, 2013, 213, 330-335.	0.2	2
48	State of Evidence on the Relationship between High-Volume Hospitals and Outcomes in Surgery: A Systematic Review of Systematic Reviews. Journal of the American College of Surgeons, 2013, 216, 1015-1025e18.	0.2	127
49	The impact of the type of hospital on survival of multiple myeloma patients: The MICORE study. Revista Clínica Espanõla, 2013, 213, 330-335.	0.3	0
50	Sustaining innovation and improvement in the treatment of childhood cancer: lessons from high-income countries. Lancet Oncology, The, 2013, 14, e95-e103.	5.1	175
52	Survival and recurrence free benefits with different lymphadenectomy for resectable gastric cancer: A metaâ€analysis. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2013, 107, 807-814.	0.8	71
53	The varying role of the GP in the pathway between colonoscopy and surgery for colorectal cancer: a retrospective cohort study. BMJ Open, 2013, 3, e002325.	0.8	3
54	Do Hospitals that Serve a High Percentage of Medicaid Patients Perform Well on Evidence-based Guidelines for Colon Cancer Care?. Journal of Health Care for the Poor and Underserved, 2013, 24, 1180-1193.	0.4	25
55	Quality of narrative operative reports in pancreatic surgery. Canadian Journal of Surgery, 2013, 56, E121-E127.	0.5	22
56	The volume effect in paediatric oncology: a systematic review. Annals of Oncology, 2013, 24, 1749-1753.	0.6	47

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
57	Retrospective review of rectal cancer surgery in northern Alberta. Canadian Journal of Surgery, 2013, 56, E51-E58.	0.5	1
58	Targeting Quality in Surgery. Annals of Surgery, 2013, 258, 659-668.	2.1	15
59	Hospital volume, proportion resected and mortality from oesophageal and gastric cancer: a population-based study in England, 2004–2008. Gut, 2013, 62, 961-966.	6.1	142
60	Hospital and Surgeon Volume in Relation to Survival After Esophageal Cancer Surgery in a Population-Based Study. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2013, 31, 551-557.	0.8	130
61	Clinical Information Available to Oncologists in Surgically Treated Rectal Cancer: Room to Improve. Current Oncology, 2013, 20, 166-172.	0.9	7
62	Survival, mortality and morbidity outcomes after oesophagogastric cancer surgery in New South Wales, 2001–2008. Medical Journal of Australia, 2014, 200, 408-413.	0.8	40
63	Optimizing Rectal Cancer Management. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2014, 57, 252-259.	0.7	32
64	Association Between Obstetrician Forceps Volume and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2014, 123, 248-254.	1.2	14
65	Hospital and surgeon volume in relation to long-term survival after oesophagectomy: systematic review and meta-analysis. Gut, 2014, 63, 1393-1400.	6.1	141
	Optimal Management of Castria Cancer Appals of Surgery 2014, 259, 102, 108		40
66	Optimal Management of Gastric Cancer. Annals of Surgery, 2014, 259, 102-108.	2.1	48
67	Treatment results of curative gastric resection from a specialist Australian unit: low volume with satisfactory outcomes. Gastric Cancer, 2014, 17, 152-160.	2.1 2.7	48
	Treatment results of curative gastric resection from a specialist Australian unit: low volume with		
67	Treatment results of curative gastric resection from a specialist Australian unit: low volume with satisfactory outcomes. Gastric Cancer, 2014, 17, 152-160.	2.7	12
67 68	Treatment results of curative gastric resection from a specialist Australian unit: low volume with satisfactory outcomes. Gastric Cancer, 2014, 17, 152-160. Improving quality through process change: a scoping review of process improvement tools in cancer surgery. BMC Surgery, 2014, 14, 45. The impact of surgical specialisation on survival following elective colon cancer surgery.	2.7 0.6	12 6
67 68 69	 Treatment results of curative gastric resection from a specialist Australian unit: low volume with satisfactory outcomes. Gastric Cancer, 2014, 17, 152-160. Improving quality through process change: a scoping review of process improvement tools in cancer surgery. BMC Surgery, 2014, 14, 45. The impact of surgical specialisation on survival following elective colon cancer surgery. International Journal of Colorectal Disease, 2014, 29, 1143-1150. Concentrating childhood cancer treatment in the Netherlands. Padiatrie Und Padologie, 2015, 50, 	2.7 0.6 1.0	12 6 14
67 68 69 72	Treatment results of curative gastric resection from a specialist Australian unit: low volume with satisfactory outcomes. Gastric Cancer, 2014, 17, 152-160. Improving quality through process change: a scoping review of process improvement tools in cancer surgery. BMC Surgery, 2014, 14, 45. The impact of surgical specialisation on survival following elective colon cancer surgery. International Journal of Colorectal Disease, 2014, 29, 1143-1150. Concentrating childhood cancer treatment in the Netherlands. Padiatrie Und Padologie, 2015, 50, 38-41. Mortality and cost of radiation therapy for oesophageal cancer according to hospital accreditation	2.7 0.6 1.0 1.0	12 6 14 4
67 68 69 72 73	Treatment results of curative gastric resection from a specialist Australian unit: low volume with satisfactory outcomes. Gastric Cancer, 2014, 17, 152-160. Improving quality through process change: a scoping review of process improvement tools in cancer surgery. BMC Surgery, 2014, 14, 45. The impact of surgical specialisation on survival following elective colon cancer surgery. International Journal of Colorectal Disease, 2014, 29, 1143-1150. Concentrating childhood cancer treatment in the Netherlands. Padiatrie Und Padologie, 2015, 50, 38-41. Mortality and cost of radiation therapy for oesophageal cancer according to hospital accreditation level: a nationwide population-based study. European Journal of Cancer Care, 2015, 24, 333-339. On the Hospital Volume and Outcome Relationship: Does Specialization Matter More Than Volume?.	2.7 0.6 1.0 1.0 0.7	12 6 14 4 6

