Contextual information renders experts vulnerable to r

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Perception of Risk and the Decision to Use Force. Policing (Oxford), 2007, 1, 265-272.	0.9	31
2	Cognitive science and the law. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 2007, 11, 111-117.	4.0	51
3	Scientific validation of fingerprint evidence under Daubert. Law, Probability and Risk, 2007, 7, 87-109.	1.2	44
4	The potential (negative) influence of observational biases at the analysis stage of fingermark individualisation. Forensic Science International, 2007, 167, 116-120.	1.3	44
5	Will the introduction of an emotional context affect fingerprint analysis and decision-making?. Forensic Science International, 2008, 181, 36-39.	1.3	41
6	The â€˜elasticityâ€™ of criminal evidence: a moderator of investigator bias. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2008, 22, 1245-1259.	0.9	72
7	Metaâ€analytically Quantifying the Reliability and Biasability of Forensic Experts. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2008, 53, 900-903.	0.9	136
8	Failed Forensics: How Forensic Science Lost Its Way and How It Might Yet Find It. Annual Review of Law and Social Science, 2008, 4, 149-171.	0.8	58
9	Beyond the individuality of fingerprints: a measure of simulated computer latent print source attribution accuracy. Law, Probability and Risk, 2008, 7, 165-189.	1.2	10
10	Of Black Boxes, Instruments, and Experts: Testing the Validity of Forensic Science. EpistÃ‰mÃ‰, 2008, 5, 343-358.	0.6	32
11	Explaining the Tension between the Supreme Court's Embrace of Validity as the Touchstone of Admissibility of Expert Testimony and Lower Courts' (Seeming) Rejection of Same. EpistÃ‰mÃ‰, 2008, 5, 329-342.	0.6	21
12	Making sense of expert disagreement. , 0, , 133-179.		0
14	Law's Looking Glass: Expert Identification Evidence Derived from Photographic and Video Images. Current Issues in Criminal Justice, 2009, 20, 337-377.	0.8	57
15	On the Preliminary Psychophysics of Fingerprint Identification. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2009, 62, 1023-1040.	0.6	18
16	Cultural consequences of miscarriages of justice. Behavioral Sciences and the Law, 2009, 27, 431-449.	0.6	10
17	The impact of outcome knowledge, role, and quality of information on the perceived legitimacy of lethal force decisions in counterâ€terrorism operations. Behavioral Sciences and the Law, 2010, 28, 337-350.	0.6	6
18	The testimony of forensic identification science: What expert witnesses say and what factfinders hear.. Law and Human Behavior, 2009, 33, 436-453.	0.6	73
20	Testing for Potential Contextual Bias Effects During the Verification Stage of the ACEâ€V Methodology when Conducting Fingerprint Comparisons*. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2009, 54, 571-582.	0.9	86

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
21	A Perspective on Errors, Bias, and Interpretation in the Forensic Sciences and Direction for Continuing Advancement*. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2009, 54, 798-809.	0.9	99
22	Commentary on: Authors' Response [J Forensic Sci 2009;54(2):501] to Wells' comments [J Forensic Sci 2009;54(2):500] regarding Krane DE, Ford S, Gilder JR, Inman K, Jamieson A, Koppl R, Kornfield IL, Risinger DM, Rudin N, Taylor MS, Thompson WC. Sequential unmasking: a means of minimizing observer effects in forensic DNA interpretation.. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2009, 54, 1498-1499.	0.9	2
24	On proper research and understanding of the interplay between bias and decision outcomes. Forensic Science International, 2009, 191, e17-e18.	1.3	25
25	Prime suspect: An examination of factors that aggravate and counteract confirmation bias in criminal investigations.. Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 2009, 15, 315-334.	0.9	92
26	The vision in "blind" justice: Expert perception, judgment, and visual cognition in forensic pattern recognition. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2010, 17, 161-167.	1.4	116
27	Let's find the evidence: an analogue study of confirmation bias in criminal investigations. Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling, 2010, 7, 231-246.	0.4	55
28	Does suggestive information cause a confirmation bias in bullet comparisons?. Forensic Science International, 2010, 198, 138-142.	1.3	40
29	Forensic identification: From a faith-based "Science" to a scientific science. Forensic Science International, 2010, 201, 14-17.	1.3	39
30	Forensic identification evidence. Criminology and Public Policy, 2010, 9, 375-379.	1.8	8
31	Commentary on: Budowle B, Bottrell MC, Bunch SG, Fram R, Harrison D, Meagher S, Oien CT, Peterson PE, Seiger DP,Smith MB, Smrz MA, Soltis GL, Stacey RB. A perspective on errors, bias, and interpretation in the forensic sciences and direction for continuing advancement. J Forensic Sci 2009;54(4):798–809.DAN E. KRANE, SIMON FORD,JASON R. GILDER, KEITH INMAN, ALLAN JAMIESON, ROGER KOPPL, IRVING L. KORNFIELD, D. MICHAEL RISINGER, NORAH RUDIN, MARC SCOTT TAYLOR, AND WILLIAM C. THOMPSON. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2010, 55, 273-274.	0.9	9
32	Emotional Experiences and Motivating Factors Associated with Fingerprint Analysis. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2010, 55, 385-393.	0.9	45
33	Rational bias in forensic science. Law, Probability and Risk, 2010, 9, 69-90.	1.2	39
34	A Battle of Forensic Experts is not a Race to the Bottom. Review of Political Economy, 2010, 22, 235-262.	0.6	15
35	The use of technology in human expert domains: challenges and risks arising from the use of automated fingerprint identification systems in forensic science. Law, Probability and Risk, 2010, 9, 47-67.	1.2	84
36	Organization economics explains many forensic science errors. Journal of Institutional Economics, 2010, 6, 71-81.	1.3	6
37	Observer Effects and Examiner Bias. , 2011, , 61-90.		5
38	The Execution of Cameron Todd Willingham: Junk Science, an Innocent Man, and the Politics of Death. SSRN Electronic Journal, 2011, , .	0.4	0
39	The paradox of human expertise: why experts get it wrong. , 2011, , 177-188.		53

