Citation Report
List of articles citing

The accuracy of implant impressions: a systematic review

DOI: 10.1016/s0022-3913(08)60208-5
Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry, 2008, 100, 285-91.

#	Paper	IF	Citations
252	GINGIVAL RETRACTION: AuthorsSresponse. **2009**, 140, 143-144		
251	The press-fit implant impression coping technique. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2009**, 101, 413-4	4	6
250	Anterior provisional restorations used to determine form, function, and esthetics for complex restorative situations, using all-ceramic restorative systems. **2010**, 22, 7-16		8
249	Effect of impression coping and implant angulation on the accuracy of implant impressions: an in vitro study. **2010**, 2, 128-33		27
248	A comparative study on the accuracy of impression body according to the types of impression tray. **2010**, 48, 48		1
247	Accuracy of the implant impression obtained from different impression materials and techniques: review. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Dentistry*, **2011**, e106-e111	1.4	17
246	Impressions in implant dentistry. **2011**, 211, 361-7		8
245	Evaluation of the accuracy of different transfer impression techniques for multiple implants. **2011**, 25, 163-7		11
244	Virtually planned and template-guided implant surgery: an experimental model matching approach. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, **2011**, 22, 308-13	4.8	37
243	The use of a coded healing abutment as an impression coping to design and mill an individualized anatomic abutment: a clinical report. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2011**, 105, 282-5	4	22
242	Maxillary implant-supported bar overdenture and mandibular implant-retained fixed denture using CAD/CAM technology and 3-D design software: a clinical report. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2011**, 105, 356-62	4	12
241	Accuracy of implant impressions without impression copings: a three-dimensional analysis. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2011**, 105, 367-73	4	20
240	Receive Tables of Contents by E-mail. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2011**, 105, 373	4	
239	Three-dimensional accuracy of implant and abutment level impression techniques: effect on marginal discrepancy. *Journal of Oral Implantology*, **2011**, 37, 649-57	1.2	16
238	Comparative study of the polyvinyl siloxane technique with resin-splinted transfer copings used for multiple implant abutment impressions. **2012**, 21, 72-6		4
237	Internal vs. external connections for abutments/reconstructions: a systematic review. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, **2012**, 23 Suppl 6, 202-16	4.8	129
236	A functional open-tray impression technique for implant-retained overdenture prostheses. *Journal of Oral Implantology*, **2012**, 38, 617-9	1.2	1

235	The influence of implant placement depth and impression material on the stability of an open tray impression coping. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2012**, 108, 238-43	4	5
234	Receive Tables of Contents by E-mail. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2012**, 108, 243	4	
233	A clinical report on the use of closed tray, hex-lock-friction-fit implant impression copings. *Journal of Oral Implantology*, **2012**, 120417072141003	1.2	1
232	A technique for in vitro fit assessment of multi-unit screw-retained implant restorations: Application of a triple-scan protocol. **2012**, 3, 1758736012452181		9
231	Digital evaluation of the reproducibility of implant scanbody fit--an in vitro study. **2012**, 16, 851-6		61
230	Evaluation of impression accuracy for a four-implant mandibular model--a digital approach. **2012**, 16, 1137-42		36
229	Accuracy of implant casts generated with splinted and non-splinted impression techniques for edentulous patients: an optical scanning study. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, **2012**, 23, 676-681	4.8	58
228	The accuracy of an implant impression technique using digitally coded healing abutments. **2012**, 14 Suppl 1, e30-8		30
227	The influence of verification jig on framework fit for nonsegmented fixed implant-supported complete denture. **2012**, 14 Suppl 1, e188-95		26
226	A comparative analysis of the accuracy of different direct impression techniques for multiple implants. **2012**, 57, 184-9		23
225	Direct custom implant impression copings for the preservation of the pontic receptor site architecture. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2012**, 107, 203-6	4	20
224	Complete arch implant impression technique. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2012**, 107, 405-10	4	17
223	Overdentures in the edentulous mandible supported by implants and retained by a Dolder bar: a 5-year prospective study. **2013**, 15, 589-99		12
222	Clinical study evaluating the discrepancy of two different impression techniques of four implants in an edentulous jaw. **2013**, 17, 1929-35		19
221	A technique for verifying implant analog positions in the definitive cast. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2013**, 109, 192-7	4	3
220	Prosthetic soft tissue management following two periimplant graft failures: a clinical report. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2013**, 110, 155-60	4	2
219	An in vitro comparison of photogrammetric and conventional complete-arch implant impression techniques. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2013**, 110, 243-51	4	22
218	An in vitro comparison of the accuracy of implant impressions with coded healing abutments and different implant angulations. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2013**, 110, 90-100	4	32

