

DNA commission of the International Society of Forensics
the interpretation of mixtures

Forensic Science International

160, 90-101

DOI: [10.1016/j.forsciint.2006.04.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2006.04.009)

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
2	The prevalence of mixed DNA profiles in fingernail samples taken from individuals in the general population. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2007, 1, 62-68.	1.6	49
3	Interpretation of DNA mixturesâ€”European consensus on principles. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2007, 1, 291-292.	1.6	25
4	<i>Forensic Science. Analytical Chemistry</i> , 2007, 79, 4365-4384.	3.2	36
5	Isolating cells from non-sperm cellular mixtures using the PALMÂ® microlaser micro dissection system. <i>Forensic Science International</i> , 2007, 173, 93-96.	1.3	29
6	LCN DNA: proof beyond reasonable doubt? â€” a response. <i>Nature Reviews Genetics</i> , 2008, 9, 726-726.	7.7	7
7	National recommendations of the Technical UK DNA working group on mixture interpretation for the NDNAD and for court going purposes. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2008, 2, 76-82.	1.6	50
8	A discussion of the merits of random man not excluded and likelihood ratios. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2008, 2, 343-348.	1.6	57
9	Forensic application of a multiplex PCR system for the typing of pig STRs. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series</i> , 2008, 1, 614-615.	0.1	6
10	GEP-ISFG proficiency testing programs: 2007 update. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series</i> , 2008, 1, 674-676.	0.1	3
11	Interpretation of complex DNA profiles using Tippett plots. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series</i> , 2008, 1, 646-648.	0.1	17
12	Paternal exclusion: allele sharing in microsatellite testing. <i>Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine</i> , 2008, 46, 1586-8.	1.4	5
13	Fundamentals of Statistical Evidenceâ€”A Primer for Legal Professionals. <i>International Journal of Evidence and Proof</i> , 2008, 12, 181-207.	0.2	5
14	Chapter 28 Forensic DNA typing technologies: a review. <i>Handbook of Analytical Separations</i> , 2008, 6, 945-957.	0.8	2
16	An Information Gap in DNA Evidence Interpretation. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2009, 4, e8327.	1.1	58
17	Match likelihood ratio for uncertain genotypes. <i>Law, Probability and Risk</i> , 2009, 8, 289-302.	1.2	11
18	Public Access to Genome-Wide Data: Five Views on Balancing Research with Privacy and Protection. <i>PLoS Genetics</i> , 2009, 5, e1000665.	1.5	71
19	Impact of allelic dropout on evidential value of forensic DNA profiles using RMNE. <i>Bioinformatics</i> , 2009, 25, 225-229.	1.8	18
20	The German Stain Commission: recommendations for the interpretation of mixed stains. <i>International Journal of Legal Medicine</i> , 2009, 123, 1-5.	1.2	50

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
21	Comments on the review of low copy number testing. <i>International Journal of Legal Medicine</i> , 2009, 123, 535-536.	1.2	10
22	Investigative strategy for the forensic detection of sperm traces. <i>Forensic Science, Medicine, and Pathology</i> , 2009, 5, 182-188.	0.6	20
23	Higher Capillary Electrophoresis Injection Settings as an Efficient Approach to Increase the Sensitivity of STR Typing. <i>Journal of Forensic Sciences</i> , 2009, 54, 591-598.	0.9	50
24	Mixture Interpretation: Defining the Relevant Features for Guidelines for the Assessment of Mixed DNA Profiles in Forensic Casework*. <i>Journal of Forensic Sciences</i> , 2009, 54, 810-821.	0.9	126
25	Characterisation of forward stutter in the AmpFISTR® SGM Plus® PCR. <i>Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society</i> , 2009, 49, 24-31.	1.3	38
26	Forensic Science. <i>Analytical Chemistry</i> , 2009, 81, 4695-4711.	3.2	23
28	Validation of an STR peak area model. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2009, 3, 193-199.	1.6	21
29	Estimating the probability of allelic drop-out of STR alleles in forensic genetics. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2009, 3, 222-226.	1.6	104
30	Interpreting low template DNA profiles. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2009, 4, 1-10.	1.6	167
31	Searching a DNA databank with complex mixtures from two individuals. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series</i> , 2009, 2, 464-465.	0.1	3
32	RMNE probability of forensic DNA profiles with allelic drop-out. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series</i> , 2009, 2, 462-463.	0.1	6
34	Wildlife DNA forensicsâ€”bridging the gap between conservation genetics and law enforcement. <i>Endangered Species Research</i> , 2009, 9, 179-195.	1.2	156
35	Molecular genetic identification of skeletal remains from the Second World War Konfin I mass grave in Slovenia. <i>International Journal of Legal Medicine</i> , 2010, 124, 307-317.	1.2	72
36	Interpreting Y chromosome STR haplotype mixture. <i>Legal Medicine</i> , 2010, 12, 137-143.	0.6	22
37	Forensic trace DNA: a review. <i>Investigative Genetics</i> , 2010, 1, 14.	3.3	249
38	Commentary on: Budowle B, Onorato AJ, Callaghan TF, Della Manna A, Gross AM, Guerrieri RA, Luttmann JC, McClure DL. Mixture interpretation: defining the relevant features for guidelines for the assessment of mixed DNA profiles in forensic casework. <i>J Forensic Sci</i> 2009;54(4):810-821. PETER GILL AND JOHN BUCKLETON. <i>Journal of Forensic Sciences</i> . 2010. 55. 265-268.	0.9	17
39	Inclusion Probabilities and Dropout. <i>Journal of Forensic Sciences</i> , 2010, 55, 1171-1173.	0.9	29
40	Evaluating the Weight of Evidence by Using Quantitative Short Tandem Repeat Data in DNA Mixtures. <i>Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series C: Applied Statistics</i> , 2010, 59, 855-874.	0.5	22

