

Are there better indices for evaluation purposes than the  
comparison of nine different variants of the ***h***  
biomedicine

Journal of the Association for Information Science and Techno  
59, 830-837

DOI: 10.1002/asi.20806

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	The power law model and total career h-index sequences. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2008, 2, 288-297.	1.4	31
2	An empirical investigation of the <i>g</i> -index for 26 physicists in comparison with the <i>h</i> -index, the <i>A</i> -index, and the <i>R</i> -index. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2008, 59, 1513-1522.	2.6	80
3	Citation counting, citation ranking, and <i>h</i> -index of human-computer interaction researchers: A comparison of Scopus and Web of Science. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2008, 59, 1711-1726.	2.6	261
4	The age-dependent $AR^2$ -index: Basic properties and a case study. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2008, 59, 2305-2311.	2.6	13
5	The Effectiveness of the Peer Review Process: Inter-Referee Agreement and Predictive Validity of Manuscript Refereeing at <i>Angewandte Chemie</i> . <i>Angewandte Chemie - International Edition</i> , 2008, 47, 7173-7178.	7.2	53
7	Generalizing the <i>h</i> - and <i>g</i> -indices. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2008, 2, 263-271.	1.4	122
8	The pros and cons of computing the <i>h</i> -index using Web of Science. <i>Online Information Review</i> , 2008, 32, 673-688.	2.2	52
9	Reflections on recent developments of the <i>h</i> -index and <i>h</i> -type indices. <i>Collnet Journal of Scientometrics and Information Management</i> , 2008, 2, 1-8.	0.4	59
10	Emerging alternatives to the impact factor. <i>OCLC Systems &amp; Services</i> , 2008, 24, 167-173.	0.4	19
11	The <i>e</i> -Index, Complementing the <i>h</i> -Index for Excess Citations. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2009, 4, e5429.	1.1	306
12	The index is the best measure of a scientist's research productivity. <i>Medical Physics</i> , 2009, 36, 1043-1045.	1.6	58
13	The $\bar{h}$ -index: a new indicator for assessing scientific impact. <i>Journal of Information Science</i> , 2009, 35, 602-612.	2.0	72
14	Hirsch-Type Index Values for Organic Chemistry Journals: A Comparison of New Metrics with the Journal Impact Factor. <i>European Journal of Organic Chemistry</i> , 2009, 2009, 1471-1476.	1.2	30
15	A Google Scholar <i>h</i> -index for journals: An alternative metric to measure journal impact in economics and business. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2009, 60, 41-46.	2.6	216
16	Do we need the <i>h</i> index and its variants in addition to standard bibliometric measures?. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2009, 60, 1286-1289.	2.6	29
17	A case study of the modified Hirsch index $h_m$ accounting for multiple coauthors. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2009, 60, 1274-1282.	2.6	54
18	A cluster analysis of scholar and journal bibliometric indicators. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2009, 60, 1950-1964.	2.6	49
19	Hirsch index rankings require scaling and higher moment. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2009, 60, 2577-2586.	2.6	10

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
20	An index to measure a scientist's specific impact. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2010, 61, 319-328.	2.6	4
21	Relationship of the h-index, g-index, and e-index. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2010, 61, 625-628.	2.6	9
22	The w-index: A measure to assess scientific impact by focusing on widely cited papers. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2010, 61, 609-614.	2.6	30
23	h-Index: A review focused in its variants, computation and standardization for different scientific fields. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2009, 3, 273-289.	1.4	625
24	Assessing scientific research performance and impact with single indices. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2009, 81, 635-670.	1.6	95
25	The state of h/i index research. <i>EMBO Reports</i> , 2009, 10, 2-6.	2.0	310
26	The Hirsch-index: a simple, new tool for the assessment of scientific output of individual scientists. <i>Netherlands Heart Journal</i> , 2009, 17, 145-154.	0.3	41
28	The influence of self-citation corrections and the fractionalised counting of multi-authored manuscripts on the Hirsch index. <i>Annalen Der Physik</i> , 2009, 18, NA-NA.	0.9	12
29	New Indices in Scholarship Assessment. <i>American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education</i> , 2009, 73, 111.	0.7	67
30	Errors of omission and their implications for computing scientometric measures in evaluating the publishing productivity and impact of countries. <i>Online Information Review</i> , 2009, 33, 376-385.	2.2	37
31	How to modify the g-index for multi-authored manuscripts. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2010, 4, 42-54.	1.4	18
32	Public sharing of research datasets: A pilot study of associations. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2010, 4, 148-156.	1.4	108
33	The citation triad: An overview of a scientist's publication output based on Ferrers diagrams. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2010, 4, 503-511.	1.4	16
34	hg-index: a new index to characterize the scientific output of researchers based on the h- and g-indices. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2010, 82, 391-400.	1.6	167
35	Journal Impact Factors for evaluating scientific performance: use of h-like indicators. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2010, 82, 613-626.	1.6	10
36	An index to quantify an individual's scientific research output that takes into account the effect of multiple coauthorship. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2010, 85, 741-754.	1.6	301
37	The impact factor and other performance measures – much used with little knowledge about. <i>International Journal of Refractory Metals and Hard Materials</i> , 2010, 28, 559-566.	1.7	16
38	Twenty Hirsch index variants and other indicators giving more or less preference to highly cited papers. <i>Annalen Der Physik</i> , 2010, 522, 536-554.	0.9	53

