

# Vascular Access in Oncology Patients

Ca-A Cancer Journal for Clinicians

58, 323-346

DOI: [10.3322/ca.2008.0015](https://doi.org/10.3322/ca.2008.0015)

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Triage, Rationing, and Palliative Care in Disaster Planning. <i>Biosecurity and Bioterrorism</i> , 2009, 7, 221-224.	1.2	8
2	The family of vascular access devices. <i>Journal of Infection Prevention</i> , 2009, 10, S7-S12.	0.5	4
4	Catheter-Associated Thrombosis in Patients With Malignancy. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2009, 27, 4858-4864.	0.8	75
6	Outcomes of surgical and radiologic placed implantable central venous access ports. <i>American Journal of Surgery</i> , 2009, 198, 829-833.	0.9	29
7	A Retrospective Analysis of Our 15-year Experience with Bedside "Blind"™ Positioning of Long-term Tunneled Groshong® Catheters in the Pre-ultrasound Era. , 2009, 14, 32-38.		2
8	The PICC Project: The Development of a Nationwide Program for the Diffusion of PICC in Italy 2005-2009. , 2009, 14, 191-198.		1
9	Implantation of 3951 Long-Term Central Venous Catheters. <i>Anesthesia and Analgesia</i> , 2010, 111, 1194-1201.	1.1	72
10	Neonatal PICC: One Unit's Six-Year Experience with Limiting Catheter Complications. <i>Neonatal Network: NN</i> , 2010, 29, 161-173.	0.1	10
11	A pilot intravenous cannulation team: an Irish perspective. <i>British Journal of Nursing</i> , 2010, 19, S19-S27.	0.3	30
12	The duration of functioning of a subcutaneous implantable port for the treatment of hematological tumors: a single institution-based study. <i>International Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2010, 15, 172-178.	1.0	4
13	Risk factors for central venous catheter thrombotic complications in children and adolescents with cancer. <i>Cancer</i> , 2010, 116, 4197-4205.	2.0	99
14	Skin and soft tissue infections in hospitalized and critically ill patients: a nationwide population-based study. <i>BMC Infectious Diseases</i> , 2010, 10, 151.	1.3	36
15	Safety and Effectiveness of Central Venous Catheterization in Patients with Cancer: Prospective Observational Study. <i>Journal of Korean Medical Science</i> , 2010, 25, 1748.	1.1	53
17	Ultrasound-guided central venous catheterization in cancer patients improves the success rate of cannulation and reduces mechanical complications: A prospective observational study of 1,978 consecutive catheterizations. <i>World Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2010, 8, 91.	0.8	81
18	State of the Science of Oncology Vascular Access Devices. <i>Seminars in Oncology Nursing</i> , 2010, 26, 80-87.	0.7	20
19	Management of Non-Infectious Central Venous Access Device Complications. <i>Seminars in Oncology Nursing</i> , 2010, 26, 132-141.	0.7	14
20	Insertion and Placement of Central Catheters in the Oncology Patient. <i>Seminars in Oncology Nursing</i> , 2010, 26, 102-112.	0.7	20
21	Infectious and Thrombotic Complications of Central Venous Catheters. <i>Seminars in Oncology Nursing</i> , 2010, 26, 121-131.	0.7	21

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
22	Increased risk of venous thromboembolism in patients with primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma. <i>Thrombosis Research</i> , 2010, 126, 477-480.	0.8	34
23	Precision in Central Venous Catheter Tip Placement: A Review of the Literature. , 2010, 15, 112-125.		30
24	Thoracic vascular disease in oncologic patients. <i>Radiologia</i> , 2011, 53, 335-348.	0.3	0
26	Deep-Vein Thrombosis of the Upper Extremities. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> , 2011, 364, 861-869.	13.9	306
27	The late complications of totally implantable central venous access ports: The results from an Italian multicenter prospective observation study. <i>European Journal of Oncology Nursing</i> , 2011, 15, 377-381.	0.9	41
28	Central Venous Catheters: Legal Issues. <i>Journal of Vascular Access</i> , 2011, 12, 273-279.	0.5	21
29	Gavecelt Consensus Statement on the Correct use of Totally Implantable Venous Access Devices for Diagnostic Radiology Procedures. <i>Journal of Vascular Access</i> , 2011, 12, 292-305.	0.5	17