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
42	Forensic Challenges. , 2010, , 315-339.		6
43	Validating TrueAllele® DNA Mixture Interpretation. SSRN Electronic Journal, 2010, , .	0.4	1
44	Authentication of forensic DNA samples. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2010, 4, 95-103.	1.6	57
45	Examination of the variability in mixed DNA profile parameters for the Identifiler®,¢ multiplex. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2010, 4, 111-114.	1.6	51
46	A universal strategy to interpret DNA profiles that does not require a definition of low-copy-number. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2010, 4, 221-227.	1.6	76
47	A practical model to explain results of comparative DNA testing in court. Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series, 2011, 3, e325-e326.	0.1	9
48	Likelihood ratio statistics for DNA mixtures allowing for drop-out and drop-in. Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series, 2011, , .	0.1	3
49	Investigative DNA databases that preserve identification information. Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series, 2011, 3, e484-e485.	0.1	1
51	Probabilistic expert systems for handling artifacts in complex DNA mixtures. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2011, 5, 202-209.	1.6	47
52	Estimating drop-out probabilities in forensic DNA samples: A simulation approach to evaluate different models. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2011, 5, 525-531.	1.6	34
53	Further Comment on "Low copy number typing has yet to achieve "general acceptance" by Budowle, B., et al, 2009. Forensic Sci. Int. Genetics: Supplement Series 2, 551-552. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2011, 5, 7-11.	1.6	11
54	Comment on "Low copy number typing has yet to achieve "general acceptance" by Budowle, B., et al., 2009. Forensic Sci. Int. Genetics: Supplement Series 2, 551-552. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2011, 5, 3-4.	1.6	7
55	Determination of the variables affecting mixed MiniFiler®,¢ DNA profiles. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2011, 5, 381-385.	1.6	29
56	Forensic identification of an individual in complex DNA mixtures. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2011, 5, 428-435.	1.6	46
57	Individual specific extraction of DNA from male mixtures"First evaluation studies. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2011, 5, 117-121.	1.6	10
58	Avoiding Errors and Pitfalls in Evidence Sampling for Forensic Genetics. , 2011, , .		2
59	Population Genetic Considerations in Statistical Interpretation of Microbial Forensic Data in Comparison with Human DNA Forensic Standard. , 2011, , 561-580.		1
60	Confidence Interval of the Likelihood Ratio Associated with Mixed Stain DNA Evidence*. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2011, 56, S166-71.	0.9	25

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
61	Validating TrueAllele [®] DNA Mixture Interpretation*. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2011, 56, 1430-1447.	0.9	204
62	Subjectivity and bias in forensic DNA mixture interpretation. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2011, 51, 204-208.	1.3	244
63	The evidentiary values of "cold hits" in a DNA database search on two-person mixture. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2011, 51, 10-15.	1.3	6
64	The Need for Caution in the Use of DNA Evidence to Avoid Convicting the Innocent. International Journal of Evidence and Proof, 2011, 15, 245-257.	0.2	6
65	How clear is transparent? Reporting expert reasoning in legal cases. Law, Probability and Risk, 2012, 11, 317-329.	1.2	16
66	Genetic Justice and Transformations of Criminal Procedure. Nordic Journal of Criminology, 2012, 13, 3-21.	1.0	3
67	Identifying Contributors of DNA Mixtures by Means of Quantitative Information of STR Typing. Journal of Computational Biology, 2012, 19, 887-902.	0.8	20
68	Kinship Analysis with Diallelic SNPs – Experiences with the SNP-ID Multiplex in an ISO17025 Accredited Laboratory. Transfusion Medicine and Hemotherapy, 2012, 39, 195-201.	0.7	23
69	A stochastic model of the processes in PCR based amplification of STR DNA in forensic applications. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2012, 6, 17-25.	1.6	42
70	Joint Bayesian analysis of forensic mixtures. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2012, 6, 735-748.	1.6	13
71	DNA commission of the International Society of Forensic Genetics: Recommendations on the evaluation of STR typing results that may include drop-out and/or drop-in using probabilistic methods. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2012, 6, 679-688.	1.6	171
72	Assessment of mock cases involving complex low template DNA mixtures: A descriptive study. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2012, 6, 697-707.	1.6	26
73	Comparison of different interpretation strategies for low template DNA mixtures. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2012, 6, 716-722.	1.6	31
74	Validation of a DNA mixture statistics tool incorporating allelic drop-out and drop-in. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2012, 6, 749-761.	1.6	52
75	Discussion paper: Hard cases make bad law – reactions to R v T. Law, Probability and Risk, 2012, 11, 347-359.	1.2	23
76	A comparison of stochastic variation in mixed and unmixed casework and synthetic samples. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2012, 6, 180-184.	1.6	35
77	The interpretation of low level DNA mixtures. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2012, 6, 191-197.	1.6	30
78	Composite profiles in DNA analysis. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2012, 6, 317-321.	1.6	34