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
39	The Hirsch index and related impact measures. <i>Annual Review of Information Science &amp; Technology</i> , 2010, 44, 65-114.	2.6	188
40	Revisiting the g-index: The average number of citations in the g-core. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2010, 61, 169-174.	2.6	30
41	A robust benchmark for the h- and g-indexes. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2010, 61, 1275-1280.	2.6	4
42	Accuracy and completeness of publication and citation records in the Web of Science, PsycINFO, and Google Scholar: A case study for the computation of $\langle i \rangle h \langle i \rangle$ indices in Psychology. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2010, 61, 2070-2085.	2.6	80
43	Can we do better than existing author citation metrics?. <i>BioEssays</i> , 2010, 32, 744-747.	1.2	8
44	q2-Index: Quantitative and qualitative evaluation based on the number and impact of papers in the Hirsch core. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2010, 4, 23-28.	1.4	85
45	A meta-evaluation of scientific research proposals: Different ways of comparing rejected to awarded applications. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2010, 4, 211-220.	1.4	59
46	Peer review and the h-index: Two studies. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2010, 4, 221-232.	1.4	33
47	A discussion of Prathap's h2-index for institutional evaluation with an application in the field of HIV infection and therapy. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2010, 4, 175-184.	1.4	10
48	Zipf's law and log-normal distributions in measures of scientific output across fields and institutions: 40 years of Slovenia's research as an example. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2010, 4, 358-364.	1.4	29
49	The h index research output measurement: Two approaches to enhance its accuracy. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2010, 4, 407-414.	1.4	55
50	In those fields where multiple authorship is the rule, the h-index should be supplemented by role-based h-indices. <i>Journal of Information Science</i> , 2010, 36, 73-85.	2.0	52
51	Pragmatic issues in calculating and comparing the quantity and quality of research through rating and ranking of researchers based on peer reviews and bibliometric indicators from Web of Science, Scopus and Google Scholar. <i>Online Information Review</i> , 2010, 34, 972-982.	2.2	26
52	Cumulative and Career-Stage Citation Impact of Social-Personality Psychology Programs and Their Members. <i>Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin</i> , 2010, 36, 1283-1300.	1.9	73
53	Psychiatry and the Hirsch h-index: The Relationship Between Journal Impact Factors and Accrued Citations. <i>Harvard Review of Psychiatry</i> , 2010, 18, 207-219.	0.9	69
54	The $\langle i \rangle h \langle i \rangle$ -index: a broad review of a new bibliometric indicator. <i>Journal of Documentation</i> , 2010, 66, 681-705.	0.9	79
55	Predicting the h-index with cost-sensitive naive Bayes. , 2011, , .		5
56	Positioning research and innovation performance using shape centroids of h-core and h-tail. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2011, 5, 515-528.	1.4	22

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
57	The diffusion of H-related literature. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2011, 5, 583-593.	1.4	31
58	The calculation of the single publication <i>h</i> index and related performance measures. <i>Online Information Review</i> , 2011, 35, 291-300.	2.2	20
59	Democratising assessment of researchers' track records: a simple proposal. <i>Medical Journal of Australia</i> , 2011, 195, 147-148.	0.8	1
60	Designing Next-Generation Platforms for Evaluating Scientific Output: What Scientists Can Learn from the Social Web. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 2011, , .	0.4	3
61	The <i>h</i> -index in Australian Astronomy. <i>Publications of the Astronomical Society of Australia</i> , 2011, 28, 140-143.	1.3	3
62	An approach to identify influential building blocks and linkages in an information resource network. <i>Decision Support Systems</i> , 2011, 52, 217-231.	3.5	3
63	Bibliometric data in clinical cardiology revisited. The case of 37 Dutch professors. <i>Netherlands Heart Journal</i> , 2011, 19, 246-255.	0.3	15
64	What makes a great journal great in the sciences? Which came first, the chicken or the egg?. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2011, 87, 17-40.	1.6	30
65	Are the <i>h</i> -index and some of its alternatives discriminatory of epistemological beliefs and methodological preferences of faculty members? The case of social scientists in Quebec. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2011, 88, 91-106.	1.6	18
66	Proposals for evaluating the regularity of a scientist's research output. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2011, 88, 279-295.	1.6	9
67	Price revisited: on the growth of dissertations in eight research fields. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2011, 88, 371-383.	1.6	34
68	On the analogy between the evolution of thermodynamic and bibliometric systems: a breakthrough or just a bubble?. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2011, 89, 315-327.	1.6	4
69	Tailor based allocations for multiple authorship: a fractional <i>gh</i> -index. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2011, 89, 365-379.	1.6	46
70	Using Bayesian networks to discover relationships between bibliometric indices. A case study of computer science and artificial intelligence journals. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2011, 89, 523-551.	1.6	11
71	Structured evaluation of the scientific output of academic research groups by recent <i>h</i> -based indicators. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2011, 5, 64-74.	1.4	22
72	Differences in citation frequency of clinical and basic science papers in cardiovascular research. <i>Medical and Biological Engineering and Computing</i> , 2011, 49, 613-21.	1.6	30
73	The scientific road of Manuel Cardona: a bibliometric analysis. <i>Annalen Der Physik</i> , 2011, 523, 179-190.	0.9	1
74	Do we need the E-index in addition to the <i>h</i> -index and its variants?. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2011, 62, 1433-1434.	2.6	7

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
75	Application of the distribution of citations among publications in scientometric evaluations. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2011, 62, 1963-1978.	2.6	27
76	What does the g-index really measure?. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2011, 62, 2290-2293.	2.6	23
77	Who is going to win the next Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence Fellowship Award? Evaluating researchers by mining bibliographic data. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2011, 62, 2456-2470.	2.6	18
78	Ranking patent assignee performance by h-index and shape descriptors. Journal of Informetrics, 2011, 5, 303-312.	1.4	29
79	A multilevel meta-analysis of studies reporting correlations between the h index and 37 different h index variants. Journal of Informetrics, 2011, 5, 346-359.	1.4	227
80	Defining Success for Translational Research Organizations. Science Translational Medicine, 2011, 3, 94cm20.	5.8	27
82	The h <sub>b</sub> -index, a modified h-index designed to more fairly assess author achievement. Redox Report, 2012, 17, 176-178.	1.4	5
83	Citation, bibliometrics and quality: assessing impact and usage. , 2012, , 243-267.		8
84	Criminology and Criminal Justice Hit Parade: Measuring Academic Productivity in the Discipline. Journal of Criminal Justice Education, 2012, 23, 423-440.	0.6	33
85	Measuring Scholarly Impact Using Modern Citation-Based Indices. Measurement, 2012, 10, 123-146.	0.1	25
86	Redundancies in H-Index Variants and the Proposal of the Number of Top-Cited Papers as an Attractive Indicator. Measurement, 2012, 10, 149-153.	0.1	4
87	Conceptual challenges in developing Azerbaijan citation index. , 2012, , .		0
88	h-Index and m-Quotient Benchmarks of Scholarly Impact in Criminology and Criminal Justice: A Preliminary Note. Journal of Criminal Justice Education, 2012, 23, 441-461.	0.6	8
89	Exploratory factor analysis for the Hirsch index, 17 h-type variants, and some traditional bibliometric indicators. Journal of Informetrics, 2012, 6, 347-358.	1.4	63
90	Google Scholar Author Citation Tracker: is it too little, too late?. Online Information Review, 2012, 36, 126-141.	2.2	31
91	A two-dimensional approach to performance evaluation for a large number of research institutions. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2012, 63, 817-828.	2.6	3
92	A critical assessment of the h-index. BioEssays, 2012, 34, 830-832.	1.2	49
93	Metrics to evaluate research performance in academic institutions: a critique of ERA 2010 as applied in forestry and the indirect H2 index as a possible alternative. Scientometrics, 2012, 91, 751-771.	1.6	12