31	Simulation-based training improves applied clinical placement of ultrasound-guided PICCs. <i>Supportive Care in Cancer</i> , 2011, 19, 539-543.	1.0	46
32	No impact of central venous insertion site on oncology patientsâ€™ quality of life and psychological distress. A randomized three-arm trial. <i>Supportive Care in Cancer</i> , 2011, 19, 1573-1580.	1.0	17
33	Thrombophilic state in cancer, Part I: Biology, incidence, and risk factors. <i>Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2011, 104, 316-322.	0.8	42
34	Central Venous Catheter-Induced Cardiac Tamponade: A Preventable Complication. <i>Journal of Vascular Access</i> , 2012, 13, 530-533.	0.5	1
35	Early Cuff Anchorage for Tunneled Central Venous Catheters using a Buried Absorbable Suture. <i>Journal of Vascular Access</i> , 2012, 13, 96-100.	0.5	5
36	Totally Implantable Central Venous Access Ports in Patients with Cystic Fibrosis: A Multicenter Prospective Cohort Study. <i>Journal of Vascular Access</i> , 2012, 13, 290-295.	0.5	16
37	Epidemiology and prevention of catheterâ€™related thrombosis in patients with cancer. <i>Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis</i> , 2012, 10, 1491-1499.	1.9	63
38	Massive fluidopneumothorax after implantation of a totally implantable venous access port: report of a case. <i>European Surgery - Acta Chirurgica Austriaca</i> , 2012, 44, 281-284.	0.3	1
39	Causes of rejection of blood samples handled in the clinical laboratory of a University Hospital in Porto Alegre. <i>Clinical Biochemistry</i> , 2012, 45, 123-126.	0.8	23
40	Update on totally implantable venous access devices. <i>Surgical Oncology</i> , 2012, 21, 207-215.	0.8	63
42	Optimal Position of A Long-Term Central Venous Catheter Tip in A Pediatric Patient with Congenital Diseases. <i>Mental Illness</i> , 2012, 4, e32.	0.8	5

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
43	Thrombotic obstruction of the central venous catheter in patients undergoing hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. <i>Revista Latino-Americana De Enfermagem</i> , 2012, 20, 804-812.	0.4	4
44	Evidence-based consensus on the insertion of central venous access devices: definition of minimal requirements for training. <i>British Journal of Anaesthesia</i> , 2013, 110, 347-356.	1.5	176
45	Central venous catheters. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2013, 347, f6570-f6570.	3.0	148
46	Comparison of complication rates of Hickman catheters versus peripherally inserted central catheters in patients with acute myeloid leukemia undergoing induction chemotherapy. <i>Leukemia and Lymphoma</i> , 2013, 54, 1263-1267.	0.6	29
47	Port-a-Cath-related complications in 252 patients with solid tissue tumours and the first report of heparin-induced delayed hypersensitivity after Port-a-Cath heparinisation. <i>European Journal of Cancer Care</i> , 2013, 22, 125-132.	0.7	16
50	Vascular access strategy for delivering long-term antimicrobials to patients with infective endocarditis: device type, risk of infection and mortality. <i>Journal of Hospital Infection</i> , 2013, 83, 46-50.	1.4	6
51	Predictors of bloodstream infection associated with permanently implantable venous port in solid cancer patients. <i>Annals of Oncology</i> , 2013, 24, 463-468.	0.6	32
52	Higher complication risk of totally implantable venous access port systems in patients with advanced cancer – a single institution retrospective analysis. <i>Palliative Medicine</i> , 2013, 27, 185-191.	1.3	27
53	Catheter-Related Complications in Cancer Patients on Home Parenteral Nutrition. <i>Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition</i> , 2013, 37, 375-383.	1.3	92
54	Navigation-assisted peripherally inserted central catheter's insertion performed by university degree nurses: technical report of two cases. <i>British Journal of Anaesthesia</i> , 2013, 111, 841-842.	1.5	2
55	Overview of extravasation management and possibilities for risk reduction based on literature data. <i>Journal of Nursing Education and Practice</i> , 2013, 3, .	0.1	3
56	Flushing the Central Venous Catheter: Is Heparin Necessary?. <i>Journal of Vascular Access</i> , 2014, 15, 241-248.	0.5	40
