The Stroop effect: Why proportion congruent has nothi everything to do with contingency.

Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cog 34, 514-523

DOI: 10.1037/0278-7393.34.3.514

Citation Report

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Multiple levels of control in the Stroop task. Memory and Cognition, 2008, 36, 1484-1494.	0.9	161
2	Multiple conflict-driven control mechanisms in the human brain. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 2008, 12, 374-380.	4.0	353
3	Hebbian learning of cognitive control: Dealing with specific and nonspecific adaptation Psychological Review, 2008, 115, 518-525.	2.7	264
4	Short article: The flexibility of context-specific control: Evidence for context-driven generalization of item-specific control settings. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2009, 62, 1523-1532.	0.6	128
5	Context-specific prime-congruency effects: On the role of conscious stimulus representations for cognitive control. Consciousness and Cognition, 2009, 18, 966-976.	0.8	61
6	A dual-stage two-phase model of selective attention Psychological Review, 2010, 117, 759-784.	2.7	190
7	Inducing a Stroop Effect. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2010, 24, 1021-1033.	0.9	0
8	Behavioral and Neural Evidence for Item-specific Performance Monitoring. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 2010, 22, 2758-2767.	1.1	90
9	Increased Stroop interference with better second-language reading skill. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2011, 64, 596-607.	0.6	8
10	Classic Stroop Negative Priming Effects for Children and Adults Diverge With Less-Conflicting and Nonconflicting Conditions. American Journal of Psychology, 2011, 124, 405-419.	0.5	9
11	List-wide control is not entirely elusive: Evidence from picture–word Stroop. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2011, 18, 930-936.	1.4	81
12	Now you see it, now you don't: Controlling for contingencies and stimulus repetitions eliminates the Gratton effect. Acta Psychologica, 2011, 138, 176-186.	0.7	163
13	Selective attention to pitch amid conflicting auditory information: context-coding and filtering strategies. Psychological Research, 2011, 75, 159-178.	1.0	3
14	Revealing list-level control in the Stroop task by uncovering its benefits and a cost Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2011, 37, 1595-1606.	0.7	63
15	The interactive effects of listwide control, item-based control, and working memory capacity on Stroop performance Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2011, 37, 851-860.	0.7	107
16	Why it is too early to lose control in accounts of item-specific proportion congruency effects Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2011, 37, 844-859.	0.7	124
17	Congruency proportion reveals asymmetric processing of irrelevant physical and numerical dimensions in the size congruity paradigm Canadian Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2011, 65, 98-104.	0.7	15
18	Does Temporal Contiguity Moderate Contingency Learning in a Speeded Performance Task?. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2012, 65, 408-425.	0.6	22

ATION REDO

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Can contingency learning alone account for item-specific control? Evidence from within- and between-language ISPC effects Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2012, 38, 1578-1590.	0.7	35
20	Strategic Allocation of Attention Reduces Temporally Predictable Stimulus Conflict. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 2012, 24, 1834-1848.	1.1	26
21	Dissociating Levels of Cognitive Control. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 2012, 21, 302-309.	2.8	70
22	Increasing set size breaks down sequential congruency: Evidence for an associative locus of cognitive control. Acta Psychologica, 2012, 141, 133-139.	0.7	33
23	Model-Based Analysis of Context-Specific Cognitive Control. Frontiers in Psychology, 2012, 3, 358.	1.1	20
24	In Support of a Distinction between Voluntary and Stimulus-Driven Control: A Review of the Literature on Proportion Congruent Effects. Frontiers in Psychology, 2012, 3, 367.	1.1	230
25	Adding the goal to learn strengthens learning in an unintentional learning task. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2012, 19, 723-728.	1.4	21
26	Observation: Three reasons to avoid having half of the trials be congruent in a four-alternative forced-choice experiment on sequential modulation. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2012, 19, 750-757.	1.4	80
27	Questioning conflict adaptation: proportion congruent and Gratton effects reconsidered. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2013, 20, 615-630.	1.4	179
28	The Parallel Episodic Processing (PEP) model: Dissociating contingency and conflict adaptation in the item-specific proportion congruent paradigm. Acta Psychologica, 2013, 142, 119-126.	0.7	78
29	You can't Stroop a lexical decision: Is semantic processing fundamentally facilitative?. Canadian Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2013, 67, 130-139.	0.7	10
30	Enhanced dimension-specific visual working memory in grapheme–color synesthesia. Cognition, 2013, 129, 123-137.	1.1	32
31	Conflict adjustment devoid of perceptual selection. Acta Psychologica, 2013, 144, 31-39.	0.7	13
32	Event-related potentials as brain correlates of item specific proportion congruent effects. Consciousness and Cognition, 2013, 22, 1442-1455.	0.8	19
33	Exploration of the mechanisms underlying the ISPC effect: Evidence from behavioral and neuroimaging data. Neuropsychologia, 2013, 51, 1040-1049.	0.7	29
34	Attention modulation by proportion congruency: The asymmetrical list shifting effect Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2013, 39, 1552-1562.	0.7	45
35	Converging evidence for control of color–word Stroop interference at the item level Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2013, 39, 433-449.	0.7	89
36	When emotion does and does not impair performance: A Garner theory of the emotional Stroop effect. Cognition and Emotion, 2013, 27, 589-602.	1.2	14