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
94	INDICATORS FOR RESEARCH PERFORMANCE EVALUATION: AN OVERVIEW. <i>BJU International</i> , 2012, 109, 321-324.	1.3	22
95	An Internet measure of the value of citations. <i>Information Sciences</i> , 2012, 185, 18-31.	4.0	8
96	Using the h-index to measure the quality of journals in the field of business and management. <i>Information Processing and Management</i> , 2012, 48, 234-241.	5.4	81
97	An integrated approach for main path analysis: Development of the Hirsch index as an example. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2012, 63, 528-542.	2.6	233
98	Comparison of Brazilian researchers in clinical medicine: are criteria for ranking well-adjusted?. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2012, 90, 429-443.	1.6	23
99	Future publication success in science is better predicted by traditional measures than by the h index. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2012, 90, 843-853.	1.6	21
100	The impact factor. <i>Neuroradiology</i> , 2013, 55, 803-806.	1.1	3
101	Comprehensive geometrical interpretation of h-type indices. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2013, 96, 605-615.	1.6	8
102	Correlations between bibliometrics and peer evaluation for all disciplines: the evaluation of Brazilian scientists. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2013, 96, 395-410.	1.6	24
103	Two simple new bibliometric indexes to better evaluate research in disciplines where publications typically receive less citations. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2013, 96, 617-631.	1.6	9
104	The objectivity of national research foundation peer review in South Africa assessed against bibliometric indexes. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2013, 97, 177-206.	1.6	15
105	Predicting author h-index using characteristics of the co-author network. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2013, 96, 467-483.	1.6	49
106	Making sense of entrepreneurship journals. <i>International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour and Research</i> , 2013, 19, 303-323.	2.3	28
107	Effects of publications in proceedings on the measure of the core size of coauthors. <i>Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications</i> , 2013, 392, 5119-5131.	1.2	14
108	C-index: A weighted network node centrality measure for collaboration competence. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2013, 7, 223-239.	1.4	46
109	Geographical knowledge diffusion and spatial diversity citation rank. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2013, 94, 181-201.	1.6	15
110	Part II: Should the h-Index Be Modified? An Analysis of the m-Quotient, Contemporary h-Index, Authorship Value, and Impact Factor. <i>World Neurosurgery</i> , 2013, 80, 766-774.	0.7	56
111	Give me a hi-5! An additional version of the h-index. <i>Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry</i> , 2013, 47, 1119-1123.	1.3	3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
112	Standardizing the Evaluation of Scientific and Academic Performance in Neurosurgeryâ€”Critical Review of the â€œhâ€•Index and its Variants. <i>World Neurosurgery</i> , 2013, 80, e85-e90.	0.7	72
113	The validation of (advanced) bibliometric indicators through peer assessments: A comparative study using data from InCites and F1000. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2013, 7, 286-291.	1.4	87
114	The Z-index: A geometric representation of productivity and impact which accounts for information in the entire rank-citation profile. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2013, 7, 823-832.	1.4	18
115	Multi-attribute comprehensive evaluation of individual research output based on published research papers. <i>Knowledge-Based Systems</i> , 2013, 43, 135-142.	4.0	14
116	Assessing the accuracy of the <sc>h</sc>â€•and <sc>g</sc>â€•indexes for measuring researchers' productivity. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2013, 64, 1224-1234.	2.6	14
117	Is there a correlation between journal impact factor and researchersâ€™ performance? A study comprising the fields of clinical nephrology and neurosciences. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2013, 97, 149-160.	1.6	10
118	Perceptions of author order versus contribution among researchers with different professional ranks and the potential of harmonic counts for encouraging ethical co-authorship practices. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2013, 96, 277-295.	1.6	44
119	A scientometrics law about co-authors and their ranking: the co-author core. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2013, 95, 895-909.	1.6	53
120	Crystallization reports are the backbone of<i>Acta Cryst. F</i>, but do they have any spine?. <i>Acta Crystallographica Section F: Structural Biology Communications</i> , 2013, 69, 712-718.	0.7	11
121	Profile and scientific production of Brazilian National Council of Technological and Scientific Development researchers in Pediatrics. <i>Revista Paulista De Pediatria</i> , 2013, 31, 278-284.	0.4	10
122	Profit (p)-Index: The Degree to Which Authors Profit from Co-Authors. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2013, 8, e59814.	1.1	36
123	Gender-Heterogeneous Working Groups Produce Higher Quality Science. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2013, 8, e79147.	1.1	268
124	Profile and scientific production of the Brazilian Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq) researchers in the field of Hematology/Oncology. <i>Revista Da AssociaÃ§Ã£o MÃ©dica Brasileira</i> , 2014, 60, 542-547.	0.3	7
125	Moneyball for Academics: Network Analysis for Predicting Research Impact. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 2014, , .	0.4	7
126	Weighted Consensus Index for Assessment of The Scientific Performance of Researchers. <i>Collnet Journal of Scientometrics and Information Management</i> , 2014, 8, 371-400.	0.4	5
127	Ranking scientists from the field of quantum game theory using p-index. , 2014, , .		1
128	<sc>WL</sc>â€•index: Leveraging citation mention number to quantify an individual's scientific impact. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2014, 65, 2509-2517.	1.5	24
129	Measuring direct and indirect authorial influence in historical corpora. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2014, 65, 2138-2144.	1.5	1



