

An analysis of the success rate of 908 trace DNA sample
Database Unit in New Zealand

Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences

40, 49-53

DOI: 10.1080/00450610802050774

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Trace DNA success rates relating to volume crime offences. Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series, 2009, 2, 136-137.	0.1	38
2	Finding the balance: forensic DNA profiling in New Zealand. , 0, , 288-308.		1
3	The Effect of Cleaning Agents on the Ability to Obtain DNA Profiles Using the Identifiler [®] and PowerPlex [®] Y Multiplex Kits. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2011, 56, 181-185.	0.9	7
4	Assessing DNA Profiling Success Rates: Need for More and Better Collection of Relevant Data. Forensic Science Policy and Management, 2012, 3, 37-41.	0.5	4
5	Cell free DNA as a component of forensic evidence recovered from touched surfaces. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2012, 6, 26-30.	1.6	140
6	DNA profiles from fingerprints. BioTechniques, 2014, 57, 259-266.	0.8	50
7	Evaluation of tapelifting as a collection method for touch DNA. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2014, 8, 179-186.	1.6	80
8	Persistence of DNA deposited by the original user on objects after subsequent use by a second person. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2014, 8, 219-225.	1.6	70
9	DNA profiles from fingerprints: A mock case study. Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series, 2015, 5, e154-e155.	0.1	11
10	Preliminary investigation of differential tapelifting for sampling forensically relevant layered deposits. Legal Medicine, 2015, 17, 553-559.	0.6	13
11	Knowledge on <scp>DNA</scp> Success Rates to Optimize the DNA Analysis Process: From Crime Scene to Laboratory. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2016, 61, 1055-1061.	0.9	50
12	Typing DNA profiles from previously enhanced fingerprints using direct PCR. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2017, 29, 276-282.	1.6	18
13	The Effectiveness of Trace <scp>DNA</scp> Profiling – A Comparison Between a U.S. and a U.K. Law Enforcement Jurisdiction. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2017, 62, 753-760.	0.9	3
14	Direct PCR amplification of forensic touch and other challenging DNA samples: A review. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2018, 32, 40-49.	1.6	120
15	Trace <scp>DNA</scp> Sampling Success from Evidence Items Commonly Encountered in Forensic Casework. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2018, 63, 835-841.	0.9	26
16	Assessment of the transfer, persistence, prevalence and recovery of DNA traces from clothing: An inter-laboratory study on worn upper garments. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2019, 42, 56-68.	1.6	43
17	A review of direct polymerase chain reaction of DNA and RNA for forensic purposes. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews Forensic Science, 2019, 1, .	1.2	7
18	Detection of forensic identification and intelligence SNP data from latent DNA using three commercial MPS panels. Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series, 2019, 7, 864-865.	0.1	3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Prediction of DNA concentration in fingerprints using autofluorescence properties. <i>Forensic Science International</i> , 2019, 295, 128-136.	1.3	10
20	DNA transfer in forensic science: A review. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2019, 38, 140-166.	1.6	184
21	Variation in forensic DNA profiling success among sampled items and collection methods: a Queensland perspective. <i>Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences</i> , 2021, 53, 612-625.	0.7	13
22	Use of a Spray Device to Locate Touch DNA on Casework Samples. <i>Journal of Forensic Sciences</i> , 2020, 65, 1280-1288.	0.9	11
23	The double-swab technique versus single swabs for human DNA recovery from various surfaces. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2020, 46, 102253.	1.6	25
24	PIDS: A User-Friendly Plant DNA Fingerprint Database Management System. <i>Genes</i> , 2020, 11, 373.	1.0	3
25	Direct PCR: A review of use and limitations. <i>Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society</i> , 2020, 60, 303-310.	1.3	14
26	How many cells are required for successful DNA profiling?. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2021, 51, 102453.	1.6	31
27	DNA on drugs! A preliminary investigation of DNA deposition during the handling of illicit drug capsules. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2021, 54, 102559.	1.6	9
28	Assessment of Diamond [®] Nucleic Acid Dye for the identification and targeted sampling of latent DNA in operational casework. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2021, 55, 102579.	1.6	11
29	A High-Performance Database Management System for Managing and Analyzing Large-Scale SNP Data in Plant Genotyping and Breeding Applications. <i>Agriculture (Switzerland)</i> , 2021, 11, 1027.	1.4	2
30	Trace DNA Profiling in Missing Persons Investigations. , 2016, , 353-363.		0
31	DNA Transfer in Forensic Science: Recent Progress towards Meeting Challenges. <i>Genes</i> , 2021, 12, 1766.	1.0	24
32	Casework comparison of DNA sampling success from steering wheels and car seats in tropical Australia. <i>Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences</i> , 2023, 55, 319-330.	0.7	3
33	Exploring tapelifts as a method for dual workflow STR amplification. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2022, 57, 102653.	1.6	1
34	Analysis of rapid HIT application to touch DNA samples. <i>Journal of Forensic Sciences</i> , 2022, , .	0.9	4
35	A Survey of the Effects of Common Illicit Drugs on Forensic DNA Analysis. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	1
36	Individual Identification with Short Tandem Repeat Analysis and Collection of Secondary Information Using Microbiome Analysis. <i>Genes</i> , 2022, 13, 85.	1.0	1

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	A survey of the effects of common illicit drugs on forensic DNA analysis. Forensic Science International, 2022, 336, 111314.	1.3	4
38	Investigation of Linear Amplification Using Abasic Site-Containing Primers Coupled to Routine STR Typing for LT-DNA Analysis. Genes, 2022, 13, 1386.	1.0	0
39	Monitoring cell loss through repetitive deposition. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 0, , .	0.9	0