

# Attention to Surfaces Modulates Motion Processing in F

Neuron

54, 639-651

DOI: [10.1016/j.neuron.2007.05.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2007.05.001)

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Switching Attention without Shifting the Spotlight: Object-Based Attentional Modulation of Brain Potentials. <i>Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience</i> , 1998, 10, 137-151.	1.1	214
2	Attention to objects made of features. <i>Trends in Cognitive Sciences</i> , 2007, 11, 453-454.	4.0	6
3	Visual attention: of features and transparent surfaces. <i>Trends in Cognitive Sciences</i> , 2007, 11, 451-453.	4.0	13
4	Early interactions between neuronal adaptation and voluntary control determine perceptual choices in bistable vision. <i>Journal of Vision</i> , 2008, 8, 16.	0.1	83
5	General Validity of Levelt's Propositions Reveals Common Computational Mechanisms for Visual Rivalry. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2008, 3, e3473.	1.1	55
6	Attention to the color of a moving stimulus modulates motion-signal processing in macaque area MT: evidence for a unified attentional system. <i>Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience</i> , 2009, 3, 12.	1.2	63
7	Multisensory Congruency as a Mechanism for Attentional Control over Perceptual Selection. <i>Journal of Neuroscience</i> , 2009, 29, 11641-11649.	1.7	120
8	Combining spatial and feature-based attention within the receptive field of MT neurons. <i>Vision Research</i> , 2009, 49, 1188-1193.	0.7	49
9	Motion opponency and transparency in the human middle temporal area. <i>European Journal of Neuroscience</i> , 2009, 30, 1172-1182.	1.2	11
10	Stochastic variations in sensory awareness are driven by noisy neuronal adaptation: evidence from serial correlations in perceptual bistability. <i>Journal of the Optical Society of America A: Optics and Image Science, and Vision</i> , 2009, 26, 2612.	0.8	96
11	Does feature similarity facilitate attentional selection?. <i>Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics</i> , 2010, 72, 2128-2143.	0.7	7
12	A model of neural mechanisms in monocular transparent motion perception. <i>Journal of Physiology (Paris)</i> , 2010, 104, 71-83.	2.1	10
13	Exploring the mechanisms underlying surface-based stimulus selection. <i>Vision Research</i> , 2010, 50, 229-241.	0.7	7
14	Selective attention modulates electrical responses to reversals of optic-flow direction. <i>Vision Research</i> , 2010, 50, 750-760.	0.7	2
15	Integration of structure-from-motion and symmetry during surface perception. <i>Journal of Vision</i> , 2010, 10, 1-17.	0.1	11
16	Reconciling Coherent Oscillation with Modulation of Irregular Spiking Activity in Selective Attention: Gamma-Range Synchronization between Sensory and Executive Cortical Areas. <i>Journal of Neuroscience</i> , 2010, 30, 2856-2870.	1.7	66
17	Object-based Selection of Irrelevant Features Is Not Confined to the Attended Object. <i>Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience</i> , 2011, 23, 2231-2239.	1.1	24
18	Automatic spread of attentional response modulation along Gestalt criteria in primary visual cortex. <i>Nature Neuroscience</i> , 2011, 14, 1243-1244.	7.1	132