57	A Comparative Study of Low-Profile and Regular Type Totally Implantable Venous Access Devices in Patients with Malignant Tumors: Retrospective Analysis of 4501 Implantations.. <i>UHOD - Uluslararası Hematoloji-Onkoloji Dergisi</i> , 2014, 24, 1-9.	0.1	0
58	Dialysis Central Venous Catheter Types and Performance. <i>Journal of Vascular Access</i> , 2014, 15, 140-146.	0.5	31
59	Use of port-a-cath in cancer patients: a single-center experience. <i>Journal of Infection in Developing Countries</i> , 2014, 8, 1476-1482.	0.5	14
60	Classification of reasons for rejection of biological specimens based on pre-preanalytical processes to identify quality indicators at a university hospital clinical laboratory in Turkey. <i>Clinical Biochemistry</i> , 2014, 47, 1002-1005.	0.8	24
61	An Entirely Echo-Guided Technique for Totally Implantable Access Port Positioning. <i>Indian Journal of Surgery</i> , 2014, 76, 204-206.	0.2	0
62	Cost Effectiveness of Different Central Venous Approaches for Port Placement and Use in Adult Oncology Patients: Evidence From a Randomized Three-Arm Trial. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2014, 21, 3725-3731.	0.7	26

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
64	Maintaining patency in totally implantable venous access devices (TIVAD): A time-to-event analysis of different lock irrigation intervals. <i>European Journal of Oncology Nursing</i> , 2014, 18, 66-71.	0.9	20
65	Évaluation des complications des PICC Lines dans un établissement public de santé. <i>Pharmacien Hospitalier Et Clinicien</i> , 2015, 50, 303-308.	0.3	1
66	Upper extremity deep vein thrombosis: a complication of an indwelling peripherally inserted central venous catheter. <i>Clinical Case Reports (discontinued)</i> , 2015, 3, 170-174.	0.2	8
67	Congenital Anomalies of Superior Vena Cava and their Implications in Central Venous Catheterization. <i>Journal of Vascular Access</i> , 2015, 16, 265-268.	0.5	29
68	Central Venous access Devices in Pediatric Malignancies: A Position Paper of Italian Association of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology. <i>Journal of Vascular Access</i> , 2015, 16, 130-136.	0.5	38
69	A Comparison of Silicone and Polyurethane PICC Lines and Postinsertion Complication Rates: A Systematic Review. <i>Journal of Vascular Access</i> , 2015, 16, 167-177.	0.5	68
70	Focused Simulation Training: Emergency Department Nurses' Confidence and Comfort Level in Performing Ultrasound-Guided Vascular Access. <i>Journal of Vascular Access</i> , 2015, 16, 515-520.	0.5	26
71	Use of Double-Lumen Peripherally Inserted Central Catheters for Safer Perioperative Management of Esophageal Cancer Patients. <i>Journal of Vascular Access</i> , 2015, 16, 338-343.	0.5	9
72	Exploring Attitudes towards a Randomised Controlled Trial of Venous access Devices – a Nested Pre-trial Qualitative Study. <i>Journal of Vascular Access</i> , 2015, 16, 407-412.	0.5	19
74	Peripherally inserted central catheter thrombosis incidence and risk factors in cancer patients: a double-center prospective investigation. <i>Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management</i> , 2015, 11, 153.	0.9	52
75	Open- vs Closed-Tip Valved Peripherally Inserted Central Catheters and Midlines: Findings from a Vascular Access Database. , 2015, 20, 169-176.		7
76	Ultrasound-Guided Arm Ports: Indications, Techniques, and Management. , 2015, 20, 26-31.		3
77	Peripherally inserted central catheters in non-hospitalized cancer patients: 5-year results of a prospective study. <i>Supportive Care in Cancer</i> , 2015, 23, 403-409.	1.0	90
78	Cost and Morbidity Analysis of Chest Port Insertion: Interventional Radiology Suite Versus Operating Room. <i>Journal of the American College of Radiology</i> , 2015, 12, 563-571.	0.9	38
80	Feeling Confident in Using PICC Lines. <i>Home Health Care Management and Practice</i> , 2015, 27, 119-125.	0.4	18
81	Iatrogenic Percutaneous Vascular Injuries: Clinical Presentation, Imaging, and Management. <i>Seminars in Interventional Radiology</i> , 2015, 32, 108-122.	0.3	33
83	Totally implantable venous access port systems and associated complications: A single-institution retrospective analysis of 2,996 breast cancer patients. <i>Molecular and Clinical Oncology</i> , 2016, 4, 456-460.	0.4	34