#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
130	Jaguar <i>Panthera onca</i> Habitat Modeling in Landscapes Facing High Land Use Transformation Pressure—Findings from Mato Grosso, Brazil. <i>Biotropica</i> , 2014, 46, 98-105.	0.8	15
131	Cost-sensitive selective naive Bayes classifiers for predicting the increase of the h-index for scientific journals. <i>Neurocomputing</i> , 2014, 135, 42-52.	3.5	20
132	How to evaluate individual researchers working in the natural and life sciences meaningfully? A proposal of methods based on percentiles of citations. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2014, 98, 487-509.	1.6	99
133	Binary scientific star coauthors core size. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2014, 99, 331-351.	1.6	3
134	Journals ranking and impact factors: how the performance of journals is measured. , 2014, , 259-298.		4
135	A review of the characteristics of 108 author-level bibliometric indicators. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2014, 101, 125-158.	1.6	179
136	A regression analysis of researchers'™ social network metrics on their citation performance in a college of engineering. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2014, 8, 667-682.	1.4	41
137	Comparing scientific performance among equals. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2014, 101, 1731-1745.	1.6	12
138	Applications and researches of geographic information system technologies in bibliometrics. <i>Earth Science Informatics</i> , 2014, 7, 147-152.	1.6	10
139	An axiomatic approach to bibliometric rankings and indices. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2014, 8, 449-477.	1.4	29
140	A comparative study of cross-domain research output and citations: Research impact cubes and binary citation frequencies. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2014, 8, 147-161.	1.4	8
141	On the meaningful and non-meaningful use of reference sets in bibliometrics. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2014, 8, 273-275.	1.4	4
142	Black-Scholes-Schrödinger-Zipf-Mandelbrot model framework for improving a study of the coauthor core score. <i>Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications</i> , 2014, 404, 296-301.	1.2	10
143	The p-index: Ranking Scientists Using Network Dynamics. <i>Procedia Computer Science</i> , 2014, 29, 465-477.	1.2	10
144	The H I -index: improvement of H-index based on quality of citing papers. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2014, 98, 1021-1031.	1.6	9
145	Evaluating popularity data for relevance ranking in library information systems. <i>Proceedings of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2015, 52, 1-4.	0.3	0
146	Multiple h-index: a new scientometric indicator. <i>Electronic Library</i> , 2015, 33, 547-556.	0.8	12
147	Metrics for an increasingly complicated information ecosystem. <i>Online Information Review</i> , 2015, 39, 848-854.	2.2	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
148	A new index to use in conjunction with the h <sub>i</sub> index to account for an author's relative contribution to publications with high impact. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2015, 66, 2381-2383.	1.5	8
149	Citation Differences between ABC Journals and Related, but, Unaffiliated Quality Journals. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 2015, , .	0.4	0
150	Peer-Selected "Best Papers" Are They Really That Good?. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2015, 10, e0118446.	1.1	10
151	Comparison of bibliometric measures for assessing relative importance of researchers. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2015, 105, 1743-1762.	1.6	15
152	OR Forum "Tenure Analytics: Models for Predicting Research Impact. <i>Operations Research</i> , 2015, 63, 1246-1261.	1.2	20
153	How to conduct a multi-item research assessment in bibliometric studies? Theoretical support and empirical evidence. <i>Online Information Review</i> , 2015, 39, 574-587.	1.2	16
154	Measuring academic influence: Not all citations are equal. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2015, 66, 408-427.	2.2	5
155	A review of theory and practice in scientometrics. <i>European Journal of Operational Research</i> , 2015, 246, 1-19.	1.5	159
156	Two geographic information system-linked bibliometric indices to quantify the knowledge flow: A case of Qinghai-Tibet plateau research. <i>Library and Information Science Research</i> , 2015, 37, 228-235.	3.5	545
157	Supporting Scholars: An Analysis of Academic Library Websites' Documentation on Metrics and Impact. <i>Journal of Academic Librarianship</i> , 2015, 41, 814-820.	1.2	2
158	The Altmetric Score: A New Measure for Article-Level Dissemination and Impact. <i>Annals of Emergency Medicine</i> , 2015, 66, 549-553.	1.3	17
159	Comparative analysis of some individual bibliometric indices when applied to groups of researchers. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2015, 102, 1019-1035.	0.3	185
160	Two-dimensional mapping of scientific production of nations in the fields of physics and astronomy. <i>South African Journal of Science</i> , 2016, 112, 8.	1.6	16
161	New Nonlinear Metrics Model for Information of Individual Research Output and Its Applications. <i>Mathematical and Computational Applications</i> , 2016, 21, 26.	0.3	2
162	Efficiency of research performance and the glass researcher. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2016, 10, 652-654.	0.7	1
164	A theoretical evaluation of Hirsch-type bibliometric indicators confronted with extreme self-citation. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2016, 10, 552-566.	1.4	8
165	Do Hirsch-type indices behave the same in assessing single publications? An empirical study of 29 bibliometric indicators. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2016, 109, 1815-1833.	1.4	13
166		1.6	12