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
79	Conservation of endemic and threatened wildlife: Molecular forensic DNA against poaching of the Cypriot mouflon (<i>Ovis orientalis ophion</i> , Bovidae). <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2012, 6, 671-675.	1.6	22
80	Performance of two 17 locus forensic identification STR kits—Applied Biosystems's AmpFâ„“STRâ„“ NGMSelectâ„“ and Promega's PowerPlexâ„“ ESI17 kits. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2012, 6, 523-531.	1.6	26
81	Assessment of the stochastic threshold, back- and forward stutter filters and low template techniques for NGM. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2012, 6, 708-715.	1.6	41
82	Exploratory data analysis for the interpretation of low template DNA mixtures. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2012, 6, 762-774.	1.6	102
83	Focus issue—Analysis and biostatistical interpretation of complex and low template DNA samples. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2012, 6, 677-678.	1.6	13
84	Opening the DNA black box: demythologizing forensic genetics. <i>New Genetics and Society</i> , 2012, 31, 259-270.	0.7	28
85	Quality Assurance and Validation. , 2012, , 167-211.		1
86	Interpretation Guidelines for Mixed-STR Multilocus Electrophoretic Profiles. <i>Methods in Molecular Biology</i> , 2012, 830, 213-229.	0.4	0
87	Low-Level DNA Testing. , 2012, , 311-346.		6
88	Mixture Interpretation (Interpretation of Mixed DNA Profiles with STRs Only). , 2013, , 243-251.		1
89	Significance. , 2013, , 295-299.		0
90	History of the International Society for Forensic Genetics — ISFG. , 2013, , 365-368.		0
91	Evaluating forensic DNA profiles using peak heights, allowing for multiple donors, allelic dropout and stutters. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2013, 7, 555-563.	1.6	73
92	Example of human individual identification from World War II gravesite. <i>Forensic Science International</i> , 2013, 233, 179-192.	1.3	31
93	Consensus and pool profiles to assist in the analysis and interpretation of complex low template DNA mixtures. <i>International Journal of Legal Medicine</i> , 2013, 127, 11-23.	1.2	32
94	The Ge.F.I. DNA Proficiency Test: Year-one experience. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series</i> , 2013, 4, e27-e28.	0.1	2
95	Mixture interpretation: Experimental and simulated reevaluation of qualitative analysis. <i>Legal Medicine</i> , 2013, 15, 66-71.	0.6	9
96	Considering the effect of stem-loop reverse transcription and real-time PCR analysis of blood and saliva specific microRNA markers upon mixed body fluid stains. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2013, 7, 418-421.	1.6	19

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
97	Investigation into the performance of different models for predicting stutter. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2013, 7, 422-427.	1.6	27
98	DNA mixture genotyping by probabilistic computer interpretation of binomially-sampled laser captured cell populations: Combining quantitative data for greater identification information. <i>Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society</i> , 2013, 53, 103-114.	1.3	34
99	Estimating Y-STR allelic drop-out rates and adjusting for interlocus balances. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2013, 7, 327-336.	1.6	9
100	Analytical Thresholds and Sensitivity: Establishing <sc>RFU</sc> Thresholds for Forensic <sc>DNA</sc> Analysis. <i>Journal of Forensic Sciences</i> , 2013, 58, 120-129.	0.9	54
101	Calculating the Weight of Evidence in Low-Template Forensic <sc>DNA</sc> Casework. <i>Journal of Forensic Sciences</i> , 2013, 58, S243-9.	0.9	27
102	Automated extraction of DNA from biological stains on fabric from crime cases. A comparison of a manual and three automated methods. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2013, 7, 384-388.	1.6	12
103	A new methodological framework to interpret complex DNA profiles using likelihood ratios. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2013, 7, 251-263.	1.6	123
104	External and semi-internal controls for PCR amplification of homologous sequences in mixed templates. <i>Journal of Microbiological Methods</i> , 2013, 95, 285-294.	0.7	9
105	Application of Random Match Probability Calculations to Mixed <sc>STR</sc> Profiles. <i>Journal of Forensic Sciences</i> , 2013, 58, 474-485.	0.9	30
106	New York State True Allele Casework Validation Study. <i>Journal of Forensic Sciences</i> , 2013, 58, 1458-1466.	0.9	18
107	Estimation of parameters in DNA mixture analysis. <i>Journal of Applied Statistics</i> , 2013, 40, 2423-2436.	0.6	8
108	Evaluation of mixed-source, low-template DNA profiles in forensic science. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</i> , 2013, 110, 12241-12246.	3.3	82
109	DNA and the law in Italy: the experience of the Perugia case. <i>Frontiers in Genetics</i> , 2013, 4, 177.	1.1	6
110	TrueAllele Casework on Virginia DNA Mixture Evidence: Computer and Manual Interpretation in 72 Reported Criminal Cases. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2014, 9, e92837.	1.1	16
111	Evaluation of parameters in mixed male DNA profiles for the Identifiler multiplex system. <i>International Journal of Molecular Medicine</i> , 2014, 34, 43-52.	1.8	2
112	Statistical Evaluation of Forensic DNA Profile Evidence. <i>Annual Review of Statistics and Its Application</i> , 2014, 1, 361-384.	4.1	65
113	Current developments in forensic interpretation of mixed DNA samples (Review). <i>Biomedical Reports</i> , 2014, 2, 309-316.	0.9	20
114	Choice of population database for forensic DNA profile analysis. <i>Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society</i> , 2014, 54, 487-493.	1.3	22