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Temporal Learning and List-Level Proportion Congruency: Conflict Adaptation or Learning When to Respond?. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e82320.	1.1	49
38	Second language proficiency modulates conflict-monitoring in an oculomotor Stroop task: evidence from Hindi-English bilinguals. Frontiers in Psychology, 2013, 4, 322.	1.1	77
39	The Congruency Sequence Effect 3.0: A Critical Test of Conflict Adaptation. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e110462.	1.1	76
40	The heterogeneous world of congruency sequence effects: an update. Frontiers in Psychology, 2014, 5, 1001.	1.1	122
41	Proportion congruency effects: instructions may be enough. Frontiers in Psychology, 2014, 5, 1108.	1.1	24
42	Two-to-one color-response mapping and the presence of semantic conflict in the Stroop task. Frontiers in Psychology, 2014, 5, 1157.	1.1	23
43	Task conflict in the Stroop task: When Stroop interference decreases as Stroop facilitation increases in a low task conflict context. Frontiers in Psychology, 2014, 5, 1182.	1.1	34
44	Context-specific temporal learning with non-conflict stimuli: proof-of-principle for a learning account of context-specific proportion congruent effects. Frontiers in Psychology, 2014, 5, 1241.	1.1	18
45	Resolved but not forgotten: Stroop conflict dredges up the past. Frontiers in Psychology, 2014, 5, 1327.	1.1	31
46	Transferring control demands across incidental learning tasks ââ,¬â€œ stronger sequence usage in serial reaction task after shortcut option in letter string checking. Frontiers in Psychology, 2014, 5, 1388.	1.1	11
47	ISPC effect is not observed when the word comes too late: a time course analysis. Frontiers in Psychology, 2014, 5, 1410.	1.1	6
48	Effects of arousal on cognitive control: empirical tests of the conflict-modulated Hebbian-learning hypothesis. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 2014, 8, 23.	1.0	21
49	Comparing neural substrates of emotional vs. non-emotional conflict modulation by global control context. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 2014, 8, 66.	1.0	12
50	The role of modality: Auditory and visual distractors in Stroop interference. Journal of Cognitive Psychology, 2014, 26, 15-26.	0.4	19
51	Conflict components of the Stroop effect and their ââ,¬Å"controlââ,¬Â• Frontiers in Psychology, 2014, 5, 463.	1.1	21
52	Context-sensitive adjustment of cognitive control in dual-task performance Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2014, 40, 399-416.	0.7	60
53	Conflict-triggered top-down control: Default mode, last resort, or no such thing?. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2014, 40, 567-587.	0.7	92
54	Evidence for the sparing of reactive cognitive control with age Psychology and Aging, 2014, 29, 115-127.	1.4	64