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
167	Commonly Used Indexes for Assessment of Research Production. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Scientific and Scholarly Communication, 2016, , 55-99.	0.7	0
168	A critical cluster analysis of 44 indicators of author-level performance. Journal of Informetrics, 2016, 10, 1055-1078.	1.4	16
169	Editorial Comment. Urology, 2016, 98, 30-31.	0.5	0
170	Identification of conversion factor for completing-h index for the field of mathematics. Scientometrics, 2016, 109, 1511-1524.	1.6	22
171	Gazing at the skyline for star scientists. Journal of Informetrics, 2016, 10, 789-813.	1.4	20
172	Genetic algorithms and Gaussian Bayesian networks to uncover the predictive core set of bibliometric indices. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2016, 67, 1703-1721.	1.5	8
173	Scientific research measures. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2016, 67, 3051-3063.	1.5	1
174	Inter-rater reliability of h-index scores calculated by Web of Science and Scopus for clinical epidemiology scientists. Health Information and Libraries Journal, 2016, 33, 140-149.	1.3	23
175	An index for SSRN downloads. Journal of Informetrics, 2016, 10, 9-28.	1.4	1
176	Meso-level institutional and journal related indices for Malaysian engineering research. Scientometrics, 2016, 107, 521-535.	1.6	2
177	Evaluating the patenting activities of pharmaceutical research organizations based on new technology indices. Journal of Informetrics, 2016, 10, 74-81.	1.4	7
178	Can we predict citation counts of environmental modelling papers? Fourteen bibliographic and categorical variables predict less than 30% of the variability in citation counts. Environmental Modelling and Software, 2016, 75, 94-104.	1.9	23
179	Evaluation of the quality of scientific performance of the selected countries of Southeast Europe. Scientometrics, 2016, 106, 405-434.	1.6	8
180	Spinal cord injury: a review of the most-cited publications. European Spine Journal, 2017, 26, 28-39.	1.0	18
181	Bibliometric author evaluation through linear regression on the coauthor network. Journal of Informetrics, 2017, 11, 299-306.	1.4	6
182	An empirical and theoretical critique of the Euclidean index. Journal of Informetrics, 2017, 11, 455-465.	1.4	4
183	The most influential researchers in information behaviour. Aslib Journal of Information Management, 2017, 69, 215-229.	1.3	6
184	Patterns of authors contribution in scientific manuscripts. Journal of Informetrics, 2017, 11, 498-510.	1.4	53

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
185	Bibliometrics and altmetrics literature review. <i>Performance Measurement and Metrics</i> , 2017, 18, 16-27.	0.3	28
186	A multivariate model for evaluating emergency medicine journals. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2017, 110, 991-1003.	1.6	3
187	A review of eminence of scientometric indicators in scientific research productivity. <i>Collnet Journal of Scientometrics and Information Management</i> , 2017, 11, 273-285.	0.4	3
188	Using Google Scholar institutional level data to evaluate the quality of university research. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2017, 113, 1627-1643.	1.6	24
189	Author Impact Metrics in Communication Sciences and Disorder Research. <i>Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research</i> , 2017, 60, 2704-2724.	0.7	9
190	Some indices violating the basic domination relation. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2017, 113, 495-500.	1.6	3
191	Some bibliometric procedures for analyzing and evaluating research fields. <i>Applied Intelligence</i> , 2018, 48, 1275.	3.3	124
192	A Security Perspective on Publication Metrics. <i>Lecture Notes in Computer Science</i> , 2017, , 186-200.	1.0	0
193	Disaggregated research evaluation through median-based characteristic scores and scales: a comparison with the mean-based approach. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2017, 11, 748-765.	1.4	4
194	Evaluating journal quality: A review of journal citation indicators and ranking in business and management. <i>European Journal of Operational Research</i> , 2017, 257, 323-337.	3.5	108
195	Reverberation index: a novel metric by which to quantify the impact of a scientific entity on a given field. <i>Journal of Neurosurgery</i> , 2017, 127, 694-698.	0.9	0
196	Evaluating the impact of interdisciplinary research: A multilayer network approach. <i>Network Science</i> , 2017, 5, 235-246.	0.8	21
197	Rainbow ranking: an adaptable, multidimensional ranking method for publication sets. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2018, 116, 147-160.	1.6	9
198	Are good researchers also good teachers? The relationship between research quality and teaching quality. <i>Economics of Education Review</i> , 2018, 64, 40-49.	0.7	19
199	Bibliometric analysis of military trauma publications: 2000â€“2016. <i>Journal of the Royal Army Medical Corps</i> , 2018, 164, 142-149.	0.8	9
200	Bibliometric analysis of production planning and control (1990â€“2016). <i>Production Planning and Control</i> , 2018, 29, 333-351.	5.8	25
201	Golden-ratio as a substitute to geometric and harmonic counting to determine multi-author publication credit. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2018, 114, 839-857.	1.6	8
202	Ranking themes on co-word networks: Exploring the relationships among different metrics. <i>Information Processing and Management</i> , 2018, 54, 203-218.	5.4	40