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
77	Minimum volume standards in German hospitals: do they get along with procedure centralization? A retrospective longitudinal data analysis. BMC Health Services Research, 2015, 15, 279.	0.9	29
78	Hospital volume and 1-year mortality after treatment of intracranial aneurysms: a study based on patient registries in Scandinavia. Journal of Neurosurgery, 2015, 123, 631-637.	0.9	15
79	Global cancer surgery: The Lancet Oncology review. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2015, 41, 1559-1561.	0.5	17
80	Making the Case to Study the Volume-Outcome Relationship in Hematologic Cancers. Mayo Clinic Proceedings, 2015, 90, 1393-1399.	1.4	11
81	Is a clear benefit in survival enough to modify patient access to the surgery service? A retrospective analysis in a cohort of gastric cancer patients. Gastric Cancer, 2015, 18, 159-166.	2.7	5
82	The evolution of cancer surgery and future perspectives. Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology, 2015, 12, 115-124.	12.5	226
83	Hospital Case Volume Is Associated With Improved Survival for Patients With Metastatic Melanoma. American Journal of Clinical Oncology: Cancer Clinical Trials, 2016, 39, 491-496.	0.6	18
84	Association of hospital and physician case volumes with cardiac monitoring and cardiotoxicity during adjuvant trastuzumab treatment for breast cancer: a retrospective cohort study. CMAJ Open, 2016, 4, E66-E72.	1.1	10
85	Robotic Versus Video-Assisted Thoracoscopic Lobectomy (VATS) for Lung Cancer. Current Surgery Reports, 2016, 4, 1.	0.4	0
86	Relationship Between Volume and In-hospital Mortality in Digestive Oncological Surgery. CirugÃa Española (English Edition), 2016, 94, 151-158.	0.1	6
87	Hospital Volume and Survival After Hepatocellular Carcinoma Diagnosis. American Journal of Gastroenterology, 2016, 111, 967-975.	0.2	39
88	Delaying surgery after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy does not significantly influence postoperative morbidity or oncological outcome in patients with oesophageal adenocarcinoma. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 42, 1183-1190.	0.5	19
89	Liver surgery in Italy. Criteria to identify the hospital units and the tertiary referral centersÂentitled to perform it. Updates in Surgery, 2016, 68, 135-142.	0.9	26
90	Average surgeon-level volume and hospital performance. International Journal of Production Economics, 2016, 182, 253-262.	5.1	3
91	Perioperative outcomes of esophageal cancer surgery in a mid-volume institution in the era of centralization. Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery, 2016, 401, 787-795.	0.8	8
92	Re: Is there an alternative to centralization for pancreatic resection in New Zealand?. ANZ Journal of Surgery, 2016, 86, 735-735.	0.3	0
93	Relationship between surgeon volume and outcomes: a systematic review of systematic reviews. Systematic Reviews, 2016, 5, 204.	2.5	244
94	Is Centralization Needed for Esophageal and Gastric Cancer Patients With Low Operative Risk?. Annals of Surgery, 2016, 264, 823-830.	2.1	96