	Сітатіс	CITATION REPORT	
#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Unconscious conflicts in unconscious contexts: The role of awareness and timing in flexible conflict adaptation Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 2014, 143, 1701-1718.	1.5	36
56	Conscious and unconscious context-specific cognitive control. Frontiers in Psychology, 2014, 5, 539.	1.1	13
57	Contingencies and attentional capture: the importance of matching stimulus informativeness in the item-specific proportion congruent task. Frontiers in Psychology, 2014, 5, 540.	1.1	14
58	Control of spatial orienting: Context-specific proportion cued effects in an exogenous spatial cueing task. Consciousness and Cognition, 2014, 30, 220-233.	0.8	4
59	Contextual influences on the sequential congruency effect. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2014, 21, 155-162.	1.4	7
60	Can we shield ourselves from task disturbance by emotion-laden stimulation?. Cognitive, Affective and Behavioral Neuroscience, 2014, 14, 1009-1025.	1.0	7
61	The automatic and the expected self: separating self- and familiarity biases effects by manipulating stimulus probability. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2014, 76, 1176-1184.	0.7	64
62	List-level transfer effects in temporal learning: Further complications for the list-level proportion congruent effect. Journal of Cognitive Psychology, 2014, 26, 373-385.	0.4	21
63	Bayesian modeling of flexible cognitive control. Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews, 2014, 46, 30-43.	2.9	70
64	Going, going, gone? Proactive control prevents the congruency sequence effect from rapid decay. Psychological Research, 2014, 78, 483-493.	1.0	33
65	Two routes to closure: Time pressure and goal activation effects on executive control. Polish Psychological Bulletin, 2014, 45, 268-274.	0.3	4
66	Broca's region and Visual Word Form Area activation differ during a predictive Stroop task. Cortex, 2015, 73, 257-270.	1.1	6
67	Attentional control of response selection in task switching Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2015, 41, 1315-1324.	0.7	17
68	Expectations and experience: Dissociable bases for cognitive control?. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2015, 41, 1349-1373.	0.7	32
69	Adaptive effort investment in cognitive and physical tasks: a neurocomputational model. Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience, 2015, 9, 57.	1.0	91
70	Is conflict adaptation an illusion?. Frontiers in Psychology, 2015, 6, 172.	1.1	22
71	The salient self: Social saliency effects based on self-bias. Journal of Cognitive Psychology, 2015, 27, 129-140.	0.4	54
72	Neural correlates of the reverse Simon effect in the Hedge and Marsh task. Neuropsychologia, 2015, 75, 119-131.	0.7	8

ARTICLE IF CITATIONS # Exploring relations between task conflict and informational conflict in the Stroop task. 73 1.0 29 Psychological Research, 2015, 79, 913-927. The self in conflict: actors and agency in the mediated sequential Simon task. Frontiers in Psychology, 74 1.1 2015, 06, 304. The invariance assumption in process-dissociation models: An evaluation across three domains.. 75 1.5 21 Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 2015, 144, 198-221. Generalizing attentional control across dimensions and tasks: Evidence from transfer of proportion-congruent effects. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2015, 68, 779-801. Assessing stimulusâ€"stimulus (semantic) conflict in the Stroop task using saccadic two-to-one color response mapping and preresponse pupillary measures. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2015, 77 0.7 35 77,2601-2610. Using prediction markets to estimate the reproducibility of scientific research. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2015, 112, 15343-15347. 3.3 Disentangling Genuine Semantic Stroop Effects in Reading from Contingency Effects: On the Need for 79 1.1 17 Two Neutral Baselines. Frontiers in Psychology, 2016, 7, 386. Temporal Learning and Rhythmic Responding: No Reduction in the Proportion Easy Effect with Variable 1.1 Response-Stimulus Intervals. Frontiers in Psychology, 2016, 7, 634. The Effect of Aging on the Dynamics of Reactive and Proactive Cognitive Control of Response 81 13 1.1 Interference. Frontiers in Psychology, 2016, 7, 1640. Context-Specific Proportion Congruency Effects: An Episodic Learning Account and Computational 1.1 Model. Frontiers in Psychology, 2016, 7, 1806. Implicitly strengthened taskâ€irrelevant stimulusâ€response associations modulate cognitive control: 83 1.9 14 Evidence from an fMRI study. Human Brain Mapping, 2016, 37, 756-772. Eventâ€related potential indices of congruency sequence effects without feature integration or contingency learning confounds. Psychophysiology, 2016, 53, 814-822. 1.2 The Parallel Episodic Processing (PEP) model 2.0: A single computational model of stimulus-response 85 0.9 75 binding, contingency learning, power curves, and mixing costs. Cognitive Psychology, 2016, 91, 82-108. Reach tracking reveals dissociable processes underlying cognitive control. Cognition, 2016, 152, 1.1 114-126. Contingency learning is not affected by conflict experience: Evidence from a task conflict-free, 87 0.7 23 item-specific Stroop paradigm. Acta Psychologica, 2016, 164, 39-45. Transfer of location-specific control to untrained locations. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2016, 69, 2202-2217. Grounding cognitive control in associative learning.. Psychological Bulletin, 2016, 142, 693-728. 89 5.5 174 Time course of colour-word contingency learning: Practice curves, pre-exposure benefits, unlearning, 19 and relearning. Learning and Motivation, 2016, 56, 15-30.

