

Feedback calibration: A training method for descriptive

Food Quality and Preference

18, 321-328

DOI: [10.1016/j.foodqual.2006.02.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2006.02.007)

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Use of feedback calibration to reduce the training time for wine panels. Food Quality and Preference, 2006, 17, 266-276.	4.6	36
2	Relationship between wine scores and visible“near-infrared spectra of Australian red wines. Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry, 2008, 391, 975-981.	3.7	32
3	Quantitative (Technical) Wine Assessment. , 2009, , 177-302.		0
4	Efficacy of Various Palate Cleansers with Representative Foods. Chemosensory Perception, 2009, 2, 32-39.	1.2	45
5	Sensory analysis of the fruit juice of palmyrah palm (<i>Borassus aethiopum</i>): A decision making tool.. African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development, 2010, 10, .	0.2	2
6	Quantitative perceptual differences among over-the-counter vaginal products using a standardized methodology: implications for microbicide development. Contraception, 2011, 84, 184-193.	1.5	18
8	Importance of fruit variability in the assessment of apple quality by sensory evaluation. Postharvest Biology and Technology, 2013, 77, 67-74.	6.0	35
9	Effect of canola oil emulsion injection on processing characteristics and consumer acceptability of three muscles from mature beef. Meat Science, 2013, 93, 322-328.	5.5	13
10	Evaluation of Unsaturated Alkanoic Acid Amides as Maskers of Epigallocatechin Gallate Astringency. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 2013, 61, 4242-4249.	5.2	13
11	Identification, Quantification, and Sensory Characterization of Steviol Glycosides from Differently Processed <i>Stevia rebaudiana</i> Commercial Extracts. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 2014, 62, 11797-11804.	5.2	47
12	Sensory Science. , 2014, , 80-101.		3
13	Effectiveness of palate cleansers on various alcoholic beverages. Journal of the Institute of Brewing, 2015, 121, 474-480.	2.3	7
14	Understanding the effect of novel approaches based on ultrasound on sensory profile of orange juice. Ultrasonics Sonochemistry, 2015, 27, 87-95.	8.2	41
15	Exposure of fluid milk to LED light negatively affects consumer perception and alters underlying sensory properties. Journal of Dairy Science, 2016, 99, 4309-4324.	3.4	19
16	Does Data Collection Device Affect Sensory Descriptive Analysis Results?. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2016, 31, 275-282.	1.6	5
17	Quantitative (Technical) Wine Assessment. , 2017, , 137-252.		0
20	Exposure to light-emitting diodes may be more damaging to the sensory properties of fat-free milk than exposure to fluorescent light. Journal of Dairy Science, 2018, 101, 154-163.	3.4	13
21	Do panelists memorize products when performing descriptive analysis on few products?. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2018, 33, e12305.	1.6	5

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
22	Selecting optimal mixtures of natural sweeteners for carbonated soft drinks through multi-objective decision modeling and sensory validation. <i>Journal of Sensory Studies</i> , 2018, 33, e12466.	1.6	11
23	2010–2015: How have conventional descriptive analysis methods really been used? A systematic review of publications. <i>Food Quality and Preference</i> , 2019, 71, 1-7.	4.6	24
24	Utilizing Herbs and Microwave-Assisted Thermal Sterilization to Enhance Saltiness Perception in a Chicken Pasta Meal. <i>Journal of Food Science</i> , 2019, 84, 2313-2324.	3.1	25
25	A Comparison of Two Sensory Panels Trained with Different Feedback Calibration Range Specifications via Sensory Description of Five Beers. <i>Foods</i> , 2019, 8, 534.	4.3	6
26	Performance of beer sensory panels: A comparison of experience level, product knowledge, and responsiveness to feedback calibration. <i>Journal of Sensory Studies</i> , 2019, 34, e12540.	1.6	6
28	Descriptive Analysis. <i>Food Science Text Series</i> , 2010, , 227-257.	0.3	68
32	Development of a Descriptive Profile and References for the Assessment of Taste and Mouthfeel Descriptors of Protected Designation of Origin Wines. <i>Foods</i> , 2022, 11, 2970.	4.3	3
33	Quantitative (Technical) Wine Assessment. , 2023, , 153-272.		0
34	A perspective on the evolution of descriptive methods. , 2023, , 131-138.		0
35	Evaluation of a sensory and cognitive online training tool for odor recognition in professional coffee tasters. <i>Journal of Sensory Studies</i> , 0, , .	1.6	0
36	Sensory profiling of natural sweeteners and sucrose-sweetener binary mixtures. <i>Journal of Food Science</i> , 0, , .	3.1	1
37	The effects of gamification on engagement and response accuracy in discriminatory sensory testing. <i>Food Quality and Preference</i> , 2023, 109, 104903.	4.6	0