217	Three-year clinical follow-up of posterior teeth restored with leucite-reinforced IPS empress onlays and partial veneer crowns. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2013**, 109, 197	4	
216	In vitro precision of fit of computer-aided design and computer-aided manufacturing titanium and zirconium dioxide bars. **2013**, 29, 945-53		25
215	The use of a scannable impression coping and digital impression technique to fabricate a customized anatomic abutment and zirconia restoration in the esthetic zone. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2013**, 109, 187-91	4	37
214	Accuracy of definitive casts using 4 implant-level impression techniques in a scenario of multi-implant system with different implant angulations and subgingival alignment levels. **2013**, 22, 268-76		17
213	A clinical protocol for intraoral digital impression of screw-retained CAD/CAM framework on multiple implants based on wavefront sampling technology. **2013**, 22, 320-5		16
212	Comparison of the accuracy of Biomet 3i Encode Robocast Technology and conventional implant impression techniques. **2013**, 28, 228-40		35
211	Evaluation of accuracy of complete-arch multiple-unit abutment-level dental implant impressions using different impression and splinting materials. **2013**, 28, 1512-20		21
210	Effects of implant system, impression technique, and impression material on accuracy of the working cast. **2013**, 28, 989-95		8
209	Accuracy of impressions of multiple implants in the edentulous arch: a systematic review. **2014**, 29, 869-80		31
208	A New Trend in Recording Subgingival Tissue around an Implant While Making a Direct Abutment Impression. **2014**, 2014, 847408		2
207	Three-dimensional accuracy of a digitally coded healing abutment implant impression system. **2014** , 29, 927-36		14
206	Digital evaluation of the accuracy of impression techniques and materials in angulated implants. **2014**, 42, 1551-9		20
205	Electromagnetic tracker feasibility in the design of a dental superstructure for edentulous patients. **2014**,		1
204	Comparative evaluation of the effects of implant position, impression material, and tray type on implant impression accuracy. **2014**, 23, 283-8		5
203	Use of digital data acquisition and CAD/CAM technology for the fabrication of a fixed complete dental prosthesis on dental implants. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2014**, 111, 1-5	4	28
202	Passive Fit in Screw Retained Multi-unit Implant Prosthesis Understanding and Achieving: A Review of the Literature. *Journal of Indian Prosthodontic Society, The*, **2014**, 14, 16-23	1.2	35
201	Comparison of digital and conventional impression techniques: evaluation of patientsSperception, treatment comfort, effectiveness and clinical outcomes. **2014**, 14, 10		206
200	A technique to splint and verify the accuracy of implant impression copings with light-polymerizing acrylic resin. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2014**, 111, 254-6	4	9

199	A clinical report on the use of closed-tray, hex-lock-friction-fit implant impression copings. *Journal of Oral Implantology*, **2014**, 40, 449-53	1.2	1
198	Using stereophotogrammetric technology for obtaining intraoral digital impressions of implants. **2014**, 145, 338-44		30
197	Accuracy of a self-perforating impression tray for dental implants. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2014**, 112, 843-8	4	5
196	A technique for verifying and correcting a milled polyurethane definitive cast for nonsegmental implant restoration in an edentulous jaw. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2014**, 112, 658-62	4	4
195	Modified indexing technique for the immediate interim restoration of a dental implant. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2014**, 112, 369-72	4	0
194	Applicability and accuracy of an intraoral scanner for scanning multiple implants in edentulous mandibles: a pilot study. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2014**, 111, 186-94	4	130
193	Impression of multiple implants using photogrammetry: description of technique and case presentation. **2014**, 19, e366-71		11
192	Accuracy of a digital impression system based on parallel confocal laser technology for implants with consideration of operator experience and implant angulation and depth. **2014**, 29, 853-62		101
191	Three-dimensional accuracy of plastic transfer impression copings for three implant systems. **2014**, 29, 577-84		12
190	An in vitro evaluation of impression techniques for multiple internal- and external-connection implant prostheses. **2014**, 29, 807-18		11
189	The influence of digital fabrication options on the accuracy of dental implant-based single units and complete-arch frameworks. **2014**, 29, 1281-8		25
188	Accuracy of implant impressions for partially and completely edentulous patients: a systematic review. **2014**, 29, 836-45		127
187	Comparative evaluation of impression accuracy of tilted and straight implants in All-on-Four technique. **2014**, 23, 225-30		6
186	Stereophotogrammetry for Recording the Position of Multiple Implants: Technical Description. **2015**, 28, 631-6		13
185	Digitally planned and fabricated mandibular fixed complete dentures. Part 2. Prosthodontic phase. **2015**, 28, 119-23		13
184	Evaluation of the three-dimensional accuracy of implant impression techniques in two simulated clinical conditions by optical scanning. **2015**, 30, 26-34		6
183	Effect of implant divergence on the accuracy of definitive casts created from traditional and digital implant-level impressions: an in vitro comparative study. **2015**, 30, 102-9		36
182	Load Transfer Characteristics of Various Designs of Three-Implant-Retained Mandibular Overdentures. **2015**, 30, 1061-7		6