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	Proportion congruency and practice: A contingency learning account of asymmetric list shifting effects Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2016, 42, 1496-1505.	0.7	15
92	Half a century of research on Garner interference and the separability–integrality distinction Psychological Bulletin, 2016, 142, 1352-1383.	5.5	62
93	Conflict adaptation in schizophrenia: reviewing past and previewing future efforts. Cognitive Neuropsychiatry, 2016, 21, 197-212.	0.7	8
94	Congruency precues moderate item-specific proportion congruency effects. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2016, 78, 1087-1103.	0.7	18
95	ERP Evidence for Implicit Priming of Top–Down Control of Attention. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 2016, 28, 763-772.	1.1	6
96	Spatial proximity as a determinant of context-specific attentional settings. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2016, 78, 1255-1266.	0.7	9
97	Dissociating proactive and reactive control in the Stroop task. Memory and Cognition, 2016, 44, 778-788.	0.9	84
98	Proactive control of irrelevant task rules during cued task switching. Psychological Research, 2016, 80, 860-876.	1.0	28
99	The next trial will be conflicting! Effects of explicit congruency pre-cues on cognitive control. Psychological Research, 2016, 80, 16-33.	1.0	33
100	Activation of context-specific attentional control sets by exogenous allocation of visual attention to the context?. Psychological Research, 2017, 81, 378-391.	1.0	14
101	Repetition or alternation of context influences sequential congruency effect depending on the presence of contingency. Psychological Research, 2017, 81, 490-507.	1.0	5
102	Cognitive effort is modulated outside of the explicit awareness of conflict frequency: Evidence from pupillometry Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2017, 43, 824-835.	0.7	24
103	Reproducing the Location-Based Context-Specific Proportion Congruent Effect for Frequency Unbiased Items: A Reply to Hutcheon and Spieler (2016). Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2017, 70, 1792-1807.	0.6	28
104	Trait anxiety, infrequent emotional conflict, and the emotional face Stroop task. Personality and Individual Differences, 2017, 111, 157-162.	1.6	4
105	What Stroop tasks can tell us about selective attention from childhood to adulthood. British Journal of Psychology, 2017, 108, 583-607.	1.2	21
106	Cueing cognitive flexibility: Item-specific learning of switch readiness Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2017, 43, 1950-1960.	0.7	51
107	Relative speed of processing determines color–word contingency learning. Memory and Cognition, 2017, 45, 1206-1222.	0.9	11
108	Evidence accumulation in the integrated and primed Stroop tasks. Memory and Cognition, 2017, 45, 824-836.	0.9	7

