Impact of Pay for Performance on Ethnic Disparities in A Longitudinal Study

Diabetes Care 32, 404-409

DOI: 10.2337/dc08-0912

Citation Report

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Impact of pay for performance on quality of chronic disease management by social class group in England. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2009, 102, 103-107.	2.0	31
2	Review: Maximising the therapeutic potential of glucagon-like peptide-1 in type 2 diabetes. British Journal of Diabetes and Vascular Disease, 2009, 9, 44-52.	0.6	2
4	Factors Affecting Vocational Rehabilitation Intervention Outcomes. Journal of Disability Policy Studies, 2009, 20, 170-177.	1.5	16
5	Diabetes P4P: a view from near and far. British Journal of Diabetes and Vascular Disease, 2009, 9, 96-97.	0.6	O
6	Current literature in diabetes. Diabetes/Metabolism Research and Reviews, 2009, 25, i.	4.0	0
7	What has QoF ever done for diabetes?. Practical Diabetes International: the International Journal for Diabetes Care Teams Worldwide, 2009, 26, 314-315.	0.2	1
8	Barriers to insulin initiation and intensification and how to overcome them. International Journal of Clinical Practice, 2009, 63, 6-10.	1.7	134
9	Which people with Type 2 diabetes achieve good control of intermediate outcomes? Population database study in a UK region. Diabetic Medicine, 2009, 26, 1269-1276.	2.3	38
10	Quality of care for patients with type 2 diabetes in general practice according to patients' ethnic background: a cross-sectional study from Oslo, Norway. BMC Health Services Research, 2010, 10, 145.	2.2	34
11	Systematic review: Effects, design choices, and context of pay-for-performance in health care. BMC Health Services Research, 2010, 10, 247.	2.2	384
12	Health checks in primary care for adults with intellectual disabilities: how extensive should they be?. Journal of Intellectual Disability Research, 2010, 54, 479-486.	2.0	31
13	Glycaemic goals in patients with type 2 diabetes: current status, challenges and recent advances. Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism, 2010, 12, 474-484.	4.4	25
14	Improvements in glycaemic control and cholesterol concentrations associated with the Quality and Outcomes Framework: a regional 2â€year audit of diabetes care in the UK. Diabetic Medicine, 2010, 27, 354-359.	2.3	43
15	Impact of a Pay-for-Performance Incentive Scheme on Age, Sex, and Socioeconomic Disparities in Diabetes Management in UK Primary Care. Journal of Ambulatory Care Management, 2010, 33, 336-349.	1.1	26
16	Has pay for performance improved the management of diabetes in the United Kingdom?. Primary Care Diabetes, 2010, 4, 73-78.	1.8	31
17	Analysis of a primary care led diabetes annual review programme in a multi ethnic cohort in Wellington, New Zealand. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice, 2011, 91, 164-170.	2.8	6
18	Impact of the GP contract on inequalities associated with influenza immunisation: a retrospective population-database analysis. British Journal of General Practice, 2011, 61, e379-e385.	1.4	21
19	Improving care by delivering the Chronic Care Model for diabetes. Diabetes Management, 2011, 1, 37-52.	0.5	17

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
20	The equity dimension in evaluations of the quality and outcomes framework: A systematic review. BMC Health Services Research, 2011, 11, 209.	2.2	34
21	Both Patient and Facility Contribute to Achieving the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services' Pay-for-Performance Target for Dialysis Adequacy. Journal of the American Society of Nephrology: JASN, 2011, 22, 2296-2302.	6.1	19
22	Does Pay for Performance Improve Cardiovascular Care in a "Real-World―Setting?. American Journal of Medical Quality, 2011, 26, 340-348.	0.5	8
23	Ethnic Differences in Diabetes Management in Patients With and Without Comorbid Medical Conditions: A cross-sectional study. Diabetes Care, 2011, 34, 655-657.	8.6	6
24	Health Disparities in Endocrine Disorders: Biological, Clinical, and Nonclinical Factors—An Endocrine Society Scientific Statement. Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism, 2012, 97, E1579-E1639.	3.6	319
25	Ethnic and social disparity in glycaemic control in type 2 diabetes; cohort study in general practice 2004–9. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2012, 105, 300-308.	2.0	47
26	Independent Effect of Ethnicity on Glycemia in South Asians and White Europeans. Diabetes Care, 2012, 35, 1746-1748.	8.6	38
27	Effect of a UK Pay-for-Performance Program on Ethnic Disparities in Diabetes Outcomes: Interrupted Time Series Analysis. Annals of Family Medicine, 2012, 10, 228-234.	1.9	38
28	Impact of a pay for performance program to improve diabetes care in the safety net. Preventive Medicine, 2012, 55, S80-S85.	3.4	25
29	System Dynamics to Model the Unintended Consequences of Denying Payment for Venous Thromboembolism after Total Knee Arthroplasty. PLoS ONE, 2012, 7, e30578.	2.5	7
30	The World Health Report 2008 – Primary Healthcare: How Wide Is the Gap between Its Agenda and Implementation in 12 High-Income Health Systems?. Healthcare Policy, 2012, 7, 38-58.	0.6	34
31	Pharmacological primary and secondary cardiovascular prevention among diabetic patients in a multiethnic general practice population: still room for improvements. BMC Health Services Research, 2013, 13, 182.	2.2	7
32	Impact of payâ€forâ€performance on management of diabetes: a systematic review. Journal of Evidence-Based Medicine, 2013, 6, 173-184.	2.4	25
33	Big Data and Health Care: Challenges and Opportunities for Coordinated Policy Development in the EU. Health Systems and Reform, 2015, 1, 285-300.	1.2	41
34	Performance-Based Financial Incentives for Diabetes Care: An Effective Strategy?. Canadian Journal of Diabetes, 2015, 39, 83-87.	0.8	24
35	Increase in the pharmacological management of Type 2 diabetes with payâ€forâ€performance in primary care in the <scp>UK</scp> . Diabetic Medicine, 2015, 32, 62-68.	2.3	13
36	Impact of pay for performance on behavior of primary care physicians and patient outcomes. Journal of Evidence-Based Medicine, 2016, 9, 8-23.	2.4	9
38	The effectiveness of a pay for performance program on diabetes care in Taiwan: A nationwide population-based longitudinal study. Health Policy, 2016, 120, 1313-1321.	3.0	15