181	Reducing Distortion of Implant- or Abutment-Level Impressions for Implant-Supported Prosthetic Rehabilitation: A Technique Report. **2015**, 35, e84-9		
180	Effects of Implant Angulation and Impression Coping Type on the Dimensional Accuracy of Impressions. **2015**, 24, 726-9		6
179	Changes in views on digital intraoral scanners among dental hygienists after training in digital impression taking. **2015**, 15, 151		22
178	Fabricating an Accurate Implant Master Cast: A Technique Report. **2015**, 24, 654-60		6
177	Accuracy of interchangeable implant impression systems: an in vitro pilot study. **2015**, 24, 317-22		1
176	Comparison of intraoral scanning and conventional impression techniques using 3-dimensional superimposition. **2015**, 7, 460-7		46
175	Accuracy of a digital impression system based on active wavefront sampling technology for implants considering operator experience, implant angulation, and depth. **2015**, 17 Suppl 1, e54-64		83
174	Accuracy of 3 different impression techniques for internal connection angulated implants. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2015**, 114, 517-23	4	7
173	Case for implant platform unswitching. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2015**, 114, 171-3	4	1
172	Critical appraisal of implant impression accuracies: A systematic review. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2015**, 114, 185-92.e1	4	30
171	Voxel-based registration of simulated and real patient CBCT data for accurate dental implant pose estimation. **2015**,		0
170	Accuracy Comparison of Implant Impression Techniques: A Systematic Review. **2015**, 17 Suppl 2, e751-64		45
169	Comparison of the Accuracy of Different Transfer Impression Techniques for Osseointegrated Implants. *Journal of Oral Implantology*, **2015**, 41, 662-7	1.2	2
168	The Effect of Implant Angulation on the Transfer Accuracy of External-Connection Implants. **2015**, 17, 822-9		10
167	Effects of impression levels and trays on the accuracy of impressions taken from angulated implants. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, **2015**, 26, 1098-105	4.8	14
166	Examination of the Position Accuracy of Implant Abutments Reproduced by Intra-Oral Optical Impression. **2016**, 11, e0164048		24
165	A Simplified Technique for Implant-Abutment Level Impression after Soft Tissue Adaptation around Provisional Restoration. **2016**, 4,		1
164	Analysis of Different Impression Techniques and Materials on Multiple Implants Through 3-Dimensional Laser Scanner. **2016**, 25, 232-7		9

163	Digital implant impressions by cone-beam computerized tomography: a pilot study. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, **2016**, 27, 1407-1413	4.8	3
162	Management of a Fractured Multiunit Maxillary Implant-Supported Fixed Prosthesis with Stripped Abutment Screws Using a Hybrid Cement-Retained and Screw-Retained Design: A 5-Year Follow-Up Clinical Report. **2016**, 25, 330-4		4
161	Restoration. **2016**, 307-360		
160	Misfit evaluation of dental implant-supported metal frameworks manufactured with different techniques: Photoelastic and strain gauge measurements. **2016**, 230, 1106-1116		1
159	An extended guide pin for a direct implant impression. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2016**, 116, 826-827	4	1
158	Evidence-Based Implant Dentistry. **2016**,		0
157	Implant Prosthodontics. **2016**, 141-169		
156	Implant Impression Techniques for the Edentulous Jaw: A Summary of Three Studies. **2016**, 25, 146-50		5
155	Methodological quality assessment of paper-based systematic reviews published in oral health. **2016**, 20, 399-431		9
154	Retrospective study to determine the accuracy of template-guided implant placement using a novel nonradiologic evaluation method. **2016**, 121, e72-9		23
153	Technique for fabricating a custom gingival mask using a maxillary complete-arch implant-supported fixed interim prosthesis with an integrated verification cast. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2016**, 115, 5-8	4	3
152	Mandibular fibular graft reconstruction with CAD/CAM technology: A clinical report and literature review. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2016**, 115, 123-8	4	6
151	Dimensional accuracy of different techniques used for complete-arch multi-implant impressions. **2016**, 7, 225-31		3
150	Effect of Splinting on Dimensional Accuracy of Impressions Made of Implants with Different Subgingival Alignments. **2017**, 26, 48-55		9
149	A modified open tray implant impression technique for limited mouth opening. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2017**, 118, 116-117	4	
148	Accuracy and mechanical performance of passivated and conventional fabricated 3-unit fixed dental prosthesis on multi-unit abutments. **2017**, 61, 403-411		2
147	Consequences of experience and specialist training on the fabrication of implant-supported prostheses: A survey. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2017**, 117, 743-748	4	3
146	Use of a CAD-CAM poly(methyl methacrylate) interim prosthesis for direct intraoral splinting. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2017**, 118, 706-711	4	1