	CITATION REP	PORT	
Article		IF	CITATIONS
Effects of Hospital Type and Distance on Lymph Node Assessment for Colon Cancer A Metropolitan and Nonmetropolitan Patients in Appalachia. Medical Care Research and 546-564.		1.0	5
Influence of the treatment facility volume on the survival of patients with nonâ€Hodgl Cancer, 2016, 122, 2552-2559.	kin lymphoma.	2.0	22
Prognostic factors in metastatic gastric cancer: results of a population-based, retrospestudy in Ontario. Gastric Cancer, 2016, 19, 150-159.	ective cohort	2.7	32
Relación entre volumen de casos y mortalidad intrahospitalaria en la cirugÃa del cán CirugÃa Española, 2016, 94, 151-158.	cer digestivo.	0.1	11
The Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center Recommendations for Prostate Cancer S Urology, 2016, 91, 12-18.	creening.	0.5	54
Resection rate, hospital procedure volume and survival in pancreatic cancer patients ir Population-based study, 2005–2009. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2016,	n England: 42, 190-196.	0.5	21
Justifying vein resection with pancreatoduodenectomy. Lancet Oncology, The, 2016, 1	17, e118-e124.	5.1	68
Chronic otitis media surgery and re-operation risk factor analysis: A nationwide retrosp study of 18 895 patients. Acta Oto-Laryngologica, 2016, 136, 259-265.	bective cohort	0.3	5
Predictors of outcome after surgery for gastric cancer in a Western cohort. ANZ Journa 2016, 86, 469-474.	al of Surgery,	0.3	6
Circumferential rectal laterally spreading tumor resected by endoscopic submucosal d Western center. Endoscopy, 2017, 49, E305-E306.	issection in a	1.0	1
Minimum Volume Standards in Surgery - Are We There Yet. Visceral Medicine, 2017, 3	3, 106-116.	0.5	35
Effect of patient choice and hospital competition on service configuration and techno within cancer surgery: a national, population-based study. Lancet Oncology, The, 2017	logy adoption 7, 18, 1445-1453.	5.1	74
The impact of a clinical pathway on patient postoperative recovery following pancreaticoduodenectomy. Hpb, 2017, 19, 799-807.		0.1	17
Is There a Rationale for Structural Quality Assurance in Esophageal Surgery. Visceral M 33, 135-139.	ledicine, 2017,	0.5	9
Changes in volume, clinical practice and outcome after reorganisation of oesophago-g care in England: A longitudinal observational study. European Journal of Surgical Onco 524-531.	astric cancer logy, 2018, 44,	0.5	34

