

Priority setting at the micro-, meso- and macro-levels in

Health Policy

82, 78-94

DOI: [10.1016/j.healthpol.2006.09.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2006.09.001)

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	The Role of Economic Evidence in Canadian Oncology Reimbursement Decision-Making: To Lambda and Beyond. <i>Value in Health</i> , 2008, 11, 771-783.	0.1	95
2	Are meticillin-resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> bloodstream infection targets fair to those with other types of healthcare-associated infection or cost-effective?. <i>Journal of Hospital Infection</i> , 2008, 69, 1-5.	1.4	18
3	PRIORITY SETTING IN AN ACUTE CARE HOSPITAL IN ARGENTINA: A QUALITATIVE CASE STUDY. <i>Acta Bioethica</i> , 2009, 15, .	0.1	3
4	The process of updating the National List of Health Services in Israel: Is it legitimate? Is it fair?. <i>International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care</i> , 2009, 25, 255-261.	0.2	25
5	Fairness and accountability for reasonableness. Do the views of priority setting decision makers differ across health systems and levels of decision making?. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2009, 68, 766-773.	1.8	62
6	“œœ can see where they're coming from, but when you're on the end of it œœ you just want to get the money and the drug.” Explaining reactions to explicit healthcare rationing. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2009, 68, 1935-1942.	1.8	30
7	Setting priorities for health interventions in developing countries: a review of empirical studies. <i>Tropical Medicine and International Health</i> , 2009, 14, 930-939.	1.0	78
8	Is the selection of patients for anti-retroviral treatment in Uganda fair?. <i>Health Policy</i> , 2009, 91, 33-42.	1.4	7
9	SUPPORT Tools for evidence-informed health Policymaking (STP) 3: Setting priorities for supporting evidence-informed policymaking. <i>Health Research Policy and Systems</i> , 2009, 7, S3.	1.1	44
10	SUPPORT Tools for evidence-informed health Policymaking (STP) 16: Using research evidence in balancing the pros and cons of policies. <i>Health Research Policy and Systems</i> , 2009, 7, S16.	1.1	18
11	Successful Priority Setting in Low and Middle Income Countries: A Framework for Evaluation. <i>Health Care Analysis</i> , 2010, 18, 129-147.	1.4	56
12	Understanding the impact of economic evidence on clinical decision making: A discrete choice experiment in cardiology. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2010, 70, 1536-1543.	1.8	16
13	Decentralized health care priority-setting in Tanzania: Evaluating against the accountability for reasonableness framework. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2010, 71, 751-759.	1.8	63
14	Improving district level health planning and priority setting in Tanzania through implementing accountability for reasonableness framework: Perceptions of stakeholders. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2010, 10, 322.	0.9	30
15	Priority setting in primary health care - dilemmas and opportunities: a focus group study. <i>BMC Family Practice</i> , 2010, 11, 71.	2.9	30
16	Implementing accountability for reasonableness framework at district level in Tanzania: a realist evaluation. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2011, 6, 11.	2.5	59
17	Allocating funds for HIV/AIDS: a descriptive study of KwaDukuza, South Africa. <i>Health Policy and Planning</i> , 2011, 26, 33-42.	1.0	9
18	HIV priorities and health distributions in a rural region in Tanzania: a qualitative study. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2011, 37, 221-226.	1.0	9