145	Collaboration Patterns and Processes Between Dentists and Dental Laboratories When Planning and Fabricating Implant-Supported Restorations. **2017**, 26, 475-479		1
144	A comparative study of encode protocol versus conventional protocol for restoring single implants: One-year prospective randomized controlled clinical trial. **2017**, 19, 1061-1067		2
143	Dental Impression Materials and Techniques. **2017**, 61, 779-796		22
142	Accuracy of impression scanning compared with stone casts of implant impressions. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2017**, 117, 507-512	4	22
141	Torque resistance of impression copings after direct implant impression: An in vitro evaluation of impression materials with and without adhesive. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2017**, 117, 73-80	4	
140	Impression technique for a complete-arch prosthesis with multiple implants using additive manufacturing technologies. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2017**, 117, 714-720	4	21
139	Accuracy of several implant bite registration techniques: an pilot study. **2017**, 9, 341-349		8
138	The Effect of Coded Healing Abutments on Treatment Duration and Clinical Outcome: A Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial Comparing Encode and Conventional Impression Protocols. **2017**, 32, 1172-1179		10
137	Fabricating CAD/CAM Implant-Retained Mandibular Bar Overdentures: A Clinical and Technical Overview. **2017**, 2017, 9373818		4
136	In Vitro Three-Dimensional Accuracy of Digital Implant Impressions: The Effect of Implant Angulation. **2017**, 32, 313-321		29
135	Accuracy of Digital vs Conventional Implant Impression Approach: A Three-Dimensional Comparative In Vitro Analysis. **2017**, 32, 792-799		34
134	A Comparative Analysis of Master Casts Obtained using Different Surface Treatments on Impression Copings for Single Tooth Implant Replacement -An In vitro Study. **2017**, 11, ZC102-ZC105		
133	Clinical Steps for Fabrication of a Full-Arch Implant-Supported Restoration: Metal Ceramics, Zirconia, Acrylic Titanium. **2018**, 213-241		
132	Accuracy of a new elastomeric impression material for complete-arch dental implant impressions. **2018**, 9, e12320		3
131	Cast accuracy obtained from different impression techniques at different implant angulations (in vitro study). **2018**, 4, 9		8
130	Effect of simulated intraoral variables on the accuracy of a photogrammetric imaging technique for complete-arch implant prostheses. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2018**, 120, 232-241	4	7
129	The Setting Time of Polyether Impression Materials after Contact with Conventional and Experimental Gingival Margin Displacement Agents. **2018**, 27, 182-188		1
128	Accuracy of multi-unit implant impression: traditional techniques versus a digital procedure. **2018**, 22, 1253-1262		42