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
109	Avoiding the conflict: Metacognitive awareness drives the selection of low-demand contexts Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2017, 43, 1397-1410.	0.7	18
110	ERP evidence for conflict in contingency learning. Psychophysiology, 2017, 54, 1031-1039.	1.2	9
112	The Caudate Nucleus Mediates Learning of Stimulus–Control State Associations. Journal of Neuroscience, 2017, 37, 1028-1038.	1.7	59
113	Auditory statistical learning: predictive frequency information affects the deployment of contextually mediated attentional resources on perceptual tasks. Journal of Cognitive Psychology, 2017, 29, 977-987.	0.4	2
114	Properties of context-driven control revealed through the analysis of sequential congruency effects. Acta Psychologica, 2017, 178, 107-113.	0.7	3
115	Local probability effects of repeating irrelevant attributes. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2017, 79, 230-242.	0.7	2
116	Temporal and spectral dynamics underlying cognitive control modulated by task-irrelevant stimulus-response learning. Cognitive, Affective and Behavioral Neuroscience, 2017, 17, 158-173.	1.0	8
117	Psychological engineering: A functional–cognitive perspective on applied psychology Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 2017, 6, 1-13.	0.7	20
118	Context-Specific Adjustment of Cognitive Control: Transfer of Adaptive Control Sets. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2017, 70, 2386-2401.	0.6	20
119	Four Bad Habits of Modern Psychologists. Behavioral Sciences (Basel, Switzerland), 2017, 7, 53.	1.0	28
120	Cognitive control and midline theta adjust across multiple timescales. Neuropsychologia, 2018, 111, 216-228.	0.7	11
121	Control by association: Transfer of implicitly primed attentional states across linked stimuli. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2018, 25, 617-626.	1.4	20
122	The impact of global and local context information on the processing of deceptive actions in game sports. German Journal of Exercise and Sport Research, 2018, 48, 366-375.	1.0	18
123	The effects of trait impulsivity on proactive and reactive interference control. Brain Research, 2018, 1680, 93-104.	1.1	6
124	Conflict and disfluency as aversive signals: context-specific processing adjustments are modulated by affective location associations. Psychological Research, 2018, 82, 324-336.	1.0	17
125	Contingency learning is reduced for high conflict stimuli. Acta Psychologica, 2018, 189, 12-18.	0.7	9
126	Focusing on task conflict in the Stroop effect. Psychological Research, 2018, 82, 284-295.	1.0	11
127	Trial type mixing substantially reduces the response set effect in the Stroop task. Acta Psychologica, 2018 189 43-53	0.7	14

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
128	Different mechanisms can account for the instruction induced proportion congruency effect. Acta Psychologica, 2018, 184, 39-45.	0.7	2
129	Modulation of conflicts in the Stroop effect. Acta Psychologica, 2018, 189, 93-102.	0.7	21
130	Best not to bet on the horserace: A comment on Forrin and MacLeod (2017) and a relevant stimulus-response compatibility view of colour-word contingency learning asymmetries. Memory and Cognition, 2018, 46, 326-335.	0.9	8
131	Examining reproducibility in psychology: A hybrid method for combining a statistically significant original study and a replication. Behavior Research Methods, 2018, 50, 1515-1539.	2.3	14
132	Memory-guided selective attention: Single experiences with conflict have long-lasting effects on cognitive control Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 2018, 147, 1134-1153.	1.5	22
133	Early and late indications of item-specific control in a Stroop mouse tracking study. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0197278.	1.1	15
134	Context-specific proportion congruent effects: Compound-cue contingency learning in disguise. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2019, 72, 1119-1130.	0.6	22
135	Persistent modification of cognitive control through attention training. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2019, 72, 413-423.	0.6	2
136	Measuring Adaptive Control in Conflict Tasks. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 2019, 23, 769-783.	4.0	179
137	Automating adaptive control with item-specific learning. Psychology of Learning and Motivation - Advances in Research and Theory, 2019, 71, 1-37.	0.5	2
138	Task Conflict and Task Control: A Mini-Review. Frontiers in Psychology, 2019, 10, 1598.	1.1	21
139	Reclaiming the Stroop Effect Back From Control to Input-Driven Attention and Perception. Frontiers in Psychology, 2019, 10, 1683.	1.1	33
140	Cortical and subcortical contributions to context-control learning. Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews, 2019, 99, 33-41.	2.9	60
141	Context-dependent modulation of cognitive control involves different temporal profiles of fronto-parietal activity. NeuroImage, 2019, 189, 755-762.	2.1	17
142	Additive Effects of Item-Specific and Congruency Sequence Effects in the Vocal Stroop Task. Frontiers in Psychology, 2019, 10, 860.	1.1	4
143	Contextual recruitment of cognitive control in preadolescent children and young adults. Journal of Experimental Child Psychology, 2019, 183, 189-207.	0.7	5
144	The Loci of Stroop Interference and Facilitation Effects With Manual and Vocal Responses. Frontiers in Psychology, 2019, 10, 1786.	1.1	32
145	Evidence against conflict monitoring and adaptation: An updated review. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2019, 26, 753-771.	1.4	82