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Decision maker perceptions of resource allocation processes in Canadian health care organizations: a national survey. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2013, 13, 247.	0.9	20
20	CASE STUDIES THAT ILLUSTRATE DISINVESTMENT AND RESOURCE ALLOCATION DECISION-MAKING PROCESSES IN HEALTH CARE: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW. <i>International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care</i> , 2013, 29, 174-184.	0.2	72
21	Priority setting and implementation in a centralized health system: a case study of Kerman province in Iran. <i>Health Policy and Planning</i> , 2013, 28, 480-494.	1.0	15
22	Priority setting in kidney transplantation: A qualitative study evaluating Swedish practices. <i>Scandinavian Journal of Public Health</i> , 2013, 41, 206-215.	1.2	11
23	Public engagement in priority-setting: Results from a pan-Canadian survey of decision-makers in cancer control. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2014, 122, 130-139.	1.8	20
24	The accountability for reasonableness approach to guide priority setting in health systems within limited resources – findings from action research at district level in Kenya, Tanzania, and Zambia. <i>Health Research Policy and Systems</i> , 2014, 12, 49.	1.1	31
25	Publish or be damned: Individual Funding Requests and the publicity condition. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2014, 40, 827-831.	1.0	0
26	Taking Equality Seriously: Applying Human Rights Frameworks to Priority Setting in Health. <i>Human Rights Quarterly</i> , 2014, 36, 296-324.	0.1	22
27	Health sector priority setting at meso-level in lower and middle income countries: Lessons learned, available options and suggested steps. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2014, 102, 190-200.	1.8	47
28	Disease management index of potential years of life lost as a tool for setting priorities in national disease control using OECD health data. <i>Health Policy</i> , 2014, 115, 92-99.	1.4	24
29	Priority Setting in Health Care: A Complementary Approach. <i>Health Care Analysis</i> , 2014, 22, 292-303.	1.4	9
30	Healthcare priority setting in Kenya: a gap analysis applying the accountability for reasonableness framework. <i>International Journal of Health Planning and Management</i> , 2014, 29, 342-361.	0.7	19
31	Study protocol: DEcisions in health Care to Introduce or Diffuse innovations using Evidence (DECIDE). <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2015, 11, 48.	2.5	9
32	Setting healthcare priorities in hospitals: a review of empirical studies. <i>Health Policy and Planning</i> , 2015, 30, 386-396.	1.0	49
33	Priority setting in HIV/AIDS control in West Java Indonesia: an evaluation based on the accountability for reasonableness framework. <i>Health Policy and Planning</i> , 2015, 30, 345-355.	1.0	20
34	Development and Testing of an ICF-Based Questionnaire to Evaluate Functioning in Vocational Rehabilitation: The Work Rehabilitation Questionnaire (WORQ). <i>Handbooks in Health, Work, and Disability</i> , 2015, , 495-520.	0.0	6
35	Ethical challenges in clinical decision-making in the era of new technologies: Experiences from low income countries. <i>Health Policy and Technology</i> , 2015, 4, 256-262.	1.3	5
36	Rationing is a reality in rural physiotherapy: a qualitative exploration of service level decision-making. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2015, 15, 121.	0.9	25

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	<sc>U</sc>ganda nursing research agenda: a <sc>D</sc>elphi study. International Nursing Review, 2015, 62, 180-186.	1.5	11
38	Health Priority Setting in Iran: Evaluating Against the Social Values Framework. Global Journal of Health Science, 2016, 8, 212.	0.1	9
39	The influence of power and actor relations on priority setting and resource allocation practices at the hospital level in Kenya: a case study. BMC Health Services Research, 2016, 16, 536.	0.9	36
40	A new proposal for priority setting in Norway: Open and fair. Health Policy, 2016, 120, 246-251.	1.4	67
41	Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis to Support Healthcare Decisions. , 2017, , .		39
42	Stakeholder views on criteria and processes for priority setting in Norway: a qualitative study. Health Policy, 2017, 121, 683-690.	1.4	20
43	Effect of the Postpartum Hospital Environment on the Attainment of Mothers' and Fathers' Goals. JOGNN - Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic, and Neonatal Nursing, 2017, 46, 40-50.	0.2	10
44	Balancing Equity and Advancement: The Role of Health Technology Assessment in Radiotherapy Resource Allocation. Clinical Oncology, 2017, 29, 93-98.	0.6	12
45	Health care as a universal right. Zeitschrift Fur Gesundheitswissenschaften, 2017, 25, 1-9.	0.8	13
46	How have systematic priority setting approaches influenced policy making? A synthesis of the current literature. Health Policy, 2017, 121, 937-946.	1.4	28
47	International validation of quality indicators for evaluating priority setting in low income countries: process and key lessons. BMC Health Services Research, 2017, 17, 418.	0.9	18
48	Enablers and barriers to evidence based planning in the district health system in Uganda; perceptions of district health managers. BMC Health Services Research, 2017, 17, 103.	0.9	21
49	Roles and responsibilities of clinical ethics committees in priority setting. BMC Medical Ethics, 2017, 18, 68.	1.0	13
50	How do development assistance partners conceptualise and prioritise evidence in Priority Setting (PS) for health programmes relevant to low income countries? A qualitative study. Evidence and Policy, 2017, 13, 255-273.	0.5	1
51	Ethical Dilemmas in Protecting Susceptible Subpopulations From Environmental Health Risks: Liberty, Utility, Fairness, and Accountability for Reasonableness. American Journal of Bioethics, 2018, 18, 29-41.	0.5	61
52	Based Real Time Remote Health Monitoring Systems: A Review on Patients Prioritization and Related "Big Data" Using Body Sensors information and Communication Technology. Journal of Medical Systems, 2018, 42, 30.	2.2	154
53	Prioritising patient care: The different views of clinicians and managers. Nursing Ethics, 2018, 25, 746-759.	1.8	11
54	The Norwegian National Council for Priority Setting in Health Care: decisions and justifications. Health Economics, Policy and Law, 2018, 13, 118-136.	1.1	4