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Visual attention: The past 25 years. <i>Vision Research</i> , 2011, 51, 1484-1525.	0.7	1,874
20	Object-based attention to one of two superimposed surfaces alters responses in human early visual cortex. <i>Journal of Neurophysiology</i> , 2011, 105, 1258-1265.	0.9	32
21	Lateralized reward-related visual discrimination in the avian entopallium. <i>European Journal of Neuroscience</i> , 2012, 35, 1337-1343.	1.2	41
22	Contrast Dependence of Smooth Pursuit Eye Movements following a Saccade to Superimposed Targets. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2012, 7, e37888.	1.1	7
23	Feature-based attention spreads preferentially in an object-specific manner. <i>Vision Research</i> , 2012, 54, 31-38.	0.7	8
24	Object-based attention: A tutorial review. <i>Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics</i> , 2012, 74, 784-802.	0.7	165
25	The spread of attention across features of a surface. <i>Journal of Neurophysiology</i> , 2013, 110, 2426-2439.	0.9	26
26	Effects of task and attentional selection on responses in human visual cortex. <i>Journal of Neurophysiology</i> , 2013, 109, 2606-2617.	0.9	10
27	Visual attention and flexible normalization pools. <i>Journal of Vision</i> , 2013, 13, 25-25.	0.1	24
28	Neural Mechanisms of Feature-Based Attention. , 2014, , 123-135.		26
29	Effects of Spatial and Feature Attention on Disparity-Rendered Structure-From-Motion Stimuli in the Human Visual Cortex. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2014, 9, e100074.	1.1	1
30	Feature integration and object representations along the dorsal stream visual hierarchy. <i>Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience</i> , 2014, 8, 84.	1.2	55
31	Attentional spreading to task-irrelevant object features: experimental support and a 3-step model of attention for object-based selection and feature-based processing modulation. <i>Frontiers in Human Neuroscience</i> , 2014, 8, 414.	1.0	12
32	Pupil size reflects the focus of feature-based attention. <i>Journal of Neurophysiology</i> , 2014, 112, 3046-3052.	0.9	76
33	Object-based attention involves the sequential activation of feature-specific cortical modules. <i>Nature Neuroscience</i> , 2014, 17, 619-624.	7.1	82
34	Stimulus competition mediates the joint effects of spatial and feature-based attention. <i>Journal of Vision</i> , 2015, 15, 7.	0.1	33
35	Feature attention for binocular disparity in primate area MT depends on tuning strength. <i>Journal of Neurophysiology</i> , 2015, 113, 1545-1555.	0.9	12
36	Distributed and Dynamic Neural Encoding of Multiple Motion Directions of Transparently Moving Stimuli in Cortical Area MT. <i>Journal of Neuroscience</i> , 2015, 35, 16180-16198.	1.7	26

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Seeing without knowing: task relevance dissociates between visual awareness and recognition. <i>Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences</i> , 2015, 1339, 125-137.	1.8	24
38	Task-specific, dimension-based attentional shaping of motion processing in monkey area MT. <i>Journal of Neurophysiology</i> , 2017, 118, 1542-1555.	0.9	18
39	Scaling up visual attention and visual working memory to the real world. <i>Psychology of Learning and Motivation - Advances in Research and Theory</i> , 2019, 70, 29-69.	0.5	10
40	Motion adaptation and attention: A critical review and meta-analysis. <i>Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews</i> , 2019, 96, 290-301.	2.9	5
41	Parallel attentional facilitation of features and objects in early visual cortex. <i>Psychophysiology</i> , 2020, 57, e13498.	1.2	12
42	Spatial Arrangement Drastically Changes the Neural Representation of Multiple Visual Stimuli That Compete in More Than One Feature Domain. <i>Journal of Neuroscience</i> , 2020, 40, 1834-1848.	1.7	3
43	Cholinergic manipulations affect sensory responses but not attentional enhancement in macaque MT. <i>BMC Biology</i> , 2021, 19, 49.	1.7	8
44	Rhythmic Neuronal Synchronization Subserves Selective Attentional Processing. <i>Research and Perspectives in Neurosciences</i> , 2011, , 109-132.	0.4	6
45	The effects of attention and adaptation duration on the motion aftereffect.. <i>Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance</i> , 2018, 44, 1805-1814.	0.7	6
46	When is object-based attention not based on objects?. <i>Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance</i> , 2019, 45, 1062-1082.	0.7	13
47	Object-Based Attention. , 2008, , 281-290.		0
48	Attention encourages directional suppression in bivectorial motion fields. <i>Korean Journal of Cognitive and Biological Psychology</i> , 2009, 21, 57-71.	0.0	0
49	Feature- and Object-Based Attention. , 2014, , 107-122.		0
52	Object-Based Attention. , 2020, , 524-531.		0
53	Behavioral and ERP evidence that object-based attention utilizes fine-grained spatial mechanisms. <i>Cortex</i> , 2022, 151, 89-104.	1.1	0