	CITAT	ION REPORT	
#	Article	IF	Citations
146	Attentional control transfers beyond the reference frame. Psychological Research, 2020, 84, 217-230.	1.0	5
147	Execution-based and verbal code-based stimulus–response associations: proportion manipulations reveal conflict adaptation processes in item-specific priming. Psychological Research, 2020, 84, 2172-2195.	1.0	3
148	Item-specific control of attention in the Stroop task: Contingency learning is not the whole story in the item-specific proportion-congruent effect. Memory and Cognition, 2020, 48, 426-435.	0.9	17
149	Stroop interference is a composite phenomenon: Evidence from distinct developmental trajectories of its components. Developmental Science, 2020, 23, e12899.	1.3	6
150	Control over task conflict in the stroop and affordances tasks: an individual differences study. Psychological Research, 2021, 85, 2420-2427.	1.0	4
151	List-level control in the flanker task. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2020, 73, 1444-1459.	0.6	12
152	Contingency learning as binding? Testing an exemplar view of the colour-word contingency learning effect. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2020, 73, 739-761.	0.6	23
153	Reward does not modulate corticospinal excitability in anticipation of a Stroop trial. European Journal of Neuroscience, 2021, 53, 1019-1028.	1.2	4
154	Conflict-induced perceptual filtering: A mechanism supporting location-specific control?. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2021, 74, 955-971.	0.6	3
155	Effects of conflict trial proportion: A comparison of the Eriksen and Simon tasks. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2021, 83, 810-836.	0.7	10
156	The Role of Subjective Experiences in Conflict Tasks: A Review. Psychologica Belgica, 2021, 61, 46-62.	1.0	2
157	Apprentissage incident des associations simples de stimulus-réponseÂ: revue de la recherche avec la t¢che d'apprentissage de contingences couleur-mot. Annee Psychologique, 2021, Vol. 121, 77-127.	0.2	5
158	Proactive and reactive metacontrol in task switching. Memory and Cognition, 2021, 49, 1617-1632.	0.9	10
159	The role of contingency and correlation in the Stroop task. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2021, 74, 1657-1668.	0.6	11
160	Which Tools in Multimedia Are Best for Learning Outcomes? A Study Grounded in Cognitive Load Structures. Frontiers in Psychology, 2021, 12, 545335.	1.1	1
161	More or less of me and you: self-relevance augments the effects of item probability on stimulus prioritization. Psychological Research, 2022, 86, 1145-1164.	1.0	6
162	The loci of Stroop effects: a critical review of methods and evidence for levels of processing contributing to color-word Stroop effects and the implications for the loci of attentional selection. Psychological Research, 2022, 86, 1029-1053.	1.0	36
163	The shaping of cognitive control based on the adaptive weighting of expectations and experience Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2021, 47, 1563-1584.	0.7	4

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
164	Controlled or automatic? Influence of congruency proportion and stimulus-onset asynchrony on the brightness-valence and spatial-valence metaphoric congruency effects. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2021, , 174702182110481.	0.6	0
165	The Timescale of Control: A Meta-Control Property that Generalizes across Tasks but Varies between Types of Control. Cognitive, Affective and Behavioral Neuroscience, 2021, 21, 472-489.	1.0	11
167	Contingency Learning With Evaluative Stimuli. Experimental Psychology, 2012, 59, 175-182.	0.3	37
168	Depth of Processing in the Stroop Task. Experimental Psychology, 2014, 61, 385-393.	0.3	9
169	Contingency Learning Tracks With Stimulus-Response Proportion. Experimental Psychology, 2016, 63, 79-88.	0.3	20
170	Learning to selectively attend from context-specific attentional histories: A demonstration and some constraints Canadian Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2016, 70, 59-77.	0.7	16
171	The flexibility of cognitive control: Age equivalence with experience guiding the way Psychology and Aging, 2018, 33, 924-939.	1.4	11
172	What can be learned in a context-specific proportion congruence paradigm? Implications for reproducibility Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2020, 46, 1029-1050.	0.7	9
173	The unique effects of relatively recent conflict on cognitive control Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2020, 46, 1344-1367.	0.7	3
174	The acquisition of simple associations as observed in color–word contingency learning Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2018, 44, 99-106.	0.7	20
175	Assessing the temporal learning account of the list-wide proportion congruence effect Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2019, 45, 1703-1723.	0.7	17
176	Does response modality influence conflict? Modelling vocal and manual response Stroop interference Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2019, 45, 2098-2119.	0.7	10
177	Stroop-like effects of derived stimulus–stimulus relations Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2020, 46, 327-349.	0.7	2
178	Cognition in Motion: Evidence for Intact Action Control With Healthy Aging. Journals of Gerontology - Series B Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences, 2021, 76, 252-261.	2.4	6
179	Functional Difference between Sustained and Transient Modulations of Cognitive Control in the Simon Task: Evidence from False Alarm Responses on No-Go Trials. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e81804.	1.1	3
180	Feature Integration and Task Switching: Diminished Switch Costs after Controlling for Stimulus, Response, and Cue Repetitions. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0151188.	1.1	32
181	Proportion of conflict, contingency learning, and recency effects in a Stroop task. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2022, 75, 1528-1540.	0.6	6
182	The dominance of item learning in the location-specific proportion congruence paradigm. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2022, 75, 1497-1513.	0.6	2