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
115	The effect of the uncertainty in the number of contributors to mixed DNA profiles on profile interpretation. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2014, 12, 208-214.	1.6	44
116	Searching mixed DNA profiles directly against profile databases. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2014, 9, 102-110.	1.6	62
117	An illustration of the effect of various sources of uncertainty on DNA likelihood ratio calculations. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2014, 11, 56-63.	1.6	34
118	Analysis of allelic drop-out using the Identifiler [®] and PowerPlex [®] 16 forensic STR typing systems. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2014, 12, 1-11.	1.6	19
119	Panel of polymorphic heterologous microsatellite loci to genotype critically endangered Bengal tiger: a pilot study. <i>SpringerPlus</i> , 2014, 3, 4.	1.2	10
120	DNA extraction for short tandem repeat typing from mixed samples using anti-human leukocyte CD45 and ABO blood group antibodies. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2014, 10, 17-22.	1.6	12
122	LoCIM-tool: An expert's assistant for inferring the major contributor's alleles in mixed consensus DNA profiles. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2014, 11, 154-165.	1.6	20
123	Does an English appeal court ruling increase the risks of miscarriages of justice when complex DNA profiles are searched against the national DNA database?. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2014, 13, 167-175.	1.6	21
124	Verifying likelihoods for low template DNA profiles using multiple replicates. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2014, 13, 82-89.	1.6	25
125	Variability of mixed DNA profiles separated on a 3130 and 3500 capillary electrophoresis instrument. <i>Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences</i> , 2014, 46, 304-312.	0.7	19
126	Helping formulate propositions in forensic DNA analysis. <i>Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society</i> , 2014, 54, 258-261.	1.3	27
127	EuroforGen-NoE collaborative exercise on LRmix to demonstrate standardization of the interpretation of complex DNA profiles. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2014, 9, 47-54.	1.6	50
128	A comparison of statistical models for the analysis of complex forensic DNA profiles. <i>Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society</i> , 2014, 54, 66-70.	1.3	54
129	GHEP-ISFG collaborative exercise on mixture profiles of autosomal STRs (GHEP-MIX01, GHEP-MIX02 and) Tj ETQq1 1 0.784314 rgBT /Ov 1.6 14	1.6	14
130	Stochastic [™] effects at balanced mixtures: A calibration study. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2014, 8, 113-125.	1.6	4
131	Characterising the STR locus D6S1043 and examination of its effect on stutter rates. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2014, 8, 20-23.	1.6	21
132	TrueAllele [®] Genotype Identification on DNA Mixtures Containing up to Five Unknown Contributors. <i>Journal of Forensic Sciences</i> , 2015, 60, 857-868.	0.9	25
134	Lab Retriever: a software tool for calculating likelihood ratios incorporating a probability of drop-out for forensic DNA profiles. <i>BMC Bioinformatics</i> , 2015, 16, 298.	1.2	40

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
135	Establishing the Limits of TrueAllele [®] Casework: A Validation Study. <i>Journal of Forensic Sciences</i> , 2015, 60, 1263-1276.	0.9	16
137	Technological Innovations in Forensic Genetics: Social, Legal and Ethical Aspects. <i>Recent Advances in DNA & Gene Sequences</i> , 2015, 8, 98-103.	0.7	25
138	Analysis of Forensic DNA Mixtures with Artefacts. <i>Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series C: Applied Statistics</i> , 2015, 64, 1-48.	0.5	90
139	Complex DNA mixture analysis: Report of two cases. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series</i> , 2015, 5, e664-e666.	0.1	0
140	Effect of multiple allelic drop-outs in forensic RMNE calculations. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2015, 19, 243-249.	1.6	4
141	Inclusion probability with dropout: An operational formula. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2015, 16, 71-76.	1.6	5
142	p-Values should not be used for evaluating the strength of DNA evidence. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2015, 16, 226-231.	1.6	14
143	Investigating a common approach to DNA profile interpretation using probabilistic software. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2015, 16, 121-131.	1.6	24
144	Genotyping and interpretation of STR-DNA: Low-template, mixtures and database matches—Twenty years of research and development. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2015, 18, 100-117.	1.6	116
145	U.S. initiatives to strengthen forensic science & international standards in forensic DNA. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2015, 18, 4-20.	1.6	41
146	Genolab—Forensic biostatistics with cloud computing. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series</i> , 2015, 5, e438-e440.	0.1	0
147	The effect of varying the number of contributors on likelihood ratios for complex DNA mixtures. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2015, 19, 92-99.	1.6	36
148	Uncertainty in the number of contributors in the proposed new CODIS set. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2015, 19, 207-211.	1.6	33
149	The open-source software LRmix can be used to analyse SNP mixtures. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series</i> , 2015, 5, e50-e51.	0.1	13
150	Novel forensic DNA profiling metrics for a universal quantitative validation scheme. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series</i> , 2015, 5, e360-e362.	0.1	1
151	Complex DNA mixture analysis in a forensic context: Evaluating the probative value using a likelihood ratio model. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2015, 16, 17-25.	1.6	37
152	FACS separation of non-compromised forensically relevant biological mixtures. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2015, 14, 194-200.	1.6	45
153	Development of an Italian RM Y-STR haplotype database: Results of the 2013 GEFI collaborative exercise. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2015, 15, 56-63.	1.6	35