127	Position Accuracy of Implant Analogs on 3D Printed Polymer versus Conventional Dental Stone Casts Measured Using a Coordinate Measuring Machine. **2018**, 27, 560-567		22
126	Comparative evaluation of the effect of impression materials and trays on the accuracy of angulated implants impressions. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Dentistry*, **2018**, 10, e1096-e1102	1.4	3
125	Comparative in vitro study of the accuracy of impression techniques for dental implants: Direct technique with an elastomeric impression material versus intraoral scanner. **2019**, 24, e89-e95		9
124	Digital vs Conventional Workflow for Screw-Retained Single-Implant Crowns: A Comparison of Key Considerations. **2018**, 31, 577-579		23
123	Is the use of digital technologies for the fabrication of implant-supported reconstructions more efficient and/or more effective than conventional techniques: A systematic review. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, **2018**, 29 Suppl 18, 184-195	4.8	32
122	The accuracy of different dental impression techniques for implant-supported dental prostheses: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, **2018**, 29 Suppl 16, 374-392	4.8	54
121	Precision of digital implant models compared to conventional implant models for posterior single implant crowns: A within-subject comparison. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, **2018**, 29, 931-936	4.8	11
120	Precision and Accuracy of a Digital Impression Scanner in Full-Arch Implant Rehabilitation. **2018**, 31, 171-175		37
119	Three-Dimensional Accuracy of Digital Impression versus Conventional Method: Effect of Implant Angulation and Connection Type. **2018**, 2018, 3761750		37
118	Digital workflow for the design and additively manufacture of a splinted framework and custom tray for the impression of multiple implants: A dental technique. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2018**, 120, 805-811	4	17
117	Accuracy of 3-dimensional computer-aided manufactured single-tooth implant definitive casts. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2018**, 120, 913-918	4	25
116	Accuracy of Implant Casts Generated with Conventional and Digital Impressions-An In Vitro Study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, **2018**, 15,	4.6	15
115	Evaluation of accuracy of various impression techniques and impression materials in recording multiple implants placed unilaterally in a partially edentulous mandible- An study. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Dentistry*, **2018**, 10, e388-e395	1.4	6
114	A technique for facilitating open-tray implant impressions. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2019**, 122, 417-419	4	1
113	Spongy floss impregnated with light-polymerizing gel to splint implant impression posts. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2019**, 122, 176-177	4	1
112	Digital Restorative Dentistry. **2019**,		5
111	Effect of Multiple Use of Impression Copings and Scanbodies on Implant Cast Accuracy. **2019**, 34, 891-898		1
110	Full arch digital scanning systems performances for implant-supported fixed dental prostheses: a comparative study of 8 intraoral scanners. **2019**, 63, 396-403		49

109	Effect of Misfit at Implant-Level Framework and Supporting Bone on Internal Connection Implants: Mechanical and Finite Element Analysis. **2019**, 34, 320-328		5
108	Implant impression accuracy of parallel and non-parallel implants: a comparative in-vitro analysis of open and closed tray techniques. **2019**, 5, 4		5
107	Reporting quality in systematic reviews of studies: a systematic review. **2019**, 35, 1631-1641		8
106	Conventional open-tray impression versus intraoral digital scan for implant-level complete-arch impression. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2019**, 122, 543-549	4	27
105	Use of Implant-Supported Custom Milled Impression Copings to Capture Soft-Tissue Contours and Incisal Guidance. **2019**, 28, 473-479		1
104	Pick-up Impression of Complete Arch Implant-Supported Interim Prosthesis. *Journal of Oral Implantology*, **2019**, 45, 55-57	1.2	1
103	Comparison of Three-Dimensional Accuracy of Digital and Conventional Implant Impressions: Effect of Interimplant Distance in an Edentulous Arch. **2019**, 34, 366-380		40
102	A Clinical Comparative Study of 3-Dimensional Accuracy between Digital and Conventional Implant Impression Techniques. **2019**, 28, e902-e908		35
101	Accuracy of Different Implant Impression Techniques: Evaluation of New Tray Design Concept. **2019**, 28, e682-e687		4
100	Accuracy of complete-arch implant impression made with occlusal registration material. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2020**, 123, 143-148	4	4
99	Effect of coded healing abutment height and position on the trueness of digital intraoral implant scans. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2020**, 123, 466-472	4	8
98	Partial Extraction Therapy in Implant Dentistry. **2020**,		0
97	Orthodontic elastomeric chain as an alternative to dental floss for splinting implant impression copings for complete-arch implant impressions. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2020**, 123, 188-189	4	2
96	Implants and Implant Restorative Components. **2020**, 21-67		
95	Influence of scan body design and digital implant analogs on implant replica position in additively manufactured casts. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2020**, 124, 202-210	4	14
94	A digital workflow with computer-assisted implant planning for fabricating an impression splinting framework and custom tray for multiple implants. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2020**, 124, 262-269	4	1
93	Letter to Editor: Concerns regarding the published article, "A technique for facilitating open tray implant impressions" by Wolfart et al. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2020**, 124, 820	4	
92	Digital approach to fabricating a retrofitted abutment for reuse of an existing implant-supported fixed partial denture after the failure of an implant. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2020**,	4	

