

# Why Do Interest Groups Engage the Judiciary? Policy W

Social Science Quarterly

87, 558-572

DOI: [10.1111/j.1540-6237.2006.00396.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6237.2006.00396.x)

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Disability Rights Activists in the Supreme Court of Canada: Legal Mobilization Theory and Accommodating Social Movements. <i>Canadian Journal of Political Science</i> , 2009, 42, 981-1002.	0.4	11
2	Anti-discrimination policy actors and their use of litigation strategies: the influence of identity politics. <i>Journal of European Public Policy</i> , 2009, 16, 738-754.	4.0	52
3	Expanding the Scope of Conflict: Interest Groups and Interstate Compacts*. <i>Social Science Quarterly</i> , 2010, 91, 669-688.	1.6	7
5	Interest Groups and Presidential Transitions. <i>Congress and the Presidency</i> , 2011, 38, 152-170.	0.5	1
6	Grassroots Mobilization in the Digital Age. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 2011, 64, 790-802.	1.7	25
7	Shopping in the Political Arena. <i>State and Local Government Review</i> , 2012, 44, 9-20.	0.6	43
8	Is Certiorari Contingent on Litigant Behavior? Petitioners' Role in Strategic Auditing. <i>Journal of Empirical Legal Studies</i> , 2013, 10, 54-75.	0.8	14
9	Turning to the Courts: A Quantitative Analysis of the Gay and Lesbian Movement's Use of Legal Mobilization. <i>Sociological Focus</i> , 2014, 47, 219-237.	0.4	5
10	The evolution and formation of amicus curiae networks. <i>Social Networks</i> , 2014, 36, 82-96.	2.1	62
11	Venue Effects: How State Policy Source Influences Policy Support. <i>Politics and Policy</i> , 2015, 43, 679-722.	1.2	6
12	Setting the Agenda of the United States Supreme Court? Organized Interests and the Decision to File an Amicus Curiae Brief at Cert. <i>Justice System Journal</i> , 2015, 36, 119-137.	0.4	9
13	Amicus Coalition Heterogeneity and Signaling Credibility in Supreme Court Agenda Setting. <i>Publius</i> , 2015, 45, 99-116.	1.8	10
14	The use of surveys in interest group research. <i>Interest Groups and Advocacy</i> , 2015, 4, 272-282.	0.8	43
15	Interest Groups, the Courts, and Educational Equality. <i>American Educational Research Journal</i> , 2016, 53, 573-604.	2.7	11
16	Defending the status quo across venues and coalitions: evidence from California interest groups. <i>Journal of Public Policy</i> , 2017, 37, 1-26.	1.3	26
17	Friends and Interveners: Interest Group Litigation in a Comparative Context. <i>Journal of Law and Courts</i> , 2017, 5, 55-80.	0.6	8
18	Playing for the Rules: How and Why New Christian Right Public Interest Law Firms Invest in Secular Litigation. <i>Law and Policy</i> , 2017, 39, 121-141.	0.7	16
19	Lobbying Across Venues: An Issue-Tracing Approach. <i>State Politics and Policy Quarterly</i> , 2017, 17, 127-153.	0.8	10

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
20	Interest Groups and the Judiciary. , 2017, , .		0
21	Is Legal Mobilization for the Birds? Legal Opportunity Structures and Environmental Nongovernmental Organizations in the United Kingdom, France, Finland, and Italy. <i>Comparative Political Studies</i> , 2018, 51, 380-412.	3.6	56
23	Informational Need, Institutional Capacity, and Court Receptivity: Interest Groups and Amicus Curiae in State High Courts. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 2018, 71, 881-894.	1.7	2
24	Why File? Organized Interests and Amicus Briefs in State Courts of Last Resort. <i>Justice System Journal</i> , 2018, 39, 39-53.	0.4	2
25	Interest groups as multi-venue players. <i>Interest Groups and Advocacy</i> , 2018, 7, 173-195.	0.8	9
26	The Use of Amicus Briefs. <i>Annual Review of Law and Social Science</i> , 2018, 14, 219-237.	1.3	17
27	Engaging Hearts and Minds: How and Why Legal Organizations Use Public Education. <i>Law and Social Inquiry</i> , 2019, 44, 1196-1220.	0.6	4
28	Counterbalancing the disadvantages of the "Have Nots": an examination of the impact of amicus participation in state supreme court cases. <i>Interest Groups and Advocacy</i> , 2019, 8, 579-599.	0.8	0
29	The Art of Buying Time. , 2019, , 151-183.		2
30	"Why Try? Comparing the Aims of Parties and Amici in U.S. Supreme Court Litigation" <i>Justice System Journal</i> , 2020, 41, 81-97.	0.4	1
31	Explaining interest group litigation in Europe: Evidence from the comparative interest group survey. <i>Governance</i> , 2021, 34, 1235-1253.	2.0	6
32	Coproducing the Endangered Polar Bear: Science, Climate Change, and Legal Mobilization. <i>Law and Policy</i> , 2020, 42, 105-124.	0.7	10
33	"She Blinded Me with Science": The Use of Science Frames in Abortion Litigation before the Supreme Court. <i>Justice System Journal</i> , 0, , 1-27.	0.4	3
34	Challenges of Integrating Levels of Analysis in Interest Group Research. , 2015, , 79-97.		4
35	Participação Social no STF: repensando o papel das audiências públicas. <i>Revista Direito E Práxis</i> , 2020, 11, 236-271.	0.2	5
36	Organized Interests and Agenda Setting in the U.S. Supreme Court Revisited. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	4
37	Making rights a reality?: disability rights activists and legal mobilization. <i>Choice Reviews</i> , 2012, 49, 49-6569-49-6569.	0.2	13
39	Lobbying Beyond the Legislature: Challenges and Biases in Women's Organizations' Participation in Rulemaking. <i>Politics and Gender</i> , 0, , 1-35.	1.4	1

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
40	Whatâ€™s on the Gay (Legal) Agenda? An Analysis of Press Releases From LGBT Legal Advocacy Organizations, 2010â€“2019. Journal of Homosexuality, 0, , 1-19.	2.0	0
41	Pursuing Change or Pursuing Credit? Litigation and Credit Claiming on Social Media. Journal of Law and Courts, 0, , 1-23.	0.6	1
42	Looking Forward: Interest Group Legal Strategy and Federalist Society Affiliation in the United States Circuit Courts of Appeal. Polity, 2023, 55, 389-399.	0.5	3