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
40	Naturalistic Decision Making in Forensic Science: Toward a Better Understanding of Decision Making by Forensic Team Leaders. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2011, 56, 890-897.	0.9	14
41	Subjectivity and bias in forensic DNA mixture interpretation. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2011, 51, 204-208.	1.3	244
42	Scientific underpinnings and background to standards and accreditation in digital forensics. Digital Investigation, 2011, 8, 114-121.	3.2	11
43	An experimental study of blind proficiency tests in forensic science. Review of Austrian Economics, 2011, 24, 251-271.	0.7	3
44	Asymmetrical scepticism towards criminal evidence: The role of goal- and belief-consistency. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2011, 25, 541-547.	0.9	19
45	Distribution of the minutiae in the fingerprints of a sample of the Spanish population. Forensic Science International, 2011, 208, 79-90.	1.3	41
46	Cognitive issues in fingerprint analysis: Inter- and intra-expert consistency and the effect of a 'target' comparison. Forensic Science International, 2011, 208, 10-17.	1.3	105
47	Review essay: The building blocks of forensic science and law: Recent work on DNA profiling (and) Tj ETQq1 1 0.784314 rgBT /Overlock	1.5	15
48	The Highs and Lows of Accreditation. Forensic Science Policy and Management, 2011, 2, 75-80.	0.5	3
49	What role should investigative facts play in the evaluation of scientific evidence?. Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2011, 43, 123-134.	0.7	71
50	A CONTEXTUAL APPROACH TO THE ADMISSIBILITY OF THE STATE'S FORENSIC SCIENCE AND MEDICAL EVIDENCE. University of Toronto Law Journal, 2011, 61, 343-409.	1.1	20
51	Reporting on the comparison and interpretation of pattern evidence: recommendations for forensic specialists. Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2012, 44, 193-196.	0.7	15
52	Accepting Diagnostic Suggestions by Residents: A Potential Cause of Diagnostic Error in Medicine. Teaching and Learning in Medicine, 2012, 24, 149-154.	1.3	10
53	The Forensic Disciplines: Some Areas of Actual or Potential Application. , 2012, , 841-989.		1
54	Fingerprint Comparison Evidence Has Been Under Sustained Attack in the United States of America for the Last Number of Years: Is the Critique with Regard to Reliability Sufficiently Penetrating to Warrant the Exclusion of This Valuable Evidence?. Oxford University Commonwealth Law Journal, 2012, 12, 317-340.	0.1	0
55	Elaborations on credibility judgments by professional lie detectors and laypersons: strategies of judgment and justification. Psychology, Crime and Law, 2012, 18, 567-577.	0.8	9
56	Chapter 9 A Race to the Top: Enabling Juries to make Informed Decisions when Confronted with Forensic Evidence. Advances in Austrian Economics, 2012, , 143-170.	0.4	1
58	Harmless error analysis: How do judges respond to confession errors?. Law and Human Behavior, 2012, 36, 151-157.	0.6	38

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
59	Dental identification by comparison of antemortem and postmortem dental radiographs: Influence of operator qualifications and cognitive bias. Forensic Science International, 2012, 222, 252-255.	1.3	42
60	Confessions That Corrupt. Psychological Science, 2012, 23, 41-45.	1.8	69
62	Repeatability and Reproducibility of Decisions by Latent Fingerprint Examiners. PLoS ONE, 2012, 7, e32800.	1.1	136
63	Context Modulates the Contribution of Time and Space in Causal Inference. Frontiers in Psychology, 2012, 3, 371.	1.1	104
64	The Cool Crucible: Forensic Science and the Frailty of the Criminal Trial. Current Issues in Criminal Justice, 2012, 24, 51-68.	0.8	24
65	The Report of the Expert Working Group on Human Factors in Latent Print Analysis -- Latent Print Examination and Human Factors: Improving the Practice through a Systems Approach. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
66	Facial image comparison. , 2012, , 136-153.		7
68	Interpretation of Forensic Evidence. , 2012, , 135-158.		6
69	Context Effects and Observer Biasâ€"Implications for Forensic Odontology. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2012, 57, 108-112.	0.9	48
70	The Impact of Humanâ€"Technology Cooperation and Distributed Cognition in Forensic Science: Biasing Effects of AFIS Contextual Information on Human Experts*. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2012, 57, 343-352.	0.9	69
71	Quantifying the Weight of Evidence from a Forensic Fingerprint Comparison: A New Paradigm. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series A: Statistics in Society, 2012, 175, 371-415.	0.6	118
72	Sequential Unmasking: Minimizing Observer Effects in Forensic Science. , 2013, , 542-548.		2
73	Just truth? Carefully applying history, philosophy and sociology of science to the forensic use of CCTV images. Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C:Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences, 2013, 44, 80-91.	0.8	7
74	Implementing counter-measures against confirmation bias in forensic science.. Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 2013, 2, 61-62.	0.7	21
75	The forensic confirmation bias: Problems, perspectives, and proposed solutions.. Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 2013, 2, 42-52.	0.7	419
76	Latent Fingerprint Matching Using Descriptor-Based Hough Transform. IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security, 2013, 8, 31-45.	4.5	105
77	Application of likelihood ratios for firearm and toolmark analysis. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2013, 53, 223-229.	1.3	23
78	Standards to avoid bias in fingerprint examination? Are such standards doomed to be based on fiscal expediency?. Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 2013, 2, 71-72.	0.7	18