84	Implementing a central venous catheter self-management education program for patients with cancer. <i>European Journal of Oncology Nursing</i> , 2016, 25, 1-8.	0.9	8

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
85	Internal jugular vein versus subclavian vein as the percutaneous insertion site for totally implantable venous access devices: a meta-analysis of comparative studies. <i>BMC Cancer</i> , 2016, 16, 747.	1.1	19
86	Risk Management of Onco-Hematological Drugs: How and how Fast can we Improve?. <i>Tumori</i> , 2016, 102, S15-S29.	0.6	2
87	Written Information Improves Patient Knowledge About Implanted Ports. <i>Clinical Journal of Oncology Nursing</i> , 2016, 20, E28-E33.	0.3	8
88	Difficult intravenous access tool in patients receiving peripheral chemotherapy: A pilot-validation study. <i>European Journal of Oncology Nursing</i> , 2016, 20, 58-63.	0.9	22
89	Feasibility of Capecitabine and Oxaliplatin Combination Chemotherapy Without Central Venous Access Device in Patients With Stage III Colorectal Cancer. <i>Clinical Colorectal Cancer</i> , 2016, 15, 250-256.	1.0	12
90	Improving cancer patients' knowledge about totally implantable access port: a randomized controlled trial. <i>Supportive Care in Cancer</i> , 2016, 24, 833-841.	1.0	9
91	Factors affecting difficult peripheral intravenous cannulation in adults: a prospective observational study. <i>Journal of Clinical Nursing</i> , 2017, 26, 1074-1084.	1.4	40
92	Prevalence of difficult venous access and associated risk factors in highly complex hospitalised patients. <i>Journal of Clinical Nursing</i> , 2017, 26, 4267-4275.	1.4	71
93	Upper extremity deep venous thrombosis after port insertion: What are the risk factors?. <i>Surgery</i> , 2017, 162, 437-444.	1.0	16
94	How I treat central venous access device-related upper extremity deep vein thrombosis. <i>Blood</i> , 2017, 129, 2727-2736.	0.6	57
95	Right or left? Side selection for a totally implantable vascular access device: a randomised observational study. <i>British Journal of Cancer</i> , 2017, 117, 932-937.	2.9	13
96	Totally Implantable Venous Access Devices. <i>American Journal of Clinical Oncology: Cancer Clinical Trials</i> , 2017, 40, 94-105.	0.6	63
97	Knowledge level on administration of chemotherapy through peripheral and central venous catheter among oncology nurses. <i>Asia-Pacific Journal of Oncology Nursing</i> , 2017, 4, 61-68.	0.7	8
98	Percutaneous versus Cut-Down Technique for Indwelling Port Placement. <i>American Surgeon</i> , 2017, 83, 1336-1342.	0.4	9
99	Potential Role of a Subcutaneously Anchored Securement Device in Preventing Dislodgment of Tunneled-Cuffed Central Venous Devices in Pediatric Patients. <i>Journal of Vascular Access</i> , 2017, 18, 540-545.	0.5	14
101	Revisión sistemática de las complicaciones de los dispositivos de administración de tratamiento al paciente oncológico. <i>Enfermería Global</i> , 2017, 16, 544.	0.1	3
102	Patient Satisfaction and Acceptance of Their Totally Implanted Central Venous Catheter: A French Prospective Multicenter Study. <i>Journal of Vascular Access</i> , 2017, 18, 390-395.	0.5	16
103	Discomfort related to Peripherally Inserted Central Catheters in Cancer Patient. <i>Asian Oncology Nursing</i> , 2017, 17, 229.	0.2	4

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
104	Phase II Trial on Extending the Maintenance Flushing Interval of Implanted Ports. <i>Journal of Oncology Practice</i> , 2017, 13, e22-e28.	2.5	21
105	Cephalad dislocation of PICCs under different upper limb positions: influence of age, gender, BMI, number of lumens. <i>Journal of Vascular Access</i> , 2018, 19, 141-145.	0.5	12
106	Effects of prolonged flushing interval in totally implantable venous access devices (TIVADs). <i>British Journal of Nursing</i> , 2018, 27, S4-S10.	0.3	11
107	Venous thromboembolic events in lymphoma patients: Actual relationships between epidemiology, mechanisms, clinical profile and treatment. <i>Blood Reviews</i> , 2018, 32, 144-158.	2.8	15
108	Late complications of totally implantable venous access ports in patients with cancer. <i>Medicine (United States)</i> , 2018, 97, e12427.	0.4	9