91	Accuracy of different impression techniques for multiunit implant restoration: A qualitative in‐vitro study. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2020**, 124, 729.e1-729.e5	4	0
90	A completely digital approach to replicating functional and esthetic parameters in mandibular implant-supported complete-arch prostheses. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2021**, 126, 622-625	4	1
89	Digitization of One-Piece Oral Implants: A Feasibility Study. *Materials*, **2020**, 13,	3.5	0
88	Comparison of the accuracy of different impression procedures in case of multiple and angulated implants : Accuracy of impressions in multiple and angulated implants. **2020**, 16, 9		3
87	Clinical and laboratory passive fit assessment of implant-supported zirconia restorations fabricated using conventional and digital workflow. **2020**, 22, 237-245		7
86	Advancements in Soft-Tissue Prosthetics Part A: The Art of Imitating Life. **2020**, 8, 121		4
85	Accuracy of the Implant Replica Positions on the Complete Edentulous Additive Manufactured Cast. **2020**, 29, 780-786		1
84	Influence of scan body design on accuracy of the implant position as transferred to a virtual definitive implant cast. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2021**, 125, 918-923	4	5
83	Trueness of Intraoral Scanners Considering Operator Experience and Three Different Implant Scenarios: A Preliminary Report. **2021**, 34, 250-253		6
82	Advances in Dental Implantology using Nanomaterials and Allied Technology Applications. **2021**,		0
81	Three-Dimensional Accuracy of Conventional Versus Digital Complete Arch Implant Impressions. **2021**, 30, 163-170		2
80	Evaluation of implant-supported connecting crowns fabricated by optical and conventional impression methods. **2021**, 65, 461-466		
79	Accuracy of impressions for multiple implants: A comparative study of digital and conventional techniques. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2021**,	4	0
78	Fit of complete-arch implant-supported prostheses produced from an intraoral scan by using an auxiliary device and from an elastomeric impression: A pilot clinical trial. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2021**,	4	0
77	Accuracy of digital complete-arch, multi-implant scans made in the edentulous jaw with gingival movement simulation: An in‐vitro study. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2021**,	4	5
76	Comparative Assessment of Precision of Prepared Single Tooth using Shining 3D Intraoral Scanner and Conventional Impressions Made using Polyvinyl Siloxane and Polyether Impression Material An In vitro Study. **2021**, 12, 243-247		
75	Fabrication of a complete-arch implant-supported fixed interim prosthesis by using a cone beam computed tomography digital scan for a patient with primordial dwarfism: A dental technique. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2021**,	4	
74	Can transfer type and implant angulation affect impression accuracy? A 3D in vitro evaluation. **2021**, 109, 884-894		1

73	Use of aluminum foil to facilitate open-tray implant impressions. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2021**,	4	
72	A fully digital approach for implant fixed complete dentures: A case report. **2021**, 33, 1070-1076		1
71	Making an open-tray implant or abutment-level impression technique easier. **2021**, 48, 592-593		
70	Impression Taking in Implant Dentistry. **2021**, 219-226		
69	DIGITAL METHOD OF COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF THE RIGIDITY BETWEEN THE CUSTOM MADE BY THE AUTHORS IMPLANT IMPRESSION TRAYS AND STOCK IMPLANT IMPRESSION TRAYS WITH REMOVABLE PARTS. **2021**, 17, 163-168		
68	Implant-supported overdentures: part 2. **2021**, 231, 169-175		1
67	The Influence of Laboratory Scanner Versus Intra-Oral Scanner on Determining the Implant Axis by Using Three Different Scan Abutments. **2021**, 11, 8543		0
66	In vitro and in vivo accuracy of full-arch digital implant impressions. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, **2021**, 32, 1444-1454	4.8	2
65	Assessment of impression material accuracy in complete-arch restorations on four implants. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2021**, 126, 763-771	4	1
64	Accuracy of different impression materials in parallel and nonparallel implants. *Dental Research Journal*, **2015**, 12, 315-22	0.8	21
63	Three-dimensional accuracy of different impression techniques for dental implants. *Dental Research Journal*, **2015**, 12, 431-7	0.8	12
62	A comparative analysis of the accuracy of implant master casts fabricated from two different transfer impression techniques. *Journal of International Society of Preventive and Community Dentistry*, **2016**, 6, 142-8	1.1	3
61	Evaluation of the effect of implant angulations and impression techniques on implant cast accuracy - An study. *Journal of Indian Prosthodontic Society, The*, **2019**, 19, 149-158	1.2	4
60	Full-mouth Rehabilitation with Implant-supported Fixed Prosthesis. **2016**, 7, 73-80		2
59	Comparative Study of Dimensional Accuracy in Three Dental Implant Impression Techniques: Open Tray, Closed Tray with Impression Coping, and Snap Cap. *Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice*, **2018**, 19, 974-981	0.7	5
58	Marginal fit of 3-unit CAD-CAM zirconia frameworks fabricated using cone beam computed tomography scans: an experimental study. **2021**, 1		
57	Full Mouth Rehabilitation Implant-Supported Prostheses II. 191-197		
56	Comparison of Accuracy of Direct Implant Impression Technique using Different Splinting Materials. **2014**, 4, 82-89		