110	Association Between Treatment at High-Volume Facilities and Improved Overall Survival in Soft Tissue Sarcomas. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2018, 100, 1004-1015.	0.4	43
111	Retroperitoneal lymph node staging in paratesticular rhabdomyosarcoma—are we meeting expectations?. Journal of Surgical Research, 2018, 224, 44-49.	0.8	18
112	Systematic Review of the Volume–Outcome Relationship for Radical Prostatectomy. European Urology Focus, 2018, 4, 775-789.	1.6	68

#

95

97

99

101

103

104

105

107

109

#	Article	IF	Citations
113	Identifying barriers and finding solutions to implement best practices for cancer surgery at Maputo Central Hospital, Mozambique. Ecancermedicalscience, 2018, 12, 878.	0.6	7
114	Geographic Variation in Surgical Practice Patterns and Outcomes for Resected Nonmetastatic Gastric Cancer in Ontario. Current Oncology, 2018, 25, 436-443.	0.9	7
115	Does timing of esophagectomy following neoadjuvant chemoradiation affect outcomes? A meta-analysis. International Journal of Surgery, 2018, 59, 11-18.	1.1	43
116	Hospital Choice in Cancer Care: A Qualitative Study. Clinical Oncology, 2018, 30, e67-e73.	0.6	11
117	Regionalization and Its Alternatives. Surgical Oncology Clinics of North America, 2018, 27, 685-704.	0.6	20
118	Impact of patient choice and hospital competition on patient outcomes after prostate cancer surgery: A national populationâ€based study. Cancer, 2019, 125, 1898-1907.	2.0	11
119	Complex gastric surgery in Germany—is centralization beneficial? Observational study using national hospital discharge data. Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery, 2019, 404, 93-101.	0.8	21
120	Association between treatment facility volume, therapy types and overall survival in patients with intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. Hpb, 2019, 21, 379-386.	0.1	11
121	Determinants of Rectal Cancer Patients' Decisions on Where to Receive Surgery: a Qualitative Analysis. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2019, 23, 1461-1473.	0.9	13
122	Redesigning Prostate Cancer Screening Strategies to Reduce Overdiagnosis. Clinical Chemistry, 2019, 65, 39-41.	1.5	17
123	Curettage as first surgery for bone giant cell tumor : adequate surgery is more important than oncology training or surgical management by high volume specialized teams. European Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery and Traumatology, 2020, 30, 3-9.	0.6	15
124	Hospital characteristics, rather than surgical volume, predict length of stay following colorectal cancer surgery. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health, 2020, 44, 73-82.	0.8	11
125	Hospital surgical volume and perioperative mortality of pelvic exenteration for gynecologic malignancies. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 121, 402-409.	0.8	16
126	Transplant center characteristics and survival after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation in adults. Bone Marrow Transplantation, 2020, 55, 906-917.	1.3	33
127	Long noncoding RNA CA3-AS1 suppresses gastric cancer migration and invasion by sponging miR-93-5p and targeting BTG3. Gene Therapy, 2022, 29, 566-574.	2.3	11
128	Postoperative Morbidity and Failure to Rescue in Surgery for Gastric Cancer: A Single Center Retrospective Cohort Study of 1107 Patients from 1972 to 2014. Cancers, 2020, 12, 1953.	1.7	3
129	Hospital volume and physician volume in association with survival in patients with nasopharyngeal cancer after radiation therapy. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2020, 151, 190-199.	0.3	5
130	Patient mortality after surgery on the surgeon's birthday: observational study. BMJ, The, 0, , m4381.	3.0	19