#	Article	IF	Citations
79	The management of domain irrelevant context information in forensic handwriting examination casework. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2013, 53, 154-158.	1.3	61
80	Psychological contamination in forensic decisions.. Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 2013, 2, 76-77.	0.7	10
81	Cognitive diagnostic error in internal medicine. European Journal of Internal Medicine, 2013, 24, 525-529.	1.0	77
82	Expertise in Fingerprint Identification. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2013, 58, 1519-1530.	0.9	46
83	How Jurors Evaluate Fingerprint Evidence: The Relative Importance of Match Language, Method Information, and Error Acknowledgment. Journal of Empirical Legal Studies, 2013, 10, 484-511.	0.5	26
84	Using Procedural Justice to Understand, Explain, and Prevent Decision-Making Errors in Forensic Sciences. Organization Management Journal, 2013, 10, 99-109.	0.5	0
85	Investigating industrial investigation: Examining the impact of a priori knowledge and tunnel vision education.. Law and Human Behavior, 2013, 37, 441-453.	0.6	11
87	Identification for Development: The Biometrics Revolution. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	63
88	Justiciaâ€™s Gaze: Surveillance, Evidence and the Criminal Trial. Surveillance & Society, 2013, 11, 252-271.	0.4	9
89	The National DNA Data Bank of Canada: a Quebecer perspective. Frontiers in Genetics, 2013, 4, 249.	1.1	12
90	Forensic Comparison and Matching of Fingerprints: Using Quantitative Image Measures for Estimating Error Rates through Understanding and Predicting Difficulty. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e94617.	1.1	32
91	The Nature of Expertise in Fingerprint Matching: Experts Can Do a Lot with a Little. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e114759.	1.1	43
92	Karl Popper, Forensic Science, and Nested Codes. Biosemiotics, 2014, 7, 309-319.	0.8	2
93	Latent Fingerprint Matching: A Survey. IEEE Access, 2014, 2, 982-1004.	2.6	63
94	Contextual Bias in Verbal Credibility Assessment: Criteriaâ€Based Content Analysis, Reality Monitoring and Scientific Content Analysis. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2014, 28, 79-90.	0.9	27
95	Professional Forensic Expert Practice. , 2014, , 57-78.		0
96	Constructing a Victim Profile. , 2014, , 87-110.		0
97	Experimental results of fingerprint comparison validity and reliability: A review and critical analysis. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2014, 54, 375-389.	1.3	19

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
98	Order and Strength Matter for Evaluation of Alibi and Eyewitness Evidence. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2014, 28, 143-150.	0.9	19
99	Does contextual information bias bitemark comparisons?. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2014, 54, 267-273.	1.3	47
100	In response to Haber and Haber, â€œExperimental results of fingerprint comparison validity and reliability: A review and critical analysisâ€∙ Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2014, 54, 390-391.	1.3	1
101	Generalization in fingerprint matching experiments. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2014, 54, 391-392.	1.3	1
102	Can fingerprint casework accuracy be evaluated by experiments?. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2014, 54, 395-397.	1.3	0
103	Bias among forensic document examiners: Still a need for procedural changes. Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2014, 46, 91-97.	0.7	31
104	The Power of Contextual Effects in Forensic Anthropology: A Study of Biasability in the Visual Interpretations of Trauma Analysis on Skeletal Remains. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2014, 59, 1177-1183.	0.9	29
105	A comment on experimental results of fingerprint comparison validity and reliability: A review and critical analysis. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2014, 54, 393-395.	1.3	1
106	Still making a mark? Fingerprints in the 21st century. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2014, 54, 1-2.	1.3	6
107	Do confessions taint perceptions of handwriting evidence? An empirical test of the forensic confirmation bias.. Law and Human Behavior, 2014, 38, 256-270.	0.6	73
110	Predicting Dactyloscopic Examiner Fingerprint Image Quality Assessments. , 2015, , .		3
112	Ethical and Professional Issues. , 2015, , 651-666.		1
113	Do Observer Effects Matter? A Comment on Langenburg, Bochet, and Ford. Forensic Science Policy and Management, 2015, 6, 1-6.	0.5	4
114	The Third Forensics â€" images and allusions. Policing and Society, 2015, 25, 521-539.	1.8	6
115	The impact of bias in latent fingerprint identification. , 2015, , .		3
116	Minimising bias in the forensic evaluation of suspicious paediatric injury. Journal of Clinical Forensic and Legal Medicine, 2015, 34, 11-16.	0.5	12
117	Cognitive neuroscience in forensic science: understanding and utilizing the human element. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2015, 370, 20140255.	1.8	40
118	Fingerprint identification: advances since the 2009 National Research Council report. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2015, 370, 20140259.	1.8	16