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
203	Predicting scientific impact based on h-index. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2018, 114, 993-1010.	1.6	31
204	Year based EM-index: a new approach to evaluate the scientific impact of scholars. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2018, 114, 1175-1205.	1.6	6
205	Evaluation of h and h-type Indices for Research Performance at Researcher's Level. <i>Information Development</i> , 2018, 34, 64-76.	1.4	4
206	A fast method for identifying worldwide scientific collaborations using the Scopus database. <i>Telematics and Informatics</i> , 2018, 35, 168-185.	3.5	98
207	An evaluation of information behaviour studies through the Scholarly Capital Model. <i>Learned Publishing</i> , 2018, 31, 121-129.	0.8	1
208	A simple centrality index for scientific social recognition. <i>Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications</i> , 2018, 491, 632-640.	1.2	5
209	External Tests of Peer Review Validity Via Impact Measures. <i>Frontiers in Research Metrics and Analytics</i> , 2018, 3, .	0.9	2
210	Your comments matter: incorporating viewers's comments for ranking online video content using bibliometrics. <i>New Review of Hypermedia and Multimedia</i> , 2018, 24, 335-345.	0.9	0
211	The UK Research Excellence Framework and the Matthew effect: Insights from machine learning. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2018, 13, e0207919.	1.1	3
212	The bibliometric quotient (BQ), or how to measure a researcher's performance capacity: A Bayesian Poisson Rasch model. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2018, 12, 1282-1295.	1.4	5
213	Immigration: analysis, trends and outlook on the global research activity. <i>Journal of Global Health</i> , 2018, 8, 010414.	1.2	15
214	How academic librarians involve and contribute in research activities of universities? A systematic demonstration in practice through comparative studies of research productivities and research impacts. <i>Journal of Academic Librarianship</i> , 2018, 44, 805-815.	1.3	2
216	Predicting research excellence at the individual level: The importance of publication rate, top journal publications, and top 10% publications in the case of early career mathematicians. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2018, 12, 518-533.	1.4	26
217	The application of bibliometric analysis: disciplinary and user aspects. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2018, 116, 181-202.	1.6	81
218	Analytic Overview of Citation Metrics in the Civil Engineering Domain with Focus on Construction Engineering and Management Specialty Area and Its Subdisciplines. <i>Journal of Construction Engineering and Management - ASCE</i> , 2019, 145, .	2.0	30
219	A Survey of Informetric Methods and Technologies. <i>Cybernetics and Systems Analysis</i> , 2019, 55, 503-513.	0.4	5
220	Mapping the literature on credit unions: a bibliometric investigation grounded in Scopus and Web of Science. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2019, 120, 929-960.	1.6	39
221	To Quantify the Inter-field Citation Impact on Author Indexing. <i>Data-Enabled Discovery and Applications</i> , 2019, 3, 1.	1.2	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
223	Predicting the research performance of early career scientists. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2019, 121, 1481-1504.	1.6	28
224	Data analytics and research evaluation. <i>Library Hi Tech News</i> , 2019, 36, 1-6.	0.5	1
225	Author Impact: Evaluations, Predictions, and Challenges. <i>IEEE Access</i> , 2019, 7, 38657-38669.	2.6	15
226	The integrated impact indicator revisited (I3*): a non-parametric alternative to the journal impact factor. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2019, 119, 1669-1694.	1.6	12
227	Comparison of several author indices for gauging academic productivity. <i>Informatics in Medicine Unlocked</i> , 2019, 15, 100166.	1.9	8
228	Scholarly Productivity and Impact: Developing a Quantifiable, Norm-based Benchmarking Methodology for Academic Emergency Medicine. <i>Academic Emergency Medicine</i> , 2019, 26, 594-604.	0.8	13
229	Assessment of research impact through citation analysis: a new approach. <i>Journal of Advances in Management Research</i> , 2019, 17, 160-172.	1.6	1
230	$h_{t}$ -index and $A_{t}$ -index for Evaluating Scientific Performance of Researchers. , 2019, , .		0
231	Games academics play and their consequences: how authorship, <i>h</i> -index and journal impact factors are shaping the future of academia. <i>Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences</i> , 2019, 286, 20192047.	1.2	75
232	Industry Funding Is Correlated With Publication Productivity of US Academic Radiation Oncologists. <i>Journal of the American College of Radiology</i> , 2019, 16, 244-251.	0.9	11
233	A multi-criteria approach to the h-index. <i>European Journal of Operational Research</i> , 2019, 276, 357-363.	3.5	13
234	Research on Derek John de Solla Price Medal Prediction Based on Academic Credit Analysis. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2019, 118, 159-175.	1.6	3
235	$h_{t}$ : An index to quantify an individual's scientific leadership. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2019, 118, 673-686.	1.6	53
236	Ranking by inspiration: a network science approach. <i>Machine Learning</i> , 2020, 109, 1205-1229.	3.4	3
237	A flexible approach for measuring author-level publishing performance. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2020, 122, 331-355.	1.6	11
238	H-Index and Its Variants. <i>Journal of Information Technology Research</i> , 2020, 13, 68-76.	0.3	6
239	$\tilde{r}$ -index: A new overall productivity index for actors of science and technology. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2020, 14, 101096.	1.4	5
240	A citation study of earth science projects in citizen science. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2020, 15, e0235265.	1.1	4

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
241	Gender disparities among United States academic pediatric ophthalmologists: an analysis of publication productivity, academic rank, and NIH funding. <i>Journal of AAPOS</i> , 2020, 24, 337.e1-337.e6.	0.2	10
242	The HF-rating as a universal complement to the h-index. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2020, 125, 965-990.	1.6	1
243	Book Borrowing Behaviour Driven Interdisciplinary Learning Interest Network Mining. , 2020, , .		0
244	Analysis of Alternative Metrics of Research Impact: A Correlation Comparison between Altmetric Attention Scores and Traditional Bibliometrics among Plastic Surgery Research. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> , 2020, 146, 664e-670e.	0.7	13
245	EM- and EM <sup>TM</sup> -index Sequence: Construction and Application in Scientific Assessment of Scholars. <i>Measurement</i> , 2020, 18, 142-157.	0.1	1
246	Should Google Scholar be used for benchmarking against the professoriate in education?. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2020, 125, 2505-2522.	1.6	9
247	An entropy-based measure for the evolution of h index research. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2020, 125, 2283-2298.	1.6	2
248	Introducing the "alt-index"™ for measuring the social visibility of scientific research. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2020, 123, 1407-1419.	1.6	10
249	Can citation metrics predict the true impact of scientific papers?. <i>FEBS Journal</i> , 2020, 287, 2440-2448.	2.2	11
250	gm-index: a new mentorship index for researchers. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2020, 123, 71-102.	1.6	14
251	Exploring the limitations of the h-index and h-type indexes in measuring the research performance of authors. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2020, 122, 1303-1322.	1.6	34
252	Effective publication strategies in clinical research. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2020, 15, e0228438.	1.1	3
253	Academic (dis)qualifications of Turkish rectors: their career paths, H-index, and the number of articles and citations. <i>Higher Education</i> , 2021, 81, 301-323.	2.8	17
254	Transfer of Agricultural and Biological Sciences Research to Patents: The Case of EU-27. <i>Agronomy</i> , 2021, 11, 252.	1.3	6
255	Bibliometric Analysis of Information and Communication Technology Research in Pakistan. <i>International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science Engineering and Information Technology</i> , 2021, , 267-272.	0.2	0
256	Dispersion measures for h-index: a study of the Brazilian researchers in the field of mathematics. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2021, 126, 1983-2011.	1.6	2
257	Robust h-index. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2021, 126, 1969-1981.	1.6	8
258	\$\$h_u\$\$\$-index: a unified index to quantify individuals across disciplines. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2021, 126, 3209-3226.	1.6	4

