Displaywide visual features associated with a search dis attentional capture

Psychonomic Bulletin and Review

14, 392-422

DOI: 10.3758/bf03194082

Citation Report

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Abrupt onsets capture attention independent of top-down control settings. Perception & Psychophysics, 2008, 70, 208-218.	2.3	135
2	The role of cueing in attentional capture. Visual Cognition, 2008, 16, 232-247.	0.9	22
4	The identity intrusion effect: Attentional capture or perceptual load?. Visual Cognition, 2008, 16, 182-199.	0.9	24
5	Psychophysics of Attention. , 2009, , 1211-1216.		0
6	Stimulus-driven attentional capture by a static discontinuity between perceptual groups.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2010, 36, 317-329.	0.7	7
7	The mechanisms of involuntary attention.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2010, 36, 255-267.	0.7	22
8	Competition between color salience and perceptual load during visual selection can be biased by top-down set. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2010, 72, 53-64.	0.7	28
9	What is top-down about contingent capture?. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2010, 72, 326-341.	0.7	171
10	Variations in the magnitude of attentional capture: Testing a two-process model. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2010, 72, 342-352.	0.7	73
11	Reduced attentional capture in action video game players. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2010, 72, 667-671.	0.7	143
12	Masked singleton effects. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2010, 72, 2069-2086.	0.7	14
13	Target-uncertainty effects in attentional capture: Color-singleton set or multiple attentional control settings?. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2010, 17, 421-426.	1.4	78
14	Topâ€“down and bottomâ€“up control of visual selection. Acta Psychologica, 2010, 135, 77-99.	0.7	987
15	Reentrant processing in attentional guidance â€” Time to abandon old dichotomies. Acta Psychologica, 2010, 135, 109-111.	0.7	5
16	Cognitive load modulates attentional capture by color singletons during effortful visual search. Acta Psychologica, 2010, 135, 50-58.	0.7	15
17	Topâ€“down contingent attentional capture during feed-forward visual processing. Acta Psychologica, 2010, 135, 123-126.	0.7	24
18	On the generality of the displaywide contingent orienting hypothesis: Can a visual onset capture attention without top-down control settings for displaywide onset?. Acta Psychologica, 2010, 135, 159-167.	0.7	9
19	Attentional capture by masked colour singletons. Vision Research, 2010, 50, 2015-2027.	0.7	41

#	Article	IF	Citations
20	No capture outside the attentional window. Vision Research, 2010, 50, 2543-2550.	0.7	114
21	Stimulusâ€driven capture and contingent capture. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Cognitive Science, 2010, 1, 872-881.	1.4	34
22	Size Matters: Large Objects Capture Attention in Visual Search. PLoS ONE, 2010, 5, e15293.	1.1	42
23	Tuning Perceptual Competition. Journal of Neurophysiology, 2010, 103, 1057-1065.	0.9	64
24	You can't stop new motion: Attentional capture despite a control set for colour. Visual Cognition, 2010, 18, 859-880.	0.9	33
25	Object Perception, Attention, and Memory (OPAM) 2009 Conference Report 17th Annual Meeting, Boston, MA, USA. Visual Cognition, 2010, 18, 110-159.	0.9	0
26	Attentional control settings prevent abrupt onsets from capturing visual spatial attention. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2010, 63, 31-41.	0.6	16
27	Top-down control in time and space: Evidence from saccadic latencies and trajectories. Visual Cognition, 2010, 18, 26-49.	0.9	20
28	The visual hemifield asymmetry in the spatial blink during singleton search and feature search. Brain and Cognition, 2011, 75, 261-272.	0.8	11
29	Does apparent size capture attention in visual search? Evidence from the Muller-Lyer illusion. Journal of Vision, 2011, 11, 21-21.	0.1	19
30	Non-transient luminance changes do not capture attention. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2011, 73, 1407-1421.	0.7	4
31	Context and competition in the capture of visual attention. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2011, 73, 2053-2064.	0.7	27
32	Enhancement of perceptual representations by endogenous attention biases competition in response selection. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2011, 73, 2514-2527.	0.7	7
33	Evidence for goal-independent attentional capture from validity effects with unexpected novel color cuesâ€"A response to Burnham (2007). Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2011, 18, 512-517.	1.4	14
34	Entirely irrelevant distractors can capture and captivate attention. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2011, 18, 1064-1070.	1.4	31
35	Novelty and saliency in attentional capture by unannounced motion singletons. Acta Psychologica, 2011, 136, 290-299.	0.7	33
36	Interaction between stimulus-driven orienting and top-down modulation in attentional capture. Acta Psychologica, 2011, 138, 52-59.	0.7	10
37	Contingent capture and inhibition of return: a comparison of mechanisms. Experimental Brain Research, 2011, 214, 47-60.	0.7	12