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	The evolution of public health ethics frameworks: systematic review of moral values and norms in public health policy. <i>Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy</i> , 2018, 21, 387-402.	0.9	35
56	An ethical framework for evaluation of public health plans: a systematic process for legitimate and fair decision-making. <i>Public Health</i> , 2018, 164, 30-38.	1.4	10
57	Describing and evaluating healthcare priority setting practices at the county level in Kenya. <i>International Journal of Health Planning and Management</i> , 2018, 33, e733.	0.7	19
58	The unfunded priorities: an evaluation of priority setting for noncommunicable disease control in Uganda. <i>Globalization and Health</i> , 2018, 14, 22.	2.4	15
59	Context matters: Context-related drivers of and barriers to climate information use. <i>Climate Risk Management</i> , 2018, 20, 1-10.	1.5	30
60	Priority setting for disease outbreaks in Uganda: A case study evaluating the process. <i>Global Public Health</i> , 2019, 14, 241-253.	1.0	17
61	Just healthcare and human flourishing: Why resource allocation is not just enough. <i>Nursing Ethics</i> , 2019, 26, 405-417.	1.8	7
62	Bedside Rationing Under Resource Constraints—A National Survey of Ethiopian Physicians’ Use of Criteria for Priority Setting. <i>AJOB Empirical Bioethics</i> , 2019, 10, 125-135.	0.8	3
63	Criteria Used for Priority-Setting for Public Health Resource Allocation in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review. <i>International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care</i> , 2019, 35, 474-483.	0.2	22
64	The whole systems energy injustice of four European low-carbon transitions. <i>Global Environmental Change</i> , 2019, 58, 101958.	3.6	104
65	Who is in and who is out? A qualitative analysis of stakeholder participation in priority setting for health in three districts in Uganda. <i>Health Policy and Planning</i> , 2019, 34, 358-369.	1.0	16
66	Decision-making in district health planning in Uganda: does use of district-specific evidence matter?. <i>Health Research Policy and Systems</i> , 2019, 17, 57.	1.1	10
67	Making Smarter Decisions Faster: Systems Engineering to Improve the Global Public Health Response to HIV. <i>Current HIV/AIDS Reports</i> , 2019, 16, 279-291.	1.1	21
68	Competitive Productivity (CP) at macro—meso—micro levels. <i>Cross Cultural and Strategic Management</i> , 2019, 26, 118-144.	1.0	36
69	Financial risk protection at the bedside: How Ethiopian physicians try to minimize out-of-pocket health expenditures. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2019, 14, e0212129.	1.1	21
70	Towards a fair and accountable health policy making: assessment on the decision-making process of the Chinese healthcare reform. <i>International Journal of Society Systems Science</i> , 2019, 11, 284-297.	0.1	0
71	Priority setting in otolaryngology practices in Zimbabwe. <i>Current Opinion in Otolaryngology and Head and Neck Surgery</i> , 2019, 27, 207-211.	0.8	0
73	The need for empathetic healthcare systems. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2021, 47, e27-e27.	1.0	29