109	Optimizing Vascular Access for Patients Receiving Intravenous Systemic Therapy for Early-Stage Breast Cancer—A Survey of Oncology Nurses and Physicians. <i>Current Oncology</i> , 2018, 25, 298-304.	0.9	24
110	Open heart surgery for management of right auricular thrombus related to central venous catheterization. <i>Revista Española De Anestesiología Y Reanimación (English Edition)</i> , 2018, 65, 398-402.	0.1	1
111	Cirugía a corazón abierto para el tratamiento de trombo auricular derecho relacionado con cateterización venosa central. <i>Revista Española De Anestesiología Y Reanimación</i> , 2018, 65, 398-402.	0.1	1
112	Etiology and Management of Upper-Extremity Catheter-Related Thrombosis in Cancer Patients. <i>Cancer Treatment and Research</i> , 2019, 179, 117-137.	0.2	11
113	Blood stream infections associated with central and peripheral venous catheters. <i>BMC Infectious Diseases</i> , 2019, 19, 841.	1.3	42
114	Recognition and management of leukaemia in children. <i>British Journal of Nursing</i> , 2019, 28, 985-992.	0.3	8
115	Dangers of Peripheral Intravenous Catheterization: The Forgotten Tourniquet and Other Patient Safety Considerations. , 0, , .		7
116	Predictive risk factors of venous thromboembolism (VTE) associated with peripherally inserted central catheters (PICC) in ambulant solid cancer patients: retrospective single Centre cohort study. <i>Thrombosis Journal</i> , 2019, 17, 2.	0.9	56
117	Which is Better for Patients with Breast Cancer: Totally Implanted Vascular Access Devices (TIVAD) or Peripherally Inserted Central Catheter (PICC)?. <i>World Journal of Surgery</i> , 2019, 43, 2245-2249.	0.8	11
118	Can Peripherally Inserted Central Catheters Be Safely Placed in Patients with Cancer Receiving Chemotherapy? A Retrospective Study of Almost 400,000 Catheter-Days. <i>Oncologist</i> , 2019, 24, e953-e959.	1.9	31
119	Implanted vascular access device related deep vein thrombosis in oncology patients: A prospective cohort study. <i>Thrombosis Research</i> , 2019, 177, 117-121.	0.8	8
120	Peripherally inserted central catheter design and material for reducing catheter failure and complications. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 0, , .	1.5	3
121	Peripherally inserted central catheter, midline, and short-midline in palliative care: Patient-reported outcome measures to assess impact on quality of care. <i>Journal of Vascular Access</i> , 2019, 20, 475-481.	0.5	9

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
122	Peripherally inserted central catheter-related thrombosis rate in modern vascular access era when insertion technique matters: A systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Journal of Vascular Access</i> , 2020, 21, 45-54.	0.5	75
124	Clinical relevance of the left brachiocephalic vein anatomy for vascular access in dialysis patients. <i>Clinical Anatomy</i> , 2020, 33, 1120-1129.	1.5	1
125	Safe use of Peripherally Inserted Central Catheters for chemotherapy of solid malignancies in adult patients: A 1-year monocentric, prospectively-assessed, unselected cohort of 482 patients. <i>Journal of Vascular Access</i> , 2021, 22, 873-881.	0.5	9
126	Comparison of comfort and complications in breast cancer patients of implantable venous access port (IVAP) with ultrasound guided internal jugular vein (IJV) and axillary vein/subclavian vein (AxV/SCV) puncture: a randomized controlled study protocol. <i>Annals of Palliative Medicine</i> , 2020, 9, 4323-4331.	0.5	4
127	Repurposing the power injectable peripherally inserted central catheter as a tunnelled, non-cuffed, centrally inserted central venous catheter in oncological patients for short- to mid-term vascular access: A pilot study. <i>Journal of Vascular Access</i> , 2021, 22, 457-461.	0.5	1
128	Incidence of fibroblastic sleeve and of catheter-related venous thrombosis in peripherally inserted central catheters: A prospective study on oncological and hematological patients. <i>Journal of Vascular Access</i> , 2021, 22, 444-449.	0.5	14
129	Risk of venous thromboembolism associated with totally implantable venous access ports in cancer patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis</i> , 2020, 18, 2253-2273.	1.9	28