55	Implant Supported Overdenture: A Step ahead from Edentulism. **2015**, 6, 76-81		
54	Implants and Implant Restorative Components. 17-56		
53	Photogrammetric Analysis of Multiple Implant Abutment Impressions under Different Conditions. **2017**, 07, 408-418		
52	Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice toward Impression Technique and Materials for Recording Impression in Implant Placement among Dental Practitioners in Patna City, Bihar. *Journal of International Society of Preventive and Community Dentistry*, **2018**, 8, 463-468	1.1	0
51	Evaluation of positional accuracy in multiple implants using four different splinting materials: An study. *Journal of Indian Prosthodontic Society, The*, **2018**, 18, 239-247	1.2	3
50	The Influence of Impression Material on the Accuracy of the Master Cast in Implant Restorations. *Open Dentistry Journal*, **2018**, 12, 1123-1136	0.8	1
49	Comparison of the accuracy of open-tray and snap-on impression techniques of implants with different angulations. *Dental Research Journal*, **2019**, 16, 413	0.8	2
48	Digital Implant Prosthodontics. **2019**, 207-227		
47	A novel method to stabilize transfer copings in open-tray technique. *Indian Journal of Multidisciplinary Dentistry*, **2019**, 9, 126	0	
46	ANALYSIS OF THE FILLING ACCURACY OF THE PERMANENT PROSTHETIC RESTORATIONS BASED ON DENTAL IMPLANTS DEPENDING ON THE METHODS OF OBTAINING THE IMPRESSION. **2019**, 69, 69-73		
45	A Comparative Study of Conventional versus Digital Impression Taking in Implant Dentistry- A Systematic Review. *Journal of Evolution of Medical and Dental Sciences*, **2019**, 8, 3362-3367	0.1	
44	An Investigation of the Effect of Modifying and Reusing Impression Copings on Transfer of Implant Analog Position and Angulation. *Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice*, **2020**, 21, 220-226	0.7	
43	Prosthetic articulator-based implant rehabilitation virtual patient: A technique bridging implant surgery and reconstructive dentistry. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2021**,	4	0
42	Full Mouth Rehabilitation by Implant Supported Fixed Prosthesis. *Contemporary Clinical Dentistry*, **2020**, 11, 199-202	0.6	1
41	Fabrication of additively manufactured custom impression copings based on stock abutments. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2020**,	4	
40	Concept and application of implant connection systems: Part II. Placement and restoration of external connection implant and tissue level implant. *Journal of Dental Rehabilitation and Applied Science*, **2020**, 36, 222-231	0.2	
39	Effect of implant angulation and depth on the accuracy of casts using the open tray splinted impression technique. *Journal of Oral Implantology*, **2020**,	1.2	
38	Definitive Restorations in Partial Extraction Therapy. **2020**, 209-245		