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
131	Simulating the impact of centralization of prostate cancer surgery services on travel burden and equity in the English National Health Service: A national population based model for health service reâ€design. Cancer Medicine, 2020, 9, 4175-4184.	1.3	8
132	Clinical Pathways for Oncological Gastrectomy: Are They a Suitable Instrument for Process Standardization to Improve Process and Outcome Quality for Patients Undergoing Gastrectomy? A Retrospective Cohort Study. Cancers, 2020, 12, 434.	1.7	5
133	Hospital volume and postoperative 5â€year survival for five different cancer sites: A populationâ€based study in Japan. Cancer Science, 2020, 111, 985-993.	1.7	19
134	European Society of Gynaecological Oncology quality indicators for surgical treatment of cervical cancer. International Journal of Gynecological Cancer, 2020, 30, 3-14.	1.2	39
135	Clinical and economic comparative effectiveness of robotic-assisted, video-assisted thoracoscopic, and open lobectomy. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2020, 12, 296-306.	0.6	31
136	How to provide specialist services: how do we know when centralisation is a good idea?. Postgraduate Medical Journal, 2021, 97, 69-71.	0.9	1
137	Treatment facility volume and patient outcomes in Waldenstrom macroglobulinemia. Leukemia and Lymphoma, 2021, 62, 308-315.	0.6	3
139	Oesofagus. , 2021, , 157-175.		0
140	Managing axial bone sarcomas in childhood. Expert Review of Anticancer Therapy, 2021, 21, 747-764.	1.1	0
141	Association between Moving to a High-Volume Hospital in the Capital Area and the Mortality among Patients with Cancer: A Large Population-Based Cohort Study. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2021, 18, 3812.	1.2	3
142	Hospital volume and postoperative survival for three urological cancers: Prostate, kidney, and bladder. International Journal of Urology, 2021, 28, 799-805.	0.5	0
143	The effects of centralizing cancer surgery on postoperative mortality: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2021, 26, 289-301.	0.8	4
144	Threeâ€year survival from diagnosis in surgically treated patients in designated and nondesignated cancer care hospitals in Japan. Cancer Science, 2021, 112, 2513-2521.	1.7	8
145	Outcomes of Esophagogastric Cancer Surgery During Eight Years of Surgical Auditing by the Dutch Upper Gastrointestinal Cancer Audit (DUCA). Annals of Surgery, 2021, 274, 866-873.	2.1	33
146	Hospital volume and 5-year survival in head and neck cancer patients in Osaka, Japan. Japanese Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2021, 51, 1515-1522.	0.6	1
147	Hospital volume-outcome relationship in vulvar cancer treatment: a Japanese Gynecologic Oncology Group study. Journal of Gynecologic Oncology, 2021, 32, e24.	1.0	3
150	Association between Provider Volume and Healthcare Expenditures of Patients with Oral Cancer in Taiwan: A Population-Based Study. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e65077.	1.1	7
151	The Association of Socioeconomic Status and Access to Low-Volume Service Providers in Breast Cancer. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e81801.	1.1	12

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
152	The Combined Effects of Hospital and Surgeon Volume on Short-Term Survival after Hepatic Resection in a Population-Based Study. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e86444.	1.1	20
153	Impact of Provider Volume on Outcomes of Patients With Hodgkin Lymphoma. World Journal of Oncology, 2018, 9, 46-49.	0.6	5
154	Achieving Minimum Caseload Requirements. Deutsches Ärzteblatt International, 2014, 111, 549-55.	0.6	17
155	Teamwork in skull base surgery: An avenue for improvement in patient care. , 2013, 4, 36.		42
156	The Effect of Hospital Case Volume on Clinical Outcomes in Patients with Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma: A Multi-institutional Retrospective Analysis (KROG-1106). Cancer Research and Treatment, 2019, 51, 12-23.	1.3	5
157	PACIENTO SVEIKATOS PRIEŽIŪROS (LIGONINėS) PASIRINKIMAS IR KOKYBė: LITERATŪROS APŽVALGA IR (LIETUVA) ANALIZÄ–. Health Sciences, 2019, 28, 90-109.	ATVEJO	1
158	Impact of Hospital and Surgeon Volume on the Outcomes of Gastric Cancer Surgery. Updates in Surgery Series, 2022, , 127-136.	0.0	1
161	Moderating Effect of Structural Complexity on the Relationship between Surgery Volume and in Hospital Mortality of Cancer Patients. Health Policy and Management, 2014, 24, 380-388.	0.3	1
162	Ökonomische Aspekte chirurgischer Komplikationen. , 2015, , 11-16.		0
163	Complications of Robotic Surgery: Prevention and Management. , 2018, , 211-233.		0
164	Hospital volume allocation: integrating decision maker and patient perspectives. Health Care Management Science, 2021, , 1.	1.5	1
165	To Shunt or Not to Shunt Patients with Idiopathic Normal Pressure Hydrocephalus? A Reappraisal of an Old Question. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2020, 9, 4120.	1.0	4
166	Hospital surgical volume–outcome relationship in caesarean hysterectomy for placenta accreta spectrum. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2022, 129, 986-993.	1.1	4
167	Effects of volume on outcome in hepatobiliary surgery: a review with guidelines proposal. Global Health & Medicine, 2020, 2, 292-297.	0.6	9
168	The Relationship Between Volume and Outcome in Surgery: A Brief Introduction. Updates in Surgery Series, 2021, , 1-4.	0.0	0
169	Volume-Outcome Relationship in Hepatobiliary Surgery. Updates in Surgery Series, 2021, , 35-44.	0.0	3
170	European Society of Gynaecological Oncology quality indicators for the surgical treatment of endometrial carcinoma. International Journal of Gynecological Cancer, 2021, 31, 1508-1529.	1.2	13
171	Surgical volume threshold to improve 3â€year survival in designated cancer care hospitals in 2004–2012 in Japan. Cancer Science, 2022, , .	1.7	2