130	Experience and Satisfaction of Cancer Patients With a Central Venous Catheter at a Tertiary Hospital in South Korea. <i>Journal of Infusion Nursing</i> , 2020, 43, 97-102.	1.2	6
131	Jugular venous catheter-associated thrombosis and fatal pulmonary embolism. <i>Medicine (United States)</i> , 2021, 100, 1000000.	0.4	1
132	In defense of the use of peripherally inserted central catheters in pediatric patients. <i>Journal of Vascular Access</i> , 2021, 22, 333-336.	0.5	17
134	Early Results of Totally Implantable Central Venous Access Port Insertion Through a Supraclavicular Approach. <i>Indian Journal of Surgery</i> , 2021, 83, 289-292.	0.2	1
135	Comparative Complication Rates of 854 Central Venous Access Devices for Home Parenteral Nutrition in Cancer Patients: A Prospective Study of Over 169,000 Catheter-Days. <i>Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition</i> , 2021, 45, 768-776.	1.3	22
136	Do "Videos" sections of Internet search engines provide accurate and adequate information about totally implantable venous access ports?. <i>Journal of Vascular Access</i> , 2021, 22, 225-231.	0.5	1
137	The right methodology for long-term vascular access research: Three burning questions. <i>Journal of Vascular Access</i> , 2021, 22, 162-165.	0.5	2
138	Inherent and modifiable risk factors for peripheral venous catheter failure during cancer treatment: a prospective cohort study. <i>Supportive Care in Cancer</i> , 2021, 29, 1487-1496.	1.0	24
140	Utilization and Complications of Central Venous Access Devices in Oncology Patients. <i>Current Oncology</i> , 2021, 28, 367-377.	0.9	14
141	Assessing Phlebotomy Device Preference and Specimen Quality in an Oncology Outpatient Clinic. <i>Journal of Applied Laboratory Medicine</i> , 2022, 7, 532-540.	0.6	3
142	Peripherally inserted central catheter procedure at the bedside by a nephrologist is safe and successful. <i>Kidney Research and Clinical Practice</i> , 2021, 40, 153-161.	0.9	3



#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
143	Vascular access care in patients with multimorbidity. <i>British Journal of Nursing</i> , 2021, 30, S26-S35.	0.3	0
144	Capacity Prioritization Initiative Reduced the Wait Time for Port Placement and Facilitated Increased Volume of Port Placements at a Large County Health System. <i>Current Problems in Diagnostic Radiology</i> , 2021, 50, 288-292.	0.6	1
145	Comparing the effectiveness of three pain relief methods for inserting a needle into the implanted venous access chemoport: A randomized controlled trial. <i>International Journal of Nursing Practice</i> , 2022, 28, e12974.	0.8	3
146	Should we use a patient's port as the preferred intravenous route rather than inserting an additional venous access?. <i>Journal of Vascular Access</i> , 2021, , 112972982110150.	0.5	0
147	Tailored approach to the choice of long-term vascular access in breast cancer patients. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2021, 16, e0255004.	1.1	3
148	Effectiveness of mechanical recanalization for intraluminal occlusion of totally implantable venous access ports. <i>Journal of Vascular Access</i> , 2021, , 112972982110346.	0.5	0
149	The state of vascular access teams: Results of a European survey. <i>International Journal of Clinical Practice</i> , 2021, 75, e14849.	0.8	9
150	Choosing the appropriate vascular access device in adult non-hospitalized patients. <i>Nutrition</i> , 2021, 91-92, 111476.	1.1	1
151	Intravenous Access Solutions. <i>Medical Radiology</i> , 2021, , 35-46.	0.0	0
152	Comparing catheter related bloodstream infection rate between cuffed tunnelled and non-cuffed tunnelled peripherally inserted central catheter. <i>Journal of Vascular Access</i> , 2022, 23, 225-231.	0.5	2
153	Difficult Vascular Access. , 2021, , 217-248.		0
154	Post-procedural Care in Interventional Radiology: What Every Interventional Radiologist Should Know-Part II: Catheter Care and Management of Common Systemic Post-procedural Complications. <i>CardioVascular and Interventional Radiology</i> , 2017, 40, 1304-1320.	0.9	6