# 183	ARTICLE All I Need Is Two: The Clinical Potential of Adding Evaluative Pairing Procedures to Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Changing Self-, Body- and Food-Related Evaluations. Journal of Clinical Madigina, 2021, 10, 4702	IF 1.0	CITATIONS
186	The Development and Theoretical Controversy of Proportion Congruent Effect in Interference Control. Advances in Psychology, 2017, 07, 88-95.	0.0	0
187	Performance feedback promotes proactive but not reactive adaptation of conflict-control Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2020, 46, 369-387.	0.7	10
188	Reliable Correlational Cuing While Controlling for Most-Recent-Pairing Effects. Frontiers in Psychology, 2020, 11, 592377.	1.1	2
189	The Caudate Nucleus Mediates Learning of Stimulus–Control State Associations. Journal of Neuroscience, 2017, 37, 1028-1038.	1.7	5
190	Can the Stroop effect serve as the gold standard of conflict monitoring and control? A conceptual critique. Memory and Cognition, 2022, 50, 883-897.	0.9	13
191	The item-specific proportion congruency effect can be contaminated by short-term repetition priming. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2021, 84, 1.	0.7	5
192	Left- and Right-Handers May Unintentionally Apply Different Cognitive Strategies in Response to Situational Demands: Study Using the Block-Wise Proportion Congruency Effect. Journal of Behavioral and Brain Science, 2022, 12, 10-21.	0.2	0
193	Instructing item-specific switch probability: expectations modulate stimulus–action priming. Psychological Research, 2022, , 1.	1.0	0
194	The effect of proportion manipulation on the size-congruency and distance effects in the numerical Stroop task. Memory and Cognition, 2022, 50, 1578-1589.	0.9	1
195	Distinct but correlated latent factors support the regulation of learned conflict-control and task-switching. Cognitive Psychology, 2022, 135, 101474.	0.9	2
196	Diversity in Psychological Research Activities: Quantitative Approach With Topic Modeling. Frontiers in Psychology, 2021, 12, 773916.	1.1	2
198	The item-specific proportion congruency effect transfers to non-category members based on broad visual similarity. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2022, , 1.	1.4	1
199	The assessment of replication success based on relative effect size. Annals of Applied Statistics, 2022, 16, .	0.5	10
200	Accounting for Proportion Congruency Effects in the Stroop Task in a Confounded Setup: Retrieval of Stimulus-Response Episodes Explains it All. Journal of Cognition, 2022, 5, .	1.0	3
201	Both task-irrelevant and task-relevant information trigger reactive conflict adaptation in the item-specific proportion-congruent paradigm. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2022, 29, 2133-2145.	1.4	7
202	Interlinguistic conflict: Word–word Stroop with first and second language colour words. Cognitive Processing, 0, , .	0.7	0
203	Well under control: Control demand changes are sufficient for metacontrol. Frontiers in Psychology, 0, 13, .	1.1	1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
204	Dissociating selectivity adjustments from temporal learning–introducing the context-dependent proportion congruency effect. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0276611.	1.1	1
205	The Characteristics of the Reduction of Interference Effect during Dual-Task Cognitive-Motor Training Compared to a Single Task Cognitive and Motor Training in Elderly: A Randomized Controlled Trial. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2023, 20, 1477.	1.2	2
206	Is conflict adaptation adaptive? An introduction to conflict monitoring theory and the ecological problems it faces. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 0, , 174702182311615.	0.6	2