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
74	Perspectives of HIV-positive and -negative people who use drugs regarding the criminalization of HIV non-disclosure in Canada: a qualitative study. <i>BMC Public Health</i> , 2020, 20, 1220.	1.2	6
75	Structure evaluation of the implementation of geriatric models in primary care: a multiple-case study of models involving advanced geriatric nurses in five municipalities in Norway. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2020, 20, 749.	0.9	3
76	Priority-setting to integrate sexual and reproductive health into universal health coverage: the case of Malaysia. <i>Sexual and Reproductive Health Matters</i> , 2020, 28, 1842153.	0.7	7
77	Priority setting for health system strengthening in low income countries. A qualitative case study illustrating the complexities. <i>Health Systems</i> , 2021, 10, 222-237.	0.9	2
78	Priority Setting in the Polish Health Care System According to Patientsâ€™ Perspective. <i>International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health</i> , 2021, 18, 1178.	1.2	0
79	Putting the local back into planningâ€”experiences and perceptions of state and district health functionaries of seven aspirational districts in India on an innovative planning capacity building approach. <i>International Journal of Health Planning and Management</i> , 2021, 36, 2248-2262.	0.7	0
80	Priority setting and equity in COVID-19 pandemic plans: a comparative analysis of 18 African countries. <i>Health Policy and Planning</i> , 2022, 37, 297-309.	1.0	15
81	Salient stakeholders: Using the salience stakeholder model to assess stakeholdersâ€™ influence in healthcare priority setting. <i>Health Policy OPEN</i> , 2021, 2, 100048.	0.5	6
82	Evaluating healthcare priority setting at the meso level: A thematic review of empirical literature. <i>Wellcome Open Research</i> , 0, 3, 2.	0.9	1
83	Evaluating healthcare priority setting at the meso level: A thematic review of empirical literature. <i>Wellcome Open Research</i> , 2018, 3, 2.	0.9	11
84	The Use of Research Evidence in Public Health Decision Making Processes: Systematic Review. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2011, 6, e21704.	1.1	366
85	Setting Healthcare Priorities at the Macro and Meso Levels: A Framework for Evaluation. <i>International Journal of Health Policy and Management</i> , 2015, 4, 719-732.	0.5	46
86	Does the Narrative About the Use of Evidence in Priority Setting Vary Across Health Programs Within the Health Sector: A Case Study of 6 Programs in a Low-Income National Healthcare System. <i>International Journal of Health Policy and Management</i> , 2020, 9, 448-458.	0.5	1
87	Priority Setting in Indigenous Health: Why We Need an Explicit Decision Making Approach. <i>International Indigenous Policy Journal</i> , 2015, 6, .	0.3	3
88	Patient Work and Their Contexts: Scoping Review. <i>Journal of Medical Internet Research</i> , 2020, 22, e16656.	2.1	30
89	Equity in Distance Education. , 2013, , 17-35.		6
90	Why do Patients Protest? Collective Action Processes in People with Chronic Illnesses. <i>Advances in Medical Diagnosis, Treatment, and Care</i> , 2016, , 128-150.	0.1	3
91	Priority Setting in the Assessment for Kidney Transplant Candidacy: A Canadian Case Study. <i>Journal of Clinical Research & Bioethics</i> , 2012, 01, .	0.2	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
92	Public Health Ethics: Resource Allocation and the Ethics of Legitimacy. <i>Journal of Clinical Research & Bioethics</i> , 2013, 04, .	0.2	0
93	Embedding MCDA in Priority-Setting Policy Processes: Experiences from Low- and Middle-Income Countries. , 2017, , 147-173.		0
94	Rationing â€œRightsâ€™. <i>Critical Social Work</i> , 2019, 12, .	0.8	0
95	A Descriptive Study on Attitudes Toward Local Health Resource Allocation: The Case of Chongqing Province in China. <i>Health Scope</i> , 2019, 8, .	0.4	0
96	Why Do Patients Protest? Collective Action Processes in People With Chronic Illnesses. , 0, , 1197-1219.		1
97	The main indicators for Iranian hospital ethical accreditation. <i>Journal of Advances in Medical Education and Professionalism</i> , 2015, 3, 117-32.	0.2	3
98	Enhancing value in healthcare: towards a trans-disciplinary approach. <i>Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal</i> , 2023, 36, 494-519.	2.6	1
99	Designing Hospital and Pharmacy Services for Visually Impaired Persons in Bangkok, Thailand. <i>Hospital Topics</i> , 0, , 1-10.	0.3	2
100	A qualitative evaluation of priority-setting by the health benefits package advisory panel in Kenya. <i>Health Policy and Planning</i> , 0, , .	1.0	1
101	Barriers and facilitators to implementing priority setting and resource allocation tools in hospital decisions: A systematic review. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2023, 322, 115790.	1.8	0