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
259	Developing a measure of innovation from research in higher education data. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2021, 126, 3919-3928.	1.6	3
260	Do researchers know what the h-index is? And how do they estimate its importance?. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2021, 126, 5489-5508.	1.6	6
261	HY-index: A new science-meter index. <i>International Journal of Advanced and Applied Sciences</i> , 2021, 8, 23-28.	0.2	2
262	Citation Classics in Consumer Neuroscience, Neuromarketing and Neuroaesthetics: Identification and Conceptual Analysis. <i>Brain Sciences</i> , 2021, 11, 548.	1.1	8
263	Bibliometric-based Study of Scientist Academic Genealogy. <i>Journal of Data and Information Science</i> , 2021, .	0.5	2
264	Practical publication metrics for academics. <i>Clinical and Translational Science</i> , 2021, 14, 1705-1712.	1.5	9
265	A review on h-index and its alternative indices. <i>Journal of Information Science</i> , 2023, 49, 624-665.	2.0	19
266	The h-index is no longer an effective correlate of scientific reputation. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2021, 16, e0253397.	1.1	70
267	A fairer way to compare researchers at any career stage and in any discipline using open-access citation data. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2021, 16, e0257141.	1.1	8
268	The Influence of Author Degree Centrality and L-Index on Scientific Performance of Physical Education and Training Papers in China Based on the Perspective of Social Network Analysis. <i>Complexity</i> , 2021, 2021, 1-14.	0.9	0
269	COVID-19 and environmental concerns: A rapid review. <i>Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews</i> , 2021, 148, 111239.	8.2	48
270	Scientometric indicators and features of evaluating the scholars' scientific activity effectiveness using citation indices (review of domestic and foreign studies). <i>Ergodesign</i> , 2021, .	0.2	8
271	Symbolic capital and the basket of 8: What changed after the creation of the basket?. <i>Decision Support Systems</i> , 2021, 149, 113623.	3.5	3
272	Implementation of the PaperRank and AuthorRank indices in the Scopus database. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2021, 15, 101206.	1.4	8
273	Citations optimal growth path: A tool to analyze sensitivity to citations of h-like indexes. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2021, 15, 101215.	1.4	3
274	Emotions in Learning, Teaching, and Leadership: A Bibliometric Review of Asian Literature (1990-2018). <i>SAGE Open</i> , 2021, 11, 215824402098886.	0.8	9
275	Measuring Science: Basic Principles and Application of Advanced Bibliometrics. <i>Springer Handbooks</i> , 2019, , 237-280.	0.3	49
276	An Overview of Author-Level Indicators of Research Performance. <i>Springer Handbooks</i> , 2019, , 361-396.	0.3	5