#	Article	IF	Citations
39	The Patient-Centered Medical Home. Medical Care Research and Review, 2016, 73, 606-623.	2.1	10
40	Long-Term and Interactive Effects of Pay-For-Performance Interventions among Diabetic Nephropathy Patients at the Early Chronic Kidney Disease Stage. Medicine (United States), 2016, 95, e3282.	1.0	11
41	A systematic review of interventions targeting primary care or community based professionals on cardio-metabolic risk factor control in people with diabetes. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice, 2016, 113, 1-13.	2.8	38
42	Impact of a Payâ€forâ€Performance Program on Care for Black Patients with Hypertension: Important Answers in the Era of the Affordable Care Act. Health Services Research, 2017, 52, 1138-1155.	2.0	10
43	Effectiveness of UK provider financial incentives on quality of care: a systematic review. British Journal of General Practice, 2017, 67, e800-e815.	1.4	21
44	The effectiveness of multimedia education for patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 2017, 73, 943-954.	3.3	18
45	Stratifying Patients with Diabetes into Clinically Relevant Groups by Combination of Chronic Conditions to Identify Gaps in Quality of Care. Health Services Research, 2018, 53, 450-468.	2.0	18
46	Design and effects of outcome-based payment models in healthcare: a systematic review. European Journal of Health Economics, 2019, 20, 217-232.	2.8	46
47	Effects of pay-for-performance for primary care physicians on diabetes outcomes in single-payer health systems: a systematic review. European Journal of Health Economics, 2019, 20, 1303-1315.	2.8	17
48	Disparities in glycaemic control, monitoring, and treatment of type 2 diabetes in England: A retrospective cohort analysis. PLoS Medicine, 2019, 16, e1002942.	8.4	65
49	The evidence gap on gendered impacts of performance-based financing among family physicians for chronic disease care: a systematic review reanalysis in contexts of single-payer universal coverage. Human Resources for Health, 2020, 18, 69.	3.1	1
50	Diabetes pay-for-performance program can reduce all-cause mortality in patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes mellitus. Medicine (United States), 2020, 99, e19139.	1.0	7
51	Eliminating Disparities in Health Care Through Quality Improvement. , 2011, , 231-267.		6
52	The Effects of Pay for Performance on Disparities in Stroke, Hypertension, and Coronary Heart Disease Management: Interrupted Time Series Study. PLoS ONE, 2011, 6, e27236.	2.5	31
53	Ethnic Differences in Glycaemic Control in People with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Living in Scotland. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e83292.	2.5	30
54	Barriers and Facilitators for Type-2 Diabetes Management in South Asians: A Systematic Review. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0136202.	2.5	151
55	In this issue of Informatics in Primary Care: ethnicity, learning and diabetes. Journal of Innovation in Health Informatics, 2009, 17, 65-66.	0.9	0
57	Getting the signal to noise ratio right in the management of diabetes in primary care: time to stratify risk and focus on outcomes rather than process. Journal of Innovation in Health Informatics, 2010, 18, 219-221.	0.9	2

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
58	Impact of a P4P and HIT Program to Reduce Emergency Department Hospital Utilization at Federally Qualified Health Centers in Hawaiâ€~i. AAPI Nexus: Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders, Policy Practice and Community, 2014, 12, 83-96.	0.1	1
59	Perceptions of diabetes, barriers to disease management, and service needs: a focus group study of working adults with diabetes in Hawaii. Preventing Chronic Disease, 2011, 8, A32.	3.4	20
60	The World Health Report 2008 - Primary Healthcare: How Wide Is the Gap between Its Agenda and Implementation in 12 High-Income Health Systems?. Healthcare Policy, 2012, 7, 38-58.	0.6	19
61	Observations from the Balcony: Directions for Pediatric Health Disparities Research and Policy. Journal of Applied Research on Children, 2013, 4, .	0.2	3
62	Healthcare Equity and Commissioning: A Four-Year National Analysis of Portuguese Primary Healthcare Units. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2022, 19, 14819.	2.6	0