#	Article	IF	Citations
38	Salience in Paintings: Bottom-Up Influences on Eye Fixations. Cognitive Computation, 2011, 3, 25-36.	3.6	32
39	Relationship between working memory capacity and contingent involuntary orienting. Visual Cognition, 2011, 19, 983-1002.	0.9	5
40	Object-based maintenance of temporal attention in rapid serial visual presentation. Visual Cognition, 2011, 19, 553-584.	0.9	4
41	All set! Evidence of simultaneous attentional control settings for multiple target colors.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2012, 38, 758-775.	0.7	99
42	Attentional repulsion effect despite a colour-based control set. Visual Cognition, 2012, 20, 696-716.	0.9	13
44	Impaired Contingent Attentional Capture Predicts Reduced Working Memory Capacity in Schizophrenia. PLoS ONE, 2012, 7, e48586.	1.1	38
45	Feature-based effects in the coupling between attention and saccades. Journal of Vision, 2012, 12, 27-27.	0.1	20
46	Automatic priming of attentional control by relevant colors. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2012, 74, 83-104.	0.7	16
47	When do luminance changes capture attention?. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2012, 74, 674-690.	0.7	11
48	Can attentional control settings be maintained for two colorâ€“location conjunctions? Evidence from an RSVP task. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2013, 75, 862-875.	0.7	13
49	Effects of relevant and irrelevant color singletons on inhibition of return and attentional capture. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2013, 75, 1687-1702.	0.7	7
50	Capturing attention is not that simple: Different mechanisms for stimulus-driven and contingent capture. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2013, 75, 1703-1714.	0.7	9
51	Using response time distributions to examine top-down influences on attentional capture. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2013, 75, 257-277.	0.7	6
52	What do fast response times tell us about attentional control?. Journal of Vision, 2013, 13, 31-31.	0.1	8
53	Is goal-directed attentional guidance just intertrial priming? A review. Journal of Vision, 2013, 13, 14-14.	0.1	91
54	The impact of the irrelevant: The task environment modulates the impact of irrelevant features in response selection.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2014, 40, 2198-2213.	0.7	14
55	Components of working memory and visual selective attention.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2014, 40, 391-403.	0.7	40
56	Visual attention to features by associative learning. Cognition, 2014, 133, 488-501.	1.1	15

#	Article	IF	Citations
57	Setting semantics: conceptual set can determine the physical properties that capture attention. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2014, 76, 1577-1589.	0.7	22
58	Object-centered orienting and IOR. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2014, 76, 2249-2255.	0.7	4
59	Contingent capture effects in temporal order judgments.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2015, 41, 995-1006.	0.7	11
60	Towards a resolution of the attentional-capture debate.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2015, 41, 1772-1782.	0.7	29
61	Multisensory top-down sets: Evidence for contingent crossmodal capture. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2015, 77, 1970-1985.	0.7	8
62	Surprise attracts the eyes and binds the gaze. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2015, 22, 743-749.	1.4	38
63	Disentangling the Role of Cortico-Basal Ganglia Loops in Topâ€“Down and Bottomâ€“Up Visual Attention: An Investigation of Attention Deficits in Parkinson Disease. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 2015, 27, 1215-1237.	1.1	25
64	Modulation of Neuronal Responses by Exogenous Attention in Macaque Primary Visual Cortex. Journal of Neuroscience, 2015, 35, 13419-13429.	1.7	27
65	On the evolution of conscious attention. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2015, 22, 595-613.	1.4	28
66	Surprise capture and inattentional blindness. Cognition, 2016, 157, 237-249.	1.1	33
67	Attentional capture by items that match episodic long-term memory representations. Visual Cognition, 2016, 24, 78-101.	0.9	12
68	Differential roles of the dorsal prefrontal and posterior parietal cortices in visual search: a TMS study. Scientific Reports, 2016, 6, 30300.	1.6	16
69	Attentional guidance by relative features: Behavioral and electrophysiological evidence. Psychophysiology, 2016, 53, 1074-1083.	1.2	29
70	Perceptual salience captures the eyes on a surprise trial. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2016, 78, 1889-1900.	0.7	11
71	Novelty biases attention and gaze in a surprise trial. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2016, 78, 69-77.	0.7	50
72	Try to look on the bright side: Children and adults can (sometimes) override their tendency to prioritize negative faces.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 2017, 146, 89-101.	1.5	19
73	Detection costs and contingent attentional capture. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2017, 79, 429-437.	0.7	6
74	Crossmodal attentional control sets between vision and audition. Acta Psychologica, 2017, 178, 41-47.	0.7	10