155	Central venous catheter-related infections in hematology and oncology: 2020 updated guidelines on diagnosis, management, and prevention by the Infectious Diseases Working Party (AGIHO) of the German Society of Hematology and Medical Oncology (DGHO). <i>Annals of Hematology</i> , 2021, 100, 239-259.	0.8	54
156	Standardization and Chemical Characterization of Intravenous Therapy in Adult Patients: A Step Further in Medication Safety. <i>Drugs in R and D</i> , 2021, 21, 39-64.	1.1	29
157	Short Versus Long Timing of Flushing of Totally Implantable Venous Access Devices When Not Used Routinely. <i>Cancer Nursing</i> , 2021, 44, 205-213.	0.7	9
158	Complications of Peripherally Inserted Central Venous Catheters: A Retrospective Cohort Study. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2016, 11, e0162479.	1.1	29
159	Retrospective Analysis for Complications of the Central Venous Catheter in Patients with Cancer at a Single Center in Korea. <i>The Korean Journal of Hospice and Palliative Care</i> , 2010, 13, 24-31.	0.2	7
160	Increased incidence of chemoport-related thrombosis in patients with colorectal cancer receiving bevacizumab: A single-institutional experience. <i>Chinese Journal of Cancer Research: Official Journal of China Anti-Cancer Association</i> , Beijing Institute for Cancer Research, 2018, 30, 460-467.	0.7	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
161	Design of Medical Devices That Meet Contradictory Requirements. Open Medical Devices Journal, 2011, 3, 9-18.	0.3	2
162	The Evaluation of Error Types and Turnaround Time of Preanalytical Phase in Biochemistry and Hematology Laboratories. , 2018, 13, 173-178.		12
163	A Case-Control Study to Identify Risk Factors for Totally Implantable Central Venous Port-Related Bloodstream Infection. Cancer Research and Treatment, 2014, 46, 250-260.	1.3	10
164	Patient Satisfaction with and Acceptance of Their Totally-Implanted Central Venous Catheter: Construction and First Validation of a Questionnaire. Journal of Cancer Therapy, 2014, 05, 706-716.	0.1	8
165	Factors Associated with Central Venous Catheterization in Cancer Patients. Journal of Korean Oncology Nursing, 2011, 11, 1.	0.5	7
166	Focus on peripherally inserted central catheters in critically ill patients. World Journal of Critical Care Medicine, 2014, 3, 80.	0.8	95
167	Removal of "ruptured" pulmonary artery infusion port catheter by pigtail catheter combined with gooseneck trap: A case report. World Journal of Clinical Cases, 2021, 9, 8820-8824.	0.3	2
168	Implantierbare Port-Systeme und Pumpen. , 2011, , 249-265.		0
169	Catheter Migration. , 2012, , 201-204.		0
171	Power Technology: How to Safely Use Ports and Central Catheters to Deliver Contrast Medium in Radiology Procedures. , 2012, , 239-246.		0
172	Central Venous Catheters. , 0, , 235-243.		0
173	Ultrasound-guided internal jugular access: Systematic review and economic evaluation of cost-effectiveness. OA Evidence-Based Medicine, 2013, 1, .	0.1	0
174	Ultrasound Guided Port-A-Cath Implantation. Surgical Science, 2014, 05, 159-163.	0.1	1
175	Wszczipialne systemy dostÄ™pu naczyniowego u chorych na nowotwory. Nowotwory, 2015, 65, 302-316.	0.1	0
176	Cephalic vein cut down technique for chemoport implantation and ease of chemoport access - A cohort study. Archives of International Surgery, 2018, 8, 113.	0.0	0
177	Assess Nursesâ€™ Performance Regarding Care of Patient with Implanted Port Undergoing Chemotherapy. Egyptian Journal of Health Care, 2019, 10, 166-178.	0.0	0
178	An Analysis of Preanalytical Errors and Turnaround Time in Emergency Biochemistry Laboratory in a Tertiary Care Hospital in New Delhi. Journal of Evolution of Medical and Dental Sciences, 2020, 9, 1065-1068.	0.1	1
179	Vascular Access Care in Patients with Multimorbidity. , 2020, 25, 38-47.		0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
180	Comparison of Care Outcomes Between Two Methods of Drug Injection Through Ports and Peripheral Veins in Patients Undergoing Chemotherapy. <i>Jundishapur Journal of Chronic Disease Care</i> , 2020, 9, .	0.1	0
181	The Evaluation of Error Types and Turnaround Time of Preanalytical Phase in Biochemistry and Hematology Laboratories. <i>Iranian Journal of Pathology</i> , 2018, 13, 173-178.	0.2	6