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
154	DNA Mixtures. , 2015, , 129-158.		1
155	Low-Level DNA and Complex Mixtures. , 2015, , 159-182.		2
156	DNA Mixture Statistics. , 2015, , 309-332.		2
157	Coping with Potential Missing Alleles. , 2015, , 333-348.		0
158	Laboratory Reports. , 2015, , 445-495.		0
160	Efficient computations with the likelihood ratio distribution. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2015, 14, 116-124.	1.6	29
161	A series of recommended tests when validating probabilistic DNA profile interpretation software. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2015, 14, 125-131.	1.6	36
164	Mitochondrial DNA typing of laser-captured single sperm cells to differentiate individuals in a mixed semen stain. Electrophoresis, 2016, 37, 2273-2277.	1.3	3
165	Evaluation of forensic DNA mixture evidence: protocol for evaluation, interpretation, and statistical calculations using the combined probability of inclusion. BMC Genetics, 2016, 17, 125.	2.7	76
168	A Practical Guide for the Formulation of Propositions in the Bayesian Approach to DNA Evidence Interpretation in an Adversarial Environment. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2016, 61, 186-195.	0.9	37
170	Application of DNA-based forensic analysis for the detection of homologous transfusion of whole blood and of red blood cell concentrates in doping control. Forensic Science International, 2016, 265, 204-210.	1.3	10
171	Developmental validation of STRmix [®] , expert software for the interpretation of forensic DNA profiles. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2016, 23, 226-239.	1.6	110
172	DNA Commission of the International Society for Forensic Genetics: Recommendations on the validation of software programs performing biostatistical calculations for forensic genetics applications. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2016, 25, 191-197.	1.6	72
173	About the number of contributors to a forensic sample. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2016, 25, e18-e19.	1.6	11
174	Cale CM, Earll ME, Latham KE, Bush GL. Could Secondary DNA Transfer Falsely Place Someone at the Scene of a Crime? J Forensic Sci 2016;61(1):196-203. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2016, 61, 1401-1402.	0.9	7
175	Evaluation of low-template DNA profiles using peak heights. Statistical Applications in Genetics and Molecular Biology, 2016, 15, 431-445.	0.2	27
176	Quality Assurance, Recommendations and Standards. Security Science and Technology, 2016, , 583-596.	0.5	0
178	An assessment of the information content of likelihood ratios derived from complex mixtures. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2016, 22, 64-72.	1.6	7

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
179	Justiciability of Human Rights Law in Domestic Jurisdictions. , 2016, , .		8
180	Does the use of probabilistic genotyping change the way we should view sub-threshold data?. Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2017, 49, 78-92.	0.7	11
181	A guideline for the validation of likelihood ratio methods used for forensic evidence evaluation. Forensic Science International, 2017, 276, 142-153.	1.3	99
182	Evaluation of direct and indirect ethanol biomarkers using a likelihood ratio approach to identify chronic alcohol abusers for forensic purposes. Forensic Science International, 2017, 271, 13-22.	1.3	20
183	Evaluation of the Precision ID Ancestry Panel for crime case work: A SNP typing assay developed for typing of 165 ancestral informative markers. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2017, 28, 138-145.	1.6	82
184	Sensitive DIP-STR markers for the analysis of unbalanced mixtures from "touch" DNA samples. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2017, 28, 111-117.	1.6	33
185	Isolation and genetic analysis of pure cells from forensic biological mixtures: The precision of a digital approach. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2017, 29, 225-241.	1.6	53
186	Back to the Future - Part 2. Post-mortem assessment and evolutionary role of the bio-medicolegal sciences. International Journal of Legal Medicine, 2017, 131, 1085-1101.	1.2	17
187	A phylogenetic approach for haplotype analysis of sequence data from complex mitochondrial mixtures. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2017, 30, 93-105.	1.6	39
189	The implications of shedder status and background DNA on direct and secondary transfer in an attack scenario. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2017, 29, 48-60.	1.6	80
190	Fairness in evaluating DNA mixtures. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2017, 27, 186.	1.6	6
191	GenoProof Mixture 3 "New software and process to resolve complex DNA mixtures. Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series, 2017, 6, e549-e551.	0.1	19
192	An investigation of a set of DIP-STR markers to detect unbalanced DNA mixtures among the southwest Chinese Han population. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2017, 31, 34-39.	1.6	21
193	The paradigm shift in DNA profile interpretation. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2017, 31, e24-e32.	1.6	15
194	What is the error margin of your signature analysis?. Forensic Science International, 2017, 281, e1-e8.	1.3	14
195	A response to "About the number of Contributors to a forensic sample" Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2017, 26, e9-e13.	1.6	7
196	Results of an inter and intra laboratory exercise on the assessment of complex autosomal DNA profiles. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2017, 57, 21-27.	1.3	13
197	- Other DNA techniques including mitochondrial DNA. , 2017, , 136-149.		0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
198	- History of forensic DNA profiling in criminal investigations. , 2017, , 20-31.		0
200	Development and validation of open-source software for DNA mixture interpretation based on a quantitative continuous model. PLoS ONE, 2017, 12, e0188183.	1.1	42
201	Bio-Medicolegal Disciplines and Scientific Evidence. , 2017, , 48-73.		0
202	A 472-SNP panel for pairwise kinship testing of second-degree relatives. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2018, 34, 178-185.	1.6	37
203	Validation of haplotype-specific extraction for separating a mitochondrial DNA model mixture and application to simulated casework. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2018, 35, 57-64.	1.6	4
204	Implementation and validation of an improved allele specific stutter filtering method for electropherogram interpretation. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2018, 35, 50-56.	1.6	16
205	A retrospective study of sexual offences in Zambia. Journal of Clinical Forensic and Legal Medicine, 2018, 54, 23-33.	0.5	5
206	Weight of the evidence of genetic investigations of ancestry informative markers. Theoretical Population Biology, 2018, 120, 1-10.	0.5	19
207	Sequencing of 231 forensic genetic markers using the MiSeq FGxâ„¢ forensic genomics systemÂ€ˆAn evaluation of the assay and software. Forensic Sciences Research, 2018, 3, 111-123.	0.9	37
208	OzPythonPlex: An optimised forensic STR multiplex assay set for the Australasian carpet python (Morelia spilota). Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2018, 34, 231-248.	1.6	17
209	Forensic DNA Typing. Annual Review of Criminology, 2018, 1, 497-515.	2.1	32
210	Forensic evaluation of STR typing reliability in lung cancer. Legal Medicine, 2018, 30, 38-41.	0.6	17
211	Estimation of the number of contributors of theoretical mixture profiles based on allele counting: Does increasing the number of loci increase success rate of estimates?. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2018, 33, 24-32.	1.6	14
212	Four model variants within a continuous forensic DNA mixture interpretation framework: Effects on evidential inference and reporting. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0207599.	1.1	13
213	Sharing data on DNA transfer, persistence, prevalence and recovery: Arguments for harmonization and standardization. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2018, 37, 260-269.	1.6	33
214	Determination of the possible number of genotypes which can contribute to DNA mixtures: Non-computer assisted deconvolution should not be attempted for greater than two person mixtures. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2018, 37, 235-240.	1.6	6
215	Forensic DNA Technological Advancements as an Emerging Perspective on Medico-Legal Autopsy: A Mini Review. , 2018, , .		0
216	NIST interlaboratory studies involving DNA mixtures (MIX13): A modern analysis. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2018, 37, 172-179.	1.6	35