#	Article	IF	Citations
119	Deciphering the human condition: the rise of cognitive forensics. Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2015, 47, 386-401.	0.7	28
120	Fingermark submission decision-making within a UK fingerprint laboratory: Do experts get the marks that they need?. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2015, 55, 239-247.	1.3	19
121	Analyzing the Psychological and Social Contents of Evidence—Experimental Comparison between Guessing, Naturalistic Observation, and Systematic Analysis. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2015, 60, 659-668.	0.9	1
122	Development of a Computer—Assisted Forensic Radiographic Identification Method Using the Lateral Cervical and Lumbar Spine. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2015, 60, 5-12.	0.9	18
123	Perception of Audio Quality in Productions of Popular Music. AES: Journal of the Audio Engineering Society, 2016, 64, 23-34.	0.8	12
125	Investigation to Exoneration: A Systemic Review of Wrongful Conviction in Australia. Current Issues in Criminal Justice, 2016, 28, 157-172.	0.8	11
126	Evidence Evaluation and Evidence Integration in Legal Decision—Making: Order of Evidence Presentation as a Moderator of Context Effects. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2016, 30, 214-225.	0.9	16
127	The Reliability of Pattern Classification in Bloodstain Pattern Analysis, Part 1: Bloodstain Patterns on Rigid Non—absorbent Surfaces. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2016, 61, 922-927.	0.9	33
128	Evaluation of forensic DNA mixture evidence: protocol for evaluation, interpretation, and statistical calculations using the combined probability of inclusion. BMC Genetics, 2016, 17, 125.	2.7	76
130	Bloodstain pattern classification: Accuracy, effect of contextual information and the role of analyst characteristics. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2016, 56, 123-128.	1.3	22
132	Fingermark ridge drift. Forensic Science International, 2016, 258, 26-31.	1.3	21
133	The making of an expert detective: the role of experience in English and Norwegian police officers' investigative decision-making. Psychology, Crime and Law, 2016, 22, 203-223.	0.8	45
134	Implementing context information management in forensic casework: Minimizing contextual bias in firearms examination. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2016, 56, 113-122.	1.3	48
135	Developmental validation of STRmix™, expert software for the interpretation of forensic DNA profiles. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2016, 23, 226-239.	1.6	110
136	Passport Checks: Interactions Between Matching Faces and Biographical Details. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2016, 30, 925-933.	0.9	19
137	An Imperfect Match? Crime—related Context Influences Fingerprint Decisions. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2016, 30, 126-134.	0.9	9
138	Fact or friction: Examination of the transparency, reliability and sufficiency of the ACE-V method of fingerprint analysis. Forensic Science International, 2016, 267, 145-156.	1.3	12
139	Forensic expectations: Investigating a crime scene with prior information. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2016, 56, 475-481.	1.3	47

#	Article	IF	Citations
140	The Reliability of Pattern Classification in Bloodstain Pattern Analysisâ€"<scp>PART</scp> 2: Bloodstain Patterns on Fabric Surfaces[,]. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2016, 61, 1461-1466.	0.9	19
141	Putting bias into context: The role of familiarity in identification.. Law and Human Behavior, 2016, 40, 50-64.	0.6	17
142	The perfect match: Do criminal stereotypes bias forensic evidence analysis?. Law and Human Behavior, 2016, 40, 420-429.	0.6	38
143	A little ignorance is a dangerous thing: engaging with exogenous knowledge not adduced by the parties. Griffith Law Review, 2016, 25, 383-413.	0.6	7
144	Context Effects in Forensic Entomology and Use of Sequential Unmasking in Casework. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2016, 61, 1270-1277.	0.9	15
145	Some challenges in forensic fingerprint classification and interpretation. , 2016, , .		3
146	A hierarchy of expert performance.. Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 2016, 5, 121-127.	0.7	84
147	Legal versus non-legal approaches to forensic science evidence. International Journal of Evidence and Proof, 2016, 20, 3-28.	0.2	13
148	Determining the Proper Evidentiary Basis for an Expert Opinion. , 2017, , 133-150.		1
149	Jurorsâ€™ Perceptions of Evidence: The Relative Influence of DNA and Eyewitness Testimony when Presented by Opposing Parties. Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology, 2017, 32, 33-42.	1.2	8
150	Statistical Issues in Forensic Science. Annual Review of Statistics and Its Application, 2017, 4, 225-244.	4.1	16
151	Reconstructing with trace information: Does rapid identification information lead to better crime reconstructions?. Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling, 2017, 14, 88-103.	0.4	11
152	A biased opinion: Demonstration of cognitive bias on a fingerprint matching task through knowledge of DNA test results. Forensic Science International, 2017, 276, 93-106.	1.3	35
153	Cognitive bias in the legal system: Police officers evaluate ambiguous evidence in a belief-consistent manner.. Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 2017, 6, 193-202.	0.7	21
154	Peer review in forensic science. Forensic Science International, 2017, 277, 66-76.	1.3	27
155	Rapid identification information and its influence on the perceived clues at a crime scene: An experimental study. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2017, 57, 421-430.	1.3	8
156	Identification at the crime scene: The sooner, the better? The interpretation of rapid identification information by CSIs at the crime scene. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2017, 57, 296-306.	1.3	14
157	Towards automated eyewitness descriptions: describing the face, body and clothing for recognition. Visual Cognition, 2017, 25, 524-538.	0.9	12