#	Article	IF	Citations
75	Selectively ignoring locations does not modulate contingent involuntary orienting, but selectively attending does. Visual Cognition, 2018, 26, 48-70.	0.9	7
76	Attentional capture by irrelevant emotional distractor faces is contingent on implicit attentional settings. Cognition and Emotion, 2018, 32, 303-314.	1.2	12
77	The failure to respond to changes in the road environment: Does road familiarity play a role?. Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour, 2018, 57, 23-35.	1.8	15
78	Surprising depth cue captures attention in visual search. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2018, 25, 1358-1364.	1.4	6
79	The Role of Inhibition in Avoiding Distraction by Salient Stimuli. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 2018, 22, 79-92.	4.0	271
80	Statistical regularities modulate attentional capture independent of search strategy. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2018, 80, 1763-1774.	0.7	82
81	Top-Down Prioritization of Salient Items May Produce the So-Called Stimulus-Driven Capture. Frontiers in Psychology, 2018, 9, 218.	1.1	8
82	The influence of relevant and irrelevant stereoscopic depth cues: Depth information does not always capture attention. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2018, 80, 1996-2007.	0.7	6
83	The impact of temporal contingencies between cue and target onset on spatial attentional capture by subliminal onset cues. Psychological Research, 2019, 83, 1416-1425.	1.0	3
84	Can capture by abrupt onsets be suppressed?. Visual Cognition, 2019, 27, 279-290.	0.9	9
85	Attentional bias on motor control: is motor inhibition influenced by attentional reorienting?. Psychological Research, 2020, 84, 276-284.	1.0	7
86	A meta-analysis of contingent-capture effects. Psychological Research, 2020, 84, 784-809.	1.0	32
87	Evidence for early top-down modulation of attention to salient visual cues through probe detection. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2020, 82, 1003-1023.	0.7	5
88	Novelty competes with saliency for attention. Vision Research, 2020, 168, 42-52.	0.7	25
89	Statistical regularities cause attentional suppression with target-matching distractors. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2021, 83, 270-282.	0.7	5
90	Getting it right from the start: Attentional control settings without a history of target selection. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2021, 83, 133-141.	0.7	3
91	Meaningful contingent attentional orienting effects: Spatial location-based inhibition and capture. Acta Psychologica Sinica, 2021, 53, 113.	0.4	1
92	Automatic capture of attention by flicker. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2021, 83, 1407-1415.	0.7	9

#	Article	IF	Citations
93	Irrelevant task suppresses the N170 of automatic attention allocation to fearful faces. Scientific Reports, 2021, 11, 11754.	1.6	3
94	Attentional capture in multiple object tracking. Journal of Vision, 2021, 21, 16.	0.1	0
95	The past, present, and future of selection history. Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews, 2021, 130, 326-350.	2.9	53
96	Faces are not always special for attention: Effects of responseâ€“relevance and identity. Vision Research, 2021, 189, 1-10.	0.7	3
97	Automatic Control of Visual Selection. Nebraska Symposium on Motivation, 2012, 59, 23-62.	0.9	6
98	The Allocation of Attention in Change Detection and Change Blindness. Journal of Psychophysiology, 2017, 31, 94-106.	0.3	2
99	Top-Down Search for Color Prevents Voluntary Directing of Attention to Informative Singleton Cues. Experimental Psychology, 2012, 59, 153-162.	0.3	12
100	A static color discontinuity can capture spatial attention when the target is an abrupt-onset singleton.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2008, 34, 831-841.	0.7	9
101	The spatial dynamics of mouse-tracking reveal that attention capture is stimulus-driven rather than contingent upon top-down goals.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2019, 45, 1285-1290.	0.7	3
102	Reward Guides Vision when It's Your Thing: Trait Reward-Seeking in Reward-Mediated Visual Priming. PLoS ONE, 2010, 5, e14087.	1.1	136
103	Top-down contingent feature-specific orienting with and without awareness of the visual input. Advances in Cognitive Psychology, 2011, 7, 108-119.	0.2	20
104	Visual Attention in Edited Dynamical Images. , 2014, , .		4
105	Inhibition of Return after Color Singletons. Journal of Eye Movement Research, 2012, 5, .	0.5	1
106	Attentional Capture From Inside vs. Outside the Attentional Focus. Frontiers in Psychology, 2021, 12, 758747.	1.1	1
107	Attention mechanisms underlying dualâ€color digital visual search based on Schulte grid: An eventâ€related potential study. Brain and Behavior, 2022, 12, e2471.	1.0	2
108	Oculomotor suppression of abrupt onsets versus color singletons. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2023, 85, 613-633.	0.7	9
109	Search mode, not the attentional window, determines the magnitude of attentional capture. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2024, 86, 457-470.	0.7	0
110	Investigating attentional control sets: Evidence for the compilation of multi-feature control sets. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2023, 85, 596-612.	0.7	0

#	Article	IF	Citations
111	Subliminal meaning-contingent attentional orienting: The role of attentional control setting based on displaywide features. Frontiers in Psychology, 0, 13, .	1.1	0
112	On Reflexes and Stimuli: Tics as Nonactions. Philosophy and Medicine, 2023, , 177-217.	0.3	0