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
217	A response to "Likelihood ratio as weight of evidence: A closer look" by Lund and Iyer. Forensic Science International, 2018, 288, e15-e19.	1.3	12
218	GHEP-ISFG collaborative exercise on mixture profiles (GHEP-MIX06). Reporting conclusions: Results and evaluation. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2018, 35, 156-163.	1.6	24
219	Contributors are a nuisance (parameter) for DNA mixture evidence evaluation. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2018, 37, 116-125.	1.6	29
220	Separation/extraction, detection, and interpretation of DNA mixtures in forensic science (review). International Journal of Legal Medicine, 2018, 132, 1247-1261.	1.2	20
221	Evaluating DNA evidence in a genetically complex population. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2018, 36, 141-147.	1.6	5
222	DNA commission of the International society for forensic genetics: Assessing the value of forensic biological evidence - Guidelines highlighting the importance of propositions. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2018, 36, 189-202.	1.6	83
223	The forensic value of X-linked markers in mixed-male DNA analysis. International Journal of Legal Medicine, 2018, 132, 1281-1285.	1.2	0
224	NIST interlaboratory studies involving DNA mixtures (MIX05 and MIX13): Variation observed and lessons learned. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2018, 37, 81-94.	1.6	64
225	From unknown to known: Identification of the remains at the mausoleum of fosse Ardeatine. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2018, 58, 469-478.	1.3	12
226	Evaluation of forensic genetics findings given activity level propositions: A review. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2018, 36, 34-49.	1.6	88
227	Whose blood is it? Application of DEPAarray™ technology for the identification of individual/s who contributed blood to a mixed stain. International Journal of Legal Medicine, 2019, 133, 419-426.	1.2	18
228	An assessment of the performance of the probabilistic genotyping software EuroForMix: Trends in likelihood ratios and analysis of Type I & II errors. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2019, 42, 31-38.	1.6	34
229	A retrospective study on the transfer, persistence and recovery of sperm and epithelial cells in samples collected in sexual assault casework. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2019, 43, 102153.	1.6	10
230	Transcriptome variation in human populations and its potential application in forensics. Journal of Applied Genetics, 2019, 60, 319-328.	1.0	3
231	Automated estimation of the number of contributors in autosomal short tandem repeat profiles using a machine learning approach. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2019, 43, 102150.	1.6	18
232	The advances in DNA mixture interpretation. Forensic Science International, 2019, 301, 101-106.	1.3	18
233	Exploring the probative value of mixed DNA profiles. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2019, 41, 1-10.	1.6	14
234	Discrimination of haplotype in mitochondrial DNA mixtures using LNA-mediated PCR clamping. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2019, 41, 58-63.	1.6	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
235	On DNA transfer: The lack and difficulty of systematic research and how to do it better. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2019, 40, 24-36.	1.6	65
236	Deconvolution of blood-blood mixtures using DEPAarray™ separated single cell STR profiling. <i>Rechtsmedizin</i> , 2019, 29, 30-40.	2.6	21
237	Estimating number of contributors in massively parallel sequencing data of STR loci. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2019, 38, 15-22.	1.6	15
238	Separation of SNP profiles from DNA mixtures with two contributors via massively parallel sequencing technology. <i>Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences</i> , 2020, 52, 537-546.	0.7	2
239	DNA commission of the International society for forensic genetics: Assessing the value of forensic biological evidence - Guidelines highlighting the importance of propositions. Part II: Evaluation of biological traces considering activity level propositions. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2020, 44, 102186.	1.6	59
240	Ancestry prediction efficiency of the software GenoGeographer using a z-score method and the ancestry informative markers in the Precision ID Ancestry Panel. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2020, 44, 102154.	1.6	19
241	DNA Recovery From Tape Lifting Kits: Methodology and Practice. <i>Journal of Forensic Sciences</i> , 2020, 65, 641-648.	0.9	2
242	The MASTiFF panel—a versatile multiple-allele SNP test for forensics. <i>International Journal of Legal Medicine</i> , 2020, 134, 441-450.	1.2	8
243	A multivariate statistical approach for the estimation of the ethnic origin of unknown genetic profiles in forensic genetics. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2020, 45, 102209.	1.6	14
244	EuroForMix. , 2020, , 239-275.		0
246	Interpretation, reporting, and communication. , 2020, , 385-417.		0
247	Allele drop-out and the stochastic threshold. , 2020, , 89-110.		0
248	Low-template DNA. , 2020, , 111-128.		0
249	A qualitative (semi-continuous) model: LRmix Studio. , 2020, , 153-179.		0
250	The quantitative (continuous) model theory. , 2020, , 181-238.		1
251	Forensic genetics: the basics. , 2020, , 1-53.		2
252	Evaluation of Forensic Data Using Logistic Regression-Based Classification Methods and an R Shiny Implementation. <i>Frontiers in Chemistry</i> , 2020, 8, 738.	1.8	4
253	Interpreting Mixture Profiles: Comparison Between Precision ID GlobalFiler™, NGS STR Panel v2 and Traditional Methods. <i>Genes</i> , 2020, 11, 591.	1.0	13