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
158	Thinking forensics: Cognitive science for forensic practitioners. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2017, 57, 144-154.	1.3	51
159	Discord in the Communication of Forensic Science. Journal of Language and Social Psychology, 2017, 36, 96-111.	1.2	17
160	Latent overlapped fingerprint separation: a review. Multimedia Tools and Applications, 2017, 76, 16263-16290.	2.6	15
163	From Emergency Call to Crime Scene: Information Transference in the Criminal Investigation. Forensic Science Policy and Management, 2017, 8, 79-89.	0.5	3
165	A rendezvous between forensic science and criminology. , 2017, , 48-61.		0
166	Arson. , 2017, , 57-94.		0
168	Contextual information management: An example of independent-checking in the review of laboratory-based bloodstain pattern analysis. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2018, 58, 226-231.	1.3	18
169	Solving the puzzle: The effects of contextual information and feedback on the interpretation of a crime scene. Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling, 2018, 15, 109-123.	0.4	3
170	The influence of bias. , 2018, , 93-119.		0
171	The 2016 ENFSI Fingerprint Working Group testing programme. Forensic Science International, 2018, 292, 148-162.	1.3	4
172	Confirmation Bias in Forensic Science. , 2018, , 215-245.		0
173	The Computer-Assisted Decedent Identification Method of Computer-Assisted Radiographic Identification. , 2018, , 265-276.		1
174	The Cognitive and Social Psychological Bases of Bias in Forensic Mental Health Judgments. Advances in Psychology and Law, 2018, , 151-175.	0.2	4
175	Fingerprint science. Annals of Applied Statistics, 2018, 12, .	0.5	1
177	Are forensic scientists experts?. Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 2018, 7, 199-208.	0.7	25
179	Prior knowledge influences interpretations of eyewitness confidence statements: â€˜The witness picked the suspect, they must be 100% sure%. Psychology, Crime and Law, 2019, 25, 50-68.	0.8	8
180	How Cross-Examination on Subjectivity and Bias Affects Jurorsâ€™ Evaluations of Forensic Science Evidence. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
181	Emerging Technologies for the Analysis of Forensic Traces. Advanced Sciences and Technologies for Security Applications, 2019, , .	0.4	9

#	Article	IF	Citations
182	Cognitive bias research in forensic science: A systematic review. Forensic Science International, 2019, 297, 35-46.	1.3	95
183	The forensic disclosure model: What should be disclosed to, and by, forensic experts?. International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice, 2019, 59, 100330.	0.4	23
184	A risk-based approach to cognitive bias in forensic science. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2019, 59, 533-543.	1.3	13
185	Cognitive and human factors in digital forensics: Problems, challenges, and the way forward. Digital Investigation, 2019, 29, 101-108.	3.2	53
186	The use of contextual information in forensic toxicology: An international survey of toxicologists' experiences. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2019, 59, 380-389.	1.3	12
187	Do evidence submission forms expose latent print examiners to task-irrelevant information?. Forensic Science International, 2019, 297, 236-242.	1.3	12
188	Forensic Gait Analysis and Recognition: Standards of Evidence Admissibility. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2019, 64, 1294-1303.	0.9	18
189	How Cross‐Examination on Subjectivity and Bias Affects Jurors' Evaluations of Forensic Science Evidence. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2019, 64, 1379-1388.	0.9	12
190	What soda challenges and tennis balls can teach us about cognitive bias. Forensic Science International, 2019, 294, e23-e24.	1.3	0
191	A Comparison of Thresholding Methods for Forensic Reconstruction Studies Using Fluorescent Powder Proxies for Trace Materials. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2019, 64, 431-442.	0.9	5
192	A review of quality procedures in the UK forensic sciences: What can the field of digital forensics learn?. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2019, 59, 83-92.	1.3	33
193	Developing a strategic forensic science risk management system as a component of the forensic science system of systems. Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2020, 52, 208-221.	0.7	8
194	Wrongful Convictions. Annual Review of Criminology, 2020, 3, 245-259.	2.1	16
195	The value of eye-tracking technology in the analysis and interpretations of skeletal remains: A pilot study. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2020, 60, 36-42.	1.3	5
196	Assessing Cognitive Bias in Forensic Decisions: A Review and Outlook. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2020, 65, 354-360.	0.9	16
197	Crime reconstruction and the role of trace materials from crime scene to court. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews Forensic Science, 2020, 2, .	1.2	10
198	A cultural change to enable improved decision-making in forensic science: A six phased approach. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2020, 60, 9-19.	1.3	28
199	Forensic science and the myth of adversarial testing. Current Issues in Criminal Justice, 2020, 32, 146-179.	0.8	5