182	Adult oncology patientsâ€™ experiences of living with a central venous catheter: a systematic review and meta-synthesis. <i>Supportive Care in Cancer</i> , 2022, 30, 3773-3791.	1.0	4
183	Nursesâ€™ Practices in the Peripheral Intravenous Catheterization of Adult Oncology Patients: A Mix-Method Study. <i>Journal of Personalized Medicine</i> , 2022, 12, 151.	1.1	9
184	Vascular Access in Pediatric Oncology and Hematology: State of the Art. <i>Children</i> , 2022, 9, 70.	0.6	5
185	Comparison of comfort and complications of Implantable Venous Access Port (IVAP) with ultrasound guided Internal Jugular Vein (IJV) and Axillary Vein/Subclavian Vein (AxV/SCV) puncture in breast cancer patients: a randomized controlled study. <i>BMC Cancer</i> , 2022, 22, 248.	1.1	9
186	A systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials on choice of central venous access device for delivery of chemotherapy. <i>Journal of Vascular Surgery: Venous and Lymphatic Disorders</i> , 2022, , .	0.9	2
187	Ultrasound-guided peripheral intravenous cannulation for patients requiring dental surgery under intravenous dental sedation. <i>British Dental Journal</i> , 2022, 232, 441-448.	0.3	1
188	Risk of VTE associated with PORTs and PICCs in cancer patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Thrombosis Research</i> , 2022, 213, 34-42.	0.8	13
189	Ultrasound-Guided Totally Implantable Venous Access: Comparison between Arm and Chest Ports in Solid Organ Cancer Patients. <i>Journal of Surgical Ultrasound</i> , 2021, 8, 48-54.	0.1	0
192	Incidence, causes, and timing of peripheral intravenous catheter failure related to insertion timing in the treatment cycle in patients with hematological malignancies: A prospective descriptive study. <i>Japan Journal of Nursing Science</i> , 2022, 19, e12484.	0.5	3
193	Totally implantable venous access ports and associated complications in sub-Saharan Africa: a single-centre retrospective analysis. <i>Ecancermedicalscience</i> , 0, 16, .	0.6	4
195	Peripheral intravenous catheters in the care of oncology and haematology patients. <i>Australian Journal of Cancer Nursing</i> , 2022, 23, .	0.8	0
198	Complications of Totally Implantable Central Venous Catheters (Ports) Inserted via the Internal Jugular Vein Under Ultrasound and Fluoroscopy Guidance in Adult Oncology Patients: A Single-Center Experience. <i>Cureus</i> , 2022, , .	0.2	1
200	The experiences of adolescents living with a central venous access device: A qualitative analysis. <i>International Journal of Nursing Studies Advances</i> , 2022, 4, 100106.	0.9	0
201	Safety and outcome of ultrasoundâ€­guided tunneled central venous catheter in children with cancers from low middleâ€­income country: A prospective study. <i>Pediatric Blood and Cancer</i> , 2023, 70, .	0.8	1
202	Blood specimen rejection rate in clinical laboratory: A systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Practical Laboratory Medicine</i> , 2023, 33, e00303.	0.6	2
203	Bedside PICC PORT Placement in the Patient with Sickle Cell Disease. , 2022, , 87-91.		0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
205	Determination of optimal tip position of peripherally inserted central catheters using electrocardiography – a retrospective study. Korean Journal of Anesthesiology, 0, , .	0.9	0
206	Bedside visualisation tool for prediction of deviation from intended dosage in multi-infusion therapy. Journal of Vascular Access, 0, , 112972982211463.	0.5	1
207	Difficult Intravenous Access in Older Adults with Cancer: Can Vein-Locating Technology Be Key for Vessel Health?. Lecture Notes in Bioengineering, 2023, , 241-250.	0.3	0
208	Vascular access device type for systemic anti-cancer therapies: a scoping review protocol. British Journal of Nursing, 2023, 32, S18-S22.	0.3	1
209	A comparative study between two methods of delivery of chemotherapeutic agent in patients with bone and soft tissue sarcoma of lower extremity. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders, 2023, 24, .	0.8	0
221	Interventional Diagnostic and Therapeutic Procedures in Surgical Oncology. , 2023, , 447-456.		0