#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
277	Analysis of bibliometric indicators to determine citation bias. Palgrave Communications, 2015, 1, .	4.7	12
279	The Association between Four Citation Metrics and Peer Rankings of Research Influence of Australian Researchers in Six Fields of Public Health. PLoS ONE, 2011, 6, e18521.	1.1	35
280	The h <sup>TM</sup> -Index, Effectively Improving the h-Index Based on the Citation Distribution. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e59912.	1.1	42
281	Evaluation and Ranking of Researchers â€™ Bh Index. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e82050.	1.1	6
282	The Pagerank-Index: Going beyond Citation Counts in Quantifying Scientific Impact of Researchers. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0134794.	1.1	60
283	Use of H-Index and Other Bibliometric Indicators to Evaluate Research Productivity Outcome on Swine Diseases. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0149690.	1.1	28
284	The Second-order h-type Indicators for Identifying Top Units. Data and Information Management, 2018, 2, 49-56.	0.7	2
286	Bibliometrics-based heuristics: What is their definition and how can they be studied?Â- Research note. Profesional De La Informacion, 0, , .	2.7	3
287	Comparison between Korean and foreign authors concerning the citation impact of Korean journals indexed in Scopus. Science Editing, 2019, 6, 47-57.	0.4	4
288	Scientometricâ€based analysis in business and economics: Introduction, examples, and guidelines. Journal of Economic Surveys, 2021, 35, 1261-1270.	3.7	2
289	A Population-Modulated Bibliometric Measure with an Application in the Field of Statistics. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
290	A Study on the Evaluation Methods of Research Institution: Based on the h-index and its Variants. Journal of the Korean Society for Information Management, 2010, 27, 249-267.	0.0	2
291	Beyond Publication Counts â€™ The Impact of Citations and Combined Metrics on the Performance Measurement of German Business Researchers. , 2013, , 61-86.		0
292	Scientific Research Measures. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
293	An Evaluation of Evaluators: Multivariate Statistical Analysis of Journal Evaluation Indicators. Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering, 2014, , 405-414.	0.3	0
294	An Index for SSRN Downloads. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	1
295	The Evaluation of Web Contents by User 'Likes' Count: An Usefulness of hT-index for Topic Preference Measurement. Journal of the Korean Society for Library and Information Science, 2015, 49, 27-49.	0.0	0
296	Building an Entrepreneurship Research Record Worthy of Promotion. , 2016, , 83-112.		0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
297	Quality Factor: A new Bibliometric Measure for Assessing the Quality of Faculty Research Performance. Han-guk Doseogwan&jeongbo Hakoeji, 2016, 47, 287-304.	0.0	0
298	H-Index and Its Variants. Advances in Knowledge Acquisition, Transfer and Management Book Series, 2019, , 115-166.	0.1	0
299	Evolution of Literature on Scientometric Indicators. Advances in Knowledge Acquisition, Transfer and Management Book Series, 2019, , 39-55.	0.1	0
300	Factors associated with academic rank among chronic pain medicine faculty in the USA. Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine, 2020, 45, 589-596.	1.1	10
301	Assessing the Scientific Impact of Individual Scholars With Multi-Scale H-Index. IEEE Access, 2020, 8, 226942-226951.	2.6	1
302	Application of Artificial Intelligence in Stock Market Forecasting: A Critique, Review, and Research Agenda. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 2021, 14, 526.	1.1	21
303	The Compound F2-index and the Compound H-index as Extension of the f2 and h-indexes from a Dynamic Perspective. Journal of Data and Information Science, 2020, 5, 71-83.	0.5	3
304	Understanding and predicting future research impact at different career stages&quot;A social network perspective. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2021, 72, 454-472.	1.5	10
305	The simplex simulation as a tool to reveal publication strategies and citation factors. Scientometrics, 2022, 127, 319.	1.6	0
306	Group level scientometric analysis of Pakistani authors. Collnet Journal of Scientometrics and Information Management, 2021, 15, 287-304.	0.4	0
307	Find Role Models Through a Social Network Data Envelopment Analysis Method and its Application on Chunyu Doctor Platform. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
308	Sustained software development, not number of citations or journal choice, is indicative of accurate bioinformatic software. Genome Biology, 2022, 23, 56.	3.8	8
309	Financial Development and Shadow Economy: A Bibliometric Analysis Using the Scopus Database (1985&quot;2021). Journal of the Knowledge Economy, 2023, 14, 2238-2265.	2.7	7
310	Ockham&quot;s index of citation impact. Scientometrics, 2022, 127, 2829-2845.	1.6	8
311	Validating citation models by proxy indices. Journal of Informetrics, 2022, 16, 101267.	1.4	4
312	Strategies of Elicitation to Enhance Bioactive Compound Content in Edible Plant Sprouts: A Bibliometric Study. Plants, 2021, 10, 2759.	1.6	7
313	Response Regarding: An Alternative Metric to Address Limitations of the H-Index. Journal of Surgical Research, 2022, 278, 446-447.	0.8	1
314	Improving the performance/competency of small and medium enterprises through intellectual capital. Journal of Intellectual Capital, 2023, 24, 830-853.	3.1	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
315	A Bibliometric Analysis of First 45 Years of <i>Journal of Management</i>. <i>Serials Review</i> , 2022, 48, 63-84.	0.4	2
316	Assessing the research profile of highly productive authors of Pakistan. <i>Global Knowledge, Memory and Communication</i> , 2024, 73, 183-201.	0.9	2
317	Resolving energy poverty for social change: Research directions and agenda. <i>Technological Forecasting and Social Change</i> , 2022, 181, 121777.	6.2	41
318	Environment and COVID-19 incidence: A critical review. <i>Journal of Environmental Sciences</i> , 2023, 124, 933-951.	3.2	31
319	Fi-Index: A New Method to Evaluate Authors Hirsch-Index Reliability. <i>Publishing Research Quarterly</i> , 2022, 38, 465-474.	0.4	28
320	Letter Regarding: An Alternative Metric to Address Limitations of the H-Index. <i>Journal of Surgical Research</i> , 2022, 278, 445-446.	0.8	1
321	A Characterization of Fire-Management Research: A Bibliometric Review of Global Networks and Themes. <i>Fire</i> , 2022, 5, 89.	1.2	7
322	Re: Ganjei etÂal.: Descriptive analysis of United States glaucoma fellowship program directors (Ophthalmol Glaucoma. 2022;5:241-244). <i>Ophthalmology Glaucoma</i> , 2022, , .	0.9	2
323	The influence of self-citation corrections and the fractionalised counting of multi-authored manuscripts on the Hirsch index. <i>Annalen Der Physik</i> , 2009, 521, 607-621.	0.9	17
324	Impact of h-index on author's rankings: an improvement to the h-index for lower-ranked authors. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2022, 127, 4483-4498.	1.6	1
325	Bibliometric analysis of finance and natural resources: past trend, current development, and future prospects. <i>Environment, Development and Sustainability</i> , 2023, 25, 13035-13064.	2.7	4
326	Entrepreneurial decision making in academic spinoffs: a bibliometric map and research agenda. <i>Studies in Higher Education</i> , 2022, 47, 2022-2038.	2.9	5
327	Impact of competition on microfinance institutions: bibliometric analysis and systematic literature review. <i>Heliyon</i> , 2022, 8, e10749.	1.4	4
328	<i>GH</i>-index: A new index for the assessment of scientists. <i>Collnet Journal of Scientometrics and Information Management</i> , 2022, 16, 407-464.	0.4	0
329	Impact of non-renewable energy and natural resources on economic recovery: Empirical evidence from selected developing economies. <i>Resources Policy</i> , 2023, 80, 103221.	4.2	41
330	Interpretable reparameterisations of citation models. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2023, 17, 101355.	1.4	0
331	The Fundamentals, Misuse and Abuses of Bibliometrics. , 2023, , 11-56.		0
332	Blockchain in Supply Chain Management: A Synthesis of Barriers and Enablers for Managers. <i>International Journal of Mathematical, Engineering and Management Sciences</i> , 2023, 8, 15-42.	0.4	4

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
333	Bibliometric Analysis of the Permafrost Research: Developments, Impacts, and Trends. Remote Sensing, 2023, 15, 234.	1.8	3
334	Gintropic scaling of scientometric indexes. Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications, 2023, 618, 128717.	1.2	4
335	Role of Natural resources rent on economic growth: Fresh empirical insight from selected developing economies. Resources Policy, 2023, 81, 103326.	4.2	22
336	Evaluation of the i10-Index in Plastic Surgery Research and its Correlation with Altmetric Attention Scores and Traditional Author Bibliometrics: An Evaluation of a Single Journal. Indian Journal of Plastic Surgery, 2023, 56, 068-073.	0.2	1
337	A new system of authorship best assessment. Journal of Public Health Research, 2023, 12, 227990362211498.	0.5	1
338	Using chord diagrams to explore article themes in 100 top-cited articles citing Hirsch's h-index since 2005: A bibliometric analysis. Medicine (United States), 2023, 102, e33057.	0.4	7
339	Artificial intelligence applied to potential assessment and talent identification in an organisational context. Heliyon, 2023, 9, e14694.	1.4	10
340	Use of Latent Profile Analysis to Model the Translation of University Research into Health Practice and Policy: Exploration of Proposed Metrics. Research in Higher Education, 2023, 64, 1058-1070.	1.0	1
341	The price of quality: Scholarly publishing business is the primary predictor of citation-based indicators of journal performance in ecology and evolutionary biology. Research Evaluation, 0, , .	1.3	0
343	A Bibliometric Analysis of Experimental Philosophy of Language. Logic, Argumentation & Reasoning, 2023, , 13-29.	0.1	0
351	Global Research Trends in Limb Salvage Surgery for Osteosarcoma: Findings from a Bibliometric and Visualized Analysis over 15 Years. Indian Journal of Orthopaedics, 0, , .	0.5	0