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
172	Factors Explaining Inequalities in Colon Cancer Survival—Letter. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2022, 31, 296-296.	1.1	0
173	What Really Matters for Cancer Care – Health Systems Strengthening or Technological Innovation?. Clinical Oncology, 2022, 34, 430-435.	0.6	7
174	Mortality factors in pancreatic surgery: A systematic review. How important is the hospital volume?. International Journal of Surgery, 2022, 101, 106640.	1.1	2
175	Specialization Reduces Costs Associated with Colon Cancer Care: A Cost Analysis. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2022, Publish Ahead of Print, .	0.7	2
176	Laparoscopic vs. open resection for colon cancer‑quality of oncologic resection evaluation in a medium volume center. Experimental and Therapeutic Medicine, 2022, 24, .	0.8	0
177	Minimum surgical volume to ensure 5â€year survival probability for six cancer sites in Japan. Cancer Medicine, 0, , .	1.3	2
178	Equity of travel required to access first definitive surgery for liver or stomach cancer in New Zealand. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0269593.	1.1	3
179	Health service planning to assess the expected impact of centralising specialist cancer services on travel times, equity, and outcomes: a national population-based modelling study. Lancet Oncology, The, 2022, 23, 1211-1220.	5.1	10
180	Associations of Annual Hospital and Surgeon Volume with Patient Outcomes After Gastrectomy: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 29, 8276-8297.	0.7	7
181	Impact of patient choice and hospital competition on patient outcomes after rectal cancer surgery: A national populationâ€based study. Cancer, 2023, 129, 130-141.	2.0	3
182	Defining a textbook outcome for the resection of colorectal liver metastases. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2023, 127, 616-624.	0.8	5
183	A Population-Based Study Using Belgian Cancer Registry Data Supports Centralization of Esophageal Cancer Surgery in Belgium. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2023, 30, 1545-1553.	0.7	2
184	Facility patient volume and survival among individuals diagnosed with malignant central nervous system tumors. Journal of Neuro-Oncology, 0, , .	1.4	0
185	Does care fragmentation in patients with bladder cancer lead to worse outcomes?. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2023, , .	0.8	0
186	Measurement that matters: A systematic review and modified Delphi of multidisciplinary colorectal cancer quality indicators. Asia-Pacific Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2024, 20, 259-274.	0.7	2
187	Association between hospital surgical case volume and postoperative mortality in patients undergoing gastrectomy for gastrc cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. International Journal of Surgery, 0, Publish Ahead of Print, .	1.1	0