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
200	Author response: No need for throwing stones â€" Wherever you liveâ€¦. Forensic Science International (Online), 2020, 2, 705-707.	0.6	1
201	Cognitive bias in sex estimation: The influence of context on forensic decision-making. , 2020, , 327-342.		0
202	The effect of contextual information on decision-making in forensic toxicology. Forensic Science International (Online), 2020, 2, 339-348.	0.6	11
203	Forensic Confirmation Bias: Do Jurors Discount Examiners Who Were Exposed to Taskâ€‹rrelevant Information?*â€. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2020, 65, 1978-1990.	0.9	3
204	Context effect and confirmation bias in criminal fact finding. Legal and Criminological Psychology, 2020, 25, 80-89.	1.5	17
205	Assessing the reliability of a clothing-based forensic identification. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2020, 117, 5176-5183.	3.3	4
207	The what and how of Family business paradox: Literature-inspired distillations and directions. International Small Business Journal, 2020, 38, 154-183.	2.9	10
208	An inconvenient truth: More rigorous and ecologically valid research is needed to properly understand cognitive bias in forensic decisions. Forensic Science International (Online), 2020, 2, 107-109.	0.6	8
210	Improving Criminal Investigations with Structured Analytic Techniques. Advanced Sciences and Technologies for Security Applications, 2020, , 123-159.	0.4	0
211	People who live in ivory towers shouldnâ€™t throw stones: A refutation of Curley etÂal.. Forensic Science International (Online), 2020, 2, 110-113.	0.6	5
212	Comparison and interpretation of impressed marks left by a firearm on cartridge cases â€" Towards an operational implementation of a likelihood ratio based technique. Forensic Science International, 2020, 313, 110363.	1.3	11
213	Cognitive biases in the peer review of bullet and cartridge case comparison casework: A field study. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2020, 60, 337-346.	1.3	20
214	The application of insects to the estimation of the time since death. , 2020, , 57-80.		4
215	Conceptualising, evaluating and communicating uncertainty in forensic science: Identifying commonly used tools through an interdisciplinary configurative review. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2020, 60, 313-336.	1.3	9
216	Ingroup biases of forensic experts: perceptions of wrongful convictions versus exonerations. Psychology, Crime and Law, 2021, 27, 89-104.	0.8	2
217	Paediatric femur fracturesâ€"the value of contextual information on judgement in possible child abuse cases: are we bias?. European Journal of Pediatrics, 2021, 180, 81-90.	1.3	7
218	Lethal leverage: false confessions, false pleas, and wrongful homicide convictions in death-eligible cases. Contemporary Justice Review: Issues in Criminal, Social, and Restorative Justice, 2021, 24, 24-42.	0.7	0
219	A multidisciplinary approach to insanity assessment as a way to reduce cognitive biases. Forensic Science International, 2021, 319, 110652.	1.3	5

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
220	Law and order effects: on cognitive dissonance and belief perseverance. Psychiatry, Psychology and Law, 2022, 29, 33-52.	0.9	6
221	Investigations: Negligence. , 2021, , 577-582.		0
222	Testing the Forensic Confirmation Bias: How Jailhouse Informants Violate Evidentiary Independence. Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology, 0, , 1.	1.2	2
223	French gendarmes' ability to make inferences while listening to witnesses: Implicit and interfering information curbs their comprehension. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2021, 35, 795-808.	0.9	2
224	Development of the Wits Face Database: an African database of high-resolution facial photographs and multimodal closed-circuit television (CCTV) recordings. F1000Research, 2021, 10, 131.	0.8	6
225	Forensic Mental Health Practitionersâ€™ Use of Structured Risk Assessment Instruments, Views about Bias in Risk Evaluations, and Strategies to Counteract It. International Journal of Forensic Mental Health, 2022, 21, 1-19.	0.6	4
226	The values of prediction in criminal cases. International Journal of Evidence and Proof, 2021, 25, 163-179.	0.2	0
227	A hierarchy of expert performance (HEP) applied to digital forensics: Reliability and biasability in digital forensics decision making. Forensic Science International: Digital Investigation, 2021, 37, 301175.	1.2	11
228	Schuldig bei Verdacht? PrÃ¼fstrategien in Beschuldigtenvernehmungen. Monatsschrift Fur Kriminologie Und Strafrechtsreform, 2021, 104, 81-91.	0.2	0
229	The distinction between discriminability and reliability in forensic science. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2021, 61, 319-331.	1.3	19
230	Potential for police investigator bias: the impact of child sexual abuse victimsâ€™ background characteristics on perceived statement credibility, case outcome and quality of interview questions. Police Practice and Research, 2022, 23, 370-387.	1.1	0
231	â€˜Anyone who commits such a cruel crime, must be criminally irresponsibleâ€™: context effects in forensic psychological assessment. Psychiatry, Psychology and Law, 0, , 1-10.	0.9	1
232	Verifying authorship for forensic purposes: A computational protocol and its validation. Forensic Science International, 2021, 325, 110824.	1.3	6
233	The impact of contextual information on decisionâ€making in footwear examination: An eyeâ€tracking study. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2021, 66, 2218-2231.	0.9	1
234	The perceived credibility of repeated-event witnesses depends upon their veracity. Psychiatry, Psychology and Law, 0, , 1-16.	0.9	1
235	The biasing impact of irrelevant contextual information on forensic odontology radiograph matching decisions. Forensic Science International, 2021, 327, 110997.	1.3	4
236	How time pressure and fingerprint complexity affect fingerprint examiner performance in an Eye Tracking Study. Forensic Science International, 2021, 328, 111007.	1.3	2
237	Chemometrics in forensic science: approaches and applications. Analyst, The, 2021, 146, 2415-2448.	1.7	54