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
254	DNA mixture interpretation based on the continuous model. Japanese Journal of Forensic Science and Technology, 2020, 25, 1-14.	0.1	2
255	DIP-microhaplotypes: new markers for detection of unbalanced DNA mixtures. International Journal of Legal Medicine, 2021, 135, 13-21.	1.2	10
256	Rapid semen identification from mixed body fluids using methylation-sensitive high-resolution melting analysis of the DACT1 gene. Legal Medicine, 2021, 48, 101806.	0.6	4
257	Recovery of single source DNA profiles from mixtures by direct single cell subsampling and simplified micromanipulation. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2021, 61, 13-25.	1.3	19
258	The effect of a user selected number of contributors within the LR assignment. Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences, 0, , 1-14.	0.7	1
259	Quality Control Measures in Short Tandem Repeat (STR) Analysis. , 2021, , 1-18.		0
260	A Novel SNP-STR System Based on a Capillary Electrophoresis Platform. Frontiers in Genetics, 2021, 12, 636821.	1.1	11
261	Relaxing the assumption of unrelatedness in the numerator and denominator of likelihood ratios for DNA mixtures. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2021, 51, 102434.	1.6	4
262	Oxidative stress-induced DNA damage and repair in primary human osteoarthritis chondrocytes: focus on IKK β and the DNA Mismatch Repair System. Free Radical Biology and Medicine, 2021, 166, 212-225.	1.3	10
264	Evaluation of the AGCU Expressmarker 30 Kit composed of 31 loci for forensic application. Forensic Science International, 2021, 324, 110849.	1.3	4
265	Developmental validation of FaSTR α , ϕ DNA: Software for the analysis of forensic DNA profiles. Forensic Science International: Reports, 2021, 3, 100217.	0.4	2
266	Examination of the usefulness of next-generation sequencing in mixed DNA samples. Legal Medicine, 2021, 51, 101874.	0.6	4
267	Enhanced mixture interpretation with macrohaplotypes based on long-read DNA sequencing. International Journal of Legal Medicine, 2021, 135, 2189-2198.	1.2	5
268	Examining performance and likelihood ratios for two likelihood ratio systems using the PROVEDIt dataset. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0256714.	1.1	20
269	Assessment of the effectiveness of the EUROFORGEN NAME and Precision ID Ancestry panel markers for ancestry investigations. Scientific Reports, 2021, 11, 18595.	1.6	7
270	Evaluation of probability distribution models for stutter ratios in the typing system of GlobalFiler and 3500xL Genetic Analyzer. Legal Medicine, 2021, 52, 101906.	0.6	1
271	A Review of Probabilistic Genotyping Systems: EuroForMix, DNASTatistX and STRmix α , ϕ . Genes, 2021, 12, 1559.	1.0	25
272	Evaluating Mixture Solution $\hat{\alpha}$, ϕ ” rapid and non-MCMC probabilistic mixture analysis. International Journal of Legal Medicine, 2021, 135, 2275-2284.	1.2	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
273	Searching CODIS with binary conversions of STRmix interpretations. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2021, 55, 102569.	1.6	2
274	A 2b-RAD parentage analysis pipeline for complex and mixed DNA samples. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2021, 55, 102590.	1.6	3
275	MMDIT: A tool for the deconvolution and interpretation of mitochondrial DNA mixtures. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2021, 55, 102568.	1.6	4
276	STRoe deer: A validated forensic STR profiling system for the European roe deer (<i>Capreolus</i>) Tj ETQq1 1 0.784314 rgBT /Overlock 10 T	0.3	7
277	An integrated system for forensic DNA testing of sexual assault cases in the Philippines. Forensic Science International (Online), 2021, 3, 100133.	0.6	3
279	Completion of the MIX 13 case study by evaluation of mock mixtures with the probabilistic genotyping software GenoProof® Mixture 3. Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series, 2019, 7, 589-590.	0.1	1
280	Match Likelihood Ratio for Uncertain Genotypes. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	3
281	An Information Gap in DNA Evidence Interpretation. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	1
282	Analisi dei risultati. , 2010, , 97-118.		0
284	Biological Evidence and Forensic DNA Profiling. , 2010, , 591-672.		0
285	The Applicability of Autosomal Short Tandem Repeats Genotyping for Minor Contributor DNA Profiling from Mixed Saliva Samples. Journal of Forensics Research, 2014, 06, .	0.1	0
287	DNA Evidence Under the Microscope: Why the Presumption of Innocence Is Under Threat in Ireland. , 2016, , 263-287.		0