37	Effect of modified tray design on accuracy of different impression techniques for parallel and divergent implants. *Journal of Oral Science*, **2020**, 62, 439-443	1.5	
36	Comparison of the accuracy of implant digital impression coping. *Journal of Dental Rehabilitation and Applied Science*, **2020**, 36, 29-40	0.2	
35	Evaluation of rotational resistance, and rotational and vertical discrepancy of three different elastomeric impression materials with open tray implant level impressions on a special model. *Daehan Chigwa Iŭig*, **2021**, 40, 66-75	0	
34	Modelling and Impressions in Implants.		
33	Full-arch maxillary rehabilitation fixed on 6 implants. *ORAL and Implantology*, **2013**, 6, 1-4		11
32	Effect of different impression materials and techniques on the dimensional accuracy of implant definitive casts. *Dental Research Journal*, **2015**, 12, 136-43	0.8	6
31	Accuracy of Implant Position Transfer and Surface Detail Reproduction with Different Impression Materials and Techniques. *Journal of Dentistry of Tehran University of Medical Sciences*, **2015**, 12, 774-83		1
30	Effect of technique and impression material on the vertical misfit of a screw-retained, three-unit implant bridge: An study. *Journal of Indian Prosthodontic Society, The*, **2017**, 17, 41-47	1.2	3
29	Effect of Polyvinyl Siloxane Viscosity on Accuracy of Dental Implant Impressions. *Journal of Dentistry of Tehran University of Medical Sciences*, **2017**, 14, 40-47		1
28	The Accuracy of Four Impression-making Techniques in Angulated Implants Based on Vertical Gap. *Journal of Dentistry*, **2017**, 18, 289-297	0.5	0
27	A Comparison of implant impression precision: Different materials and techniques. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Dentistry*, **2018**, 10, e151-e157	1.4	6
26	Comparison of the accuracy of open-tray and snap-on impression techniques of implants with different angulations. *Dental Research Journal*, **2019**, 16, 413-420	0.8	
25	Implant Impression Making: Take-Off Guide for Beginners. *Dental Journal of Advance Studies*,	0.3	
24	A technique for splinting implant impression posts by using nylon sutures. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2021**,	4	
23	Effect of technique and impression material on the vertical misfit of a screw-retained, three-unit implant bridge: An in vitro study. **2017**, 17, 41		2
22	The Accuracy of Open-Tray vs. Snap on Impression Techniques in A 6-Implant Model: An In Vitro 3D Study.. *Materials*, **2022**, 15,	3.5	
21	Use of a healing abutment-type scan body and closed-mouth impression technique for mandibular implant-supported fixed complete denture: a pilot case report. *Oral Biology Research*, **2021**, 45, 248-254	0	
20	Investigation of the Accuracy of Four Intraoral Scanners in Mandibular Full-Arch Digital Implant Impression: A Comparative In Vitro Study.. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, **2022**, 19,	4.6	2

19	İmplant Üstü Protezlerde Konvansiyonel ve Dijital İTeknikleri. *Selcuk Dental Journal*,		
18	Accuracy of digital implant impressions in clinical studies: A systematic review.. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, **2022**,	4.8	3
17	A randomized clinical trial comparing the clinical fit and chairside adjustment time for implant-supported crowns fabricated by fully digital and partially digital techniques.. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2022**,	4	1
16	Alginate Substitute as a Promising Impression Material for Dental Implant Restorations: A Comparative In-vitro Study. 1-11		
15	Effect of implant scan body geometric modifications on the trueness and scanning time of complete arch intraoral implant digital scans: An in‐vitro study. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, **2022**,	4	
14	The effect of different implant impression splinting techniques and time on the dimensional accuracy: An in vitro study. **2022**, 104267		
13	Splinting Open Tray Impression Copings Using Long Shank Carbide Burs during Definitive Impression: A Dental Technique. **2022**, 23, 566-568		1
12	Comparison of different artificial landmarks and scanning patterns on the complete-arch implant intraoral digital scans. **2022**, 125, 104266		0
11	Comparison of the accuracy between conventional and various digital implant impressions for an implant-supported mandibular complete arch-fixed prosthesis: An in vitro study.		0
10	Accuracy of the Different Materials Used to Fabricate a Verification Jig of Implant-Supported Fixed Complete Dental Prostheses: An In Vitro Study. **2022**,		0
9	The Effect of Various Splinting Materials on the Accuracy of Implant Impressions: An In Vitro Study. **2022**, 12, 16-24		0
8	Comparison of the accuracy of impressions made of 2 implants with interfering axial convergence with CAD-CAM impression copings and the altered cast technique: An in‐vitro study. **2022**, 128, 745.e1-745.e7		0
7	Clinical performance of lithium disilicate and zirconia CAD/CAM crowns using digital impressions: A systematic review. **2022**, 11, 71-76		1
6	Evaluation of accuracy of different elastomeric materials in open tray implant level technique for single tooth impressions. **2022**, 41, 91-101		0
5	Evaluation of the accuracy of dental casts manufactured with 3D printing technique in the All-on-4 treatment concept. **2022**, 14, 379		0
4	Comparison of Milled Full-Arch Implant-Supported Frameworks Realised with a Full Digital Workflow or from Conventional Impression: A Clinical Study. **2023**, 16, 833		0
3	Full mouth implant-supported fixed prosthesis restoration of an edentulous maxillary patient using computer-guided implant surgery. **2023**, 61, 63		0
2	Implant Verification Cast ‐ A Predictable Restorative System in Implant Prosthodontics. **2010**, 38, 571-581		0

1	Comparative accuracy of implant impression techniques with different splinting materials. **2023**, 39, 9-20	0