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
239	The Pervasiveness of Daubert. , 0, , 654-665.		6
242	Cognitive Forensics: Human Cognition, Contextual Information, and Bias. , 2014, , 353-363.		6
243	Forensic Facial Analysis. , 2014, , 1713-1729.		2
244	Novel Technological Applications for Latent and Blood-Stained Fingermark Aging Studies. Advanced Sciences and Technologies for Security Applications, 2019, , 33-66.	0.4	2
245	Assessing the frequency of general fingerprint patterns by fingerprint examiners and novices. Forensic Science International, 2020, 313, 110347.	1.3	6
246	Hindsight Bias and Law. Zeitschrift Fur Psychologie / Journal of Psychology, 2016, 224, 190-203.	0.7	19
247	Practical Solutions to Forensic Contextual Bias. Zeitschrift Fur Psychologie / Journal of Psychology, 2020, 228, 162-174.	0.7	4
248	If you judge, investigate! Responsibility reduces confirmatory information processing in legal experts.. Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 2016, 22, 386-400.	0.9	22
249	Cognitive bias in forensic mental health assessment: Evaluator beliefs about its nature and scope.. Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 2018, 24, 1-10.	0.9	52
250	Defendant stereotypicality moderates the effect of confession evidence on judgments of guilt.. Law and Human Behavior, 2018, 42, 355-368.	0.6	5
251	Fillers can help control for contextual bias in forensic comparison tasks.. Law and Human Behavior, 2018, 42, 295-305.	0.6	12
252	Forensic Afterlives. Signs and Society, 2018, 6, 622-647.	0.1	10
253	Cognitive Processes in Anesthesiology Decision Making. Anesthesiology, 2014, 120, 204-217.	1.3	122
254	Cognitive Biases in Human Perception, Judgment, and Decision Making. , 2008, , 55-69.		7
255	- Cyanoacrylate Fuming Method. , 2012, , 280-309.		5
256	Fingermarks, Bitemarks and Other Impressions (Barefoot, Ears, Lips). , 2010, , 695-778.		1
257	The Forensic Disclosure Model: What Should be Disclosed To, and By, Forensic Experts?. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	1
258	Suspect sciences? Evidentiary Problems with Emerging Technologies. International Journal of Digital Crime and Forensics, 2010, 2, 40-72.	0.5	4