288	Donors left over blood as a source of genomic DNA for genetic studies. Clinical and Experimental Medical Sciences, 0, 4, 25-32.	0.4	0
289	Development of a new software for estimating credible interval of statistical indexes used for DNA evidence interpretation. Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series, 2019, 7, 600-601.	0.1	0
290	Kinship assignment with the ForenSeq®, DNA Signature Prep Kit: Sources of error in simulated and real cases. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2022, 62, 1-9.	1.3	4
292	Y Chromosome Short Tandem Repeats Typing. , 2020, , 277-300.		0
296	An in-field evaluation of rapid DNA instruments for disaster victim identification. International Journal of Legal Medicine, 2022, 136, 493-499.	1.2	10
297	Using Laboratory Validation to Identify and Establish Limits to the Reliability of Probabilistic Genotyping Systems. , 2021, , 1-23.		0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
298	Total allele count distribution (TAC curves) improves number of contributor estimation for complex DNA mixtures. <i>Journal of the Canadian Society of Forensic Science</i> , 0, , 1-15.	0.7	2
299	Probabilistic genotyping of single cell replicates from complex DNA mixtures recovers higher contributor LR's than standard analysis. <i>Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society</i> , 2022, 62, 156-163.	1.3	10
300	Source level interpretation of mixed biological stains using coding region SNPs. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2022, 59, 102685.	1.6	5
301	Using Laboratory Validation to Identify and Establish Limits to the Reliability of Probabilistic Genotyping Systems. , 2022, , 297-319.		0
302	Quality Control Measures in Short Tandem Repeat (STR) Analysis. , 2022, , 1107-1124.		0
303	Validation of the AGCU Expressmarker 20 + 20Y Kit: A 6-dye multiplex assay for forensic application. <i>Forensic Science International</i> , 2022, , 111342.	1.3	1
304	Quantification of forensic genetic evidence: Comparison of results obtained by qualitative and quantitative software for real casework samples. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2022, 59, 102715.	1.6	3
305	A comprehensive characterization of MPS-STR stutter artefacts. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2022, 60, 102728.	1.6	2
306	A new implementation of a semi-continuous method for DNA mixture interpretation. <i>Forensic Science International: Reports</i> , 2022, 6, 100281.	0.4	0
307	Detection and Analysis of DNA Mixtures with the MiSeq FGx®. <i>Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society</i> , 2022, , .	1.3	0
310	Internal Validation of MaSTRa,,ç Probabilistic Genotyping Software for the Interpretation of 2â€“5 Person Mixed DNA Profiles. <i>Genes</i> , 2022, 13, 1429.	1.0	4
311	Statistical analysis tools of mixture DNA samples: When the same software provides different results. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series</i> , 2022, , .	0.1	0
312	NULL ALLELE CAN BRING TO INTERPRETATIVE PROBLEMS IN A DEFICITARY PATERNITY CASE. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series</i> , 2022, , .	0.1	0
313	DECONVOLUTION RULES A TOOL TO SOLVE A COMPLEX PATERNITY CASE WHERE CHILD WAS CHIMERIC. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series</i> , 2022, , .	0.1	0
314	Compound and Conditioned Likelihood Ratio Behavior within a Probabilistic Genotyping Context. <i>Genes</i> , 2022, 13, 2031.	1.0	3
315	Forty years of research and development on forensic genetics: A bibliometric analysis. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2023, 63, 102826.	1.6	6
316	Genotyping and sequencing of DNA recovered from human skeletal remains using capillary electrophoresis (CE). , 2023, , 285-323.		0
317	Weight of evidence of Y-STR matches computed with the discrete Laplace method: Impact of adding a suspectâ€™s profile to a reference database. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2023, 64, 102839.	1.6	1

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
318	Evaluation of large-scale highly polymorphic microhaplotypes in complex DNA mixtures analysis using RMNE method. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2023, 65, 102874.	1.6	5
319	Impact on touch DNA of an alcohol-based hand sanitizer used in COVID-19 prevention. International Journal of Legal Medicine, 2023, 137, 645-653.	1.2	1
320	Validation of Probabilistic Genotyping Software for Single Cell STR Analysis. Genes, 2023, 14, 674.	1.0	3
321	Likelihood Ratio Calculation Using LRmix Studio. Methods in Molecular Biology, 2023, , 307-328.	0.4	0
325	Role of Forensic DNA Databases in Criminal Identification. , 2023, , 119-127.		0
327	DNA: Statistical Analysis. , 2024, , .		0