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
259	Human Identification and Fingerprints: A Review. Journal of Biometrics & Biostatistics, 2011, 02, .	4.0	22
260	Betting Against the Odds: The Mysterious Case of the Clinical Override in Risk Assessment of Adult Convicted Offenders. International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology, 2023, 67, 887-909.	0.8	4
261	Tensor completion using patch-wise high order Hankelization and randomized tensor ring initialization. Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence, 2021, 106, 104472.	4.3	6
262	Necropsies and the Cold Case. , 2008, , 327-347.		1
263	Latent Fingerprint Experts. , 2009, , 890-895.		0
264	Fingerprint Matching, Manual. , 2009, , 502-509.		0
265	Believing Recognition. , 2009, , 19-36.		0
266	Recognizing Belief. , 2009, , 1-17.		0
267	What Role Should Investigative Facts Play in the Evaluation of Scientific Evidence. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
268	Discovering Correspondences between Fingerprints Based on the Temporal Dynamics of Eye Movements from Experts. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2011, , 160-172.	1.0	1
269	A study on characteristics of latent fingerprint detection on vinyl leather. Analytical Science and Technology, 2011, 24, 45-50.	0.3	0
270	Identification from Soft Tissues. , 2011, , 329-362.		2
271	Suspect Sciences?. , 2012, , 216-249.		0
272	Ethical Considerations in Data Collection. , 2012, , 441-468.		0
276	Bridging the gap between stylistic and cognitive approaches to authorship analysis using Systemic Functional Linguistics and multidimensional analysis. International Journal of Speech, Language and the Law, 2013, 20, 173-202.	0.2	39
277	Fingerprint Matching, Manual. , 2014, , 1-12.		0
278	Fingerprint Identification. , 2014, , 1648-1664.		1
279	Identification Technologies in Policing and Proof. , 2014, , 2407-2419.		0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
280	Critical Report on Forensic Science. , 2014, , 867-881.		0
281	Latent Fingerprint Experts. , 2014, , 1-7.		0
282	Are Contingent Choices Consistent?. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
283	Latent Fingerprints of Insufficient Value Can be Used as an Investigative Lead. Journal of Forensic Science & Criminology, 2015, 3, .	0.0	0
284	DetecÃ§Ã£o de ImpressÃµes Digitais Revertidas em Documentos Falsos. Revista Brasileira De CiÃªncias Policiais, 2016, 5, 11.	0.0	0
285	DNA Evidence Under the Microscope: Why the Presumption of Innocence Is Under Threat in Ireland. , 2016, , 263-287.		0
286	Forensicsâ€™ Fight: A Need for Aggressive Strategies Against Confirmation Bias. Themis, 2017, 5, .	0.1	0
289	Latent Fingerprint Matching Systems. SpringerBriefs in Computer Science, 2019, , 1-8.	0.2	0
290	Forensic Source Conclusions. Zeitschrift Fur Psychologie / Journal of Psychology, 2020, 228, 149-161.	0.7	4
292	Fairness, implicit bias testing and sports refereeing. Journal of the Philosophy of Sport, 2021, 48, 97-110.	0.5	3
293	Contextual contamination of scientific evidence. , 2020, , 77-105.		0
294	PsychologickÃ© aspekty rozhodovÃ¡nÃsoudÅ¯ se zamÄ›Å™enÃm na hodnocenÃdÅ¯kazu znaleckÃ½m posudkem. Casopis Pro Pravni Vedu A Praxi, 2020, 28, 121.	0.1	0
296	The Psychology of Forensic Evidence. Zeitschrift Fur Psychologie / Journal of Psychology, 2020, 228, 145-148.	0.7	1
297	The Impact of Evidence Type on Police Investigatorsâ€™ Perceptions of Suspect Culpability and Evidence Reliability. Zeitschrift Fur Psychologie / Journal of Psychology, 2020, 228, 188-198.	0.7	2
301	Cognitive bias and the order of examination in forensic anthropological non-metric methods: a pilot study. Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2023, 55, 255-271.	0.7	3
302	When the Evidence Is Incorrect: an Exploration of What Happens When Interviewers Unwittingly Present Inaccurate Information in Interviews with Suspects. Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology, 2021, , 1-14.	1.2	1
303	The decision-making process in Swedish forensic psychiatric investigations. International Journal of Law and Psychiatry, 2022, 80, 101709.	0.5	5
306	Contextual bias on decision-making in forensic toxicology: First survey from China. Forensic Science International, 2022, 333, 111232.	1.3	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
307	A practical tool for information management in forensic decisions: Using Linear Sequential Unmasking-Expanded (LSU-E) in casework. Forensic Science International (Online), 2022, 4, 100216.	0.6	12
308	DNA as â€˜ready-made evidenceâ€™: An analysis of Portuguese judgesâ€™ views. International Journal of Evidence and Proof, 2022, 26, 121-135.	0.2	1
309	Challenges to reasoning in forensic science decisions. Forensic Science International (Online), 2022, 4, 100200.	0.6	9
311	The Need for Standards Unification in Forensic Laboratory Practices: Protocol for Setting Up the Arab Forensic Laboratories Accreditation Center. JMIR Research Protocols, 2022, 11, e36778.	0.5	1
313	The admissibility of fingerprint evidence: An African perspective. Journal of the Canadian Society of Forensic Science, 2023, 56, 23-41.	0.7	0
314	Latent Justice. , 2022, , 248-295.		0
315	Prevention and Education. , 2022, , 296-322.		0
316	The evidential strength of a combination of corresponding class features in tire examination. Forensic Science International, 2022, , 111351.	1.3	0
319	The low prevalence effect in fingerprint comparison amongst forensic science trainees and novices. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0272338.	1.1	5
324	Cognitive bias in workplace investigation: Problems, perspectives and proposed solutions. Applied Ergonomics, 2022, 105, 103860.	1.7	8
325	AI-Powered Investigations: From Data Analysis to an Automated Approach Toward Investigative Uncertainty. Legal Studies in International, European and Comparative Criminal Law, 2022, , 169-188.	0.2	1
326	May a witness challenge the conviction? (Some) Confirmation bias in legal experts. Forensische Psychiatrie, Psychologie, Kriminologie, 0, , .	0.7	0
327	Verifying unfamiliar identities: Effects of processing name and face information in the same identity-matching task. Cognitive Research: Principles and Implications, 2022, 7, .	1.1	0
328	Should Blind Evaluation of Polygraph Charts Be a Mandatory Procedure in Evidentiary Examinations?. , 2022, 16, 17-30.		0
329	The Influence of Interview Style on SIOsâ€™ Responsiveness to the Suspectâ€™s Alibi. Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology, 0, , .	1.2	1
330	Too much information? The use of extraneous information to support decisionâ€making in emergency settings. Decision Sciences, 2023, 54, 632-650.	3.2	1
331	Blind Verification. , 2023, , 354-355.		0
332	Disaster Victim Identification. , 2023, , 39-47.		0

#	Article	IF	Citations
333	Sequential Unmasking: Minimizing Observer Effects in Forensic Science. , 2023, , 448-450.		0
334	A Probabilistic Formalisation of Contextual Bias: from Forensic Analysis to Systemic Bias in the Criminal Justice System. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series A: Statistics in Society, 2022, 185, S620-S643.	0.6	2
335	Information loss, contextual information, and distinctiveness influence how well novice analysts discriminate fingerprints. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 0, , .	0.9	0
336	What types of information can and do latent print examiners review? A survey of practicing examiners. Forensic Science International, 2023, 344, 111598.	1.3	0
337	Toward Parsimony in Bias Research: A Proposed Common Framework of Belief-Consistent Information Processing for a Set of Biases. Perspectives on Psychological Science, 2023, 18, 1464-1487.	5.2	17
338	Comprehension and critique: an examination of students' evaluations of information in texts. Reading and Writing, 0, , .	1.0	3
344	Heuristiken und Biases in der psychologischen Urteilsbildung. , 2023, , 1-11.		0
353	Forensic Science Decision-Making. , 2024, , 193-210.		0